A novel transdermal nanoethosomal gel of betahistine dihydrochloride for weight gain control: in-vitro and in-vivo characterization
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Background: Betahistine dihydrochloride (BDH) is a histamine analog used to control weight gain, with short elimination half-life and gastric irritation as side effects.

Objective: The aim of the current investigation is to formulate and optimize a topical BDH ethosomal gel for weight gain control.

Materials and methods: Box–Behnken design was applied to study the effect of independent variables: phosphatidylcholine (PC), propylene glycol (PG), and ethanol on vesicle size; entrapment efficiency; % drug release; and flux. The morphology and zeta potential of the optimized formulation were evaluated. The % drug release, flux, and pharmacodynamics of the optimized formulation gel were studied.

Results: The size and entrapment efficiency percent had a direct positive relationship with the concentration of PC and negative relationship with ethanol and PG. The % drug release and flux decreased with increasing PC and PG, while ethanol enhanced both responses. Regression modeling indicated a good correlation between dependent and independent variables, where F16 was chosen as the optimized formulation. F16 showed well-defined spherical vesicles and zeta potential of −24 mV, and % release from the gel exceeded 99.5% over 16 h with the flux of 0.28 mg/cm²/h. Food intake and weight gain of rats were significantly decreased after transdermal application of the BDH ethosomal gel when compared with control, placebo, and BDH gel. The histopathological findings proved the absence of inflammation and decrease in adipose tissue.

Conclusion: Results obtained showed a significant, sustained transdermal absorption of BDH ethosomal gel and, consequently, a decrease in food intake and weight gain.
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Introduction

Obesity is a common metabolic disorder attributed to increased food intake and physical inactivity; it is considered as a serious risk factor for various diseases such as type II diabetes, coronary artery disease, atherosclerosis, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia.¹ Although the pathophysiology behind obesity is poorly understood, overeating is likely one of the most important factors. Thus, decreasing food intake might be effective in the treatment or prevention of obesity. Brain histamine has been shown to have a significant effect on weight gain and food intake. It has been suggested that H1 receptor activation and H3 inhibition decrease food intake.² Masaki et al have demonstrated that central infusion of histamine decreased fat accumulation and leptin, and enhanced insulin sensitivity in a leptin-resistant obese mouse.³ Kasaoka reported
that the histamine neurons system suppresses fat accumulation by regulating mRNA expression of uncoupling protein, which expends energy consumption in rats. Yoshimatsu et al reported that histamine neurons downregulate ob gene expression in rat white adipose tissue. These data suggest that drugs with H1 agonist and H3 antagonist properties would inhibit food intake.

Betahistine dihydrochloride (BDH), a centrally acting H3 antagonist and H1 agonist, is used for the management of Ménière’s disease due to its vasodilatation action in the cerebral vasculature region and reduction in food intake by increasing brain histamine. Tighilet et al reported that BDH administration for 1 or 3 weeks induced an upregulation of histidine decarboxylase mRNA expression suggesting that histamine synthesis and release are increased under BDH treatment. Barak et al reported that BDH, at doses ranging from 16 to 48 mg/day, did not have a significant effect on weight loss compared with a placebo over a 12 weeks interval in 281 adults. However, a subgroup post hoc analysis suggested that weight loss occurred in non-Hispanic women <50 years old, which was consistent with a potential sexual dimorphism. The acute effect of BDH on food intake and appetite has been studied in obese women for 24 h, where the highest dose in that study was 1.3 mg/kg of body weight. Results showed no difference to the given BDH dose. However, longer period of administration or higher dose may show the effect of BDH on food intake. In animal models, there is some evidence for sexual dimorphism in the histaminergic control of feeding. Female Wistar King rats exposed to dietary histidine decreased their food intake more than do male rats or ovariectomized female rats. Two animal studies carried out by Rossi et al and Szelag et al have proved the effectiveness of BDH in reducing food intake.

