Prevalence and association of vitamin D deficiency and mortality in patients with severe sepsis
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Background: Vitamin D is a steroid prohormone that regulates body calcium and phosphate metabolism. Recent studies have shown an association between low vitamin D status and high mortality in patients admitted to intensive care units. To date, there are limited data available specifically about severely septic patients in medical units.

Objectives: To determine the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in severely septic patients and its clinical outcomes, including mortality rate.

Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted to investigate the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and its association with 30-day mortality in patients with severe sepsis. Patients admitted to medical wards at our hospital between November 2014 and March 2015 were included in the study. A 25-hydroxyvitamin D level <20 ng/mL was defined as vitamin D deficiency, and <12 ng/mL as severe deficiency. For an association analysis, the patients were grouped into deficient versus not deficient and severely deficient versus not severely deficient.

Results: One hundred and ten eligible patients were enrolled. A total of 83 patients (75%) had vitamin D deficiency and 42 (38%) had severe deficiency. Despite an insignificant higher 30-day hospital mortality rate in vitamin D deficient versus non-deficient groups (16% vs 4%, p=0.18), the differences were significant between the severely deficient versus non-severe groups (23% vs 4%, p=0.02). The odds ratio of the 30-day mortality rate was 4.83 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.60–38.77, p=0.14) for vitamin D deficiency and 7.69 (95% CI, 2.00–29.55, p=0.003) for severe deficiency.

Conclusion: The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was very high in three-quarters of patients with severe sepsis. A significant higher mortality rate was observed, particularly in patients with severe vitamin D deficiency.
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Introduction
Vitamin D is one of the most important steroid prohormones. Its main function is to regulate calcium and phosphate metabolism, and bone homeostasis. Moreover, it is also involved in other physiological systems, for instance, cellular gene transcription,1 anti-inflammation,2 antiproliferation,2 and cellular immune response to infection.3

Some experiments on vitamin D supplementation in critically ill patients demonstrated that vitamin D can suppress inflammatory cytokines (such as interleukin-6 and C-reactive protein),4 augment anti-inflammatory mediators (such as interleukin-10),4 and act as an antibacterial peptide (such as cathelicidin).5,6 Vitamin D deficiency, usually represented as a low serum concentration of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D), has...
been found to be associated with an increased risk of morbidities, such as infection, acute kidney injury, myocardial infarction, etc. Its incidence ranged from 38% to 93% in critically ill patients. It also correlated with a longer stay in the intensive care unit and higher mortality rate.

Theoretically, vitamin D supplementation for deficits should be clinically useful in patients with sepsis. However, many questions remain to be answered including the optimum dose of vitamin D administration, initiation time, and the most effective route. The most recent randomized clinical trial (VITdAL-ICU study) could not establish any benefit of vitamin D supplementation over placebo in all critically ill patients who had vitamin D deficiency, except in a certain group of patients. Patients who had very severe vitamin D deficiency, classified as a vitamin D level of ≤12 ng/mL, had significantly lower mortality rates after receiving high dose vitamin D compared to placebo.

Data about vitamin D deficiency and the role of its replenishment are from Western countries where the sources of vitamin D, especially some kinds of food, sunlight exposure, habits of vitamin supplementation, or genetic variation may differ from other countries. Several studies from Asia, including Thailand, reported the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency; however, these studies were performed in healthy individuals. There are limited data about the prevalence and impact of vitamin D deficiency among patients with critical illness.

**Objective**

The objective of this study was to assess the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency, measured by serum concentrations of 25(OH)D, and the association with clinical outcomes especially mortality rate in Thai patients with severe sepsis.

**Methodology**

Our prospective cohort study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Research of the Faculty of Medicine Vajira Hospital (COA 096/57) before registration with the Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR 20151130001). Informed consent was obtained from the patients or their legally authorized representatives. Inclusion criteria were patients admitted to our hospital between November 1, 2014 and March 31, 2015, aged at least 18 years old, with a clinical diagnosis of severe sepsis based on the Surviving Sepsis Campaign definition. Patients with end stage kidney disease, advanced stage cancer, older than 65 years with a history of repeated falling, pregnancy, a history of glucocorticoid use, or vitamin D supplementation were excluded.

