Molecular evaluation of colistin-resistant gene expression changes in *Acinetobacter baumannii* with real-time polymerase chain reaction
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**Background:** *Acinetobacter baumannii* is an important human pathogen which has recently gained increased attention due to the occurrence of drug-resistant nosocomial infections in patients suffering from immune system disorders, and those in hospital intensive care units. The aim of this research was to identify and isolate *A. baumannii* strains resistant to colistin, determine antibiotic resistance pattern of this bacteria, investigate the presence of colistin-resistant genes, and finally assess the effect of expression changes in *pmrA* and *pmrB* genes resistant to *A. baumannii* against colistin via real-time polymerase chain reaction.

**Methods:** The samples were initially purified and isolated using biochemical tests and Microgen kit. Later, the resistance pattern evaluation of validated samples to different antibiotics and colistin was carried out using two methods viz., disc diffusion and E-test. This was followed by the assessment of genes resistant to colistin via polymerase chain reaction besides gene expression changes via real-time polymerase chain reaction.

**Results:** The results of this study indicated that eleven strains of *A. baumannii* isolated from Shahid Rajae Trauma Hospital were resistant to colistin. However, in the resistance pattern evaluation of *A. baumannii* isolated from Ali Asghar Hospital, all the strains were sensitive to colistin. In the evaluation of genes resistant to *pmrA* and *pmrB*, most of the strains resistant to colistin were carriers of these genes. Besides, in the expression assessment of these genes, it was demonstrated that expression of *pmrA* in the strains resistant to colistin significantly increased in relation to sensitive strains, but the expression of *pmrB* increased at a lower rate in the strains resistant to colistin as compared to the sensitive strains.

**Conclusion:** Thus, it can be safely mentioned that increased expression of *pmrA* was due to the resistance of *A. baumannii* to colistin.
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**Introduction**

The microorganisms causing nosocomial infections bring about several issues from the viewpoint of treatment failure as well as patients’ mortality, this is particularly due to their antibiotic-resistant property. Among these bacteria, *Acinetobacter baumannii* is an important human pathogen which has recently gained much importance.¹ *A. baumannii* is a Gram negative non-fermentative, anaerobic coccobacilli which is widely dispersed in the hospital environment. In addition, it is an important opportunistic pathogen and is responsible for various nosocomial infections.² This pathogen has been considered among the six most dangerous nosocomial microorganisms by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA).³ *A. baumannii* generally infects intensive care unit (ICU) patients including those who have suffered stroke, injuries, burns, and need mechanical...
ventilation.\textsuperscript{4} One of the most interesting peculiarities of \textit{A. baumannii} is that it can easily attain resistance toward different antibiotics. \textit{A. baumannii} strains have shown resistance to most antibiotics so far.\textsuperscript{5} The factor which has led to fortification of this resistant system is the unnatural inherited abilities of \textit{A. baumannii} during long-term viability in nosocomial environments, thereby causing nosocomial expansion of this bacteria.\textsuperscript{6}

Today, this bacteria is resistant to all antibiotics; thus, some drugs have been excluded from treatment of \textit{A. baumannii} infections such as penicillins, cephalosporins, amino-glycosides, quinolones, and tetracyclines.\textsuperscript{7} The last treatment line against this bacteria is colistin which today, unfortunately has been globally reported to be resistant. Colistin via \textit{Colistinus} subspecies (\textit{Bacillus polymixa}) is synthesized non-ribosomally. The colistin resistance mechanisms of this bacteria are different, and include: 1) colistin resistance mediated by complete loss of lipopolysaccharides (LPS),\textsuperscript{8} 2) the insertion sequence ISA\textsubscript{ball} is involved in colistin resistance and loss of LPS,\textsuperscript{8} 3) LPS-deficient \textit{A. baumannii} shows altered signaling through host TLRs and increased susceptibility to the host antimicrobial peptide LL-37,\textsuperscript{10} 4) gene expression changes in the two-component system of pmrAB, in which resistance to colistin is influenced by changes in the expression of pmrA and pmrB genes.\textsuperscript{11} In this research, we studied the relationship between the resistance of \textit{A. baumannii} to colistin and gene expression changes in pmrA and pmrB.