Unfortunately, BDH has a short plasma half-life (3–4 h), which necessitates its frequent dosing, and consequently, increasing brain histamine. Barak et al reported that BDH, at doses ranging from 16 to 48 mg/day, did not have a significant effect on weight loss compared with a placebo over a 12 weeks interval in 281 adults. However, a subgroup post hoc analysis suggested that weight loss occurred in non-Hispanic women <50 years old, which was consistent with a potential sexual dimorphism. The acute effect of BDH on food intake and appetite has been studied in obese women for 24 h, where the highest dose in that study was 1.3 mg/kg of body weight. Results showed no difference to the given BDH dose. However, longer period of administration or higher dose may show the effect of BDH on food intake. In animal models, there is some evidence for sexual dimorphism in the histaminergic control of feeding. Female Wistar King rats exposed to dietary histidine decreased their food intake more than do male rats or ovariectomized female rats. Two animal studies carried out by Rossi et al and Szelag et al have proved the effectiveness of BDH in reducing food intake.

Materials and methods
Materials
BDH was obtained as a gift sample from Pharco Pharmaceuticals (Amriya, Alexandria, Egypt), Soybeans phosphatidylcholine (PC) was purchased from Across Organics (Geel, Belgium), and propylene glycol (PG) was obtained as a gift from Arabcomed Company (Cairo, Egypt). Carbopol 934 (CP) was obtained from SERVA Electrophoresis GmbH (Heidelberg, Germany). Poloxamer 407 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). The other ingredients used were of analytical grade.

Methods
Experimental design and data analysis
A three-factors, three-level Box–Behnken design was constructed using Design Expert® (Version 7.0.0, Stat-Ease Inc. Minneapolis, MN, USA), and a design matrix comprising 15 experimental runs (Table 1) was used in ethosomes formulations. The concentration range and ingredient of independent variables were chosen based on preliminary research experiments and data collected from the literature review. The nonlinear computer-generated quadratic model was given as

\[
Y = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_1X_2 + b_5X_1X_3 + b_6X_2X_3 + b_7X_1X_2X_3 + b_{11}X_1^2 + b_{12}X_2^2 + b_{13}X_3^2
\]

where Y is the measured response associated with each factor level combination; \(b_0\) is the intercept; \(b_1\) to \(b_{13}\) are the regression coefficients computed from the observed experimental values of Y; \(X_1, X_2, \text{ and } X_3\) are the coded levels of independent variables. The terms \(X_1X_2\) and \(X_i^2 (i=1, 2, \text{ or } 3)\) represent the interaction and quadratic terms, respectively.
Ethosomes preparation

BDH ethosomes were prepared by the method described by Touitou et al with a slight modification. Briefly, the ethosomal suspension was prepared by dissolving PC and BDH in absolute alcohol using covered vessels under vigorous stirring at room temperature. PG was added, followed by water under constant mixing at 700 rpm. Mixing was continued for an extra 30 min. The system was maintained at 30°C during the preparation. The preparation was cooled at room temperature before sonication at 4°C for three cycles, 5 min each, and a 5 min rest between cycles.

Characterization of BDH vesicles

Determination of vesicle size

The vesicle size was determined by dynamic light scattering. One-milliliter ethosomal suspension was diluted to 10 mL with distilled water and measured using Malvern Zeta Sizer (Zetasizer Ver. 7.11; Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) at 25°C. Three replicates of each sample were taken.

Entrapment efficiency percent

Ultracentrifugation method was used for the determination of entrapment efficiency percent (EE%). Vesicular preparations were centrifuged using a cooling centrifuge (Sigma Laborzentrifugen D-37520, Osterode, Germany) at 4°C and 15,000 rpm for 3 h with 15 min pauses after every 30 min. The supernatant was diluted with ethanol and measured with a UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Tokyo, Japan) at 261 nm. The mean of the three EE% measurements was then calculated based on the following equation:

\[ \text{EE\%} = \left( \frac{\text{DT} - \text{DS}}{\text{DT}} \right) \times 100 \]

where DT is the theoretical amount of BDH and DS is the detected amount.