A previous study by Venkatram et al reported 78% vitamin D deficiency in 437 patients in the medical intensive care unit. Based on this rate, we assumed a sample size of 104 cases was needed to demonstrate the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in our study.

As a standard practice in our institution, all patients with a clinical diagnosis of severe sepsis were admitted to medical wards. After a thorough physical examination, routine laboratory investigations including complete blood count (CBC), blood chemistry, serum electrolytes, two-specimen hemoculture, site specific culture as indicated, and chest X-ray were performed. Patients who met the inclusion criteria were assessed by one researcher (CF) to evaluate the severity of illnesses by the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-II (APACHE-II) score at the same time. Additional laboratory tests among patients enrolled into the study were 25(OH)D and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels. The serum assay of 25(OH)D was studied by the electrochemical luminescent technique (Hitachi Cobas E 601; Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA). Regular quality control of our laboratory instruments follows the Randox International Quality Assessment Scheme program. The variation coefficients of the assay control were 6.5% at 19.4 ng/mL and 9.5% at 39.1 ng/mL.

All patients received empirical antibiotics upon diagnosis. The antibiotics were subsequently de-escalated according to the culture report. Other treatments were conducted at the discretion of attending physicians without any intervention from the researchers. All patients were followed up at least 30 days after a diagnosis of sepsis.

Clinical data collected were age, sex, body mass index (BMI), comorbidities, APACHE-II score, primary source of infection, endotracheal intubation rate, and duration of mechanical ventilation, length of hospital stay, and 30-day hospital mortality. Patients who were alive were censored after 30 days of follow-up. Laboratory data collected, aside from levels of 25(OH)D and PTH, were CBC, blood sugar, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, serum electrolytes, lactate, albumin, calcium, and phosphate.

Vitamin D status, determined by serum 25(OH)D level, was defined according to the Endocrine Society guidelines: normal 25(OH)D level, at least 30 ng/mL; insufficiency level, between 20 and 29.9 ng/mL; and deficient 25(OH)D level, <20 ng/mL. For statistical comparison, we categorized the patients into two groups by their vitamin D level: not deficient (normal and insufficiency) versus deficient. In addition, we used a predefined definition for severe vitamin D deficiency when 25(OH)D level was <12 ng/mL to categorize our
patients into two groups as severely versus non-severely deficient for comparing additional analyses.

STATA statistical software version 13.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Discrete baseline characteristics were expressed as number and percentages, whereas continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviations or median ± interquartile range (IQR1–IQR3). Data from patients with or without vitamin D deficiency were compared by chi-square or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate for categorical data, and by Student’s t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test for continuous data. Kaplan–Meier survival function curve was generated and compared by log-rank test in each deficient value. Logistic regression analysis, adjusted by sex and illness severity using APACHE-II score, was carried out to identify an association between 25(OH)D level and the 30-day mortality. p-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

During the study period, 114 patients met all inclusion criteria. However, serum samples of four patients were unavailable for 25(OH)D analysis. Thus, a total of 110 patients were enrolled in the study. We found vitamin D deficiency, 25(OH)D level <20 ng/mL, in 83 patients (75%) and no vitamin D deficiency in 27 patients (25%). The non-deficient group either had vitamin D insufficiency (17 patients) or normal vitamin D status (10 patients).

Table 1 demonstrates baseline characteristics according to vitamin D status: deficient or non-deficient groups. There was no significant difference between the two groups...

