Animal models of asthma: utility and limitations
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Abstract: Clinical studies in asthma are not able to clear up all aspects of disease pathophysiology. Animal models have been developed to better understand these mechanisms and to evaluate both safety and efficacy of therapies before starting clinical trials. Several species of animals have been used in experimental models of asthma, such as Drosophila, rats, guinea pigs, cats, dogs, pigs, primates and equines. However, the most common species studied in the last two decades is mice, particularly BALB/c. Animal models of asthma try to mimic the pathophysiology of human disease. They classically include two phases: sensitization and challenge. Sensitization is traditionally performed by intraperitoneal and subcutaneous routes, but intranasal instillation of allergens has been increasingly used because human asthma is induced by inhalation of allergens. Challenges with allergens are performed through aerosol, intranasal or intratracheal instillation. However, few studies have compared different routes of sensitization and challenge. The causative allergen is another important issue in developing a good animal model. Despite being more traditional and leading to intense inflammation, ovalbumin has been replaced by aeroallergens, such as house dust mites, to use the allergens that cause human disease. Finally, researchers should define outcomes to be evaluated, such as serum-specific antibodies, airway hyperresponsiveness, inflammation and remodeling. The present review analyzes the animal models of asthma, assessing differences between species, allergens and routes of allergen administration.
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Introduction

Asthma affects approximately 300 million individuals of all age groups worldwide and its prevalence is increasing.1 According to estimates, there are 18 asthma-related deaths per million people and 180,000 deaths per year.2 Asthma has an impact on society because of adults’ loss of productivity and children’s learning impairment. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention defines “asthma as a heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation. It is defined by the history of respiratory symptoms such as wheeze, shortness of breath, chest tightness and cough that vary over time and in intensity, together with variable expiratory airflow limitation”.1 This chronic inflammation leads to airway remodeling, characterized by mucus hypersecretion, epithelial fibrosis, metaplasia and hyperplasia of goblet cells, and hypertrophy and hyperplasia of airway smooth muscle.3
For many reasons, studies of humans with asthma do not fit all the ethical committee requirements. Therefore, animal models are necessary to better understand the pathophysiological mechanisms and to evaluate both safety and efficacy of new therapies on asthma before starting clinical trials in humans. Nevertheless, the use of experimental animals in research laboratories also requires compliance with ethical precepts. These requirements were published by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), which were revised over 20 years ago (Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals – NIH; publication 85–23, revised in 1985). Since then, experimental models that do not meet these requirements are not acceptable.

Different phenotypes have been described in asthma but there is no standard way to distinguish them. They differ regarding clinical parameters, physiological criteria and environmental triggers, and biomarkers to identify distinct endotypes are needed. Animal models are limited for not being able to mimic all features and phenotypes of human asthma.

However, they have proved their worth in amplifying the knowledge of many inflammatory, structural and physiological characteristics of asthma. The Type 2 (T2 High) phenotype has been widely studied, but unfortunately in half of asthma patients the immune response is not Th2 mediated. Therefore, animal models that best represent each phenotype of asthma are needed.

There are many asthma models described in the literature, using different species and different methods to better mimic human asthma. They represent a scenario to understand disease pathophysiology and to test potential drug therapies. Positive results in animal experiments can be translated to clinical studies. In this review we perform a different approach about animal models of asthma, focusing on differences between species, allergens, routes of allergen administration and major outcomes evaluated.

**Animal species**

Asthma is a complex syndrome observed exclusively in humans. In animals, asthma-like conditions are observed in cats with eosinophilic bronchitis and in equines with heaves. During the last decades, several studies were performed using animal models to better understand the pathophysiology of disease and its immunological mechanisms.

Many animal species have been used to study the mechanisms involved in asthma (Drosophila, rat, guinea pig, cat, dog, swine, cattle, sheep, horse and primates), but the most common model is the murine allergic airway inflammation.

Fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster has been used as an alternative prototype to address the innate immunity and airway epithelial cells in asthma. This invertebrate model merges a comparatively simple physiology and genetic organization together with an unparalleled toolkit for genetic manipulation. Roeder et al proposed the use of Drosophila as an asthma model based on the potential homology of known asthma-susceptibility genes between humans and this invertebrate as well as the characteristics of airway immunity and asthma-like phenotypes observed in the fruit fly.