In-vitro release studies

The drug released from the prepared vesicles was determined using the dialysis method. A glass cylinder open at both ends was attached to the shaft of the United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) dissolution apparatus (Erweka DT-720, Erweka GmbH, Heusenstamm, Germany), and dialysis membrane was tied at one end of the glass cylinder. A volume of ethosomal formulations equivalent to 10 mg of the BDH was accurately pipetted into the cylinder. The cylinder was then suspended in 500 mL of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and the dissolution medium was maintained at 37°C±0.5°C. The speed of the device shaft was set at 50 rpm. The time for test run was 6 h and aliquots (2 mL) were withdrawn at intervals of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 h and

Table 1 Observed responses in Box–Behnken design for BDH in different ethosomal formulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variables (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X1</td>
<td>X2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independent variables

X1 = Phosphatidylcholine concentration (%W/V)
X2 = Propylene glycol concentration (%V/V)
X3 = Ethanol concentration (%V/V)

Low (−1) Medium (0) High (+1)

Y1 = size (nm)
Y2 = ee%, Y3 = Qh (8% released after 6 h), and Y4 = Flux (mg/cm2/h).

Notes: Y1: size (nm), Y2: EE%, Y3: Qh (% released after 6 h), and Y4: Flux (mg/cm²/h).

Abbreviations: BDH, betahistine dihydrochloride; EE, entrapment efficiency.
analyzed by UV spectrophotometer at 261 nm. The cumulative amount of BDH released after 6 h \((Q_6)\) was calculated for each formulation and represented as mean ± SD.

Ex-vivo permeation studies

Permeation studies were performed using the dialysis method described above under the same experimental conditions for in-vitro release studies except for the use of freshly acquired abdominal skin from female albino rats instead of the dialysis membrane. The whole abdominal region was carefully shaved and excised immediately before the start of experiment. The skin was later mounted in a receptor compartment with the stratum corneum side facing toward the donor compartment. The cumulative amount of drug permeated per unit area of skin during 6 h \((Q_6 \text{ in } \mu g/cm^2)\) is calculated as follows:

\[
J_{ss} = \frac{\text{Amount of drug permeated}}{\text{Time x area of the membrane}} \quad (2)
\]

where \(J_{ss}\) is the BDH flux \((\mu g/cm^2/h)\), time is 6 h, and effective diffusion surface area is 4.6 cm\(^2\). All experiments were done in triplicate and mean values ± SD were determined.

Optimization and evaluation of the selected formulation

Experimental model evaluation

The coefficient of determination \((R^2)\), adjusted \(R^2\), predicted \(R^2\), and CV% values were used to evaluate the fitness of the model to experimental data. Furthermore, F test was conducted for the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to assess the statistical significance of the quadratic models. The percentage prediction error was calculated for the optimized formulation to verify the above findings.

Formulation and characterization of the optimized BDH ethosomal formulation

The optimized formulation was selected based on minimum particle size and minimum % released as well as maximum EE% and flux. The selected formula was characterized by transmission electron microscopy, zeta potential, in-vitro release study, and ex-vivo permeation study, and eventually incorporated into gel base.

Transmission electron microscopy

The morphology of optimized BDH ethosomal formulation (F16) was visualized by transmission electron microscopy. A droplet of ethosomes suspension after appropriate dilution was placed on carbon-coated copper grid till it gets dry. The formed film was then negatively stained with 1% phosphotungstic acid (PTA); the excess liquid was removed by filter paper and finally allowed to dry again. The air-dried sample was examined by a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyzer (FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit Twin, Brno, Czech Republic) at an accelerating voltage of 120 KV, conducted by a VELETA camera.