### Table 1: Characteristics of septic patients according to vitamin D deficient and severe vitamin D deficient status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>Vitamin D deficient (n=83)</th>
<th>Not vitamin D deficient (n=27)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Vitamin D deficient (n=53)</th>
<th>Not vitamin D deficient (n=57)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.3±15.3</td>
<td>56.0±14.2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>61.3±13.6</td>
<td>56.0±15.9</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>50 (60)</td>
<td>56 (22)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>28 (53)</td>
<td>28 (49)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.90±3.68</td>
<td>22.68±3.17</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>21.79±3.76</td>
<td>22.36±3.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE-II score</td>
<td>12 (9–16)</td>
<td>10 (5–14)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>14 (10–19)</td>
<td>10 (6–14)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Endocrinology system</td>
<td>44 (53)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>28 (53)</td>
<td>26 (46)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cardiology system</td>
<td>44 (53)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>29 (55)</td>
<td>25 (44)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Neurology system</td>
<td>13 (16)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Respiratory system</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary source of infection (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Respiratory system</td>
<td>24 (29)</td>
<td>11 (41)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>17 (32)</td>
<td>18 (32)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Systemic infection</td>
<td>21 (25)</td>
<td>6 (22)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>13 (25)</td>
<td>14 (25)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gastrointestinal system</td>
<td>81 (98)</td>
<td>27 (100)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>52 (98)</td>
<td>56 (98)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Gastrointestinal system</td>
<td>13 (16)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>10 (19)</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Other systems</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL)</td>
<td>18 (10–26)</td>
<td>13 (9–23)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>20 (11–35)</td>
<td>15 (9–22)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.71–1.22)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.62–1.17)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.87 (0.74–1.20)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.67–1.17)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.8–4.1)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.6–4.0)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.7 (1.7–3.5)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.7–4.4)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>3.1±0.8</td>
<td>3.2±0.5</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3.0±0.8</td>
<td>3.3±0.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>8.2 (7.8–8.7)</td>
<td>8.4 (7.7–8.9)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>8.2 (7.7–8.7)</td>
<td>8.4 (7.9–8.9)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate (mg/dL)</td>
<td>3.25±1.18</td>
<td>3.21±1.04</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>3.09±1.08</td>
<td>3.37±1.19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25(OH)D (ng/mL)</td>
<td>9.8±4.8</td>
<td>28.3±5.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.9±3.0</td>
<td>21.3±8.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parathyroid hormone (pg/mL)</td>
<td>50.7 (33.6–80.3)</td>
<td>38.4 (28.0–50.5)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>57.1 (33.9–82.7)</td>
<td>42.3 (27.9–62.1)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>10.8±2.6</td>
<td>11.5±2.6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>10.8±2.8</td>
<td>11.1±2.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White cell count (10⁹/L)</td>
<td>13.5 (9.5–17.5)</td>
<td>12.5 (6.1–15.6)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>13.6 (8.4–18.1)</td>
<td>12.9 (9.6–15.6)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet (10⁹/L)</td>
<td>243.0±126.9</td>
<td>216.3±165.5</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>237.8±124.8</td>
<td>235.1±148.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outcomes**

- **30-Day hospital mortality (%)** | 13 (16) | 1 (4) | 0.18 | 12 (23) | 2 (4) | 0.003 |
- **Length of hospital stay (days)** | 16 (7–19) | 11 (7–23) | 0.84 | 11 (7–25) | 14 (7–19) | 0.88 |
- **Endotracheal intubation (%)** | 23 (28) | 3 (11) | 0.08 | 18 (34) | 8 (14) | 0.02 |
- **Duration of mechanical ventilation (days)** | 7 (3–20) | 2 (2–20) | 0.49 | 8 (3–20) | 5 (2–15) | 0.64 |

**Note:** Reported in median (IQR1–IQR3); other data are reported as mean and SD, while discrete data are reported as number and percentages.

**Abbreviations:** APACHE-II score, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-II score; IQR, interquartile range; 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D.
regarding age, BMI, comorbidities, and primary source of infection. Laboratory data including CBC, blood chemistry, and PTH levels are also shown in Table 1. We found three features which were significantly different between the two groups: sex, APACHE-II scores, and PTH levels. Vitamin D deficient patients were more likely to be female compared to the non-deficient group (60% vs 22%, \( p=0.001 \)), had higher APACHE-II scores (12; IQR, 9–16 vs 10; IQR, 5–14, \( p=0.03 \)), and higher PTH levels (50.7 pg/mL; IQR, 33.6–80.3 pg/mL vs 38.4 pg/mL; IQR, 28.0–50.5 pg/mL, \( p=0.04 \)).

