Overview of common errors and pitfalls to avoid in the acquisition and interpretation of ultrasound imaging of the abdominal aorta
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Abstract: Abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs) occur when the aorta diameter is >3 cm (30 mm). Ruptured AAA has a high mortality rate. Ultrasound is the imaging modality of choice to screen for this vascular disease. There are many common errors and pitfalls in the acquisition and interpretation of ultrasound imaging of the abdominal aorta, such as measurement errors and variations in technique, misdiagnosis errors, difficulty with visualization of the aorta and a wide range of sonographer experience. We review the common errors and pitfalls to recognize and avoid in ultrasound imaging of the abdominal aorta.
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Introduction
Vascular aneurysms are defined by a 1.5 increase from baseline luminal diameter. Given that the average abdominal aorta measures 2 cm, an abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) is defined by a measurement of 3 cm (30 mm) or greater.1 The prevalence of AAA increases with age; also, it is higher in males and in those with a smoking history, atherosclerotic disease and family history.2 A feared complication of AAA is rupture. Its overall prehospital mortality reaches 85%–90% and in-hospital mortality approaches 50%.3 AAA rupture is directly correlated to its diameter. The annual risk of rupture is <0.5% for those measuring <4 cm, 0.5%–5% per year for 4–5 cm, 3%–15% for 5–6 cm, 10%–20% for 6–7 cm, 20%–40% for 7–8 cm and 30%–50% per year for those measuring >8 cm.4 Those with predisposing factors should be screened for this disease.5

Ruptured AAA is associated with high mortality if not rapidly diagnosed and surgically repaired. Point-of-care ultrasonography in the emergency setting can be life-saving. Previous studies have reported sensitivities of 97.7%–100% and specificities of 94.1%–100% in the ability to diagnose AAA with ultrasound.6–8 Ultrasonography is portable, cost-effective, lacks ionizing radiation and is the image modality of choice to screen for this disease.9 Multiple sonographic views of the abdominal aorta should be obtained when feasible. Visualization of the proximal, mid and distal abdominal aorta, including the iliac artery bifurcation, is done in the longitudinal and axial planes (Figure 1A, B).1 In order to accurately assess AAAs, the sonographer should be cognizant of the common errors and pitfalls to be avoided in the acquisition and interpretation of vascular ultrasound imaging.

Measurement errors
AAA screening programs in the UK and USA allow for <5 mm discrepancy in measurement of the aorta diameter.9 The aorta diameter may be slightly greater when
measured with ultrasound compared to that measured with computed tomography (CT). Ultrasound is highly operator dependent, and inter- and intraobserver variability does exist. The aorta diameter measured in the anteroposterior (AP) plane is reproduced more accurately compared to that measured in the transverse (TR) plane.

Three approaches exist for measurement of the aorta diameter with ultrasound: inner-to-inner (ITI), leading-edge-to-leading edge and outer-to-outer (OTO), as shown in Figure 2. In the ITI approach, the calipers of the aorta diameter are measured from the anterior inner lumen of the aorta wall to the posterior inner lumen of the aorta wall. In the leading-edge-to-leading edge approach, the calipers are placed on the outer layer of the anterior aorta wall and measured to the inner lumen of the posterior wall. In the OTO approach, the calipers are placed from the outer anterior aorta wall to the outer posterior aorta wall. The ITI and OTO are the two most commonly used methods. The UK’s AAA screening program, The NHS Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Screening Programme, is a randomized AAA screening program that uses the ITI technique to diagnose aneurysms based on evidence from the Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study.

Improved inter- and intrarater reliability and repeatability has been demonstrated with the ITI approach. Proponents of the ITI approach suggest that there is better resolution at the inner wall of the posterior aorta compared to the outer wall of the aorta where the adventitia blends into the surrounding connective tissue. This subsequently produces weak reflective boundaries at the outer wall of the aorta. There are ramifications if the ITI approach is solely used. Patients with lower aorta measurements may miss the cutoff for AAA screening programs. Some have even advocated for lowering the threshold for entry into AAA surveillance programs to 26 mm with the ITI approach. Vascular surgeons and national intervention criteria, however, may base intervention on the OTO aorta diameter, which has caused confusion with interpretations of the ITI versus OTO techniques. The Screening Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Very Efficiently program in the USA refers to the OTO technique to screen for aneurysms. The American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine and the American College of Emergency Physicians both recommend the OTO method. The American College of Emergency Physicians further recommends that both the AP and TR (side-to-side) aorta diameters should be measured in the axial planes when feasible. The anterior and posterior aorta walls are more precise and sharply defined. However, measurement of the lateral walls may improve aneurysm assessment, since many AAAs have larger side-to-side diameters compared to the AP diameter.

