Bimedial rectus muscle elongation versus bimedial rectus muscle recession for the surgical treatment of large-angle infantile esotropia
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Purpose: To compare bimedial rectus muscle recession (BMRR; 7–8 mm) and bimedial rectus muscle elongation (BMRE; 6.5–9 mm) for the surgical treatment of large-angle infantile esotropia (ET; ≥70 prism diopters [PD]).

Patients and methods: Twenty-four patients with large-angle infantile ET were divided into 2 groups; group A (n=12) underwent BMRR and group B (n=12) underwent BMRE. All patients received surgery under general anesthesia and were followed for at least 24 months after surgery. The mean dose-response effect at 24 months was calculated for each patient.

Results: The mean preoperative angle of deviation was 79.16±7.64 PD (range, 70–90) in group A and 85.83±9.25 PD (range, 70–100) in group B. The duration of surgery was 55% shorter in group A compared with group B. There were no cases of over-correction, but there were 6 cases of under-correction in group A (50%) and 2 cases of under-correction in group B (16.7%). The mean dose-response effect was 4.42±0.19 PD/mm in group A and 5.45±0.39 PD/mm in group B.

Conclusion: BMRE is more effective than BMRR for the surgical treatment of large-angle infantile ET despite a higher level of technical difficulty.
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Introduction

Various surgical techniques have been proposed for the treatment of infantile esotropia (ET). The traditional approach, 5 mm recession of the bilateral medial recti, is insufficient for ET with an angle >50 prism diopters (PD). Instead, bimedial rectus muscle recession (BMRR) in excess of 5 mm has been suggested for the correction of large-angle infantile ET.

There is conflicting evidence regarding the safety and effectiveness of large BMRR; while several authors did not observe clinically significant limitation of adduction after large BMRR, others reported delayed consecutive exotropia. Accordingly, other procedures have been proposed to increase the effectiveness of recession, such as the Faden operation and Y-splitting recession.

Also, botulinum toxin was used to augment effect of bimedial recession. Rectus muscle lengthening was also described using the resected part from the antagonist muscle.

However, bimedial rectus muscle elongation (BMRE) is a muscle weakening procedure that was first described in 1967 and evaluated for the treatment of large-angle infantile ET in 2015.
The aim of our study was to compare the effectiveness of BMR elongation and large BMR recession (7–8 mm) for the treatment of large-angle (≥70 PD) infantile ET.

Patients and methods
Twenty-four patients with large-angle infantile ET (≥70 PD) were recruited from the ophthalmology department of Zagazig University, Egypt, between March 2012 and June 2014. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Zagazig University and all patients or guardians provided written informed consent for study participation.

Patients were excluded if they had a previous history of squint surgery or retinal surgery, a medial rectus width <8 mm, nervous system disease (including cerebral palsy), amblyopia, convergence excess, associated vertical deviation, or axial lengths 23.5 or <21 mm.

All patients were subjected to a full preoperative ophthalmologic examination, including slit-lamp examination of anterior segment, fundus examination, ocular motility assessment, cycloplegic refraction and spherical equivalent refractive error for all cases were reported. Glasses were prescribed for patients with significant refractive errors prior to surgery for at least 1 month; also, best corrected visual acuity was measured for cooperative patients with logarithm of minimum angle of resolution (logMAR). Deviations in primary near and distance vision were measured using alternate prism and cover test when possible, and using Krimsky method when not possible while wearing their full corrective spectacles.

Patients were randomly divided into 2 groups: group A (n=12) underwent BMRR and group B (n=12) underwent BMRE. All surgeries were performed under general anesthesia by the same surgeon (MA Ghali.). Amounts of recession and elongation according to the preoperative angle (based on surgeon experience and previous recommendation of Ameri et al) are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative angle of ET, PD</th>
<th>Group A BMR recession amount, mm</th>
<th>Group B BMR elongation amount, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMR, bimedial rectus; ET, esotropia; PD, prism diopters.

In group A, all cases underwent BMRR using conjunctival limbal incision via the anchor hang-back technique. The intermuscular membrane and check ligaments were dissected during the course of the procedure.