Although worse clinical outcomes were found among patients who had vitamin D deficiency, the differences were not statistically significant (Table 1). Patients who had vitamin D deficiency had higher 30-day hospital mortality (16% vs 4%, \( p=0.18 \)), greater intubation rate (28% vs 11%, \( p=0.08 \)), longer duration of mechanical ventilation (7 days; IQR, 3–20 days vs 2 days; IQR, 2–20 days, \( p=0.49 \)), and longer length of hospital stay (16 days; IQR, 7–19 days vs 11 days; IQR, 7–23 days, \( p=0.84 \)) compared to patients with no deficiency. Table 2 shows the odds ratio (OR) of mortality was 4.83; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.60–38.77, \( p=0.14 \), and 5.05; 95% CI, 0.57–44.61, \( p=0.15 \) after adjustment for covariates.

A comparison of severe vitamin D deficient patients with those who were not shows that the baseline characteristics were similar to previous 25(OH)D levels, except in a certain proportion of females (Table 1). Our study found that patients with severe deficiency had a significantly higher percentage of 30-day hospital mortality (23% vs 4%, \( p=0.003 \)), endotracheal intubation (34% vs 14%, \( p=0.02 \)), and worse survival (Figure 1). The OR of mortality was 7.69; 95% CI, 2.00–29.55, \( p=0.003 \), and 6.11; 95% CI, 1.46–25.67, \( p=0.01 \) when adjusted by sex and illness severity using APACHE-II score (Table 2). However, we could not demonstrate any differences between severe versus not severe deficiency regarding the length of hospital stay (11 days; IQR, 8–25 days vs 14 days; IQR, 7–19 days, \( p=0.88 \)) and duration of mechanical ventilation (8 days; IQR, 3–20 days vs 5 days; IQR, 2–15 days, \( p=0.64 \)) (Table 1).

### Discussion

Despite being a country near the equator, located at latitudes between 5°30’ N and 20°30’ N, with high sunlight exposure, a national survey of healthy Thai people still reported a 6% prevalence of vitamin D deficiency.\(^{21}\) The rate was much higher in Bangkok than other areas, at 14%.\(^{21}\) In comparison, Western populations reported higher rates of vitamin D deficiency; for example, 32% in USA\(^{25}\) and 41% in France.\(^{26}\)

Our study found a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency, at 75%, in Thai patients with severe sepsis. This was nearly 13 times greater than that found in the normal Thai population, or about five times specifically in the population residing in Bangkok. Our finding was also within the range found in studies from Western countries (USA, France, and Australia), which reported prevalences of 38%–93% among critically ill populations.\(^{13–16}\)

The wide range of prevailing rates reported in various studies may result from particular characteristics of the populations studied. Our study found that female sex, higher APACHE-II score, and higher PTH level were significantly associated with a higher prevalence of vitamin D deficiency. Previous studies have also demonstrated sex as an important risk for vitamin D deficiency; however, males carried a greater risk in other studies.\(^{16,18}\) This might be due to corresponding findings in a normal population where healthy Thai females had a three-fold greater prevalence of vitamin D deficiency than males.\(^{22}\) A possible explanation for this greater risk might be due to the tradition of women avoiding sun exposure or greater usage of sunblock devices and sunscreen among women than men. Significantly higher PTH levels were found in our patients with vitamin D deficiency; this was also reported in other studies by Nair et al\(^{27}\) and Chen et al.\(^{28}\) A physiological response of PTH increasing in response to lower levels of vitamin D could be the reason for this finding.\(^{29}\)

We found only slightly higher 30-day mortality between vitamin D deficient and not deficient patients with severe

