Understanding the positive benefit:risk profile of alemtuzumab in relapsing multiple sclerosis: perspectives from the Alemtuzumab Clinical Development Program
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Abstract: The introduction of high-efficacy therapies for relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis has driven re-evaluation of treatment goals and benefit:risk considerations in treatment choice. In the alemtuzumab Phase II and III clinical trials, patients treated with alemtuzumab 12 mg versus subcutaneous interferon beta-1a demonstrated significantly reduced annualized relapse rates and improved magnetic resonance imaging outcomes, and were significantly more likely to achieve no evidence of disease activity and reduction in brain volume loss. In two of the studies, alemtuzumab-treated patients had a significantly reduced risk of 6-month confirmed disease worsening, compared with subcutaneous interferon beta-1a. Benefits were maintained throughout 5 years, with a majority of patients receiving no alemtuzumab retreatment or other disease-modifying therapy. Trial results support alemtuzumab’s manageable, consistent safety profile in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis. Infusion-associated reactions, the most frequent adverse events, can be minimized by corticosteroid pretreatment, monitoring, and symptomatic management. Other AEs include infections and autoimmune events. Oral anti-herpes prophylaxis should be initiated on the first day of each alemtuzumab treatment course and continued according to local guidelines. Overall cancer risk was lower in the alemtuzumab clinical trials than in a reference population; however, continuing surveillance will determine if alemtuzumab may be associated with certain malignancies such as thyroid papillary carcinoma and melanoma, which are currently identified as potential risks. The post-approval risk management strategy includes a safety monitoring program. Autoimmune AEs (thyroid events, immune thrombocytopenia, nephropathies) can be detected in a timely manner with the monitoring program, which includes physician and patient education about the signs and symptoms, monthly renal and hematologic monitoring, and quarterly thyroid function monitoring for 48 months after the last alemtuzumab course. Education, vigilance by physicians and patients, and monthly laboratory monitoring are recommended to maintain alemtuzumab’s positive benefit:risk profile.

Keywords: alemtuzumab, annualized relapse rate, autoimmune event, infusion-associated reaction, MRI outcomes, multiple sclerosis, no evidence of disease activity, NEDA, risk mitigation

Introduction
The introduction of high-efficacy therapies for the treatment of relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) has shifted expectations for the management of the disease.¹⁻³ High-efficacy therapies have stronger treatment effects on relapse rates, disability progression, and brain volume loss (BVL) than classical first-line therapies (eg, interferon beta products or glatiramer acetate), which has led to a re-evaluation of treatment goals...
for multiple sclerosis (MS). For example, the concept of “no evidence of disease activity” (NEDA: no relapses, disabil-
ity worsening, new gadolinium-enhancing T1 lesions or new/enlarging T2-hyperintense lesions) has become widely
accepted as an important treatment objective, albeit one of
unclear long-term prognostic value. The higher standards
for efficacy prompted by this class of therapies aim to pre-
tend the accumulation of irreversible neurologic damage
and reduce the risk of worsening disability. Furthermore,
 improvements in function and pre-existing disability are now regarded as feasible goals of therapy.

Physicians and patients must agree when it is appropriate
to use high-efficacy therapies. A key consideration in choice of
therapy is the benefit:risk profile. Traditional first-line agents
are directed primarily against inflammation and have demonstr-
ated only mild efficacy in the treatment of MS, with some
patients continuing to experience considerable disease activity
despite treatment. In contrast, some disease-modifying ther-
apies (DMTs), including alemtuzumab, have been shown
to reduce the rate of BVL as well as the frequency of relapses,
and some (such as alemtuzumab and natalizumab) have facilitated
patients’ achievement of NEDA. Patients treated with alemtuzumab have also demonstrated confirmed
improvements in pre-existing disability.

Traditional and high-efficacy agents have distinct adverse
event (AE) profiles, which must be considered. While older
therapies are associated with AEs and safety risks, the
newer therapies have unique safety profiles, which must be
weighed against their potential benefits. The US Food and
Drug Administration requires boxed warnings on product
information for natalizumab (progressive multifocal
leukoencephalopathy) and alemtuzumab (autoimmune
disorders, including thyroid disorders, immune thombocy-
topenia [ITP] and nephropathies; and malignancies). Liver
toxicity has been reported with natalizumab, and infusion-
site and infusion-associated reactions (IARs)/allergic
reactions/rashes have been observed with natalizumab, alemtu-
zumab, and ocrelizumab. The risk of malignancy may be
increased with ocrelizumab administration; in controlled
trials, malignancies, including breast cancer, occurred more
often in patients treated with ocrelizumab than in patients
who received subcutaneous interferon beta-1a (SC IFNB-1a) or
placebo. It is clearly important for clinicians to understand
the incidence and management of these risks to help patients
continue to achieve their treatment goals.

A further key consideration in RRMS management
is the risk posed by delaying the initiation of high-efficacy
therapies. Brain and spinal cord damage begins early in the
disease course, although it may not be clinically evident,
and even a single relapse can produce long-term effects on
disability. Early intervention is thus warranted to prevent
continuing disease activity and the accumulation of perma-
nent neurologic damage.

Finally, long-term adherence to therapy can pose an
important challenge in MS patient care. Patients often do not
persist with therapy or take their medication as instructed, and
studies have shown that nonadherence to therapy can lead to
diminished health outcomes. One of the major barriers to
adherence among MS patients is that most currently available
therapies require chronic administration and patients can
become fatigued by the need for continual treatment. Addi-
tional barriers include administration issues, such as injection
anxiety and the need for administration by a trained health
care provider, perceived lack of efficacy, and AEs.

Alemtuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that
selectively targets the CD52 surface antigen on circulating
lymphocytes. It is approved in the European Union for adult
patients with RRMS with active disease defined by clinical
or imaging features. In the USA, alemtuzumab is generally
indicated for the treatment of patients with relapsing forms
of MS who have had an inadequate response to two or more
drugs indicated for the treatment of MS, although use as a
first-line treatment is not excluded. Approval was based on
the results of two studies — the Phase III Comparison of
Alemtuzumab and Rebif® Efficacy in MS (CARE-MS) I and II
trials — in which alemtuzumab demonstrated significant clinical
and radiologic benefits versus an active comparator, SC
IFNB-1a. These benefits were maintained up to 5 years
in an extension study (2 years of core study plus 3 years of
extension); preliminary data from a total of 6 years of treat-
ment were presented at the 32nd Congress of the European
Committee for Treatment and Research in Multiple Sclerosis
and will be further elaborated in due course. AE associated
with alemtuzumab include IARs, infections, and autoim-
une AEs (primarily thyroid disorders and, less frequently,
ITP and nephropathies). In the clinical development
program, safety monitoring was performed until 48 months
after the last dose of alemtuzumab; after a fatal case of ITP
occurred during CAMMS223, the monitoring program was
modified to include measures for its detection. A similar
monitoring scheme is recommended in the postmarketing
setting. This review discusses benefit:risk considerations
for the use of alemtuzumab in the treatment of RRMS.

Alemtuzumab dosing, pharmacokinetics,
and mechanism of action

Alemtuzumab has a dosing schedule distinct from other ther-
api es for MS. Rather than continuous dosing, the recommended
schedule for alemtuzumab comprises two annual courses consisting of intravenous infusion of 12 mg on each of five consecutive days at baseline (60 mg total dose) and on each of three consecutive days (36 mg total dose) 12 months later. Additional treatment courses of 12 mg/day on three consecutive days (36 mg total dose) may be administered at least 12 months after the prior course, upon documented evidence of MS disease activity.

Serum concentrations of alemtuzumab increase with each consecutive dose within the treatment course, but decrease to low or undetectable levels within ~30 days after the last infusion. The elimination half-life of alemtuzumab is ~2 weeks and is comparable between treatment courses.

The mechanism of action of alemtuzumab is believed to be related to its targeting of CD52, an antigen expressed on the surface of T and B lymphocytes. T-helper type 1 (Th1) cells were long thought to be the main effector T cells responsible for autoimmune inflammation in MS, but studies have disclosed an important pathogenetic role for interleukin (IL)-17--secreting CD4+ T cells, termed Th17, as well as IL-17--secreting gamma delta T cells, including Th1, Th17, gamma delta, CD8+, and dysfunctional or impaired regulatory T cells. B cells, which are rarely seen in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of healthy subjects, are often present in the CSF of MS patients (albeit in low concentrations). B cells in MS CSF are primarily of the CD19+, CD27+, CD138− phenotype, although mature plasma cells (CD19+, CD38+, CD138+) are also occasionally observed. A higher proportion of B cells relative to monocytes in CSF is correlated with more rapid progression of MS.

Analysis of blood samples from patients enrolled in the CARE-MS II clinical trial revealed that alemtuzumab rapidly and selectively depletes both T and B lymphocytes (including both CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes), with the lowest T- and B-lymphocyte counts observed 1 month after a course of treatment (the earliest posttreatment time point in Phase III studies). B lymphocytes repopulate within about 3 months, whereas T lymphocytes repopulate more slowly, with the absolute number of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells remaining decreased up to 12 months. Further analysis revealed a differential repopulation in T-lymphocyte subsets following alemtuzumab administration. The relative number of CD8+ T cells normalized around Month 3, whereas CD4+ T cells remained significantly decreased for up to 24 months, reflecting a selectively delayed reconstitution of only CD4+ cells. There is also a preferential expansion of memory and regulatory T cells along with Th2 cells during immune reconstitution, combined with a significant decrease in the percentages of Th1 and Th17 CD4+ cells, which results in a shift toward a more anti-inflammatory profile. Reduction in the level of circulating T and B cells and subsequent repopulation may also reduce the potential for relapse, which ultimately delays disease progression. These changes suggest a rebalancing of the immune system in the absence of continuous administration.

In vitro data derived from blood samples obtained from participants in the alemtuzumab Phase II trial also demonstrated that after depletion by alemtuzumab, repopulating T cells secreted neurotrophic factors that prolonged the life of neurons in an animal model and enhanced oligodendrocyte precursor cell survival, maturation, and myelination. This observation supports an additional mechanistic hypothesis, termed “neuroprotective autoimmunity”.

A recent study demonstrated that regulatory T cells can directly promote oligodendrocyte progenitor cell differentiation and myelination in vitro, revealing a new, regenerative function of regulatory T cells in the central nervous system, which is distinct from immunomodulation. Innate immune cells (such as neutrophils, monocytes, eosinophils, basophils, and natural killer cells), some tissue-resident lymphocytes, and serum immunoglobulin levels are only minimally or transiently affected by alemtuzumab; all these elements contribute to preserving immune defense against infection.

