18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake predicts MET expression in lung adenocarcinoma
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Objective: MET is a member of the receptor tyrosine kinases. Several MET-targeting inhibitors and antagonistic antibodies have shown promising data in clinical trials of lung adenocarcinoma. Finding noninvasive diagnostic tools to estimate the status of MET is helpful in clinical practice. 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computerized tomography (18F-FDG PET/CT) has been used routinely for the diagnosis and staging of tumors. However, the relationship between MET expression and 18F-FDG uptake has not been investigated yet. This study aimed to determine the correlation of MET expression with 18F-FDG uptake on PET-CT scan and whether or not 18F-FDG PET/CT can be used to predict the MET status of lung adenocarcinoma patients.

Patients and methods: Fifty-seven lung adenocarcinoma patients were analyzed in our study. Maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) was calculated in all PET/CT images. The expression levels of MET and two important glycolysis-related markers, glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) and pyruvate kinase M2, were analyzed by immunohistochemistry of tissues. Spearman rank correlation was used to analyze the association between MET expression and SUVmax. In vitro MET knockdown in lung adenocarcinoma cells was used to examine the role of MET in tumor metabolism. The effect of MET on GLUT1 expression was investigated using Western blot assay and quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Results: SUVmax was positively correlated with the expression levels of MET ($r=0.458; P<0.001$) and GLUT1 ($r=0.551; P<0.001$). SUVmax was significantly higher in patients with positive MET expression than in those with negative MET expression (9.92±6.62 vs 4.60±3.00; $P=0.002$). MET knockdown in lung adenocarcinoma cells led to a significant decrease in GLUT1 expression and 18F-FDG uptake.

Conclusion: MET could increase 18F-FDG uptake by upregulating GLUT1 expression. 18F-FDG PET/CT could be used to predict the MET status of lung adenocarcinoma patients and to supply valuable information to guide targeted therapy.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide.1 Adenocarcinoma is a major histological subtype of lung cancer, and its incidence rate has been increasing annually.2 Early diagnosis and treatments are critical to improve the survival rate of patients with lung cancer. Chemotherapy and molecular-targeted therapeutics are two common treatment strategies for these patients. Gefitinib and erlotinib are tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) that are important targeted therapies in epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutant patients and have shown promising clinical activity.3 However, drug resistance during treatment leads to mutation, which is an important cause
of tumor recurrence. Another important mechanism underlying gefitinib resistance is focal amplification of the MET proto-oncogene.

MET is a proto-oncogene that encodes transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor MET, and the tyrosine kinase activity is activated once the protein binds with its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor (HGF/SF), in vivo. A range of downstream signaling pathways, including phosphoinositide-3-kinase, Ras-mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), Ras-Rac/Rho, and phospholipase C-γ pathways, will be activated. MET receptor signaling pathway is involved in cell growth, angiogenesis, invasion, metastasis, prognosis, and drug resistance, and can be activated by the upregulation of HGF, receptor mutation, MET amplification, and MET overexpression. All these alterations refer to the development and progression of lung cancer and are associated with poor clinical outcome; thus, MET signaling is a promising target for therapeutic intervention. Several MET targeting inhibitors including TKIs and antagonistic antibodies show potential use in clinical trials. As a nonselective MET inhibitor, crizotinib shows its antitumor role in vivo and exhibits potency against MET-driven tumor models. Cabozantinib is a TKI that acts against MET and has shown clinical activity in castration-resistant prostate cancer in a Phase II randomized trial. Based on their advantageous preclinical profile, noninvasive diagnostic tools are helpful to estimate the status of MET in clinical practice.

Cancer cells preferentially rely on aerobic glycolysis to generate energy; this phenomenon is called “the Warburg effect.” Based on the glucose metabolism characteristic of the cancer cells, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography (18F-FDG PET/CT) has been routinely used for the diagnosis and staging of tumors. In addition to its known role in cancer, MET signaling may also regulate glucose metabolism. Perdomo et al revealed that MET signaling stimulates glucose transport and metabolism in skeletal muscle through the activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling pathway. MET signaling induces the metabolic adaptation of colorectal cancer to angiogenesis inhibitors. However, the relationship between 18F-FDG uptake and MET expression is not yet fully elucidated.