Methods

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, and all patients provided written informed consent. This cross-sectional study was carried out for a period of 16 months on 100 clinical samples collected from a variety of infections of patients hospitalized in six different wards of ICU of two hospitals in Shiraz city (Shahid Rajaei Trauma Hospital and Ali Asghar Hospital), including internal units, neurology, surgery, recovery, and screening.

Isolation and identification of microorganism

Various clinical samples were cultured in different media, e.g., blood agar, chocolate agar, and MacConkey agar. Then, the cultured plates were incubated at 37°C for 24–48 h. After Gram staining, the catalase, oxidase, triple sugar iron agar, and motility biochemical tests were carried out. After that, clinical strains of \textit{A. baumannii} were identified using Microgen kits (Novacyt, Vélizy-Villacoublay, France).

Microgen GN-A

The kit contains of lysine, ornithine, H2S, glucose, mannitol, xylose, ONPG, indole, urease, VP, citrate, and TDA. The kit works as follows. A single colony from the culture medium was used to prepare a bacterial suspension in 3 mL saline according to McFarland 0.5 turbidity standard. The plastic strip over the kit was removed and two or three drops of the suspension (100 μL) was added to the wells in each strip. Oil was dripped into the wells which were then covered by the plastic strip. The strips were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and the necessary reagents were added to the wells. The results were obtained based on comparison of color formed in the wells with the color guide, and to find the diagnosis code, they were inserted in a report form, and ultimately the 4-digit code was entered in Microgen specific software.

Antibiotic sensitivity assessment

For antibiotic sensitivity assessment, disc diffusion and E-test methods were used in accordance with CLSI standards.

Antibiotic sensitivity test by disc diffusion method

After bacterial inoculation in tryptic soy broth medium and incubation at 37°C for 2–6 h and concentration preparation, the McFarland dilution and culturing on Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) was carried out. Later, ampicillin, Augmentin, aztreonam, Cefotaxime, cefazidime, ticarcillin, cefixime, meropenem, imipenem, amikacin, imipenem-ethylenemidinetetraacetic, tigecycline, and colistin from Mast Company (Bootle, UK) were placed on the MHA plate and the results were studied after 18 h incubation at 37°C.

Antibiotic sensitivity test using E-test method

Antibiotic sensitivity test was performed using the E-test method and according to CLSI standards. Quantitative tests for ampicillin, Augmentin, aztreonam, Cefotaxime, cefazidime, ticarcillin, cefixime, meropenem, imipenem, amikacin, imipenem-ethylenemidinetetraacetic, tigecycline, and colistin were performed by E-test (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In certain cases, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was performed using microdilution and was based on CLSI standards. \textit{Escherichia coli} ATCC 25922 was used as a pen-sensitive for all tests.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

At this stage, using the gene or sequence specific primers (Table 1) in the PCR reaction, the presence of pmrA, pmrB,
and 16S rRNA genes was assessed in the samples. The reactions were carried out in 25 μL volume containing PCR 1× buffer, 0.5 μL dNTP mixture, 0.4 μL DNA polymerase Taq, and 0.75 μL MgCl₂, 1 μL of starters, 2 μL of DNA, and 17 μL of double deionized water. After the preparation of the Master Mix and covering it with mineral oil, the process was conducted in a Thermo-cycler system at the the temperatures listed in Table 2. Later, the PCR products were analyzed on ethidium bromide containing 1% agarose gel.