Experimental asthma in guinea pigs was introduced in 1937 by Kallós P and Kallós L. Guinea pigs have been the animal species most widely used as the first animal models of allergic respiratory disease, because they present airway physiological processes much similar to humans. They also respond strongly to allergens and have autonomic control of airways. Then, efficacy of drugs such as bronchodilators can be tested before their use in clinical trials.

On the other hand, primary disadvantages of using guinea pig are the lack of specific probes and reagents for studying allergic outcomes, which are not easily available. So, comprehension of humoral and cellular mechanisms was very difficult. In addition, there is paucity of transgenic models and few strains of guinea pigs for comparative studies. Moreover, the notable axon reflex presented in these animals has not been described in human airways until now.

Another handicap of guinea pig is the longer gestation time (60–75 days) comparing with mice (20–30 days), and the lower number of offsprings.

In 1994, the first mouse models resembling allergic asthma were published and, thereafter, have resulted in significant strides in our understanding of atopic disease pathophysiology. Mice have become the most widely used species, because they are easy to breed, maintain and handle. In addition, a wide array of specific reagents are available for analysis of cellular and humoral responses, and genetically engineered transgenic or gene-knockout mice for modeling airway disease are available. The most commonly used mouse strain in antigen challenge models is BALB/c as they develop a good Th2-biased immunological response, although C57BL/6 and A/J strains have also been used successfully in experimental models of respiratory allergic disease.

Mice do not develop asthma spontaneously. So, the disease has to be artificially induced in the airways. The murine models of allergic respiratory diseases induced by ovalbumin
(OVA) and aeroallergens have been widely used to elucidate immunological and nonimmunological mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of asthma. In addition, they are useful for identifying and investigating new targets for controlling allergic inflammation. For that, acute and chronic experimental models have been developed.

Acute mice models have successfully reproduced many features of asthma such as high levels of serum total and specific immunoglobulin E (IgE), airway inflammation, epithelial hypertrophy, goblet cell hyperplasia and airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR). Besides, in some models, researches can induce early- and late-phase bronchoconstriction in response to allergen challenge. On the other hand, in acute models, the pattern and distribution of pulmonary inflammation and aeroallergens have been widely used to elucidate immunological and nonimmunological mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of asthma. In addition, they are useful for identifying and investigating new targets for controlling allergic inflammation. For that, acute and chronic experimental models have been developed.

In terms of T-helper activation profile, according to cytokine measurement, few data are available about most species. In rodent models, Th2 cytokine profile (interleukin 4 [IL-4], IL-5 and IL-13) has been well documented. In a feline model, IL-4 has been demonstrated in airway allergic inflammation, while in a sheep asthma model recently published, it has been demonstrated that both IL-4 and IL-13 increase in BAL after allergen challenge. In equines, former studies were controversial in terms of inflammation pattern, ranging from Th1, Th2 and Th17 cytokines profile, but recent studies have shown that inflammation seems to be mainly mediated by Th17 cytokines, like CXCL13 and IL-17. In Table 1, we summarize some of the main discrepancies between different animal species used in animal models.

**Allergens and agents**

Studies with animal models of allergic asthma assess disease pathogenesis. It is well known that allergic immune response initiates with a first phase named sensitization, which is characterized by production of specific IgE driven to the allergen by B cells. Once IgE is produced, it will bind to the high-affinity receptor FcεR1 on the surface of mast cells and basophils.

The second phase is named challenge. In future contacts with the same allergen, effector cells (mast cells and basophils) in the airways will be activated through FcεR1, initiating an immediate hypersensitivity reaction. Minutes after allergen cross-linking two IgE molecules, these effector cells release preformed and rapidly synthesized mediators such as histamine, resulting in bronchospasm, edema and mucous secretion in the lower airways. There can be a late phase, which is mediated by cytokines and chemokines and is characterized by edema and leukocytic influx, usually 6–24 hours after the immediate phase. The most important leukocytes of the late phase are eosinophils, which are recruited by IL-5 and are essential to maintain the chronic inflammatory process and tissue damage. As asthma is a chronic disease, recurrence of challenges leads to chronic eosinophilic inflammation.