### Efficacy of alemtuzumab in RRMS

The efficacy and safety of alemtuzumab were assessed in three randomized, rater-blinded, active-controlled, head-to-head studies: the 3-year, Phase II CAMMS223 study (NCT00050778) and the 2-year, Phase III CARE-MS studies, which evaluated alemtuzumab 12 mg/day in patients with active RRMS who were treatment naive (CARE-MS I; NCT00530348) or had an inadequate response to prior therapy at baseline (CARE-MS II; NCT00548405). Treatments most commonly used by patients who initiated treatment with alemtuzumab 12 mg/day in CARE-MS II were IFNB-1a (54%), IFNB-1b (36%), and glatiramer acetate (34%); the same therapies were used by similar proportions of patients in the SC IFNB-1a arm of the study. Coprimary endpoints in CAMMS223 and CARE-MS I and II were reduction in annualized relapse rate and time to 6-month sustained accumulation of disability; this latter endpoint is now more commonly described as confirmed disability worsening (CDW). In all three studies, alemtuzumab 12 mg significantly reduced the annualized relapse rate compared with SC IFNB-1a, and alemtuzumab-treated patients in CAMMS223 and CARE-MS II had a significantly reduced risk of 6-month CDW versus SC IFNB-1a. Although the CDW endpoint was not met in CARE-MS I, analysis of
Long-term efficacy of alemtuzumab 12 mg was evaluated in an extension study (NCT00930553), for which 5-year results (2 years in the Phase III studies and 3 years in the extension) have been reported in detail. In the extension study, additional courses of alemtuzumab were administered as needed at least 12 months after the prior course. By Year 5, most patients had not received alemtuzumab retreatment (CARE-MS I, 68%; CARE-MS II, 60%) or another DMT (98% and 92%, respectively). Overall, 67% of patients in CARE-MS I and 54% of those in CARE-MS II received neither alemtuzumab nor another DMT after their initial two courses of alemtuzumab treatment; yet at 5 years, these patients still experienced continued efficacy on clinical and MRI disease activity measures. A low annualized relapse rate was maintained over Years 3–5 (0.16 and 0.21 in CARE-MS I and II, respectively), and disability, as assessed by change in Expanded Disability Status Scale score, remained stable or improved versus core study baseline to the end of Year 5 (82% and 77% of patients in CARE-MS I and II, respectively). Further, at Year 5, 33% of patients in CARE-MS I and 43% in CARE-MS II achieved 6-month confirmed disability improvement. In a pooled analysis of patients from CARE-MS I and II who continued in the extension study through Year 5 and received only two courses of alemtuzumab (N=445), 84% had no evidence of CDW and 43% achieved 6-month confirmed disability improvement; of the latter group, 96% were free from 6-month CDW. Consistent with the core studies, most patients receiving alemtuzumab 12 mg were free of MRI activity during Years 3 (CARE-MS I, 72%; CARE-MS II, 68%), 4 (70% and 70%, respectively), and 5 (70% and 68%, respectively). More than half of alemtuzumab-treated patients achieved annual NEDA in Years 3 (CARE-MS I, 62%; CARE-MS II, 53%), 4 (60% and 55%, respectively), and 5 (62% and 58%, respectively). Among the alemtuzumab-treated CARE-MS I patients who achieved NEDA in Year 2 and received no further treatment following the initial two courses of alemtuzumab, >60% attained annual NEDA sustained from Years 2 to 5. Among the equivalent cohort in CARE-MS II, nearly half attained annual NEDA sustained from Years 2 to 5.

Median yearly BVL decreased progressively in CARE-MS I, remaining low in Years 3–5 (Year 1: −0.59%, Year 2: −0.25%, Year 3: −0.19%, Year 4: −0.15%, Year 5: −0.20%). Likewise, in CARE-MS II, median yearly BVL slowed and remained low in Years 3–5 (Year 1: −0.48%, Year 2: −0.22%, Year 3: −0.10%, Year 4: −0.19%, Year 5: −0.07%). Patient-reported outcome data from the CARE-MS studies further support the efficacy of alemtuzumab in patients who had an inadequate response to prior therapy. Improvements from baseline in physical, mental, and emotional aspects of quality of life observed in the first year of alemtuzumab treatment were maintained in the extension study through Year 4. Significantly greater improvements in these quality-of-life measures were observed in alemtuzumab-treated patients who experienced improvement in pre-existing disability, compared with those who did not.

Overview of safety with alemtuzumab

Results of the CARE-MS core and long-term extension studies support a consistent and manageable safety profile for alemtuzumab in patients with RRMS. The most frequent AEs were IARs, which occurred most commonly during the first course of infusions and decreased thereafter. Other notable AEs included infections, which were serious in only a small percentage of patients, and autoimmune AEs (thyroid disorders, ITP, and nephropathies), as shown in Figure 1A and B. More detailed information on each of these events, along with strategies designed to mitigate them, is provided in the following sections.

Improving benefit:risk with alemtuzumab: detection and management of AEs

The clinical development program for alemtuzumab included a number of steps to mitigate the potential risks associated with treatment. These were prophylactic measures, laboratory testing and monitoring, patient screenings, and provision of instructional and educational aids. The sections that follow describe these risk mitigation measures, the incidence of AEs in patients receiving alemtuzumab 12 mg in clinical trials, and information about how these AEs were managed.

Infusion-associated reactions

IARs are common with alemtuzumab and are believed to be attributable to cytokine-release syndrome involving target cell lysis and further recruitment of inflammatory cells. In the clinical trials, an IAR was defined as any AE occurring...
Alemtuzumab risk mitigation
during or within 24 hours after alemtuzumab infusion.\textsuperscript{11,12} IARs were most frequent with the first alemtuzumab course, but decreased for the second course, and in subsequent courses in patients who required retreatment (Course 1: 84.7%; Course 2: 68.6%; Course 3: 64.6%; Course 4: 62.8%; Course 5: 45.5%).\textsuperscript{62} Most IARs were mild or moderate, and the incidence of serious IARs over Years 0–5 was low (3.2%). The most common types of IARs were headache, rash, pyrexia, nausea, and flushing. The infusion could be slowed, interrupted, or discontinued in the event of an IAR.\textsuperscript{65,66} Prolonging treatment courses by up to 5 additional days did not appear to increase the incidence of serious or moderate/severe IARs, had no impact on lymphocyte depletion, and did not appear to affect efficacy outcomes.\textsuperscript{67}

Consistent with procedures used in the clinical trials, alemtuzumab should be administered in a setting with appropriate equipment and personnel to manage anaphylaxis or serious IARs.\textsuperscript{18,19} The approved product label advises premedication with corticosteroids immediately prior to alemtuzumab infusion for the first 3 days of any treatment course.\textsuperscript{18,19} However, it was the authors’ clinical experience during the original trials that when 1 g IV methylprednisolone was administered for only 3 days, patients had a higher rate of IARs, especially skin exanthems, at Days 4 and 5.

