Medication nonadherence among South American patients with schizophrenia
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Objective: The objective of this research was to quantify nonadherence to medication and explore the determinants of nonadherence in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia (SZ) from three countries in Latin America (Bolivia, Peru, and Chile).

Methods: This study was conducted in public mental health centers in Bolivia, Peru, and Chile. The data collected included drug attitude inventory (DAI-10), sociodemographic information, and clinical and treatment characteristics of patients with SZ. Multivariate analysis with multiple linear regression was then performed to identify variables that were potentially associated with the DAI score (dependent variable).

Results: Two hundred and fifty-three patients diagnosed with SZ participated in the study and 247 fully completed the DAI-10. In the multivariate analysis, medication nonadherence was associated with being a woman (β=−0.16, p=0.029), younger age (β=0.17, p=0.020), younger age at onset of disease (β=−0.17, p=0.019), and lower insight (β=−0.30, p<0.001).

Conclusion: Being a female, younger age, younger age at onset of disease, and lower insight were the main features associated with nonadherence. If future longitudinal studies confirm these findings, these factors should not be neglected in Latin American mental health public policies to address the problem of nonadherence.
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Introduction

The antipsychotic medication has been shown to reduce the symptoms and the relapses associated with schizophrenia (SZ).1 Despite these benefits, the rates of nonadherence vary between 20% and 89%,2–10 thus being the greatest obstacle in the management of the disorder.2

In schizophrenic patients, when antipsychotic drug therapy is discontinued, the risk of relapse increases almost five times.11 It also results in worsening of symptoms, suicidal attempts, and consequently, repeated emergency room visits or rehospitalization.11,12

Adherence is defined as “the degree to which a patient’s behavior, in relation to taking medication, following a diet, or modifying lifestyle habits, corresponds to the recommendations agreed with the health professional”.13 On the other hand, the term compliance is related to a more passive and submissive behavior of the patient to obey a prescription. The lack of participation in the definition, according to Dilla et al,14 would be evidencing less use of the term compliance, although in the clinic both concepts are used interchangeably.

Nonadherence to pharmacological treatment in patients with SZ is a complex phenomenon summarized into the following four factors: sociodemographic variables; variables related with the disorder itself; variables related to treatment (frequency and intensity of side effects, insight, comorbid addiction, and duration of treatment);
and finally, variables that have to do with the values and attitudes of the patient (attitude toward the disease). 15–18

Previous studies have found that young, male patients with low socioeconomic level, belonging to a minority, with poor social functioning and difficulties in the establishment of an adequate therapeutic alliance present greater difficulties to achieve adherence to the treatment. 8, 19

Knowing the risk factors related to lack of adherence is important because the modification of these factors can become targets for future treatments, 19 especially in Latin American countries where research on this topic is scarce.

The objective of this research was to quantify nonadherence to medication and explore the determinants of nonadherence in patients diagnosed with SZ from three main regions in Latin America. These three Latin American countries share several cultural characteristics; however, there are some general differences between them, which should be made explicit: in Bolivia, 13.6% of the population lives with less than US$1 per day; in Peru, this population is 5.9%; and it is < 2.0% in Chile, which shows some difference in relation to the poverty index. 20

Other differences are associated with psychiatric care facilities: per 100,000 inhabitants, Bolivia has 0.1 psychiatric hospitals, Peru has 0.01, and Chile has 0.003 (this country has a greater number of outpatient institutions), mental health staff (the number of psychiatrists in Bolivia is 0.1; Peru: 0.1 and Chile: 0.6 per 100,000 inhabitants); lack of day hospitals (Bolivia, 0.1; Peru, 0; and Chile, 0.5), and residential care (Bolivia, 0; Peru, without data; and Chile, 103). 21, 22

In relation to each specific institution in this study, the three clinics shared similar characteristics in terms of size, type of treatment given to patients, professionals, and free access to care.

Methods

Study participants

This cross-sectional study analyzed the information obtained through interviews and surveys of patients diagnosed with SZ attending the Public Mental Health Centers in three Latin American cities: La Paz, Bolivia (32.8%); Arica, Chile (33.6%); and Tacna, Peru (33.6%). The Mental Health Centers selected were the largest in each region. The first author reviewed the total number of patients diagnosed with SZ who were treated each service; subsequently, clinical psychologists made the interviews over a 3-month period in each city. Patients were invited to participate as they came for their monthly follow-up visits. A set of exclusion criteria to the selection of patients was applied (being in a state of psychotic crisis or having a sensory or cognitive type of disorder that prevents being evaluated) to ensure their ability to participate fully in the interviews. As most of the patients were stable, in relation to their psychotic symptomatology, the number of patients excluded was low and also the overwhelming majority of the people agreed to participate.