In group B, surgery was performed using a surgical microscope. After limbal conjunctival incision and isolation of the medial rectus muscle (Figure 1), the tendon was well dissected from the sclera and the muscle insertion width was measured to split the muscle longitudinally into 3 parts (where the width of the central section was double that of the peripheral sections; Figure 2). The length of splitting was 10–11 mm. Then, in accordance with the angle of preoperative deviation, a muscle clamp was placed at a distance of 6.5–9 mm from the insertion on the peripheral sections. An incision was made just anterior to the clamp (Figure 3) and the muscle was cauterized at the clamp site. The peripheral sections remained attached to the insertion, and the wider central section was secured with 6/0 polyglactin (Vicryl) sutures (Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA) (Figure 4). Then, the central section was detached from the insertion (Figures 5 and 6) and the distal ends of the peripheral sections were sutured to the cut end of the central section without overlapping (Figure 7). The conjunctiva was sutured with 7/0 Vicryl (Ethicon Inc.).

Patients were followed for at least 24 months after surgery. The postoperative angle of deviation for near and distance vision and any limitations in adduction were recorded at each follow-up visit. Operative success was defined as a deviation within 10 PD of orthophoria at 24 months post-surgery.

The mean dose-response effect was calculated for close and distance vision by calculating the difference between the preoperative and postoperative angles of deviation and

![Figure 1 Limbal conjunctival incision and isolation of medial rectus muscle; the tendon width must be 8 mm or more. Courtesy of Dr Sherif El Far.](https://www.dovepress.com/1878-submit-your-manuscript.jpg)
Figure 2 Splitting of the muscle longitudinally into 3 parts; the width of central section is double that of the peripheral sections. Courtesy of Dr Sherif El Far.

Figure 3 The peripheral sections were clamped and incised at a distance of 6.5–9 mm from insertion. Courtesy of Dr Sherif El Far.

Figure 4 Securing of the wider central section with O/6 polyglactin (Vicryl) sutures (Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA). Courtesy of Dr Sherif El Far.

Figure 5 Cutting of the central section anterior to the sutures and in flush with the sclera. Courtesy of Dr Sherif El Far.

Figure 6 The central portion was detached from the insertion. Courtesy of Dr Sherif El Far.

Figure 7 The distal ends of the peripheral sections were sutured to the cut end of the central portion. Courtesy of Dr Sherif El Far.
dividing the result by the total amount of recession (group A) or elongation (group B).

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed as the mean ± SD unless otherwise specified. Student’s t-test was done for assessing the statistical significance of the difference between the 2 groups. \( P<0.05 \) was the threshold for statistical significance.

**Results**

In group A, the mean age was 9.92±7.5 years (range, 2–25 years), the refractive error spherical equivalent was \(-1.06±2.77\) and best corrected visual acuity (logMAR) was 0.22±0.12. The mean preoperative angle of deviation was 79.16±7.64 PD (range, 70–90 PD). In group B, the mean age was 11.17±10.53 years (range, 2–31 years), the refractive error spherical equivalent was \(-1.33±2.49\) and best corrected visual acuity (logMAR) was 0.23±0.18. The mean preoperative angle of deviation was 85.83±9.25 PD (range, 70–100 PD). The mean preoperative angle of deviation was significantly higher in group B compared with group A (\( P=0.013 \)), while no significant statistical difference was found between both groups for other preoperative data.

The mean duration of surgery was 27.5±1.0 min in group A and 50±12.5 min in group B; accordingly, BMRR took 55% less time than BMRE. The medial rectus muscle insertion width was not statistically different between groups, with a mean width of 9.38±0.64 mm in group A and 9.33±0.62 mm in group B. Additionally, there was no significant difference between the total amount of recession in group A (15.25±0.87 mm) and the total amount of elongation in group B (15.42±1.51 mm).

At 24 months post-surgery, the mean postoperative angle of deviation was significantly lower in group B compared with group A (0.33±4.99 PD versus 11.33±6.02 PD, respectively; \( P=0.002 \)). The achieved correction and dose-response effect were also higher in group B compared with group A (achieved correction: 85.5±5.98 PD versus 67.42±5.43 PD, respectively; dose-response effect: 5.45±0.39 PD/mm versus 4.42±0.19 PD/mm, respectively; both \( P=0.000 \) (Table 2).

