Treatment of complex regional pain syndrome using free-flap surgery: a case report
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Abstract: Complex regional pain syndrome is a chronic progressive illness with sensory, autonomic, trophic, and motor abnormalities. Treatment is difficult and controversial. We report the results of free-flap and vein wrapping of the superficial peroneal nerve surgery to treat complex regional pain syndrome.
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Case presentation

A 39-year-old man was transferred due to intractable pain and paresthesia in the left ankle and foot. He had a history of multiple ankle sprains and underwent an arthroscopic synovectomy and open repair of the anterior talofibular ligament at the ankle at another hospital. Pain developed after this surgery. He visited the anesthesia pain clinic because of aggravated neuropathic pain.

In our pain clinic, the patient had difficulty walking due to severe pain. There was a 5 cm surgical scar on the lateral side of his left ankle. The patient reported 10 points on the visual analog scale (VAS). Severe focal tenderness and allodynia were evaluated. The patient complained of tingling sensation along the superficial peroneal nerve, although the range of motion of the ankle was normal. Trophic changes were not observed. Electromyogram/nerve conduction velocity test revealed no definite electrophysiological evidence. A three-phase bone scan revealed diffuse and mildly increased uptake in the blood pool and delayed uptake, which suggested complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS).

Conservative treatment including medication for neuropathic pain and several rounds of sympathetic nerve blocks was unsuccessful. After 10 months, a spinal cord stimulator was inserted, which alleviated the patient's overall pain by about 50%. However, allodynia persisted.

We excised the painful hypertrophic scar and released the adhesive scar tissue around the superficial peroneal nerve. Symptom improved by about 80% and the patient was very satisfied. However, symptoms recurred 6 months later. The patient complained of allodynia around the scar area and tingling sensation in the dorsolateral left foot (Figure 1).

After 1 year, we performed a second operation similar to the first operation. An antiadhesive material had also infiltrated the nerve during the operation. Microscopic examination of the adhesive scar tissue revealed the proliferation of nerve twig endings.
with fibrosis. The allodynia slightly decreased after surgery. However, tingling sensation in the forefoot dorsum was not changed (Figure 1). The patient could not sleep well due to the pain. The VAS score was 8–9 points, and the American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society score was 24 points. The patient received a consultation from a psychiatrist, who reported no abnormal psychosomatic problems or secondary gains.

In the third surgery, we first widely excised the hypersensitive skin around the scar. The excised skin and subcutaneous tissue measured 13×9 cm. The superficial vein for wrapping the superficial peroneal nerve was harvested from the left inguinal area (Figure 2). The superficial circumflex iliac artery perforator flap was used to cover the skin defect. Microscopic examination of the skin and subcutaneous tissue revealed several nerve

![Figure 1 Allodynia (arrow, circle area) of scar tissue area and hypoesthesia (arrow head, oblique line area) on the dorsolateral side of the foot.](image1)

![Figure 2 Excision of the hypersensitive painful skin and vein wrapping of superficial peroneal nerve (between arrow head and arrow).](image2)
trunks and a lot of axons. Compared with the contralateral normal skin tissue, the lesion site contained more axons (Figure 3).

Allodynia at the scar lesion had almost disappeared, and the VAS score was 2 points. At postoperative 1 month, sensation at the flap site had improved to 60%-70% in comparison with the contralateral normal foot. At 6 months, the sensation was normal and some hair was visible. After 3 years, allodynia disappeared. However, tingling sensation did not disappear on the distant area of the flap site. The patient could tolerate walking and was satisfied with the results of our flap surgery (Figure 4).

This study was approved by Asan Medical Center institutional review board. The patient provided written informed consent for the publication of the case details and any accompanying images.

**Discussion and conclusion**

The etiology of CRPS remains unclear, but it can develop as a postoperative complication. Nickisch et al 1 reported 2 cases of CRPS that developed in 198 patients who underwent ankle arthroscopy surgery. Appropriate multimodal treatment relieved symptoms within 6 months.

![Figure 3](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 3** Microscopic findings of immunohistochemistry for neurofilament protein show more axons (arrowhead and arrows) in lesion site (B) than normal skin (A); (hematoxylin and eosin stain 100×).

![Figure 4](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 4** The flap healed well and there was no allodynia 1 year after flap surgery.
In our current case, the patient underwent multiple surgeries after his initial arthroscopic surgery. Easley et al have reported that CRPS patients undergo an average of 2.5 surgeries (range, 1–7) for the same nerve problem. CRPS is essentially characterized by pain and dysfunction of the sympathetic nervous system. Patients frequently develop hyperesthesia in response to typical mechanical stimuli and extreme sensitivity. Vasomotor autonomic disturbances, like color or temperature changes in the affected limb, often develop. Sudomotor symptoms, such as hyperhidrosis or dryness, may also develop.3

However, there is no common standard protocol for treating CRPS. Various opioids and adjuvant medications are used to treat this disorder.4,5 Sympathetic nerve block has also been used to reduce CRPS-associated pain as has spinal cord stimulation to treat the chronic pain associated with this condition.6 In our current case, the patient did not show improvement following conservative treatment. A pain clinic inserted a spinal cord stimulator. Even though his symptoms improved by 50%, the focal symptoms around the left ankle remained.

After undergoing adhesiolysis operation, the patient’s symptoms did not improve, and they worsened further under conservative management for an additional 10 months. We planned to excise the hypersensitive skin and cover the defect with distant healthy tissue to relieve the patient’s symptoms. At the 3 years follow-up after flap surgery, intractable allodynia at the flap site disappeared. Lee Dellon et al noted that most patients with CRPS type II were referred with a misdiagnosis of CRPS type I. They reported CRPS type II patients can be successfully treated with the appropriate surgical strategy. In our current case, severe symptoms developed around the surgical scar. The pain was not diffuse. The patient was diagnosed with CRPS type II based on these clinical findings.

Vein wrapping of the peripheral nerves was first described by Masear et al.8 It can protect nerves by inhibiting tissue adhesion, improving the gliding of the nerve, and decreasing scarring within the nerve trunk.2,9,10 However, hypersensitivity did not disappear on the distant area of the flap site in our case. Therefore, the effect of vein wrapping of the nerve was doubtful in this case.

In our challenging case, careful consideration in replacing the hypersensitive skin with healthy tissue by the free-flap surgery is recommended. This can be one of the treatment methods for the CRPS type II.
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