**Figure 1** Rate (events/100 patient-years) of notable AEs by year over 5 years in patients receiving alemtuzumab 12 mg in the (A) CARE-MS I and (B) CARE-MS II core (Years 0–2) and extension (Years 3–5) studies.\textsuperscript{15,57,76} Abbreviations: AEs, adverse events; CARE-MS, Comparison of Alemtuzumab and Rebif® Efficacy in MS; ITP, immune thrombocytopenia.
After alemtuzumab was approved, the authors instead administered 500 mg IV methylprednisolone for 5 days, after which the occurrence of IARs on Days 4 and 5 appeared to be lower. In the authors’ opinion, therefore, premedication with methylprednisolone 500 mg IV daily on 5 consecutive days is preferable to the standard recommendation of 1 g IV for 3 days to prevent the possible occurrence of an IAR on Day 4 or 5. The authors also highly endorse the label recommendation for pretreatment with antihistamines and/or antipyretics. Despite pretreatment, IARs may still occur. The patient’s vital signs should be monitored before and periodically during the infusion. Symptomatic treatment for IARs should be provided as needed (eg, antipyretics, antihistamines, H₂ antagonists, fluids). In the event of a serious IAR, physicians may consider immediately discontinuing the infusion. Patients should be monitored for 2 hours after the end of the infusion and should be advised that IARs may occur beyond the 2-hour monitoring period. It is noteworthy that no patients experienced anaphylaxis in the Phase III clinical trials.²,¹²

Infection
Infection was observed in both the alemtuzumab 12 mg and SC IFNB-1a treatment arms during the CARE-MS core studies (CARE-MS I: 67% and 45% for alemtuzumab and SC IFNB-1a, respectively; CARE-MS II: 77% and 66%, respectively).²,¹² Infections were mostly mild or moderate (Grade 1 or 2) in severity, and the incidence of serious infection was low (CARE-MS I: 2% and 1% for alemtuzumab and SC IFNB-1a, respectively; CARE-MS II: 4% and 1%, respectively). The most common infections reported with alemtuzumab 12 mg in the core studies were nasopharyngitis (CARE-MS I: 20%; CARE-MS II: 29%), urinary tract infection (CARE-MS I: 17%; CARE-MS II: 21%), upper respiratory tract infection (CARE-MS I: 15%; CARE-MS II: 16%), and herpes viral infections (CARE-MS I: 16%; CARE-MS II: 16%).²,¹² Serious infections included pneumonia, appendicitis, herpes zoster, gastroenteritis, pulmonary tuberculosis, disseminated tuberculosis, and meningitis herpes (all ≤1%). The rate of infection in alemtuzumab-treated patients was highest during the core studies and declined thereafter over a total of 5 years (Figure 1); the rate of serious infections in Year 5 was 0.59 events per 100 patient-years in CARE-MS I and 2.8 events per 100 patient-years for CARE-MS II.¹⁵,⁵⁷

Following a protocol amendment during the core studies, alemtuzumab-treated patients were administered acyclovir 200 mg twice daily, starting on the first day of each treatment course and continuing for 28 days after the last day, for prophylaxis against herpes infection. Acyclovir prophylaxis was also administered with each treatment course during the extension.⁶⁸ In the core studies, acyclovir reduced the incidence of herpetic infection in the month after treatment (Course 1, 0.5% with acyclovir versus 4.6% without; Course 2, 0.8% versus 2.6%). The incidence of herpes simplex (including herpes simplex, oral herpes, genital herpes, and herpes simplex ophthalmic) was 13.3% overall and decreased over time (Year 1, 9.0%; Year 2, 5.4%; Year 3, 3.1%; Year 4, 2.5%). The incidence of herpes zoster (including multidermatomal) was 9.2% overall.⁶⁸

On the basis of experience in the clinical development program in RRMS, several measures are recommended to minimize the risk of herpes infection in patients treated...
with alemtuzumab. Prophylaxis with an oral anti-herpes agent should be initiated on the first day of each alemtuzumab treatment course. The European Summary of Product Characteristics advises continuing oral anti-herpes prophylaxis for a minimum of 1 month following treatment with alemtuzumab, whereas in the USA, the approved product information states that prophylaxis should be continued for a minimum of 2 months following treatment with alemtuzumab or until the CD4+ lymphocyte count reaches at least 200 cells/µL (whichever occurs later). Patients should have completed necessary immunizations at least 6 weeks before treatment. Live viral vaccines should not be administered to MS patients who have recently received a course of alemtuzumab. Patients with a history negative for chickenpox or vaccination against varicella zoster virus (VZV) should be tested for antibodies to VZV before initiation of treatment. VZV vaccination of antibody-negative patients should be considered prior to treatment initiation, and treatment should be postponed for 6 weeks following vaccination. Delaying the initiation of treatment should be considered for patients with active infection until the infection is fully controlled.

