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Background: The objective of this proof-of-concept study was to assess the safety, efficacy, and potential for dose response of a new oral liquid formulation of celecoxib, DFN-15, in adults with migraine. Variability in patient-identified most bothersome symptom (MBS) across 3 migraine attacks was also evaluated.

Methods: This was a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, 3-treatment, 6-sequence, 3-period, crossover study of 3 treatments (DFN-15 120 mg, DFN-15 240 mg, and placebo) administered at the onset of moderate to severe headache.

Results: Of 63 randomized subjects, 56 (89%) took single doses of DFN-15 120 mg and 240 mg and completed all 3 treatment periods. Most subjects were female (75.0%) and white (86.7%), with a mean age of 43.6 years. Both doses of DFN-15 achieved a higher 2-hour pain-free response than placebo (29.1% for 120 mg, 26.1% for 240 mg, and 17.6% for placebo), but the differences were not statistically significant. Photophobia was most commonly reported as the MBS, but for 53% of subjects (27/51), their identified MBS varied across the 3 studied attacks. The most common treatment-emergent adverse events with DFN-15 were dysgeusia (11.8%) and nausea (5.9%).

Conclusion: Both doses of DFN-15 outperformed placebo for the 2-hour pain-free end point, but due to a carryover effect with placebo, the differences were not statistically significant. Since response to both doses was similar, DFN-15 120 mg is being further developed for the management of acute migraine. Further study is needed to determine whether the current findings are altered by larger or different trial designs (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02472418).
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Introduction

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have been recommended for the treatment of episodic migraine, but cyclo-oxygenase (COX)-2 selective NSAIDs may provide a therapeutic advantage over nonselective NSAIDs due to a reduced risk of gastrointestinal (GI) adverse events (AEs), including upper GI ulcers and bleeds. Celecoxib is a selective COX-2 inhibitor that has shown analgesic effects similar to other NSAIDs. In the US, celecoxib is supplied as an oral capsule in several dosages (Celebrex®, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA), and it is indicated for the treatment of patients with osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, acute pain, and primary dysmenorrhea. For the treatment of acute migraine, oral celecoxib was
assessed in an open-label study comparing 400 mg celecoxib oral capsules with naproxen sodium 550 mg (Synflex®; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd), which reported that celecoxib caused significantly less gastric pain and is as effective as naproxen sodium.7

DFN-15 (Promius Pharma, Princeton, NJ, USA) is a new oral liquid formulation of celecoxib intended for the acute treatment of adults with episodic migraine. A recent pharmacokinetic (PK) study comparing DFN-15 with celecoxib oral capsules (400 mg) found that DFN-15 doses of 120 mg, 180 mg, and 240 mg achieved substantially higher plasma concentrations of celecoxib (1062–1933 vs 611 ng/mL) and faster median time to peak concentration (1 hour vs 2.5 hours).3 A new COX-2 inhibitor that is effective and more rapidly absorbed than nonselective NSAIDs could provide clinical benefits in migraine by achieving a rapid onset of action, which patients rank among the most important attributes of acute medication,9 and preventing the development of central sensitization, which decreases the likelihood of a successful outcome.10 Doses of 120 mg and 240 mg are currently in development, and a fully powered study is underway to assess their efficacy and safety in adults with episodic migraine (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03009019). This small, preliminary study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02472418) was conducted to assess the safety and efficacy of DFN-15 120 mg and 240 mg in adults with migraine with or without aura and to assess dose-response phenomena between 120 mg and 240 mg.

Methods
Ethical conduct
Before any study-related activities were undertaken, the protocol, informed consent form, electronic diary, and other relevant study documents were approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of each study center. This study was conducted in compliance with the revised Guidelines of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (Brazil, 2013), guidelines of the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use for current Good Clinical Practice, and the Code of Federal Regulations of the Food and Drug Administration, as well as the national drug and data protection laws and other applicable regulatory requirements. Patients provided written consent to participate in the study after having been informed about the nature and purpose of the study, participation/termination conditions, and risks and benefits of treatment.

Study design
This proof-of-concept study used a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, 3-treatment, 6-sequence, 3-period crossover design. It was conducted at 6 study centers in the US. The protocol, informed consent form, screenshots of the electronic diary assessments, and other relevant study documents were approved by Quorum Review IRB (Seattle, WA, USA) before initiation of the study.