The final sample included 253 patients with an ICD-10 diagnosis of SZ. 23

Procedures

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Tarapacá and the National Health Service of Chile. We also obtained the authorization of the Public Mental Health Services in Peru and Bolivia. Two psychologists, who were part of the research team and supervised by the principal researcher, conducted the survey of the patients under the auspices of the mental health services of each country. The length of time of the evaluation was between 20 and 30 min.

Before the start of the survey, written informed consent was requested and received from the patients. The objectives of the study were explained as well as the voluntary nature of participation. No compensation was offered for participating in the study.

Measures

Drug attitude inventory

This 10-item self-report scale was developed to assess attitude, experience, and beliefs about antipsychotic drugs. 24 The drug attitude inventory (DAI-10) is considered to be a good predictor of adherence to treatment in SZ. 24, 25 Scores ranged from −10 (very poor attitude) to +10 (best possible attitude). This is a simple and easy-to-use self-report instrument with good psychometric properties that assess a unique clinical dimension relevant to nonadherence. 25 DAI-10 scores that are analyzed here were obtained from patients.

Patients with a score of 6–10 are considered as adherent, from 0 to 5 as moderate, and in negative ranges are considered as nonadherent. 26 This instrument has a Spanish version carried out by Ramirez et al. 27 In relation to its psychometric properties, this instrument shows an inter-rater reliability index of 0.61 (p < 0.001) and an internal consistency coefficient of 0.57. The version of the DAI shows convergent validity as well as moderate reliability. 27

Positive and negative syndrome scale for SZ

This 30-item, 7-point (1–7) clinician rating scale assesses psychotic symptoms in individuals with SZ. 28 For the purposes
of this study, we considered the five subscales of the positive and negative syndrome scale for schizophrenia (PANSS): positive, negative, excitation, depression, and cognitive subscales. Higher scores indicate more severe symptomatology. The PANSS has been translated and validated in Spain by Peralta and Cuesta, and Fresán et al examined the psychometric properties of this instrument in Mexico. PANSS severity scores are: PANSS total score of 58 = mildly ill, 75 = moderately ill, 95 = markedly ill, and 116 = severely ill.

Barnes akathisia scale (BAS) was used to evaluate akathisia. BAS contains four items, including objective akathisia, subjective awareness of restlessness, subjective distress related to restlessness, and a global clinical assessment of akathisia. In this study, we used the global clinical assessment of akathisia. Higher scores indicate more severe akathisia.

Simpson–Angus extrapyramidal side effect scale
Simpson–Angus extrapyramidal side effect scale (SAS) was used to evaluate extrapyramidal symptoms. The scale contains 10 items rated on a scale of 0–4. Higher scores indicate more severe extrapyramidal symptoms.

Finally, insight was measured by the psychiatrist using the abbreviated version of the scale to assess unawareness in mental disorder (SUMD). In this study, we examined the awareness of disease, higher scores representing unawareness.

Clinical and demographic data
The clinical variables included: the number of hospitalizations in the last 3 years, age at onset of the disorder (defined as the age that the first acute psychotic episode appeared), the presence or absence of add-on integrated treatment (defined by psychotherapy, family psychoeducation, and/or day care hospital in addition to pharmacological treatment). All patients were administered antipsychotics. The demographic variables assessed were sex, age, marital status (single or in couple), educational level (≥12 years or <12), employment status (unemployed or employed), ethnicity (Aymara and non-Aymara), and family income (measure of the total salary per month for all members of the family, expressed in US dollars).

Concerning ethnicity, the Aymara is the largest ethnic group in these regions of Latin America, with a total population of two million people, and has lived in the Andes Mountains for centuries. Recent generations of Aymara have undertaken a massive migration from rural towns to large cities and, thus, receive healthcare services from the same clinics as non-Aymara individuals.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive analyses are presented as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and as means and SDs for continuous variables. Associations between DAI score and the continuous variables were analyzed via Spearman’s correlation tests. Means-based comparisons of the DAI scores between various subgroups were calculated via Mann–Whitney tests. Multivariate analysis with multiple linear regression (simultaneous model) was then performed to identify variables that were potentially associated with the DAI score (dependent variable). The variables that were relevant to the models were selected from the univariate analysis based on a threshold p-value of ≤0.10. Gender was included in the model because of its association with nonadherence in previous studies. The final models incorporated the standardized β coefficients, which represent a change in the standard deviation of the dependent variable (DAI) resulting from a change of one standard deviation in the various independent variables. The independent variables with the higher standardized β coefficients are those with a greater relative effect on multivariate adaptive regression splines.

