Adenocarcinoma arising from a gastric duplication cyst: a case report and literature review
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Introduction: Alimentary tract cystic duplication is a rare congenital anomaly predominantly affecting females, and diagnosed mostly in the early years of life.

Case report: We present here a case of a 51-year-old man presenting with a 2-day history of melena. Gastroscopy showed fresh blood, as well as a mass lesion and cavity at the fundus. Biopsies of the mass edge reported the occurrence of moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma and mild chronic gastritis. Computed tomography imaging showed a 5.1×6.5 cm cystic mass in the gastric greater curvature. The patient received six cycles of epirubicin, capecitabine, and oxaliplatin, followed by uncomplicated total gastrectomy.

Literature review: Data from all 11 reports of similar cases were reviewed and pooled. The result shows male predominance and variable symptoms, as well as a wide age range (25–76 years) at presentation. The cysts are commonly located along the greater curvature and are unicocular. Surgery was the treatment in most cases.

Conclusion: Alimentary tract cystic duplication is rare and may predispose to malignancy. Early diagnosis and prompt surgical intervention is important for the best outcome.
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Introduction
Duplication of the alimentary tract is a rare congenital anomaly, with an incidence of 1 in 4,500 live births.1 Two forms of duplication have been reported, namely, tubular and cystic. The tubular form communicates with the normal intestinal tract, while the cystic form is separate from it.1,2 Furthermore, most enteric duplication cysts are diagnosed during the first year of life, and about 70% of cases are identified by the age of 12 years.3 In 1961, Potter reported 2 cases in >9,000 fetal and neonatal autopsies.4 They may be slightly more common in males.5 The most common location is the ileum (35%), while the least common site is the stomach (2%–9%). Although very rare, carcinoma can arise from a gastric duplication cyst (GDC). Adenocarcinoma rarely arises from a GDC and, to our knowledge, only 11 cases (in the English language literature) have been reported to date (Table 1).6–16 Numerous other cases were reported in languages other than English.17–19 Nonetheless, there can be no argument that carcinomas arising from a GDC are especially rare. We are reporting, herein, a case of a 51-year-old man who, along with a duplication of the alimentary tract affecting the stomach, presented with melena, and upon further investigation, a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma was detected. In addition, we review the diagnosis and treatment of similar patients reported in the literature.
A 51-year-old man presented to the Accident and Emergency Department of Salmaniya Medical Complex with a 2-day history of melena. There was no past medical history of note, no alcohol intake, and he was not on any medications. The patient stopped smoking 10 years prior to admission. There was a first-degree family history of colorectal cancer.

Upon investigation, a gastroscopy revealed fresh blood and clots in the fundus of the stomach. A mass lesion and cavity were seen along the greater curvature of the stomach during retroflexed examination of the fundus. The cavity contained an ulcerated area with clots (Figure 1). Biopsies of the mass in the cavity showed normal gastric mucosa with moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma and mild chronic gastritis (Figure 2). Computed tomography (CT) with contrast of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis demonstrated a 5.1 × 6.5 cm cystic mass in the greater curvature of the stomach (Figure 3).

The cyst had a 6 mm thickened wall, with a septum dividing it into 2 parts. Hematoxylin and eosin (H–E) staining revealed a cyst lined by benign epithelial lining (Figure 4).