**Real-time PCR**

For real-time PCR, a One Step kit from Kapa Biosystems, Inc. (Wilmington, MA, USA) was used. Kits include KAPA RT Mix, dUTP, and KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix, which contains KAPA SYBR FAST DNA Polymerase, reaction buffer, dNTPs, SYBR Green I dye, and MgCl₂ at a final concentration of 2.5 mM. 16S rRNA was used as the housekeeping gene. Housekeeping genes are used as a control to compare gene expression.

**RNA extraction**

RNA extraction was carried out with bacterial RNA isolation kit Trizol Max (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After spinning, bacterial pellet was dissolved in 200 μL USA). After spinning, bacterial pellet was dissolved in 200 μL of double deionized water. After the preparation of the Master Mix and covering it with mineral oil, the process was conducted in a Thermo-cycler system at the temperatures listed in Table 2. Later, the PCR products were analyzed on ethidium bromide containing 1% agarose gel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Sequences of primers used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>Primer sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmrA-F</td>
<td>5’ ATGACAAAAATCTTGATGATTGAAGAT3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmrA-R</td>
<td>5’ CCATCATAGGCAATCTAAATCCA3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmrB-F</td>
<td>5’ GAACAGCTGAGCACCCTTTAA3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PmrB-R</td>
<td>5’ ACAGGTGGAACCGACAAATG3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping gene 16S rRNA-F</td>
<td>5’ TCAGCTCGTGTCGTGAGATG3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housekeeping gene 16S rRNA-R</td>
<td>5’ CGTAAAGGCCCAGTAGTG3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Polymerase chain reaction thermal steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>25 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>40 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>50 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>6 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Primers’ preparation**

To perform real-time PCR, the specific primers related to pmrA, pmrB, and 16S rRNA genes, listed in Table 1, were used. The specificity of the primers was checked using primer blast software at NCBI. Using diethyl pyrocarbonate water, the primers reached the desired volume, and a dilution of one tenth of each primer was ultimately prepared. The non-diluted primers were kept at −70°C and the diluted primer was kept at −20°C.

**Real-time PCR reaction stages**

The real-time PCR reaction was conducted in the ABI Thermocycler System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and analyzed using the ΔΔ Ct method. Initially, the samples containing RNA were removed from the freezer while they were on ice. Even the Master Mix was removed from the freezer, and was placed in its specific tube holder and kept on ice. Then, the amount of material needed for the real-time PCR reaction was calculated and ultimately 20 μL of the real-time PCR mixture was obtained. The material required for testing was poured into the real-time PCR micro tubes as shown in Table 3. The tubes were kept in the real-time PCR system according to the related cycles listed in Table 4. Eventually, Ct related to pmrA, pmrB, and housekeeping genes was obtained from the flow charts of the real-time PCR system. The raw data were extracted in Ct form from the system. The analysis of Cts was carried out by SDS software. Additionally, the rate of gene expression was measured using the Pfaffl method.

**Results**

After the elimination of duplicated isolates as well as the isolates that were clinically unrelated, a total of 100 A. baumannii strains were reviewed and identified via microscopic and macroscopic methods and using Microgen GN-A Kit. In terms of frequency
(Table 5), the strains were from 41 patients (41%) in internal care units, 33 patients (33%) in the central ward, 13 patients (13%) in surgical ward, eight patients (8%) in neurology, and five (5%) in recovery and screening. In this study, A. baumannii strains were isolated from four different clinical specimens including urinary tract infections, wound specimens, respiratory system infections, and blood circulation (Figure 1).