Zeta potential

Zeta potential was measured for the optimized formulation (F16) using Malvern Zeta Sizer (Zetasizer Ver. 7.11, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) and the mean of three replicates was taken.

Preparation of BDH ethosomal gel

BDH ethosomal gel of the optimized formulation was prepared using the cold method. Briefly, carbopol was allowed to be hydrated overnight, and then poloxamer was slowly added to the solution with continuous agitation using a magnetic stirrer. The polymers were stored in a refrigerator overnight, and then the optimized BDH ethosomes (F16) were added to the mixture and probably stirred. After that, the mixture was neutralized by triethanolamine to pH 7.4. In the same manner, the gel of free BDH was prepared. The final concentration of drug was 10 mg BDH/g gel.

In-vitro release and ex-vivo permeation studies of BDH ethosomal gel

The in-vitro release profile of the optimized BDH ethosomal gel was conducted and compared to the aqueous solution of BDH. In addition, the ex-vivo permeation studies of both BDH ethosomal and free BDH gels were performed. As described before, a volume or amount of gel equivalent to 10 mg BDH was accurately transferred to the tester and at predetermined time intervals along 16 h test period, 2 mL samples were withdrawn from the receptor medium and replaced by an equal volume of freshly prepared buffer solution to maintain a constant volume. Samples were filtered through 0.45 µm millipore filter and analyzed spectrophotometrically at 261 nm for the cumulative amount of BDH released (% release). All experiments were performed in triplicate and mean values ± SD were determined.

Pharmacodynamics

Animals

The study was carried out on adult female albino rats. Animals were obtained from the Modern Veterinary Office.
for Laboratory Animals (Cairo, Egypt). Animals were housed individually in chambers under standard temperature conditions (25°C±0.5°C) and relative humidity (55%±1%) with a 12 h light/dark cycles for 2 weeks for adaptation before being subjected to the experiment and were allowed free access to commercial diet and water.

The animal housing and handling were conducted in compliance with Beni-Suef University guidelines and in accordance with the research protocols established by the Animal Care Committee of the National Research Center (Cairo, Egypt) which followed the recommendation of the National Institute of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animal (Publication No 85-23, revised 1985).

Experiment

Food intake and weight gain were recorded according to the method described by Kasaoka et al with minor modification. Briefly, after 2 weeks of adaptation, the rats were assigned to one of the following four groups (six rats per group) on the basis of body weight (average initial body weight =285 g, range =270–300 g):

- Group A: the control group, not subjected to any application.
- Group B: the placebo group, subjected to plain ethosomal gel application.
- Group C: the free drug group, subjected to free BDH in gel base application.
- Group D: the ethosomal drug group, subjected to BDH ethosomal gel application.

Each group was allowed free access to experimental diet listed in Table 2 based on the American Institute of Nutrition’s dietary allowance for rats (AIN-76) to maintain normal growth and weight gain. The placebo and BDH ethosomal gel were spread on the animal dorsal skin. The dose was calculated as each animal receives 24 mg BDH/kg/d of body weight. The experiment was designed to investigate the effect of different treatments on food intake and weight gain of the animals.

### Table 2 Composition of experimental diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>% (W/W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casein</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn starch</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn oil</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral mixture</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin mixture</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Food intake and weight gain studies**

In the food intake study, each rat received 15 g of the experimental diet daily from the beginning of the experiment, and everyday food intake was recorded by subtracting the weight after feeding the experimental diet from that before feeding. The mean food intake per day for each group was calculated and presented as mean value ± SD. While in weight gain study, animal body weight was checked every 3 days and after 3 weeks, the final weights were measured. The difference in body weight for each animal was recorded and mean difference in body weight ± SD was expressed for each group.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA (P-value of ≤0.05) on GraphPad Prism software (version 6) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison tests. The data were presented as a mean ± SD.