### Table 2 Logistic regression analysis to identify the association of vitamin D status and 30-day hospital mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariable analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D deficient</td>
<td>4.83 (0.60–38.77)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.49 (0.15–1.57)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE-II</td>
<td>1.13 (1.04–1.24)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe vitamin D deficient</td>
<td>7.69 (2.00–29.55)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.49 (0.15–1.57)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE-II</td>
<td>1.13 (1.04–1.24)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; APACHE-II score, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-II score.
sepsis in medical wards. Previous studies have shown inconsistent results regarding the mortality rate and vitamin D deficiency. Most studies showed a significant inverse association between vitamin D level and mortality. The ORs among vitamin D deficient patients were 1.81,15 and 2.62 (95% CI, 1.70–4.03, \( p < 0.001 \)) or 1.82 (95% CI, 1.05–3.16, \( p = 0.034 \)) after adjusting for age and APACHE-II score.28 Another study of the FINNAKI cohort30 and our study could not demonstrate a significant association. The FINNAKI cohort found that vitamin D deficiency among patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) was not associated with 90-day mortality (hazard ratio [HR] of 0.99; 95% CI, 0.72–1.36).30 The severity of illness in each study may contribute to different findings. The patients in our study had low overall illness with an APACHE-II severity score of only 11 which was much lower than 20–27 reported in other studies.13,24,30 Another possible reason was the sample size in our study which was calculated from the proportion of vitamin D deficiency.16 This might lead to inadequate statistical power to detect a difference in mortality at a 25(OH)D level <20 ng/mL. A larger sample size in a future study is needed to confirm this finding.

We found a significant association of higher 30-day mortality and levels of 25(OH)D <12 ng/mL which was used to classify severe deficiency.20 This was similar to the report from the FINNAKI cohort which found an association of 25(OH)D level with 90-day mortality (HR =0.44; 95% CI, 0.22–0.87, \( p = 0.02 \)) when using a 25(OH)D level <10 ng/mL to define severe deficiency.30

Our study could not demonstrate a relationship between length of hospital stay, intubation rate, and duration of mechanical ventilation of patients according to vitamin D deficiency status. Previous studies have demonstrated inconsistent findings regarding the length of hospital stay. Lucidarme et al13 and Venkatram et al,16 similar to our study, did not find any influence from vitamin D deficiency on the length of hospital stay, while McKinney et al15 found a two-fold increase in length of ICU stay when patients had 25(OH)D <20 ng/mL. Only one study by Venkatram et al16 evaluated and demonstrated the same finding as our study that vitamin D status had no significant impact on the intubation rate and duration of mechanical ventilation.

**Conclusion**

This study demonstrated a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in three-quarters of patients who had severe sepsis. A very low concentration of the 25(OH)D level was associated with higher 30-day hospital mortality especially when the 25(OH)D level fell below 12 ng/mL.

**Strengths and limitations of this study**

Some limitations were recognized in our study. First, in sepsis, many reasons can explain the decrease in the level of vitamin D,19,31 such as the fact that circulating vitamin D has a half-life of ~2–3 weeks.32 Serial measurement of levels may be of value; however, we did not obtain serial measurement.
of serum 25(OH)D due to the inconvenience and cost of the test. We do not know whether testing at onset of illness or knowing the mean value during the study would show a more accurate association with the course of illness and treatment outcomes. Second, we assumed that the severity of illness using APACHE-II scores could be applied for use in the medical unit. Normally, this score is extensively used only in intensive care units; however, we were not aware of any other suitable severity scores for ward patients, and this score has been used in this population previously. Finally, the overall mortality in our study was fairly low, which caused a low statistical precision in detecting a difference in mortality between the vitamin D deficient and non-deficient, and limited the number of variables that could be included in the logistic regression analysis.

Nevertheless, our study is one of the few studies that investigated vitamin D status in patients with severe sepsis admitted to medical wards. Moreover, there may be some differences in sun exposure levels between our country and the Western populations, from where most data have been collected; those differences may prove to be significant in a future comparative study. Aside from a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in patients with severe sepsis, our study also identified that severe vitamin D deficiency was associated with worse clinical outcomes. This particular group of patients may benefit from vitamin D treatment. Future research with a larger sample size in similar or different cohorts to confirm our findings regarding the impact of low vitamin D levels is important. This information will serve as a foundation for detailing the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and outcomes in patients with severe sepsis.
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