To mimic the pathogenesis of human asthma, protocols for development of animal models must include a sensitization and a challenge phase. They usually use repeated doses of systemic allergen administration together with adjuvants, such as aluminum hydroxide, to increase immune response. However, as cited above, the pattern of lung inflammation and its distribution within lower airways is quite different from...
Table 1 Advantages and disadvantages of animal species most frequently found in experimental models of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal species</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig 15,19,20,27</td>
<td>Easily sensitized and challenged</td>
<td>Higher cost than mice and rat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good model for airways disease</td>
<td>Specific probes for studying allergic outcomes not easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural AHR</td>
<td>Axon reflex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lung pharmacological responses</td>
<td>Reagents not easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development of immediate and late-phase asthmatic responses</td>
<td>Limited genetic knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tolerance after repeated allergen exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat 13</td>
<td>Low cost</td>
<td>Specific probes for studying allergic outcomes not easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easily sensitized and challenged</td>
<td>Reagents not easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Larger size than mice</td>
<td>Tolerance after repeated allergen exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Larger volumes of serum and BAL fluid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mice 15,16–28,29</td>
<td>Low cost</td>
<td>Nonphysiological late-phase bronchoconstriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Different strains available</td>
<td>Distribution of lung inflammation different from human asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easily sensitized and challenged</td>
<td>Lack of chronicity of the response to allergen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genetic known-in details</td>
<td>Tolerance after repeated allergen exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy to handle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy to manipulate under transgenic technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specific probes for studying allergic outcomes available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reagents largely available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat 12,15,16</td>
<td>Distal lung anatomy similar to human’s</td>
<td>High cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Idiopathic bronchial disease similar to human asthma</td>
<td>Reagents not easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extremely intensive labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 15,16</td>
<td>Natural susceptibility to allergens</td>
<td>High cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy development of atopy</td>
<td>Larger airways (almost no bronchoconstriction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophils naturally found in the airways</td>
<td>Reagents not easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development of long-term changes in pulmonary function</td>
<td>Extremely intensive labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equine 11,16</td>
<td>Heaves – airway disease with some hallmarks of human asthma</td>
<td>High cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No allergic immediate response after challenge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heaves – disease more similar to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophilic inflammation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reagents not easily available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extremely intensive labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep 15</td>
<td>Natural susceptibility to allergens</td>
<td>High cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immediate physiological responses to inhaled allergen</td>
<td>Platelet factor antagonists modulate the late-phase allergic response in sheep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-specific AHR</td>
<td>but not in humans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term AHR after challenge (similar to human asthma)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AHR, airway hyperresponsiveness; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage.

human asthma. There are many sensitization protocols that can induce acute or chronic asthma in animals and they will be addressed later on.

Adjuvants, such as potassium aluminum sulfate, are used to increase allergen immunogenicity leading to better chances of sensitization. In human beings, sensitization relies on mucosa exposure to allergen followed by immunological recognition and Th2 inflammatory response. In animal models, allergens can be delivered to the immune system through subcutaneous (SC) injection, intraperitoneal (IP) injection, intranasal (IN) drops or inhaling.

Allergens that have been used in animal models are OVA, house dust mite (HDM), such as Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus (Der p) or D. farinae (Der f), mite allergens (Der p 1, Der f 1, Der p 23, etc), fungi (Aspergillus fumigatus, Alternaria alternata), cockroach extracts, Ascaris antigens, cotton dust, ragweed and latex (Hevea brasiliensis). The allergen of choice depends on the condition to be replicated and it can be used separately or in combination.

OVA is the most used allergen. It is derived from chicken egg and can be produced in large quantities, making it less expensive. OVA has been used in experimental models of asthma and induces intense allergic pulmonary inflammation. Nevertheless, OVA does not induce airway inflammation in humans and it has been questioned as a good allergen to study asthma. HDM has been successfully used to induce asthma in animal models. Three characteristics of this allergen make it suitable: intrinsic enzymatic activity, immunogenicity and direct activation through the Dectin-2 receptor of innate immune cells that promote allergic inflammation.