Opportunistic infections following alemtuzumab treatment in the postmarketing setting have been reported. Pharmacovigilance data through February 2017 on ~13,000 patients treated with alemtuzumab for MS showed that the most commonly observed opportunistic infections were Listeria monocytogenes and cytomegalovirus (CMV), with estimated frequencies of 0.26% and 0.13%, respectively. There have been no medically confirmed reports of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy attributed to alemtuzumab to date. Evaluation of postmarketing safety reports from multiple sources is continually performed to increase understanding of the benefit:risk profile of alemtuzumab, to identify possible new safety signals, and to ensure that alemtuzumab labeling is updated to incorporate new information when clinically relevant. However, postmarketing frequencies are not directly comparable to clinical trial incidences because of differences in ascertainment methodology and follow-up duration. It should also be noted that postmarketing reports may include nonserious cases, most cases cannot be confirmed, diagnosis may be inaccurate, and/or there may be duplicate cases or underreporting.

A case study and literature review of Listeria infection in alemtuzumab-treated MS patients documents at least 16 cases of listeriosis, of which at least one was fatal. Severe neurologic sequelae were reported in one patient. Listeria infection is associated with food sources; indeed, one of the case reports described recent exposure to foods including smoked sausage and soft cheese. The alemtuzumab product label recommends that patients avoid or adequately heat foods that may be sources of Listeria, such as raw fish and meat, unpasteurized dairy products, and pasteurized products susceptible to Listeria contamination during the production process. While current guidelines advise that patients should avoid high-risk foods for at least 1 month after alemtuzumab administration, recent reports suggest (in light of Listeria's observed incubation range of 1–70 days) that patients avoid dietary risk factors for several weeks before the scheduled alemtuzumab infusion.

Several case studies from routine clinical experience have described additional opportunistic infections following alemtuzumab treatment. Two patients developed CMV ≤1 month after the initial 5-day course; both recovered with treatment. In a group of 66 patients treated with a total of 100 courses of alemtuzumab throughout 1 year at a center in Germany, three developed acute acalculous cholecystitis, probably secondary to infection. All three patients made a prompt recovery with antibiotic treatment. Finally, a rare pathogen, Nocardia beijingensis, was isolated from a patient in the southern USA, in whom sepsis secondary to pneumonia was confirmed 6 weeks after completion of alemtuzumab Course 1; the patient responded well to treatment.

Autoimmune AEs
Treatment with alemtuzumab increases the risk of autoimmune-mediated conditions, including thyroid disorders, ITP, other cytopenias (including neutropenia, hemolytic anemia, and pancytopenia), and nephropathies. The clinical development program for alemtuzumab included a number of protocol-defined laboratory monitoring measures that allow early detection and management of autoimmune AEs.

Thyroid AEs
Thyroid AEs were the most common autoimmune AEs observed in the alemtuzumab clinical trials. In the clinical development program, monitoring for thyroid AEs included quarterly testing of thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) levels and, if TSH results were abnormal, of free T3 and T4; thyroid autoantibodies at the investigator’s discretion; and referral for consultation with an endocrinologist. Overall, 39% (n=317) of alemtuzumab-treated patients in the CARE-MS studies had thyroid AEs over 5 years. Most thyroid AEs were mild or moderate (Grade 1 or 2) in severity; 4.4% of patients had serious thyroid events. Most events (81%) occurred in the first 2 years after the most recent alemtuzumab dose (Figure 1). The most frequently
reported thyroid AEs were hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, and Graves’ disease (Table 1). Rates (events per 100 patient-years) of the most frequently reported serious thyroid AEs during Years 1–5 were Graves’ disease (2.8), hyperthyroidism (1.1), hypothyroidism (0.4), and goiter (0.2). Serious thyroid AEs that occurred in Year 5 (n=7) were Graves’ disease (0.6), hyperthyroidism (0.1), autoimmune thyroiditis (0.1), and endocrine ophthalmopathy (0.1). Consistent with the pattern observed in long-term follow-up of the Phase II CAMMS223 patients, the proportion of patients with thyroid adverse events peaked at Year 3 and subsequently declined (Year 1: 5.7%; Year 2: 10.7%; Year 3: 20.9%; Year 4: 12.6%; Year 5: 10.0%).

The majority of alemtuzumab-treated patients (60.9%) did not experience thyroid AEs up to Year 4 after the last alemtuzumab dose. Of the 39% of patients who experienced a thyroid AE, 63 (19.9%) did not require treatment for thyroid AEs. Among those who received treatment in Years 0–5, most were successfully managed with first-line therapies, including conventional oral medications (79.8%) and iodine ablation (7.6%); 8.2% underwent thyroidectomy, reflecting the local treatment protocol. Safety monitoring and education allowed for early detection and effective management of thyroid AEs in the clinical trials. In clinical practice, risk mitigation for thyroid AEs should include thyroid function tests (e.g., TSH) before initiation of alemtuzumab and every 3 months thereafter, until 48 months after the last infusion.

### Immune Thrombocytopenia

As of December 2014, the overall incidence of medically confirmed ITP was 2.3% in alemtuzumab-treated patients in the clinical development program (corresponding to a rate of 0.44 events/100-patient years). Alemtuzumab-associated ITP is a unique form of ITP generally characterized by delayed presentation after drug exposure, responsiveness to conventional ITP therapies, and prolonged remission. Alemtuzumab-associated ITP has been compared to pediatric ITP, which generally follows a limited course, in contrast to primary ITP in adults, in which durable remission is rarely achieved (~10% with first-line therapy). A transient decrease in platelets has occasionally been observed during alemtuzumab infusion and can be differentiated from ITP by a rapid return to normal thrombocyte values.