**Antibiotic resistance**

In this study, all A. baumannii isolates were resistant to ampicillin, Augmentin, aztreonam, Cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ticarcillin, cefixime, meropenem, tetracycline, and ceftriaxone. According to the results obtained from the study, imipenem and amikacin antibiotics were found to have low efficiency in treating the strains of A. baumannii. However, imipenem-ethylenediaminetetraacetic, colistin, tigecycline, and rifampin antibiotics were the most effective treatments, respectively. Since colistin is the last alternative to treat A. baumannii which is multidrug-resistant, resistance of A. baumannii to this antibiotic is considered treatment failure. In the current study, although eleven strains resistant to colistin were isolated in Shahid Rajaie Trauma Hospital, no strain resistant to colistin was found in Ali Asghar Hospital. As this antibiotic is the last line of treatment, even low resistance to this antibiotic results in failure in the treatment of A. baumannii. The antibiotic resistance pattern, using the disc diffusion method, in the Shahid Rajaie Trauma Hospital is shown in Figure 2, and in Ali Asghar Hospital is demonstrated in Figure 3. The results of E-test in the 100 isolated strains are depicted in Table 6.

**PCR**

The results obtained from the assessments of pmrA, pmrB, and 16SrRNA genes indicated that all the strains contained 16S rRNA gene. Most of the strains were carriers of pmrA and pmrB genes. This analysis was conducted from the combination of strains in both hospitals (Figure 4).

**Real-time PCR**

Using real-time PCR, the obtained results and pmrA, pmrB, and 16S rRNA gene replication curve indicated that the replication was conducted well and with a suitable operation and without any parasite (Figure 5). Due to the lack of specification of fluorescent SYBR Green color, in order to assure the production of specific parts and the absence of non-specific bands and secondary structures besides dual primer in the real-time PCR products, the melt curve was traced. The results of melt curve for the applied starters showed that starters operated in a specific manner and lacked any type of non-specific parts. The fold change in the prmA and pmrB genes was in line with the obtained results 2 - ∆∆Ct-PmrA was equivalent to 249.68 and 2 - ∆∆Ct-PmrB was equivalent to 0.309145. The fold change in pmrA gene exhibited increased expression of this gene in the samples resistant to colistin in relation to...
Colistin-resistant gene expression changes in *A. baumannii*

In the samples sensitive to colistin, the expression increase in pmrA gene results in the resistance of *A. baumannii* against colistin. On the other hand, the fold change in the pmrB gene in the samples resistant to colistin was quite insignificant in proportion to the samples sensitive in comparison to pmrA gene. Therefore, a significant increase in the expression of pmrA gene in relation to pmrB gene was observed which had an insignificant increase (Figures 6 and 7).

**Discussion**

Today, numerous microorganisms lead to different nosocomial infections in hospitals, especially in ICUs. IDSA has recently reported a list of dangerous, infectious nosocomial microorganisms including *Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, A. baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* and *Enterobacter “ESKAPE”. It is noteworthy that one of the microorganisms considered in the list is *A. baumannii*. This bacteria was underscored in the past, but today it is highly considered as one of the most important nosocomial pathogens due to its survival in different hospital sections, especially in ICU, and its fast resistance against different antibiotics. *A. baumannii* has developed resistance to most antibiotics wherein few antibiotics have been sidelined in the first and second line treatment of this bacterium.

**Table 6** The results of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>MIC (μg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIC</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTX</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP+EDTA</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOR</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAZ</td>
<td>&gt;256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEM</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMP</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** TCI, ticarcillin; CTX, cefotaxime; IMP+EDTA, imipenem+EDTA; DOR, doripenem; RA, rifampin; CO, colistin; AN, amikacin; CAZ, ceftazidime; ATM, aztreonam; MEM, meropenem; TGS, tigecycline; IMP, imipenem.
Figure 4 Column M (50 bp DNA ladder); column 1, negative control (distilled water); column 2, positive control; columns 3 to 9, pmrB gene; column 10, 16S rRNA; columns 11 to 13, pmrA gene.

Figure 5 Replication curve.

Figure 6 Gene expression changes in pmrA genes.