Cockroach extracts mostly used in animal models are derived from Blatella germanica. Previous studies have
shown that the protein Bla g 2 is a potent allergen and it can be identified in 60%-80% of patients allergic to domestic cockroaches.48

Ascaris lumbricoides is one of the most common parasites found in human disease, infecting about 25% of the world’s population. Ascaris allergens were described over two decades ago.49 Since then, their antigens have been used in a few animal models of asthma.50-53

It is well known that fungi are between the major allergens that induce allergic rhinitis and asthma. Then, many animal models have been developed, particularly using A. fumigatus, the classical causative agent of allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis.54 Animals that underwent Aspergillus sensitization and challenge develop high levels of IgE, eosinophilia and lung inflammation.54

Ragweeds are flowering plants from the genus Ambrosia, belonging to the aster family Asteraceae. Ragweed pollen is responsible for allergic reactions in humans, particularly hay fever.55 It is estimated that half of the cases of hay fever in North America are induced by ragweed.55 Despite the impact of pollen allergy, few ragweed models can be found in the literature. One of them is a dog model with T cells locally activated in the lungs within 4 hours after exposure to ragweed allergen.56

Proteins from H. brasiliensis (latex) can also lead to sensitization and allergic reactions in human.57 Results obtained from a murine asthma model induced by latex suggest that curcumin has potential therapeutic effects. The study showed that eosinophilic inflammation, expression of co-stimulatory molecules and expression of some genes involved in the process were attenuated by curcumin.58

**Routes of sensitization and challenge**

Chronic models of allergic respiratory disease involve repeated airway exposition to low levels of allergen for periods of up to 12 weeks. Different antigens have been employed and coadministration of an adjuvant is usually, but not always, required.28 On the other hand, repeated long-term allergen exposure, in particular with protein antigens such as OVA, may be associated with tolerance development.59

Aiming to develop both acute and chronic animal models of asthma, several approaches in terms of routes of sensitization and challenge have been tested. Since 1980s, IP route has probably been the most traditional way to induce sensitization. One of the most commonly repeated protocols was animal sensitization with two IP injections spaced by 7-14 days and the challenge is performed 1 week later with the culprit allergen.51-54

Nevertheless, SC route has been successfully used in last years, both in models of OVA and aeroallergen-induced pulmonary inflammation. As far as we know, the first manuscript about an animal model of asthma using SC injections for sensitization was published in 1999.61 Since then, many studies were performed, but there is limited data in terms of comparison between these two different systemic routes, IP and SC.

SC and IP routes have been compared in terms of sensitization with OVA, with conflicting results.62,63 but until recently there were no published data about this comparison in animal models of asthma induced by aeroallergens. In 2015, we showed that sensitization by SC route was superior than IP in a murine model of asthma induced by HDM.64 Nevertheless, to our knowledge, it is still the only publication on this topic, and further studies comparing different allergens and protocols are needed to confirm our findings.

After systemic sensitization, allergen challenge is necessary to drive inflammation to the airways. Most studies published to date use allergen challenge via the airways, usually over a period of several days. Allergen may be inhaled as a nebulized formulation (aerosol), or administered by intracheal (IT) or IN instillation of an aqueous formulation.50,59-61 In authors’ experimental practice, aerosol route of challenge spends higher amount of allergen, but is less invasive and does not require animal sedation. On the other hand, IN and IT routes are more invasive and require sedation to be administered. The clear advantage of IN and IT routes is that allergens are instillated directly inside the airways and could drive a more intense allergic inflammation.

One of the major criticisms over animal models of asthma is that they do not mimic the real ways to induce the allergic response. First of all, it is well known that asthma is a chronic disease resulting from intermittent or continued aeroallergen exposure leading to airway inflammation. This exposure occurs throughout life, primarily via the inhalation of allergens and irritants through the airways during ventilation. Furthermore, using IP or SC routes to sensitize animals is far from natural in terms of inducing an allergic inflammatory response. In line with that knowledge, some studies have evaluated the possibility to use IN instillations of allergen to sensitize animals and then challenge with aerosol.68,69 IN instillation of allergen would be the most similar to that occurring in human asthma. Two different protocols published in 2004 used repeated IN exposition to HDM without adjuvants and induce pulmonary allergic inflammation analog to asthma.30,70 The study published by Johnson et al30 used a chronic protocol, with IN instillation
of HDM or OVA 5 days a week, during seven consecutive weeks. They showed that HDM, but not OVA, elicited severe and persistent eosinophilic airway inflammation, suggesting that continuous exposure to OVA could have led to tolerance or the need of an adjuvant for sensitization. Chronic exposure to aeroallergen mimics better human asthma, and could allow the development of better treatment and immunotherapeutic strategies. Those reasons explain why this protocol has recently been replicated or adapted so many times in the literature.