**Histopathological study**

For the histopathological study, the skin was cut out after animal scarification and kept in formalin solution (10% v/v). It was then fixed in paraffin and sections of 5 μm thickness were cut from each piece and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The histological changes were examined under an optical microscope. The safety of BDH ethosomal gel on the skin was evaluated by naked eye observation.

**Results and discussion**

**Preparation and evaluation of BDH ethosomes**

Ethosomes are a vesicular system with hydrated bilayers. This system is mainly composed of phospholipid, ethanol, and water. The permeation property of ethosomes is attributed to the entire system.

Previous studies that had compared permeation enhancement of drugs from ethosomal systems with hydroethanolic solutions showed that permeation enhancement from ethosomes was much greater than would be expected from ethanol alone.

In our study, BDH-loaded ethosomes were prepared using various concentrations of PC, PG, and ethanol and characterized for appropriate physicochemical attributes. The Box–Behnken design was constructed to study the effect of independent variables on dependent ones (Table 1). The relationship between the dependent and the independent variables was further elucidated using 3D-response surface plots and regression equations (Table 3).
A positive sign in the regression equation represents a synergistic effect, while a negative sign indicates an antagonistic one. The 3D-response surface plots exhibit the effect of two independent variables after fixing the third one at the medium-level value.

The vesicle size and EE% of various BDH ethosomes are presented in Table 1. Being hydrophilic, BDH would be incorporated into the internal aqueous core of vesicles as suggested by Lopes et al.37

The vesicle size range was 55.48–291.40 nm, while the EE% of BDH in different ethosomal formulations was in the range 82.31%–83.72% as shown in Table 1. An initial decrease in vesicle size and EE% was observed with increasing concentration of PG and ethanol, while there was a marked increase in the vesicle size and EE% with increasing PC concentration. These results were in agreement with the regression equations in Table 3.

The reason for size reduction with increasing ethanol and PG concentration could be attributed to the negative charge gained by both ethanol and PG, which caused electrostatic repulsion and some degree of steric stabilization.29

Another explanation can be due to the interaction of ethanol and PG with lipid bilayers, which reduced membrane thickness.38-41 The reduction in EE% with the increase in ethanol and PG content could be attributed to increasing the fluidity of vesicles' membrane leading to drug leakage.51,42 The surface plots showing the effects of independent variables on vesicle size and EE% are illustrated in Figure 1.

The Q6h of BDH released from the different formulations lies in the range from 42.59% to 72.35%, while the results of drug flux ranged from 0.16 to 0.26 mg/cm²/h. It was also observed that increased concentrations of PC and PG had a negative relationship with % BDH released and flux, while ethanol showed a positive relationship with both responses. These findings may be attributed to the dual effect of ethanol on both the lipid bilayer in the stratum corneum and the vesicle lipid bilayer. Ethanol has a fluidizing effect on phospholipid bilayers, producing compact, soft, deformable vesicles, along with the interaction between ethanol and the polar head group region of the lipid molecule resulting in a decrease in transition temperature of stratum corneum lipids with a consequent increase in membrane fluidity and BDH ethosomes partitioning.21-47

As PG concentration increased the % released and flux were decreased due to increased viscosity of the ethosomal suspension, reducing vesicles fluidity and decreasing skin penetration; however, the use of PG with ethanol might improve ethosomes stability.48 The effects of the independent variables on % released and flux are illustrated in the surface plots in Figure 2 and in Table 3.

Our results agree with Dayan and Touitou who had studied the skin permeation of minoxidil and testosterone from ethosomal formulations.18 Also, they agree with Paolino et al, who had studied the inflammatory activity of ethosomal ammonium glycyrrhizinate for transdermal delivery.23 Also, they agree with the results of Dayan and others who studied the efficacy of ethosomes as carriers for skin delivery of trihexyphenidyl HCl.18

It was found that the experimental values fell close to unity lines when plotted against predicted dependent variables, indicating a good correlation between dependent and independent variables. Furthermore, the three independent variables were significant model terms.