### Table 1: Summary of case studies reporting adenocarcinoma arising in GDC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Age (years), sex</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yamasaki et al, 2016</td>
<td>42, female</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Adjacent to the greater curvature of stomach</td>
<td>10.0 × 9.5 × 8.0 cm unilocular cystic mass</td>
<td>Despite complete resection, the patient developed metastasis, underwent oral chemotherapy (TS-1), and died 2 months later.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al, 2014</td>
<td>28, male</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Adhered to gastric corpus</td>
<td>10 × 10 cm</td>
<td>7 months after surgery (in which the cyst ruptured), metastatic adenocarcinoma with peritoneal carcinomatosis was diagnosed. Patient underwent a wedge resection to remove the lesion. Adenocarcinoma showing invasion to the proper muscle layer of the cyst was observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al, 2014</td>
<td>56, male</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Along the greater curvature of the stomach</td>
<td>5.5 × 3.5 × 2.5 cm unilocular cyst</td>
<td>Entire cyst was excised. Findings were consistent with an adenocarcinoma that had arisen from the intestinal duplication cyst.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin et al, 2014</td>
<td>52, male</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>Peritoneum</td>
<td>4 × 3 × 3 cm unilocular cyst</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank et al, 2012</td>
<td>51, male</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ileal mesentery</td>
<td>4 × 10 cm</td>
<td>An en bloc resection was done. H–E staining revealed poorly differentiated invasive adenocarcinoma (intestinal type). Resection with total gastrectomy and regional lymphadenectomy were done. This is the youngest patient reported. Preoperative diagnosis was a GIST, and surgical excision was performed. The patient had an uneventful recovery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng and Jing, 2012</td>
<td>25, male</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>The greater curvature of the stomach</td>
<td>Not found</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al, 2011</td>
<td>76, male</td>
<td>Incidentally found perigastric mass</td>
<td>Below the gastroesophageal junction</td>
<td>4 × 4 cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukumoto et al, 2008</td>
<td>50, male</td>
<td>Persistent vomiting due to pyloric stenosis</td>
<td>Mass on greater curvature of upper gastric corpus and mass adjacent to the bulbus</td>
<td>Masses of sizes 2 cm and 3 cm</td>
<td>Histological findings showed adenocarcinoma in the anal duplication cyst wall. A pancreatoduodenectomy was performed 9 days after initial surgery. Patient died 14 months later of local recurrence and multiple liver metastases. Tubular adenocarcinoma was discovered, wherein the cyst had adhered 1 month after cystectomy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuraoka et al, 2004</td>
<td>40, male</td>
<td>Fever and back pain</td>
<td>Between stomach and spleen</td>
<td>7 cm in diameter and unilocular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coit and Mies, 1992</td>
<td>72, female</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Adjacent to greater curvature of stomach</td>
<td>3.2 cm in diameter</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma believed to have arisen in the GDC was identified, and the patient underwent a radical distal subtotal gastrectomy with Billroth I reconstruction. Carcinoma had developed, but patient recovered after radical subtotal gastric resection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayo et al, 1955</td>
<td>64, female</td>
<td>Weakness, anorexia, and malaise</td>
<td>Distal end of antrum of the stomach</td>
<td>6 cm in diameter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** GDC, gastric duplication cyst; GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumor; H–E, hematoxylin and eosin; TS, titanium silicate; yrs, years.

**Case report**

A 51-year-old man presented to the Accident and Emergency Department of Salmaniya Medical Complex with a 2-day history of melena. There was no past medical history of note, no alcohol intake, and he was not on any medications. The patient stopped smoking 10 years prior to admission. There was a first-degree family history of colorectal cancer.

Upon investigation, a gastroscopy revealed fresh blood and clots in the fundus of the stomach. A mass lesion and cavity were seen along the greater curvature of the stomach during retroflexed examination of the fundus. The cavity contained an ulcerated area with clots (Figure 1). Biopsies of the mass in the cavity showed normal gastric mucosa with moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma and mild chronic gastritis (Figure 2). Computed tomography (CT) with contrast of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis demonstrated a 5.1 × 6.5 cm cystic mass in the greater curvature of the stomach (Figure 3). The cyst had a 6 mm thickened wall, with a septum dividing it into 2 parts. Hematoxylin and eosin (H–E) staining revealed a cyst lined by benign epithelial lining (Figure 4).
These findings fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of GDC. No metastases or other abnormalities were seen (Figure 3).

The patient declined immediate surgical resection but agreed to neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery. Six cycles of epirubicin, capecitabine, and oxaliplatin were administered over 6 months without complication. The patient achieved a partial response to chemotherapy and subsequently underwent an uncomplicated total gastrectomy. The specimen contained the full stomach with omentum and measured 18.5×5×4 cm. A fungating mass measuring
A 5×5×2.5 cm was present in the fundus. The resection margins ranged from 2 to 13 cm. Multiple lymph nodes of <1 cm were present in the perigastric fat. Microscopic examination showed a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma with ypT2 invasion of the muscularis propria. The margins were clear of cancer. The 7th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Tumor-Node-Metastasis (TNM) Staging Manual for the stomach was used, and the final TNM stage was ypT2 N0 Mx. Written informed consent was provided by the patient to have the case details and any accompanying images published.

**Literature review**

As shown in Table 1, data from all the 11 reports of similar cases collected from the English language literature were reviewed and pooled. It shows male predominance (8 of 11 cases were males), variable symptoms (from incidental finding to no symptoms, to some more remarkable symptoms), and a wide age range (from 25 to 76 years) at presentation. The cysts are of variable sizes (from 2.5 cm to 10 cm in diameter), commonly located along the greater curvature of the stomach, and unilocular. Surgery was the rule in most cases.