We investigated the correlation between the maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) and the expression of MET and several selected glycolysis-related markers, glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) and pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) (Figure 1). We also revealed the effect of MET on the 18F-FDG uptake in vitro. Our study aimed to investigate the ability of 18F-FDG to predict the status of MET and to show the potential of 18F-FDG as a novel biological indicator for clinical diagnosis and personalized treatment options.

Patients and methods

Study population

Fifty-seven patients who underwent tumor resection after 18F-FDG PET/CT at Shanghai Jiaotong University affiliated Renji Hospital and Shanghai Chest Hospital from December 2007 to December 2010 were enrolled in this study. Inclusion criteria were as follows: none of the patients had received treatment before PET/CT scanning; complete case records; tumor pathology of lung adenocarcinoma had been confirmed by histopathologic examination of surgical specimens; available tissue specimen for immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. This research was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Shanghai Jiao Tong University affiliated Renji Hospital and Shanghai Chest Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

PET-CT examination

18F-FDG PET/CT image was obtained using a PET/CT scanner (Biograph mCT; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). After fasting for at least 6 h (blood glucose levels were less than 140 mg/dL), all patients were intravenously injected with 3.7 MBq/kg.
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18F-FDG. Immediately after CT scanning, PET was acquired using three min per bed position and reconstructed iteratively with segmented correction for attenuation using the CT data. Irregular regions of interest were placed over the most intense area of 18F-FDG accumulation for semi-quantitative analysis. SUV was calculated according to the following formula: maximum pixel value with the region-of-interest activity (MBq/kg/(injected dose [MBq]/body weight [kg]).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Paraffin-embedded lung cancer tissues were used for the IHC analysis. Anti-MET antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), anti-GLUT1 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), or anti-PKM2 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) was applied on 4 μm sections. Intensity of staining was scored according to the following criteria: 0 (no staining), 1 (weak staining), 2 (intermediate staining), and 3 (strong staining). In addition, 0 (0%), 1 (1%–9%), 2 (10%–49%), and 3 (50%–100%) were used to score the percentage of positive cells. Intensity of staining and percentages of cells were used to score the slides (0 to 9). An IHC score <4 was considered negative, whereas an IHC score ≥4 was considered positive. The slides were evaluated by two independent observers who were blinded to the clinical data.

**Cell culture**

A549 and H1299 cell lines were purchased from the Cell Bank of the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. All cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were maintained at 37°C under 5% CO2.

**Transfection of siRNA**

Cells were transfected with oligo small silencing RNAs using Lipofectamine 2000. The sequences of siRNA oligos used in our study were as follows: MET (sense 5′-CAGAAUGUCAUUCACAUGAG-3′, antisense 5′-CU CAUGUAGAAUGACAUUCUG-3′), GLUT1 (sense 5′-UGA UGCCAGAAGAAUUAU-3′, antisense 5′-AAUUAUUC UUCCUGACAUCAU-3′), and negative control (sense 5′-UU CUCGGAGCGUGUCACGUTT-3′, antisense 5′-ACG UGACACGUUCGGAGAATT-3′).

**18F-FDG uptake measurements**

A549 and H1299 cells were transfected with siRNAs in 12-well plates. Approximately 48 h after transfection, the cells were collected, washed with PBS, and incubated in 1 mL of glucose-free DMEM containing 18F-FDG (148 kBq [4 μCi/mL]) for 1 h at 37°C. Afterward, 1 mL of 0.1 M NaOH was used to produce lysates. A well γ-counter was used to detect the radioactivity of lysates. At the end of the experiments, data were normalized to total protein. Three independent experiments were performed during our study.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Approximately, 5×104 cells were seeded in 12-well plates and transfected with siRNAs as indicated for 48 h. Cells were collected and washed with PBS for two times. Total RNA was isolated by using a TRIzol kit (Omega, Norcross, GA, USA). RNA concentration was measured by using a NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometers (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Then 500 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed into first-strand cDNA using PrimeScript RT master mix (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Takara) in a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to perform quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Primers used in our study were as follows: MET (forward primer 5′-AGCAATGGGGAGTGTAAGAGG-3′, reverse primer 5′-CCCCGTCTTTCATCGCAAC-3′), GLUT1 (forward primer 5′-CAGTTCGGCTATAACACTGGTG-3′, reverse primer 5′-GCCSCCAGACAGAGAT-3′). The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s and 55°C for 20 s, 72°C for 20 s and dissociation at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min and 95°C for 15 s. The data were analyzed using the 2^−ΔΔCT method,21 where ΔCT = CT (target gene) − CT (internal control) and ΔΔCT = ΔCT (treatment groups) − ΔCT (control group). All qRT-PCR reactions were performed in triplicate.