All of the tests were two sided. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05. The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Two hundred fifty-three patients with SZ participated in the study and 247 fully completed the DAI-10. The mean age was 35.6 years (SD=15.5); 66.4% were male and 46.2% of the patients were Aymara, 93.6% of the patients were not married or without a partner, 68.8% had no occupation, and 84.2% had low educational level (≤12 years). DAI-10 scores reflect a moderate adherence to the medication (3.0, SD=4.8). Overall, 49 (19.8%) participants were considered nonadherent. The prevalence of nonadherence was 11.8% in Chile, 25.0% in Peru, and 23.2% in Bolivia.

The severity of the symptoms was moderate, with a total PANSS score of 71.3 (SD=28.2). The mean in the BAS was 0.9 (SD=2.0) below the cutoff score for the diagnosis of akathisia. The mean score in the SAS was 1.9 (SD=2.5). All data are presented in Table 1.

Bivariate and multivariate analyses results are reported in Table 2. In the bivariate analysis, nonadherence was associated with younger age (p=0.003), country (p=0.032, the lowest adherence was found in Peru), lower monthly family income (p=0.039), higher severity of psychotomatic symptoms including the total PANSS index (p<0.001) and all the PANSS factors, lower awareness of disease (p<0.001),
The other findings of our study are in line with previous studies on adherence in SZ patients. Younger age and younger age of onset were associated with nonadherence.\textsuperscript{8,16,51} Several hypotheses have been formulated to explain these findings. Younger patients may be less adherent because they may not be aware of the severity of the disorder or the need to maintain pharmacological treatment, thus tending to drug abuse.\textsuperscript{82}
Patients with younger age on onset may be less adherent because they tend to have more neurocognitive impairments, functional disabilities, positive and negative symptoms, and less responsiveness to antipsychotic medications. In addition, these two features of nonadherence are important to consider because the onset of SZ and other psychiatric disorders begins before the age of 30 and effective treatment is not initiated until years later. Previous studies have reported that a longer duration of untreated psychosis was associated with poor adherence and may be related to worse treatment outcome as a result of the toxic effects of the psychosis experience. Patient’s age and age of onset are thus critical features that should be considered in orienting health intervention and, more largely, health policies in Latin America on prevention and early intervention and the organization of mental health care for patients with SZ.

Lack of insight was also an important feature of nonadherence in our study. The association between lack of insight and poor adherence has been extensively confirmed in previous studies. This finding suggests that clinicians should enhance the detection of this problem of insight in Latin American countries and try to modify...
attitudes toward medication in SZ patients, especially by exploring and addressing concerns and patients’ distrust in pharmacotherapy. Previous studies reported the importance of respecting and considering patients’ belief systems to improve medication attitudes. Other sociodemographic and clinical variables were not related to adherence, as obtained by Lacro et al. This result is similar to previous studies as well that the side effects to the medication had impact on DAI-10 scores.

The study presents limitations. First, the cross-sectional design used in this research does not allow causal relationships to be established, so longitudinal studies are necessary. Second, the behavior of patients in relation to pharmacological adherence is not easy to detect and quantify, and all forms of detection have some limitations. In fact, the DAI scale is criticized because it assesses adherence subjectively as opposed to other more quantifiable methods such as pill counts, electronic monitor, and plasma concentrations. However, as suggested by Velligan et al, even the use of more objective measures can be associated with significant errors. In addition, the 10-item DAI is easy to administer, is widely used, has good psychometric properties, and has been shown to predict adherence. Third, the study did not have a classification based on the type of antipsychotic used by patients; future investigations should consider differentially between the effects of first and second generation of antipsychotics, as well as incorporate other elements related to adherence, such as therapeutic alliance, time of untreated psychosis, family and social support. On the other hand, the information obtained did not allow the consideration of the psychosocial components or the psychoeducational needs of the subjects, which are factors that are associated with the therapeutic adherence.

Conclusion
Nonadherence remains a challenging problem in SZ in Latin American countries.

Being a woman, younger age, younger at onset of disease, and lower insight are the main features associated with nonadherence in this study performed in Latin America.

If future longitudinal studies confirm these findings, these factors should not be neglected in Latin American mental health public policies to address the problem of nonadherence.
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