The optimization process of the design was based on minimizing vesicle size and the release rate and, on the other hand, maximizing flux and EE% to ensure permeability of vesicles through the skin and longer stability. F16 was selected as optimized formulation, and comparing experimental and predicted values of F16 showed close resemblance with small relative prediction error (<11%), suggesting the validity of the generated regression equations. The values of size, EE%, Q6h, and flux of the optimized formulation

Table 3 Data of regression analysis for responses Y1, Y2, Y3, and Y4 for fitting to quadratic model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quadratic model</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Adjusted R²</th>
<th>Predicted R²</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>% CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regression equation of the fitted quadratic model

Y1 = +233.00 + 78.76X1 − 7.74X2 − 27.63X3 + 35.45X1X1 − 35.96X1X2 − 1.92X2X2 − 10.5X3X3 − 41.05X2X3 − 73.87X3X3
Y2 = +82.56 + 0.33X1 − 0.22X2 + 0.14X3 + 0.15X1X1 + 0.23X2X2 + 0.25X3X3 + 0.085X1X2 + 0.39X2X3 + 0.37X3X3
Y3 = +44.94 − 5.04X1 − 0.40X2 + 5.59X3 + 1.89X1X1 − 8.87X2X2 − 0.8X3X3 + 0.31X1X2 − 0.052X2X3 + 6.71X3X3
Y4 = +0.18 − 0.039X1 − 0.012X2 + 0.034X3 + 0.005X1X1 − 0.052X2X2 + 0.01X3X3 + 0.016X1X2 + 0.008X2X3 + 0.026X3X3
were 55.28±2.25 nm, 85.46%±0.50%, 46.50%±0.79%, and 0.21±0.02 mg/cm²/h, respectively.

As shown in Figure 3, the TEM images of the optimized BDH ethosomes formulation showed well-identified spherical vesicles with sealed nanovesicular structure. The zeta potential of the optimized formulation was −24 mV, which indicated stability of vesicles against aggregation and confirmed the effect of ethanol on vesicles repulsion.19

**Figure 1** 3D-response surface plots showing the effect of independent variables on vesicle size and EE%.

**Abbreviations:** EE, entrapment efficiency; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PG, propylene glycol.
Evaluation of BDH ethosomal gel
The in-vitro release profiles of BDH from BDH ethosomal gel in comparison with that of an aqueous solution as a control are represented graphically in Figure 4. About 37.5% was released from BDH ethosomal gel, compared to 99% released from an aqueous solution of BDH within 7 h.

The in-vitro release of BDH ethosomal gel followed zero-order kinetics ($R^2 = 0.99$) indicating a controlled release.
dosage form. This might be due to the surface active properties of poloxamer gel and would add further advantage to this system that is capable of delivering BDH at a controlled release rate.59

The ex-vivo permeation results of both free BDH in gel and BDH ethosomal gel in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 are shown in Figure 5. The retardation of drug release from BDH ethosomal gel compared to free BDH in gel could attribute to two main effects. Firstly, the viscosity of the gel and the reduction in the size of the extramicellar aqueous channels of poloxamer matrix through which the drug diffuses.50,51 Secondly, combining ethanol and PG in ethosomes can significantly enhance deposition of drugs on the skin.48 The cumulative % released and the cumulative amount permeated of the drug from the BDH ethosomal gel exceeded 99.5% over 16 h with a flux of 0.28 mg/cm²/h.