**Western blotting**

Approximately, 1×104 cells were seeded in six-well plates and transfected with siRNAs as indicated for 48 h. Cells were collected and washed with PBS for two times. After that, cells were lysed using RIPA lysis solution (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P 40, complete protease inhibitor cocktail) for 30 min on ice, and then centrifuged at 15,000×g for 30 min at 4°C. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS [2X]) sample buffer was added to the cell extracts and boiled for 10 min at 100°C. Samples of 45 μg of protein were loaded onto SDS-polyacrylamide gel for electrophoreses as follows: 4.5% spacer gel at 80 V for the coloring matter closing to the top of separation gel and 10% separation gel at 120 V until the bromophenol blue running to the bottom of gel. The separated protein was transferred to the polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica,
MA, USA) at 100 V for 1.5 h. Nonfat (5%) milk was used to block nonspecific background for 1 h at room temperature followed by overnight incubation at 4°C with the primary anti-MET (1:1,000) and anti-GLUT1 (1:5,000) antibodies. Anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used in our study. The samples were visualized by using a chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce™ ECL Western Blotting Substrate; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. Unpaired two-tailed t-test was used to analyze the difference between groups. The data were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Chi-square test was used to analyze dichotomous variables. Spearman rank correlation analysis was used to determine the relationship between the SUV\textsuperscript{max} and protein expression levels. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to assess the best threshold of SUV\textsuperscript{max} that can be used to predict the MET expression. Overall survival curves were calculated using Kaplan–Meier method and were compared by log-rank test. All tests of significance were two-sided; and P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

Our test subjects included 33 males and 24 females. The age of patient ranged from 41 to 79 years with a mean value of 61 years. The primary tumor SUV\textsuperscript{max} ranged from 0.7 to 24 with a mean value of 6.56. The tumor size ranged from 0.80 to 9.30 cm with a mean value of 2.66 cm. Lymph node metastasis was detected in 20 patients.

**Correlation of patient characteristics with MET expression**

IHC analysis was carried out to assess the expression of MET. MET protein was primarily localized on the cell membrane, or in the cytoplasm of tumor cells (Figure 1). To investigate the relationship between MET expression and the biological characteristics of tumor tissues, we divided the patients into the following two categories according to immunohistochemistry of MET: positive MET expression group (n=21) and negative MET expression group (n=36). The results of the univariate analysis for each factor are shown in Table 1. MET expression was not associated with age, sex, tumor size, tumor differentiation, or TNM stage. However, the SUV\textsuperscript{max} and lymph node metastasis of these two groups were significantly different. Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that the overall survival rate was significantly lower in the positive MET expression group than in the negative MET expression group (P=0.040) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Correlation of SUV\textsuperscript{max} with MET expression**

To assess the correlation between \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake and MET expression in lung adenocarcinomas, we performed IHC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>MET expression</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative (n=36)</td>
<td>Positive (n=21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60 years</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60 years</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor differentiation</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological N stage</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I + II</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III + IV</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV\textsuperscript{max}</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.60±3.00</td>
<td>9.92±6.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SUV\textsuperscript{max}, maximal standardized uptake value.
staining in 57 patients who underwent 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG PET/CT before tumor resection. We found that SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) was significantly higher in the MET-positive group than in the MET-negative group (9.92±6.62 vs 4.60±3.00; \(P=0.002\)). Positive correlation was observed between SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) and MET score \((r=0.458, P<0.001)\) (Table 2). ROC curve analysis was used to determine the cutoff value of SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) that is suggestive of positive MET results. The area under the curve was 0.751. The sensitivity and specificity in suggesting MET positive expression were 66.7% and 75%, respectively, when the cutoff value of SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) was set at 6.2 (Figure 3). These data suggested that 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG PET/CT can be used to predict the MET expression status in lung adenocarcinoma. We also used multivariate analysis to rule out the possibility that the predictive value of SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) for MET status, and the results showed that SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) remained significantly correlated with MET expression in lung adenocarcinoma in multivariate analysis (Table 3).