Subjects
Males and females, 18–65 years of age, satisfying International Classification of Headache Disorders, Second Edition (ICHD-2) criteria11 for episodic migraine (≤14 headache-days per month) with or without visual aura and who experienced 2 to 6 attacks of moderate or severe pain per month and were headache-free at least 48 hours between attacks were eligible to participate. Subjects were excluded if they had medication overuse headache (as defined by ICHD-2), a known hypersensitivity to celecoxib, or any medical condition that might confound the objectives of the study, or if they were taking botulinum toxin within 180 days of screening or unstable dosages of prophylactic medications for migraine within 30 days of screening. Subjects continued to take their usual migraine medication during the screening period.

Treatments
For this study, dose selection was based on PK modeling data from unpublished PK studies of DFN-15 prototypes. Study medications included oral formulations of DFN-15 120 mg, 240 mg, and placebo packaged together in a single carton with 2 identical amber-colored glass bottles containing 2.4 mL solution. Subjects were instructed to consume 1 bottle of DFN-15 solution and 1 bottle of placebo for the 120 mg dose and 2 bottles of DFN-15 solution for the 240 mg dose. For the placebo-treated attacks, subjects consumed 2 bottles of placebo. Placebo was matched to DFN-15 for taste.

For each treated migraine attack, subjects were instructed to use the study medication at the onset of moderate to severe headache pain (defined as patient headache pain rating of 2 or 3 on a scale of 0 to 3). In each of the 3 treatment periods, subjects treated 1 migraine attack at the onset of moderate to severe headache pain, but no later than 1 hour after the onset of moderate headache pain. Subjects were instructed to wait at least 4 days after a migraine attack before treating a new migraine attack with study medication.

Assessments
The primary efficacy end point was the proportion of patients who were pain-free at 2 hours after the initial dose of DFN-15 120 mg or 240 mg. Secondary efficacy variables included the following: headache intensity rating at 10, 15, 20, and 30 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 24, and 48 hours postdose; most
Results

Subjects
Of 63 randomized subjects, 60 received at least 1 dose of study medication and had at least 1 postbaseline efficacy assessment, and 56 (89%) took single doses of DFN-15 120 mg and 240 mg and completed all 3 treatment periods (Figure 1). In total, 11% of subjects (7/60) withdrew from study: 5.0% (3/60) withdrew consent, 3.3% (2/60) had not treated 3 migraine attacks within 3 months of randomization, and 3.3% (2/60) were lost to follow-up.

The majority of subjects were female (75.0%) and white (86.7%). Mean age was 43.6 years, average weight was 80.61 kg, and average body mass index was 28.48 kg/m², with most patients (70.0%) having a body mass index less than 30 kg/m².

Efficacy
Both doses of DFN-15 showed better 2-hour pain-free response than placebo, with rates of 29.1% for 120 mg, 26.1% for 240 mg, and 17.6% for placebo. There were no significant differences between the 2 DFN-15 doses and between DFN-15 and placebo at 2 hours postdose. In treatment period 1, 2-hour pain-free responses were 31.6% for DFN-15 120 mg, 13.3% for DFN-15 240 mg, and 10.0% for placebo, with no significant differences observed (Figure 2).

Most bothersome symptom
Altogether, 85% of subjects (51/60) identified an MBS. Photophobia was the most commonly reported MBS, but most subjects (53%; 27/51) showed variability in their identification of a symptom as most bothersome across attacks. At 2 hours postdose, the proportions of subjects who were free from their MBS were 50% for DFN-15 120 mg, 39.5% for DFN-15 240 mg, and 28.2% for placebo, with no significant differences between DFN-15 120 mg and DFN-15 240 mg & completed 3 treatment periods N=56

Figure 1 Disposition of subjects.
Figure 3 Freedom from most bothersome symptom 2 hours after treatment with DFN-15 120 mg (period 1).

and 240 mg and between DFN-15 and placebo. At 2 hours after treatment with DFN-15 120 mg in treatment period 1, 66.7% of subjects were free from nausea, 56.3% were free from photophobia, and 60% were free from phonophobia (Figure 3), and there was no significant difference between DFN-15 120 mg and placebo.