A mural thrombus is frequently present within an AAA. The OTO approach may prevent a false-negative measurement if the aorta lumen is inadvertently measured rather than the entire AP diameter of the aneurysmal aorta (Figure 3).
Occasionally, the thrombus can be mistaken as the aorta wall. 
Visualization of the thrombus in TR and longitudinal views 
can aid in evaluation of the aneurysm and thrombus. 
Identification of the clot and differentiation of the aorta wall 
are paramount for an accurate measurement of AAA diameter.24

The morphology of the aorta can vary. The abdominal 
aorta is occasionally tortuous, and sonographic measurements 
of the aorta diameter may be inaccurate depending 
on the trajectory of the aorta and the location of measurement. 
The exact axial plane of the abdominal aorta may be difficult to isolate 
with a tortuous aorta. Oblique or angulated measurement of the aorta diameter 
can lead to an overestimation of the aorta diameter. Occasionally, 
the cross section of the aorta appears elliptical, which will result 
in inaccurate measurements of the aorta diameter.25 
Sonographers should ensure that the ultrasound beam is in plane 
with the axis of the aorta, instead of being at an angle. A thorough sonographic evaluation of the entire length of the abdominal aorta 
from cephalad to caudad will identify the trajectory of the aorta 
and detect the presence of fusiform or saccular aneurysms.

Fusiform aneurysms involve the entire circumference of the aorta wall and appear concentrically dilated. Saccular aneurysms involve a focal eccentric outpouching of the aorta wall.26,27 A large majority of the aneurysms are fusiform and are often associated with arterial wall degeneration secondary to atherosclerotic disease.28 While the etiology of saccular aneurysms remains primarily associated with atherosclerosis, occasionally, there are other independent causes of their formation as well, such as infection and inflammation, trauma, aortic ulcer or previous aorta surgery.28,29 The natural progression and the risk of rupture of saccular aneurysms are still unknown due to their varied etiology. It is believed that saccular aneurysms historically have a greater risk of rupture compared to fusiform aneurysms and are often repaired at smaller diameters.28–30 Even though both men and women have suffered aortic ruptures while under surveillance, women have been found to rupture at smaller aorta diameters in comparison to men.31,32 Sonographic evaluation of the aorta in two planes can prevent inadvertent missed saccular aneurysms. Once a thorough ultrasound evaluation of the entire length of the abdominal aorta is completed in the axial (TR) plane from cephalad to caudad, an evaluation of the aorta should be performed in the sagittal (longitudinal) plane for the presence of focal saccular aneurysms.

Operator error can occur if the midline of the aorta is not properly imaged in the sagittal view. The cylinder tangent effect occurs if the plane of the beam enters the aorta at a tangent and a falsely reduced AP diameter is resulted (Figure 4).26 Avoid this error by imaging the aorta in the axial plane and then slowly rotating the transducer until the sagittal plane of the aorta is visualized.

**Misdiagnosis errors**
In the longitudinal view, the inferior vena cava (IVC) can be misidentified as the abdominal aorta if the sonographer sweeps too far to the patient’s right in the parasagittal plane, since the IVC and aorta travel parallel to each other.26 A few distinctive features can help differentiate the IVC from the aorta. The IVC appears thin walled and is compressible, compared to the thick-walled and pulsatile aorta. The celiac trunk and the superior mesenteric artery branch off the aorta and can be visualized in the sagittal plane to confirm that the aorta is being visualized rather than the IVC.26 Again, imaging the aorta in two planes may prevent errors.

---

**Figure 3** Ultrasound of an AAA in the axial plane. 
**Notes:** (A) The OTO AP measurement correctly identifies the 8.9 cm AAA. (B) The ITI measurement may inadvertently underestimate an aneurysm and mural thrombus. 
**Abbreviations:** AAA, abdominal aortic aneurysm; AP, anteroposterior; ITI, inner-to-inner; OTO, outer-to-outer.

**Figure 4** The cylinder tangent effect. 
**Note:** (A) A longitudinal beam through the center of the aorta will provide the maximal AP diameter, whereas (B) a beam off to the side or tangent will result in a falsely smaller diameter. 
**Abbreviation:** AP, anteroposterior.
Novice sonographers should start with scanning the aorta in the axial plane. The transducer marker should be pointed to the patient’s right. The aorta will be located anterior to the vertebral body of the spine. The vertebral body appears as a curved, hyperechoic structure with posterior shadowing. The IVC will be located to the anatomic right of aorta unless the transducer is inadvertently pointing to the patient’s left side or the patient has an anatomic variant resulting in a left-sided IVC. Common causes of left-sided IVC include cardinal vein anomalies that occur during embryogenesis. This results in a duplicated IVC or left-sided IVC, which can be partial or complete. Less common causes of left-sided IVC include congenital cardiac defects and situs inversus.33 The transducer should then slowly be rotated clockwise until the sagittal plane of the aorta is visualized.