### Relationship among 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG accumulation, MET expression, GLUT1 expression, and PKM2 expression

IHC analysis was carried out to assess the expression of GLUT1 and PKM2. Cell membrane staining for GLUT1 was considered positive staining (Figure 1). PKM2 immunoreactivity was readily detected in the cytoplasm and was occasionally detected in the nucleus (Figure 1).

We investigated the association between GLUT1, PKM2 expression according to their IHC staining scores and PET SUV\(_{\text{max}}\). Spearman rank analysis showed that SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) was positively correlated with GLUT1 expression \((r=0.551; P<0.001)\) but not correlated with PKM2 expression \((r=0.176; P=0.190)\) (Table 2). SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) in tumor group with high GLUT1 expression \((n=22, 9.71±5.96)\) was significantly higher than that in the group with low GLUT1 expression \((n=35, 4.58±3.70; P=0.001)\). A positive correlation was found between MET expression and GLUT1 expression \((r=0.408; P=0.002)\) but not between MET expression and PKM2 expression \((r=0.003; P=0.980)\). We speculate that MET could increase 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG accumulation by upregulating GLUT1 expression but not PKM2 expression.

### Effect of MET downregulation on the cellular uptake of 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG

Given the correlated expression of MET and GLUT1 in lung adenocarcinoma tissues, 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG uptake was tested to assess whether MET regulation of GLUT1 affects metabolic flux in A549 and H1129 cells. The results showed that MET knockdown by specific siRNA significantly reduced the 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG uptake in A549 and H1129 cells. GLUT1 knockdown by specific siRNA further reduced 18\(^{\text{F}}\)-FDG uptake.

### Table 2: Spearman correlation coefficients and \(P\)-value between the immunohistochemical staining scores for MET, GLUT1, and PKM2 expression with SUV\(_{\text{max}}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SUV(_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>Correlation coefficients</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MET</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLUT1</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKM2</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Multivariate analysis of MET expression in 57 patients with lung adenocarcinoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis</th>
<th>(95%) CI</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.896–1.053</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.130–1.989</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.631–1.500</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor differentiation</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>0.360–2.182</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological N stage</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.191–5.431</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.138–2.467</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV(_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>1.254</td>
<td>1.016–1.548</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GLUT1, glucose transporter 1; PKM2, pyruvate kinase M2; SUV\(_{\text{max}}\), maximal standardized uptake value; OR, odds ratio.
Uptake; however, no additive effect was observed when si-MET was combined with si-GLUT1, indicating that the effect of MET on glycolysis in A549 and H1129 cells was GLUT1 dependent (Figure 4).

To further elucidate the mechanism behind these effects, we investigated the effect of MET knockdown on the expression of GLUT1. The results showed that the mRNA levels of GLUT1 were significantly decreased in A549 and H1299 cells treated with siRNA against MET (Figure 5A and B). Western blot analysis showed that MET knockdown by specific siRNA reduced the GLUT1 proteins in A549 and H1299 cells (Figure 5C and D). These results suggested that MET modulates 18F-FDG uptake via the regulation of GLUT1 mRNA and protein levels.

**Discussion**

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. Chemotherapy and molecular-targeted therapeutics are two common treatment strategies in lung adenocarcinoma patients. EGFR is a tyrosine kinase receptor, and its mutation is common in adenocarcinoma and has shown promising data in the treatment of lung adenocarcinoma. EGFR TKIs gefitinib and erlotinib have shown promising clinical activity. However, MET amplification has been reported to be an important reason which leads to acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors. Approximately, one-fifth of patients resistant to EGFR inhibitors have exhibited MET amplification or expression. Several MET-targeting inhibitors have shown potential use in clinical trials.

The combination of EGFR and MET dual inhibitory strategies showed a synergistic benefit in MET overexpression patients with acquired resistance to EGFR TKI. Based on their advantageous preclinical profile, noninvasive methods are helpful to estimate the status of MET in clinical practice and show the potential to evaluate the effect of the molecular-targeted therapeutics on advanced MET-positive lung adenocarcinoma. PET-CT is one of the currently available noninvasive imaging methods and is widely used in the diagnosis and staging of malignant tumors or monitoring of chemotherapeutic response in patients with cancer. Our results revealed that SUVmax was significantly higher in MET-positive expression tumors than in MET-negative expression tumors, suggesting that 18F-FDG accumulation may reflect MET expression in lung adenocarcinomas.