In terms of sensitization and challenge, we can conclude that using the airways to administer the allergen has been a recent tendency, trying to mimic human asthma, instead of IP or SC routes. However, SC route can be very interesting to study new immunotherapeutic strategies, taking into consideration that SC is the most widely used route of allergen immunotherapy in humans. In Table 2, we describe the main differences between routes of sensitization and challenge.

**Table 2** Comparison between different routes of sensitization and challenge in animal models of asthma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routes of sensitization</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraperitoneal</td>
<td>Most traditional</td>
<td>Induction of tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Few doses required</td>
<td>No similarity to human sensitization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sedation not required</td>
<td>Usually requires an adjuvant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Few doses required</td>
<td>Induction of tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sedation not required</td>
<td>No similarity to human sensitization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less invasive than IP</td>
<td>Usually requires an adjuvant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better than IP in a HDM model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparable to IP in an OVA model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrasanal</td>
<td>Mimics human sensitization</td>
<td>Many instillations required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be used for chronic exposition</td>
<td>Sedation required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not require adjuvants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route of challenge</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol</td>
<td>Mimics human exposition</td>
<td>High allergen dose required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrasanal</td>
<td>Mimics human exposition</td>
<td>Many instillations required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Induces upper airway inflammation</td>
<td>Sedation required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be used for chronic exposition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrastrate</td>
<td>Drive the allergen into lower airways</td>
<td>Invasive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low allergen dose required</td>
<td>Sedation required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major outcomes**

In general, animal models have contributed to the current understanding of how the immune system interacts with the functional respiratory system and pulmonary pathophysiology. Differences in observed results may be related to distinct allergens, sensitization routes, experimental designs and animal species or lineages used. Anyway, most of the experimental asthma models usually evaluate the following outcomes: immunological (IgE, IgG, cytokines), histopathological (pattern of inflammatory infiltrate in the airway) and functional (lung function measured by plethysmography).

Several authors have shown that allergen-induced respiratory disease alters lung function with changes in airway resistance, airway elastance, or increased hyperresponsiveness.77–79 This alteration in lung function is followed by an increased deposition of elastic and collagen fibers in the perivascular space and in parenchyma lung tissue.80 goblet cell hyperplasia and airway smooth muscle thickening similar to the pathologies observed in human asthma.75–77

Regarding pulmonary inflammation, it is possible to observe in experimental models of asthma an intense influx of eosinophils, especially in the airways, peribronchial space and parenchyma.58,81–83 Increased eosinophil count is also present in blood and BAL in conjunction with an increase of lymphocytes, macrophages and neutrophils.86–88 Concomitantly, dendritic cells may migrate from outside into the ganglia to interact with sensory neurons enhancing or protecting the allergic airway inflammation.89

Cytokine production is another important outcome assessed in experimental models of asthma. Influx of eosinophil and other leukocytes, as well as the role of many cytokines and chemokines has been demonstrated through animal models.90,91 In recent years, biological actions of some novel mediators, such as interleukins IL-25 and IL-33 and thymic stromal lymphopoietin, major airway epithelial-derived cytokines, have been described. These cytokines have been entitled as “epithelial-derived alarmins” because of the ability of activation and potentiation of the immune system. An intense correlation of these epithelial-derived alarmins with the pathobiological responses induced by aeroallergens was observed in the airways.92 Finally, about humoral response, guinea pigs share with mice the shortcoming of utilizing IgG1 and IgE in regulating the immediate hypersensitivity response to allergen.11–14,19,20,93–98

**Conclusion**

Animal models remain the easiest way to understand pathophysiology of allergic asthma and to help developing new
Animal models of asthma
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