No intraoperative complications such as slipped or lost muscles were reported in either group. In group A, there were 2 cases of postoperative adduction limitation at 6 months post-surgery that were improved by 24 months post-surgery. There were no reported cases of adduction limitation in group B.

The success rate in group A was 50%, with 6 cases of under-correction that were subsequently treated with 1- or 2-muscle resection in accordance with the residual angle of deviation. The success rate in group B was 83%, with 2 cases of under-correction that were treated with BMRR in accordance with the residual angle of deviation.

**Discussion**

The present study reveals that BMRE was more effective than BMRR for the surgical treatment of large-angle infantile ET in a cohort of 24 patients. The management of infantile ET has evolved in recent years, yet the ideal method for surgical treatment remains controversial. Bilateral recession of the medial rectus muscle for the treatment of large-angle infantile ET (≥60 PD) has been reported with variable success (60%–91%).

In this study, the success rate of BMRR was 50%; the difference between this value and that reported in previous studies may have been due to a larger preoperative angle (70–90 PD) in our study.

Following large recession (>7 mm), some authors have reported delayed consecutive exotropia whereas others have indicated clinically nonsignificant adduction limitation. In this study, BMRR produced neither adduction limitation nor delayed consecutive exotropia at 24 months post-surgery. Future studies should examine the incidence of these complications over a longer follow-up period.

**Table 2** Between-group comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n=12)</th>
<th>Group B (n=12)</th>
<th>Student’s t-test</th>
<th>( P )-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>9.92±7.5 years</td>
<td>11.17±10.53 years</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative angle of deviation</td>
<td>79.16±7.64 PD</td>
<td>85.83±9.25 PD</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial rectus muscle insertion width</td>
<td>9.38±0.64 mm</td>
<td>9.33±0.62 mm</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total amount of recession or elongation</td>
<td>15.25±0.87 mm</td>
<td>15.42±1.51 mm</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative angle of deviation (24 months)</td>
<td>11.33±6.02 PD</td>
<td>0.33±4.99 PD</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved correction</td>
<td>67.42±5.43 PD</td>
<td>85.5±5.98 PD</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose-response effect</td>
<td>4.42±0.19 PD/mm</td>
<td>5.45±0.39 PD/mm</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: *Statistically significant.

**Abbreviation:** PD, prism diopters.
In our study, the achieved correction after BMRE (85.5±5.98 PD) was significantly better than that after BMRR, and was larger than that previously reported for BMRE by Ameri et al (76.45±10.11 PD). The improved effectiveness of our procedure might have been due to the fact that we did not take the middle section sutures 1.5 mm posterior to the insertion, but instead made them flush with the insertion to increase the effect of elongation. Additionally, patients who received BMRE in our study had a smaller preoperative angle of deviation (85.83±9.25 PD) and were followed up for a longer period (24 months) compared with patients in the study by Ameri et al (94.1±19.33 PD). Moreover, the mean dose-response effect of BMRE in our study (5.45±0.39 PD/mm) was comparable with that reported by Ameri et al (5.53±0.67 PD/mm) and notably better than that reported by Sood et al.

The success rate of BMRE (83%) was higher than that of BMRR (50%) in our study and higher than that reported for BMRE by Ameri et al (70%). It is notable that the success rate of BMRE in our study was also better than that reported for 3 horizontal muscle surgeries (62.4%). These data suggest that BMRE is the most effective surgical treatment method for large-angle infantile ET.

The present study had some limitations, including the small sample size and the significant difference found between the preoperative angle of deviation of both groups. Our findings should be confirmed in a future large-scale study that employs a longer follow-up period. Furthermore, the effect of elongation on other muscles must be determined in future studies.

In conclusion, BMRE is an effective although technically demanding method for the surgical treatment of large-angle infantile ET. Although the BMRE procedure is time-consuming and has a learning curve for surgeons, it is generally safe as there is no risk of scleral perforation.
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