In 2007, Lutterbach et al first reported MET gene amplification and overexpression in non-small-cell lung cancer cell lines and their association with poor overall survival. In our present study, we found the MET expression rate to be 36.8% by using immunohistochemistry, which was in agreement with previous reports. We found a positive correlation between MET protein expression and primary tumor SUVmax, which was significantly higher in patients with positive expression of MET than in those with negative expression of MET. Tian et al also reported similar observation in human colorectal carcinoma. ROC analysis indicated that 18F-FDG...
PET/CT scans could predict MET expression in lung adenocarcinoma patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Multivariate analysis revealed that S\textsubscript{UV}\textsubscript{max} was correlated significantly with MET expression. These data suggested that \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake can predict MET status and can be used to monitor the effects of MET-targeted therapeutics in lung adenocarcinoma patients.

GLUT1 and PKM2 are two key rate-limiting enzymes for glycolysis. \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake in cancer cells depends mainly on glucose transporter proteins. However, the association of \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake with these glycolysis-related markers is currently being debated.\textsuperscript{16,32} Our results showed that there was a positive association between GLUT1 expression and S\textsubscript{UV}\textsubscript{max} but not between PKM2 expression and S\textsubscript{UV}\textsubscript{max}. We also found a significant correlation between MET expression and GLUT1 expression. In renal cell carcinoma, the hyperactivation of MET signal promoted glycolysis through the PI3K/Akt signaling pathways.\textsuperscript{33} Brauer et al reported that the altered expression of MET pathway changed the expression of GLUT1 and consequently affected Warburg-like metabolism in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{15} All these data suggested that MET signal is involved in glycolysis in cancer. Our results showed that MET knockdown significantly inhibited \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake by reducing the mRNA and protein levels of GLUT1 in human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines. In theory, in vitro experiments supported our finding that MET expression was correlated with GLUT expression. \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake could predict MET expression in lung adenocarcinoma patients.

The prognostic value of MET expression in adenocarcinoma lung is debatable; several reports indicated that patients with MET expression or amplification show a poor prognosis in NSCLC,\textsuperscript{28–30} whereas others failed to find an association with prognosis.\textsuperscript{34,35} In our research, we found that patients with positive MET expression had reduced overall survival compared with those showing negative MET expression.

---

**Figure 5** Graphs show influence of MET on expression of GLUT1 in lung adenocarcinoma cells. (A and B) Influence of MET on the mRNA levels of GLUT1 in (A) A549 and (B) H1299 cells. MET knockdown by specific siRNA (small silencing RNA) significantly decreased the mRNA levels of GLUT1 in both A549 (P=0.000) and H1299 (P=0.029) cells. (C and D) Influence of MET on the protein levels of GLUT1 in (C) A549 and (D) H1299 cells. MET knockdown by specific siRNA significantly decreased the protein levels of GLUT1 in both A549 and H1299 cells. *P<0.05, **P<0.01.

**Abbreviations:** GLUT1, glucose transporter 1; NC, negative control.
We also found that MET expression was correlated with lymph node metastasis; this finding was consistent with those of previous studies.\textsuperscript{34} Our results showed a correlation between MET expression and lymph node metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma and supported the role of MET signal in driving lymph node angiogenesis in cancer cells.\textsuperscript{6,37}

However, there were some shortcomings in our study. First, our study was conducted at a single center, indicating that the sample size was relatively small. Second, this research was a retrospective study with unavoidable selection bias. A multicenter prospective study that includes many clinical samples is needed to confirm our results.

Conclusion
We found that \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG accumulation was positively correlated with MET expression in lung adenocarcinoma patients. A significant correlation was found between MET expression and GLUT1 expression, and MET increased the \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG uptake by upregulating GLUT1 expression. \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG PET/CT shows the potential as a complementary imaging tool for predicting MET expression and for assessing the molecular profiles of lung adenocarcinoma patients. Since several MET targeting inhibitors and antagonistic antibodies have brought promising data in lung adenocarcinoma patients with high MET expression, finding new noninvasive methods (e.g., molecular imaging) to predict MET status will be beneficial for guiding and monitoring of MET-targeted therapeutics.
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