**Discussion**

GDCs comprise about 2%–9% of all alimentary tract duplications, and cystic types with no connection to the gastric lumen compromise up to 80% of these lesions.3 There is a higher male incidence of GDCs complicated with malignancy, as in our reported case and that of others (Table 1), which shows only 3 females in the pooled 11 cases.4–16 This goes with the higher male predominance of gastric cancer in most literature. Most gastric duplications are single in nature, although multiple duplications have been reported.7 GDCs are true cysts. They typically have a mucosal lining, consisting of gastric epithelium, which is surrounded by a muscularis propria. Mucosal linings consisting of ectopic pancreatic tissue or respiratory epithelium are less commonly seen.20 GDCs are typically found in the greater curvature of the stomach.

The pathogenesis for alimentary duplication cysts is a matter of controversy. It is, however, regarded as a congenital condition, and the most plausible theory consists of an aberration in the recanalization and fusion of longitudinal folds. Kim et al proposed that duplication cysts originated from the fusion of longitudinal folds, allowing the passage of a bridge of submucosa and muscle at the second and third months of intrauterine life.21 Other theories include the development of traction diverticulum, which leads to duplication cyst formation as a result of notochord adhesion and therefore a lag of the elongation process of the embryonic endoderm relative to its surrounding structures. Other potential mechanisms proposed include abortive twinning, anoxia, and persistent embryological diverticula.21

Pathologic conditions associated with alimentary tract duplication cysts include pulmonary sequestration, multicystic dysplastic kidney, and distant neoplasia.22 Malignancy arising due to GDC is also an important differential diagnosis. Adenocarcinoma is currently considered the most common histologic type of malignancy arising in GDC cases; however, neuroendocrine carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma have also been reported.23 Table 1 represents an overview of case studies reporting adenocarcinoma arising in GDC. The risk of malignant transformation and associated complications has led to surgical excision being recommended as the standard of care for this condition.23 Open and laparoscopic excisions have been reported. Some patients have a duplication cyst that has a wall that is shared with the functional bowel. Treatment of these patients generally includes excision of only the duplicated area.2
GDCs can grow in size, leading to compression of adjacent organs, including the pancreas, kidney, spleen, or adrenal gland.24 Alimentary tract cysts are at risk for obstruction, infection, torsion, perforation, hemorrhage, and malignancy.1 Indeed, this case presented with melena (overt bleeding), which is secondary to ulcerated cancer. The possibility that this case is that of a GDC and gastric cancer arising from the gastric lumen, and not from the epithelium of the GDC, exists, and only a macroscopic image of the GDC and cancer is strongly required to prove that. Unfortunately, this image was missed in this case. Patients’ symptoms at presentation are related to the pathology involved in the duplication and the age at presentation (Table 2).

The diagnosis of GDC is based on established criteria (Table 3). The cyst has to be intimately connected to the gastric wall and surrounded by one or more muscle layers, which are adherent to the muscle coats of the stomach. As part of the criteria, the epithelium lining is of gastric mucosa, though at times, other gut mucosa may also be found such as heterotopic intestinal or pancreatic tissues.22 Radiologically, GDCs appear classically as thick-walled cystic lesions with inner lining enhancement and occasional calcifications on both CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).22 The MRI can further differentiate the type of the cyst and characterize the cystic contents.22 The nature of the fluid in the cyst can vary with the presence of bleeding, chronic inflammation, or infection.

Endoscopic examination commonly shows a gastric mass. The overlying mucosa is often intact but, not uncommonly, can appear erythematous with or without ulceration.25 Biopsy of the lesion is essential, and the typical changes include the following: 1) mucous membrane with a well-organized layer of smooth muscle, 2) granulomatous inflammation with denuded mucosa, and 3) foreign body giant cells.3 Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) can be a useful tool for diagnosing GDCs. The classic appearance is of an echogenic internal mucosal layer and a hypoechoic intermediate muscular layer. However, the yield of EUS-guided fine needle aspiration (FNA) remains uncertain as the findings are frequently indistinguishable from those of mucinous pancreatic neoplasms.23

Alimentary tract duplications are best managed by surgical resection. The conservative approach may be considered for patients with communicating GDCs and in whom both gastric lumens were patent. The use of drainage and marsupialization of the cyst has been discouraged as the unprotected mucosa of the cyst will be exposed to a greater volume of gastric contents, placing it at higher risk of ulceration. Complications such as anastomotic strictures and blind loop syndrome have been frequently reported following drainage procedures such as cystojenunostomy.8

**Conclusion**

GDC is a rare condition that may pose a diagnostic challenge. GDC may predispose to complications, including malignancy, as in our case. GDC should be considered when a cystic lesion is found adjoining the gastric wall. Early diagnosis and prompt surgical intervention is important for the best outcome.

**Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

**References**


**Table 2** Common clinical findings in patients with duplication cysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic; vague or acute abdominal pain</td>
<td>Asymptomatic; an intra-abdominal mass*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An intra-abdominal mass*</td>
<td>Frank or occult bleeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic abdominal pain</td>
<td>Abdominal distention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms of acute diverticulitis</td>
<td>Constipation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank or occult bleeding</td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At autopsy</td>
<td>Failure to thrive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During laparotomy</td>
<td>At autopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms of acute pancreatitis or a pancreatic pseudocyst mimic**</td>
<td>During laparotomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** These data have been collected from literature. *Due to compression of adjacent organs, such as pancreas, kidney, spleen, and adrenal gland. **As up to 10% of GDCs may contain ectopic pancreatic tissue.

**Abbreviation:** GDC, gastric duplication cyst.

**Table 3** The essential criteria for diagnosis of a gastric duplication cyst

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The wall of the cyst is contiguous with the stomach wall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The wall of the cyst is contiguous with the stomach wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The wall of the cyst is contiguous with the stomach wall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data collected from literature, especially from studies Singh et al.,1 Hsu et al.,3 and Kuraoka et al.14

GDCs can grow in size, leading to compression of adjacent organs, including the pancreas, kidney, spleen, or adrenal gland.24 Alimentary tract cysts are at risk for obstruction, infection, torsion, perforation, hemorrhage, and malignancy.1 Indeed, this case presented with melena (overt bleeding), which is secondary to ulcerated cancer. The possibility that this case is that of a GDC and gastric cancer arising from the gastric lumen, and not from the epithelium of the GDC, exists, and only a macroscopic image of the GDC and cancer is strongly required to prove that. Unfortunately, this image was missed in this case. Patients’ symptoms at presentation are related to the pathology involved in the duplication and the age at presentation (Table 2).

The diagnosis of GDC is based on established criteria (Table 3). The cyst has to be intimately connected to the gastric wall and surrounded by one or more muscle layers, which are adherent to the muscle coats of the stomach. As part of the criteria, the epithelium lining is of gastric mucosa, though at times, other gut mucosa may also be found such as heterotopic intestinal or pancreatic tissues.22

Radiologically, GDCs appear classically as thick-walled cystic lesions with inner lining enhancement and occasional calcifications on both CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).22 The MRI can further differentiate the type of the cyst and characterize the cystic contents.22 The nature of the fluid in the cyst can vary with the presence of bleeding, chronic inflammation, or infection.

Endoscopic examination commonly shows a gastric mass. The overlying mucosa is often intact but, not uncommonly, can appear erythematous with or without ulceration.25 Biopsy of the lesion is essential, and the typical changes include the following: 1) mucous membrane with a well-organized layer of smooth muscle, 2) granulomatous inflammation with denuded mucosa, and 3) foreign body giant cells.3 Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) can be a useful tool for diagnosing GDCs. The classic appearance is of an echogenic internal mucosal layer and a hypoechoic intermediate muscular layer. However, the yield of EUS-guided fine needle aspiration (FNA) remains uncertain as the findings are frequently indistinguishable from those of mucinous pancreatic neoplasms.23

Alimentary tract duplications are best managed by surgical resection. The conservative approach may be considered for patients with communicating GDCs and in whom both gastric lumens were patent. The use of drainage and marsupialization of the cyst has been discouraged as the unprotected mucosa of the cyst will be exposed to a greater volume of gastric contents, placing it at higher risk of ulceration. Complications such as anastomotic strictures and blind loop syndrome have been frequently reported following drainage procedures such as cystojenunostomy.8

**Conclusion**

GDC is a rare condition that may pose a diagnostic challenge. GDC may predispose to complications, including malignancy, as in our case. GDC should be considered when a cystic lesion is found adjoining the gastric wall. Early diagnosis and prompt surgical intervention is important for the best outcome.
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