**Pharmacodynamic activity**

With regard to weight gain results (Figure 6A), free BDH gel showed a significant difference from control and placebo ($P<0.05$), while ethosomal gel application showed a significant difference from control, placebo, and free BDH gel with $P<0.05$. This could be attributed to permeation enhancer effect of ethosomes, which delivers more BDH to systemic circulation than that delivered from BDH gel alone. The results obtained were in agreement with the two animal studies carried out by Rossi et al and Szelag et al, which have evaluated the effect of BDH on food intake in animals.13,14 Rossi investigated the effect of different doses of BDH on water and food intake in 12 pygmy goats and concluded that the injection of BDH (4 mg/kg body weight in power of 0.75) significantly reduced food intake at 1 h or during 6 h (8 mg/kg body weight in power of 0.75) post injection. Szelag studied the effect of systemic BDH on food intake of rats. Animals were divided into three experimental groups. The first was on a normal diet, the second one was deprived of food, and the third group was accustomed to “tasty” food. The results of the three experimental groups concluded that BDH at the dose of 24 mg/kg revealed stronger activity, reducing food intake.

Barak et al had studied the effect of BDH orally on reducing weight gain associated with olanzapine.52 In this study, 36 schizophrenic patients treated with olanzapine were randomized to BDH and matching placebo. The patients treated with BDH had less weight gain compared with the placebo group, but due to small sample size, the effect did not reach statistical significance. Furthermore, a study carried out by Zheng et al evaluated the effect of BDH on olanzapine-induced intestinal fat deposition using *Caenorhabditis elegans* as a model for obesity.53 This study proved that the combination of olanzapine with BDH reduced intestinal fat deposition compared with the olanzapine-treated group. Furthermore, the study indicated that the appropriate BDH dose to be used for this indication should be tripled due to
the short half-life of BDH, so a sustained release formulation may have approved the effect.

Regarding food intake, application of BDH ethosomal gel significantly decreased the food intake of rats as compared with control and placebo and free BDH gel groups (Figure 6B).

The decrease in weight gain and food intake observed with BDH is due to the H3 antagonist action and H1 agonist action of BDH, which increase brain histamine concentration, inhibiting food intake and thus decreasing weight gain.3

**Histopathological investigation**

The histopathological investigation of skin and adipose tissue for the BDH ethosomal gel compared with control and placebo and free BDH gel are shown in Figure 7. The structure and the thickness of the horny layer of the BDH ethosomal gel-treated group did not show any differences compared with control and placebo. Additionally, no infiltration of inflammatory cells to the skin treated with BDH ethosomal gel was observed. These outcomes are in line with the safety and tolerability of the ethosomal system reported in the literature.22

A study carried out by Shumilov and Touitou,54 evaluated the safety of transdermal ethosomal system containing buspirone. The results showed no infiltration of inflammatory cells to the skin, and also no changes in the structure and thickness of the horny layer.

Regarding the adipose histopathological investigation, a marked decrease in adipose tissue with the use of a BDH

---

**Figure 6** Results of pharmacodynamic activity of BDH.

**Notes:** (A) Weight gain study. (B) Food intake study. *Significant difference from control and placebo. **Significant difference from control, placebo, and BDH gel with P<0.05.

**Abbreviation:** BDH, betahistine dihydrochloride.

---

**Figure 7** Light photomicrographs of morphology and adipose tissue of rat’s skin after (A) control, (B) placebo, (C) BDH gel, and (D) BDH ethosomal gel applications at a magnification of 40× and 100× respectively.

**Abbreviation:** BDH, betahistine dihydrochloride.
ethosomal gel for 3 weeks was observed; however, large fat cells of adipose tissue were seen with both the control and the placebo groups with no significant difference in size.

Conclusion
A novel ethosomal gel of BDH with satisfactory release characteristics was successfully prepared. A mathematical model was developed connecting the independent variables with the measured responses. The quadratic regression modeling was a proper way for selecting the best drug ethosomal formulation based on minimizing vesicle size as well as the release rate and maximizing flux and EE% to ensure permeability of vesicles through the skin and longer stability. Poloxamer gel had offered a further advantage in delivering BDH at a controlled release rate. BDH ethosomal gel showed effective, sustained transdermal absorption and central action in decreasing food intake and, consequently, the weight gain.
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