A monitoring program for ITP was implemented throughout the Phase III and extension studies in response to initial reports of ITP in three patients (fatal in one case) receiving alemtuzumab 24 mg in the Phase II CAMMS223 study (the 24 mg dose is not used in clinical practice). The program included establishment of a protocol definition of ITP, investigator education about ITP, and patient education regarding signs and symptoms of ITP. The protocol definition of ITP was based upon the diagnostic threshold (platelet count <100×10^9/L) outlined by an international working group and achieved either a confirmed platelet count ≥50×10^9/L, but <100×10^9/L on ≥2 consecutive occasions over a period of at least 1 month, or a confirmed platelet count <50×10^9/L without clumping documented on ≥2 consecutive occasions over any period of time. Monthly complete blood counts (CBCs) as well as monthly symptom surveys, at 2-week intervals from CBC, were performed. ITP events were reviewed and confirmed if there was no alternative etiology and the event met the platelet criterion of <100×10^9/L, consistent with the consensus definition of primary ITP. When ITP was diagnosed, alemtuzumab treatment was discontinued and patients were referred for hematologic consultation. Alemtuzumab-treated patients who develop ITP are followed for a minimum of 48 months from ITP diagnosis. Similar to the ITP monitoring program implemented in clinical trials, the prescribing information for alemtuzumab recommends that CBC with differential be obtained prior to initiation of treatment and at monthly intervals until 48 months after the last infusion.

### Table 1 Rates of Thyroid AEs by Year (Alemtuzumab 12 mg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System organ class preferred term</th>
<th>Years 0–2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient-years of follow-up</td>
<td>(n=811)</td>
<td>(n=772)</td>
<td>(n=731)</td>
<td>(n=707)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate (events/100 patient-years)</td>
<td>1.612.8</td>
<td>726.1</td>
<td>717.0</td>
<td>698.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graves’ disease</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goiter</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune thyroiditis</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroiditis</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disorder</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid mass</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyrotoxic crisis</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine ophthalmopathy</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exophthalmos</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory abnormalities</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroidectomy</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Years are defined by calendar time from first alemtuzumab dose, includes the extension study safety population and patients who did not enter the extension study but were evaluated for AEs for a short time in Year 3 after the initial 2-year period. Does not include the preferred term primary hypothyroidism (one event in Year 2). Does not include the preferred terms hypothyroidic goiter (one event in Year 4) or toxic nodular goiter (one event in Year 3). Does not include the preferred terms thyroid subacute (one event each in Years 1 and 2) or thyroiditis chronic (one event in Year 5). Reproduced with permission from Senior PA, Arnold DL, Cohen JA. Incidence and timing of thyroid adverse events in patients with RMS treated with alemtuzumab through 5 years of the CARE-MS II Trials. Neurology. 2016;86(16 suppl):P2.086.

Abbreviation: AEs, adverse events.
intervals after CBC) should also be performed. If ITP is suspected, a CBC should be obtained immediately, as confirmed ITP requires prompt medical intervention. Patients should be counseled to remain alert for symptoms of ITP, which may include easy bruising, petechiae, spontaneous mucocutaneous bleeding (eg, epistaxis, hemoptysis), or heavier-than-normal or irregular menstrual bleeding. In the context of alemtuzumab treatment, hemoptysis may be a sign of alveolar hemorrhage associated with anti-glomerular basement membrane (anti-GBM) disease; differential diagnosis is necessary to rule out Goodpasture syndrome.

Of the 34 patients (2.3%) who developed ITP in the clinical development program (21 of whom had received the 12 mg dose of alemtuzumab), the majority had received only the original two courses of alemtuzumab (one course, n=3; two courses, n=21; three courses, n=9; four courses, n=1). All ITP events after the fatal index case were detected through the risk monitoring program; ~80% were detected through monthly blood monitoring and 20% through patient recognition of signs and symptoms. All but three patients received treatment for ITP (the one fatal index case, which was diagnosed postmortem, and two patients who had spontaneous resolution). All patients who received treatment for ITP had a complete response (defined as an increase in the platelet count to ≥10×10^9/L in the absence of bleeding and no ongoing therapy). Twenty-two of 31 patients recovered with first-line therapy (ie, IV immunoglobulin, corticosteroids, and/or platelet transfusion) and seven had a complete response to second-line therapy (rituximab or, in the case of one patient, splenectomy). One patient experienced an ITP recurrence. The patient was treated with alemtuzumab and, 16 months after the last infusion, experienced an episode of ITP, which resolved without treatment. Seven years later, while on fumiglumod treatment, the patient had a recurrence of ITP, which was treated with IV corticosteroids. In all other patients treated for ITP, remission was sustained. Evidence from clinical trials supports the value of patient education regarding signs and symptoms of ITP and of adherence to monitoring requirements to facilitate its early detection and effective management.

Nephropathies

In clinical trials, renal function was monitored by means of quarterly serum creatinine levels. Following identification of the first nephropathy case, which occurred outside the clinical development program, monitoring for kidney injury was modified to include monthly serum creatinine and urinalysis. An educational component of the monitoring program ensured that patients and physicians were made aware of the possible signs and symptoms of renal dysfunction. A total of four cases of nephropathy (total incidence, 0.27%) were reported during the alemtuzumab clinical development program: one patient each in CAMMS223 and CARE-MS II and two in the extension study. Of the four cases, one was anti-GBM disease, two were membranous glomerulonephritis (MGN), and one was an unconfirmed case of MGN with seropositivity for anti-GBM antibody. The case of anti-GBM disease was identified on investigation after the patient’s serum creatinine was found to be three times the upper limit of normal. The two MGN cases were initially detected on the basis of hematuria/proteinuria and on a patient report of leg edema, and were confirmed after biopsy. For the fourth case, biopsy revealed weak evidence for mild, stage 1 MGN, with no evidence of anti-GBM disease, although the patient was positive for anti-GBM antibodies. All four patients responded to timely medical treatment, and none required dialysis or renal transplant.