Figure 7 Gene expression changes in pmrB genes.
Today, the last effective treatment option for *A. baumannii* is colistin, but unfortunately different reports worldwide are indicative of the increase in the resistance of this pathogen against colistin. In our study from two Shiraz Hospitals (Shahid Rajaee Trauma Hospital and Ali Asghar), the resistance of this pathogen against colistin was demonstrated as follows: in Shahid Rajaee Trauma Hospital there were eleven strains resistant to colistin, but in Ali Asghar Hospital, no resistant strain was found, this is indicative in the ICU of Shahid Rajaee Trauma Hospital of Shiraz. Due to the large number of patients having been in accidents in this section, the control of infection is difficult and the transmission of this pathogen could be via the personnel of this hospital, from one individual to another. Like several other studies conducted on this organism, the highest isolates of our study were from the wound samples of the individuals hospitalized in the ICU. The patients have a lack of infection-prone immunity to this bacterium, thus, the few isolates resistant to colistin in our study were from individuals with a weak immune system. From the viewpoint that no new drug is on the way that could be an alternative therapy against *A. baumannii* resistant to colistin, the cognition of the resistance mechanisms of this bacterium against colistin is an essential matter for the delivery of novel therapeutic approaches and infection control. In the studies carried out recently on the resistance mechanisms of this bacterium, several mechanisms have been considered. One of the mechanisms of *A. baumannii* resistance against colistin is the expression changes of pmrA and pmrB gene, which is why, in our study this resistance mechanism has been covered. Initially, the number of strains resistant to colistin were identified via Microgen Kit. Later, we assessed the presence of pmrA and pmrB genes via the PCR technique which showed that they were carrying the highest sensitive and resistant strains of these genes.

Furthermore, the expression changes of these genes (pmrA and pmrB) were measured and compared in the sample sensitive to colistin, as well as the samples resistant to colistin. Adams et al, in 2009, carried out assessments in the expression of pmrA and pmrB genes. They reported that pmrA had an increased expression in the samples resistant to colistin. The results obtained in the present study showed a significant increase of pmrA gene expression in the samples resistant to colistin, in relation to the samples sensitive to colistin. Besides, in the investigations of pmrB gene expression changes, given the achieved results, it was shown that expression of this gene in the resistant samples was very insignificant in relation to the sensitive samples. Expression increase of pmrA and pmrB genes in the samples resistant to colistin were attributed to LPS loss; colistin has a positive load, thus, influences the LPS part of lipid A, having a negative load, which alters membrane permeability in the samples resistant to colistin in relation to the samples sensitive to colistin. Nevertheless, expression in pmrA and pmrB genes increases, especially in the pmrA gene, where the increase is significant, causing LPS loss which, in turn, results in the lack of membrane permeability alteration and colistin inefficiency on *A. baumannii* membrane followed by resistance of this organism to colistin. In the study of Alejandro et al, it was stated that in the bacteria resistant to colistin, the gene expression of pmrA increases; however, in the sensitive samples, the expression of this gene is not altered. Our findings indicate that what has importance in the resistance of *A. baumannii* against colistin, is the expression ratio of pmrA and pmrB genes in the samples resistant to colistin. The expression of pmrA gene is dominant in relation to the expression rate of pmrB gene. This domination is the reason for resistance against colistin. Likewise, in the samples sensitive to colistin, in general, the expression of none of these genes is in dominant form. In a bulk of previous research conducted in this regard, the expression rate of pmrA gene in the resistant samples was increased, even in our research this issue is very clearly shown, wherein the expression of pmrA gene in relation to pmrB gene was significantly increased. Therefore, taking into account these results, pmrA gene can be considered responsible for the resistance of *A. baumannii* against colistin.

**Conclusion**

During the resistance of *A. baumannii* against colistin, the expression of pmrA gene is increased, thus, this increased expression has a meaningful relationship with the resistance of this bacteria against colistin. Nevertheless, these results are indicative of the significance and effect of this gene against the advancement of *A. baumannii* resistance against colistin. Therefore, given the results of this research, pmrA gene can be introduced as a resistant molecular index against colistin. The suppression of this gene can be considered as a perspective for future research on this bacterium.
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