Pharmacokinetics
The present study yielded insufficient data for PK analysis and hence are not discussed here.

Safety
Overall, the doses of DFN-15 used in this study were safe and well tolerated (Table 1). A total of 26.7% of subjects (16/60) reported 37 treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) during the study, 13 of which were judged by the investigator to be related to study medication. The most commonly reported TEAEs were dysgeusia (reported 14 times by 6 subjects) and nausea (reported 6 times by 4 subjects). There were no serious AEs, and no AEs leading to study termination or death. Of the 16 subjects who reported TEAEs, 12 had mild events, 4 had moderate events, and none had severe events. There were no clinically meaningful changes from baseline for any clinical laboratory variable, vital sign, or ECG reading.

Discussion
This proof-of-concept study was undertaken to demonstrate the tolerability, safety, and efficacy of DFN-15 in the treatment of acute migraine with or without aura. At 2 hours postdose, there was a numerical separation between DFN-15 and placebo. Since there was no dose–response relationship between DFN-15 120 and 240 mg, the 120 mg dose is being further developed for the management of acute migraine attacks. The lack of significant difference from placebo may be due to the small sample size and an unexpectedly high placebo response, which itself may be related to the crossover design of the study. In treatment period 1, the placebo response was consistent with other published studies, but in subsequent periods, the placebo rates were 2 to 3 times higher than anticipated. A larger, fully powered clinical trial, currently underway, will provide additional data on the efficacy and safety of DFN-15 120 mg.

Due to the possibility of TEAEs, patients want treatments with minimal side effects. In this study, dysgeusia and nausea were the only AEs reported by more than 1 subject. Since there were no serious AEs, 75% of TEAEs were mild, and no subjects withdrew for safety reasons, the study results well support the use of DFN-15. Additional studies are needed to determine whether tolerability will be a barrier to care.

The heterogeneity of migraine attacks is well known. Its most prominent feature, headache, is usually (but not always) unilateral, throbbing, and ranging in intensity from mild to incapacitating, and the pain may be accompanied by nausea, vomiting, photophobia, and phonophobia. In addition, some migraineurs experience infrequent attacks (<4 per month) and little or no disability, while others have frequent attacks (≥15 per month) and severe disability, and monthly variations in the frequency of attacks have been observed. This has led to the inclusion of patient-identified MBS as a potential co-primary end point in acute migraine clinical trials. Previous research has suggested that attacks tend to be characterized by high between-participant and smaller within-participant variability, but the variability of MBS across attacks within the same patient has not been studied. In this study, photophobia was most frequently rated as the MBS, although more than half of the subjects showed variability in identifying a symptom as most bothersome across attacks. Determining which aspects of migraine symptomatology are most bothersome to patients may help to refine drug selection and individualize treatment plans.

Conclusion
The 120 mg and 240 mg doses of DFN-15 showed differences from placebo for the 2-hour pain-free end point, but the differences were not statistically significant. No dose-response relationship was observed between DFN-15
Table 1  Treatment-emergent adverse events after administration of DFN-15 and placebo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Placebo (N=53), n (%)</th>
<th>DFN-15 120 mg (N=51), n (%)</th>
<th>DFN-15 240 mg (N=51), n (%)</th>
<th>Both (N=59), n (%)</th>
<th>Overall (N=60), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9 (17.0)</td>
<td>8 (15.7)</td>
<td>9 (17.6)</td>
<td>12 (20.3)</td>
<td>16 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysgeusia</td>
<td>4 (7.5)</td>
<td>6 (11.8)</td>
<td>4 (7.8)</td>
<td>6 (10.2)</td>
<td>6 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>3 (5.9)</td>
<td>3 (5.1)</td>
<td>4 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitation</td>
<td>2 (3.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somnolence</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral gastroenteritis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mononucleosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonsillar abscess</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry eye</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throat irritation</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot flush</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

120 mg and 240 mg. There was substantial within-subject variability in MBS across attacks. DFN-15 120 mg is being further developed for the acute treatment of migraine attacks, and larger, parallel-group clinical trials will further clarify its efficacy.
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