Clinical manifestations of nephropathy may include elevated serum creatinine levels, hematuria, and/or proteinuria; thus, the prescribing information for alemtuzumab advises that both serum creatinine levels and microscopic urinalysis with cell counts must be obtained prior to initiation of alemtuzumab treatment and at monthly intervals until 48 months after the last infusion. Because urinalysis with microscopy is time consuming and requires skilled personnel, some clinics use simpler methods of urinalysis for practical reasons. Hemoptysis was not observed in clinical trials; however, alveolar hemorrhage manifesting as hemoptysis may occur with anti-GBM disease or be indicative of ITP, and an appropriate differential diagnosis is warranted. Clinicians should also remind patients to watch for symptoms (eg, hematuria, edema). Because anti-GBM disease may lead to permanent renal failure and can be life-threatening if left untreated, testing should be performed based on any clinical findings suggestive of nephropathies. Clinical trial evidence suggests that early detection and treatment of nephropathies is likely to improve renal outcomes.

Other potential autoimmune events

In a case report, two patients presented with apparent severe exacerbation of MS symptoms several months after infusion of alemtuzumab; it could not be determined if these were MS relapses or manifestations of secondary autoimmunity directed toward the central nervous system. Both patients had continuing disease activity despite DMT use (dimethyl fumarate and fingolimod) prior to switching to alemtuzumab. The authors of the report considered these cases to be B-cell
driven, in light of their successful resolution with plasma exchange plus administration of the B-cell-depleting antibody rituximab, and because the symptom onset coincided with post-alemtuzumab repopulation of B cells. Although the exact mechanism of alemtuzumab-associated autoimmune AEs has not been determined, it has been hypothesized that rapid hyper-repopulation of immature B cells in the relative absence of regulatory T cells results in B-cell-driven autoimmunity. Further, secondary autoimmunity is known to occur in patients recovering from lymphopenia in other settings (eg, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation or anti-HIV therapy). Further work is needed to fully understand the biology underlying autoimmune AEs post-alemtuzumab.

Malignancies
Alemtuzumab may potentially be associated with an increased risk of some types of malignancies; however, the risk of all cancers combined was lower in the alemtuzumab RRMS clinical trial program than in the reference population. The most common malignancies were thyroid papillary carcinoma (0.4%) and melanoma (0.3%). As the risk management program included quarterly monitoring of TSH levels and patients with abnormal findings underwent further screening, the incidence of thyroid malignancies may have been inflated due to a more frequent assessment (ie, ascertainment bias).

Pregnancy
As the effects of alemtuzumab treatment during pregnancy have not been determined, pregnant patients should not receive alemtuzumab treatment unless the likely benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. In the Phase II and III studies, pregnant or lactating patients were ineligible for treatment, but remained on study for safety follow-up. By December 31, 2015, 200 pregnancies had occurred in 137 of 972 alemtuzumab-treated women in the clinical development program. Of these pregnancies, 181 were complete and 11 were ongoing; outcomes of eight pregnancies were unknown. Among completed pregnancies with known outcomes, there were 122 (67%) live births with no congenital abnormalities or birth defects; also, there were 39 (22%) spontaneous abortions, 19 (11%) elective abortions, and one (0.6%) stillbirth. Elective abortions were due to personal choice (n=6), extraterine pregnancy (n=3), anembryonic gestation (n=2), or fetal defect (n=1, cystic hygroma and hypoplastic heart); no information was available for the remaining seven cases.

Women of child-bearing potential are advised to use effective contraception during alemtuzumab administration and for 4 months afterward. Transfer of TSH receptor antibodies from a mother with Graves’ disease to her fetus can result in transient neonatal Graves’ disease. One such case occurred in the CARE-MS studies, in which thyrotoxic crisis occurred in an infant born 1 year after alemtuzumab dosing in the mother. While quarterly TSH tests to evaluate thyroid function are recommended for all alemtuzumab-treated patients, experienced clinicians recommend monthly TSH testing in pregnant patients, for whom thyroid monitoring is especially important. Pregnancy outcomes in real-world patients with pregnancy onset within 4 months of alemtuzumab exposure are currently being collected in the Lemtrada Pregnancy Exposure Registry, a 19-country registry study.

Benefit:risk considerations for alemtuzumab treatment
The decision to initiate alemtuzumab treatment should be weighed carefully for each patient. Many factors must be placed in perspective, including the risk of untreated or undertreated disease, as well as the overall benefit:risk profile. The extensive clinical development program established alemtuzumab as a highly effective DMT. Alemtuzumab is one of only a few MS DMTs for which approval was based on comparison to an active treatment, demonstrating significant reduction in relapses and improved disability outcomes, compared with SC IFNB-1a. The annualized relapse rate was reduced by 55% in CARE-MS I and 49% in CARE-MS II, compared with SC IFNB-1a. The risk of 6-month CDW was significantly reduced by 42% versus SC IFNB-1a in CARE-MS II. Efficacy was durable over at least 5 years in the CARE-MS studies in the absence of continuous treatment (ie, regular, repeated dosing). Furthermore, 68% (CARE-MS I) and 60% (CARE-MS II) of patients did not receive alemtuzumab retreatment following their initial two courses, and 98% and 92%, respectively, did not receive another DMT after the initial two alemtuzumab courses. It is also noteworthy that these results were achieved with 96% of CARE-MS I patients and 91% of CARE-MS II patients who entered the extension, remaining on study through 5 years. This high rate of patient retention rate contributes to the robustness of the data.