Paraaortic nodes are located around the aorta. Most large nodes are located anteriorly. However, some are located posteriorly and may displace the aorta anteriorly, away from the vertebral body. These nodes can be mistaken for the aorta due to its location and appearance. The two can be distinguished by using color flow Doppler, as the lymph nodes will not have intraluminal flow.26,34 Occasionally, the interface between lymph nodes and aorta is difficult to distinguish, resulting in a falsely enlarged aorta.

Novice sonographers who obtain an image of the aorta on a thin patient may inadvertently misidentify the spinal canal as the aorta if the image obtained on the monitor is at an inappropriate depth (Figure 5). Knowledge of anatomy and adjusting for appropriate depth will prevent this operator error.

Difficulty visualizing aorta
Excess bowel gas and obesity are two common barriers to obtaining a clear ultrasound image of the aorta. Bowel gas can be displaced from the area of interest with the application of gentle, continuous pressure on the abdomen. The patient may need to be placed in the lateral decubitus position with concomitant gentle pressure on the abdomen to displace bowel to the side.26,35,36

Increased body mass index is an obstacle for optimal ultrasound image acquisition. Increased waist circumference and variability in ultrasound measurements of the abdominal aorta have been reported.37 A low frequency 2–5 MHz curvilinear transducer is recommended for imaging aorta. Occasionally, the frequency will need to be decreased further in order to improve penetration.35

The presence of a ruptured AAA may make the tubular structure of the aorta wall difficult to identify.8,38 Ultrasonography has limitations in the detection of a ruptured AAA, since retroperitoneal fluid is poorly visualized with abdominal sonography. While robust evidence is lacking in support of the US diagnosis of AAA rupture, there have been some reported US findings that aid in the identification of ruptured AAA. A retroperitoneal hematoma, appearing as a pseudomass of variable echogenicity, can be visualized adjacent to the aorta in the TR axis.39 Other ultrasound findings suggestive of a ruptured AAA may include echogenic retroperitoneal fluid collection, AAA deformation with irregularity or the aneurysm morphology, luminal thrombus inhomogeneity, luminal thrombus interruption, floating thrombus attached on one side of the aorta lumen and free floating on the other side and a distinct, focal discontinuity of the outer wall of the aneurysm with active leakage of blood verified with color Doppler.39,40 While the focused assessment with sonography in trauma exam is often utilized as an adjunct to evaluate for free fluid in the abdomen, this is dependent on the presence of fluid in the peritoneal space, and the incidence of a positive focused assessment with sonography in trauma for AAA rupture is low.22 Ultrasonography is still the diagnostic modality of choice to evaluate the unstable patient, since it is

Figure 5 Axial ultrasound image of the abdominal aorta with inappropriate depth on the monitor where the spinal canal (*) is visualized on the center of the screen.
Notes: The aorta is correctly identified and measured in the near field.
Abbreviations: Ao, abdominal aorta; I, inferior vena cava.
Errors and pitfalls of aorta ultrasound

Port-Port, quick and readily available at the bedside. Regardless, it is paramount to know the limitations of ultrasound, as patients may require emergent surgery based on clinical acumen. Diagnostic tests such as ultrasound may aid in the clinical evaluation of the patient, but do not replace clinical judgment. Patients may benefit from CT if they are hemodynamically stable, as CT can more accurately identify active bleeding and identify the breach in the aneurysmal wall and influence the surgical approach and technique.

Level of experience
Multiple studies have reported that various nonradiologists from a variety of subspecialties and different levels of training can identify the aorta with ultrasound with a relatively high degree of accuracy. Singh et al assessed the variability of sonographic measurements at different levels of the abdominal aorta and concluded that inexperienced sonographers might achieve acceptable performance with appropriate training and surveillance. Nguyen et al also further confirmed that novices could be trained to screen after 15 days. In the setting of technically difficult cases, continued quality improvement with scan experience was essential. Hoffmann et al suggest that credentialed Emergency Medicine sonographers with <3 years of experience were less likely to identify AAA in asymptomatic patients, compared to experienced colleagues. Emergency Physicians have demonstrated that an AAA can be identified with 100% accuracy after a 3-day course.

In summary, a variety of errors and pitfalls can occur in the acquisition and interpretation of ultrasound imaging of the abdominal aorta. Further studies are warranted to assess the accuracy of the various techniques to measure the diameter of aorta. The OTO measurement of the AP aorta diameter is deemed less reproducible compared to the ITI approach. However, the OTO approach will less likely exclude AAA patients from screening and surveillance programs. Misdiagnosis errors can be avoided with improved knowledge and recognition of normal and pathologic anatomy. Obesity and bowel gas are common hurdles to optimal sonographic image acquisition of the abdominal aorta. Implementation of lower transducer frequency, changes in patient positioning and the application of gentle pressure may improve the ultrasound image. Ultrasound imaging is highly operator dependent. However, previous studies have demonstrated that sonographers with minimal experience are able to accurately identify AAA.
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