Data also support a consistent AE profile in patients with RRMS. AEs associated with alemtuzumab are well characterized, were consistent throughout the clinical development program, and responded to standard therapy. Risk mitigation strategies implemented in the alemtuzumab RRMS clinical development program allowed for early detection.
and treatment of AEs, and are the basis for practice recommendations detailed in alemtuzumab labeling. These risk mitigation measures, including monthly blood and urine tests and quarterly thyroid function tests that are continued for 48 months after the last alemtuzumab infusion, are now used in clinical practice.\(^{18,19}\) Patients must understand and accept the monitoring commitment before initiating treatment, including the need for continued monitoring even in the absence of alemtuzumab retreatment.

Most DMTs require continuous treatment, and studies have shown that patients can become fatigued by the need for frequent administration (especially parenteral administration) and cease to persist with therapy.\(^{29}\) The unique administration schedule of alemtuzumab (two courses 1 year apart) eliminates the need for continuous dosing, and thus lessens the potential for compliance issues.

Choice of therapy for patients with RRMS should weigh the importance of tailoring treatment to each patient’s individual profile. In addition, a management plan should take account of the risks of leaving an MS patient without timely and appropriate treatment, as well as the risk of using inadequate agents, especially in the era of high-efficacy DMTs. Both CARE-MS I and the Phase II study showed that early in the disease course, treatment-naive RRMS patients with active disease who were treated with alemtuzumab were at lower risk for clinical and radiologic worsening, as well as for BVL, than those treated with SC IFNB-1a.\(^{11,36}\) The risk associated with a delay in using a high-efficacy DMT was confirmed in CARE-MS II, in which the clinical and radiologic benefits of alemtuzumab over SC IFNB-1a were also evident in RRMS patients with active disease who had been treated previously with a platform therapy.\(^{12}\) The results of CARE-MS I and II provide strong evidence in support of early alemtuzumab treatment in RRMS patients with active disease. Finally, the decision to prescribe a high-efficacy DMT should also consider the patient’s risk tolerance and expectations for treatment. Many patients are willing to accept increased risk in exchange for increased efficacy, as demonstrated by a study in RRMS patients, which showed that risk tolerance increased with the degree of expected clinical benefit.\(^{94}\)

Still, each patient must establish a personal threshold of acceptable risk. This threshold should be based on both the quantitative (eg, incidence) and qualitative (eg, discomfort, treatability) aspects of the AEs associated with treatment, on individual risk factors and the likely course of disease, and on the cumulative risk that may be associated with continuous treatment. It is important that clinicians take the time to educate patients regarding the potential benefits of treatment, predictable AEs, and the importance of commitment to the treatment decision, along with the monitoring requirements associated with each DMT, thus equipping patients to participate in the evaluation of therapy trade-offs. The authors have found on occasion that after such a discussion, requesting patients to sign an informed consent form is helpful in clarifying benefit:risk. The risk mitigation strategies in place for alemtuzumab may assuage patients’ and clinicians’ concerns when linked to alemtuzumab’s durable efficacy profile and abbreviated dosing regimen, compared with other DMTs.

**Conclusion**

Alemtuzumab is a high-efficacy DMT with demonstrated benefits in patients who are either treatment naive or who have had an inadequate response to prior therapy. Alemtuzumab has also demonstrated durable efficacy in the absence of continuous treatment, and the majority of RRMS patients did not require retreatment following the initial two courses through 5 years. Demonstrated benefits include a reduction in relapse rate, slowing or stabilization of the rate of disability worsening, improvement of disability in some patients, and a slowing of BVL. Safety data indicate that the risks associated with alemtuzumab are manageable with monitoring, and AEs respond to standard therapies. In alemtuzumab clinical trials, protocol-defined monitoring procedures detected IARs, infections, and autoimmune events, which allowed prompt successful management in the majority of cases. Overall, AEs decreased over time after alemtuzumab treatment, in contrast to experience with some other DMTs, which are associated with risks that persist or increase with chronic exposure. Data from postmarketing use have also provided valuable additional information regarding the safety profile of alemtuzumab. Through February 2017, ~13,000 patients have been treated worldwide with alemtuzumab for MS.\(^{99}\) The postmarketing frequency of autoimmune AEs has generally been lower than expected based on the incidence observed in clinical trials. There is an increased frequency of infection and the potential for opportunistic infections following alemtuzumab treatment (most commonly, L. monocytogenes and CMV); however, there have been no medically confirmed reports of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy attributed to alemtuzumab to date. Ongoing evaluation of postmarketing safety reports continues to help refine the safety and benefit:risk profiles of alemtuzumab, as well as to identify potential new safety signals. Patient education, vigilance on the part of both
physician and patient, and adherence to monthly laboratory monitoring are recommended to maintain the positive benefit:risk balance for alemtuzumab.

Disclosure

Editorial support was provided by Linda Wychowski, PhD, and Rosemary Perkins, Envision Scientific Solutions. Funding for editorial support was provided by Sanofi. This manuscript was reviewed for scientific and medical accuracy by Darren P Baker, PhD, of Sanofi. JAC received personal compensation for consulting for Adams, Celgene, Mallinckrodt, Merck, and Novartis and as a Co-Editor of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal – Experimental, Translational and Clinical*. EH received honoraria and grant support (Actelion, Biogen, Merck Serono, Novartis, Receptos, Roche, Sanofi, and Teva), and support from the Czech Ministry of Education research project Progres Q27/LF1. DH received speaker honoraria and consultant fees (Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, and Teva), financial support for research activities (Biogen), and support from the Czech Ministry of Education project Progres Q27/LF1. IK received speaker honoraria and consultant fees (Bayer, Biogen, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Teva), and financial support for research activities (Biogen). EM received speaker honoraria and consultant fees (Biogen, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Teva). The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References


