Characterization and prevalence of spondyloarthritis and peripheral arthritis among patients with inflammatory bowel disease
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Background: Joint complaints such as spondyloarthritis and peripheral arthritis are the most common extraintestinal manifestations in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD); however, the evaluation of these symptoms are poorly described.

Objectives: To examine the clinical characteristics and prevalence of ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and other joint complaints among patients with IBD.

Methods: In a local cohort of patients diagnosed with IBD between 1996 and 2009, we performed a retrospective study at the Veterans Affairs Hospital. Patients with IBD were identified by International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision codes and confirmed by chart review. The occurrence of AS, peripheral arthritis, and other peripheral and axial joint symptoms were identified.

Results: We identified 626 patients with IBD between ages 18 and 90 (90% males), of whom 57% had ulcerative colitis (UC), 74% were Caucasians, and the mean age at diagnosis was 54 (±16) years. Among the study population, 108 patients (17%) had at least one type of joint pain. Among these 17% with joint pain, 12% had AS, 43% had peripheral arthritis, 32% had chronic back pain without AS, and 13% had other types of joint pain. The overall prevalence of peripheral arthritis among patients with IBD was three times higher than that of AS (7% vs 2.1%, respectively, OR 3.5; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.9–6.5; p=0.001). There was no difference in the prevalence of AS or peripheral arthritis between patients with Crohn’s disease and UC. The initial diagnosis of AS occurred after the initial diagnosis of IBD in 80% of patients within a mean (SD) period of 5.6 (±6) years.

Conclusion: Spondyloarthritis among patients with IBD is usually diagnosed after the initial diagnosis of IBD. No difference in the prevalence of AS or peripheral arthritis was observed by IBD type, age, or race. Recognition and understanding of these results will have important implications for the management of IBD patients with spondyloarthritis.
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Introduction

Joint complaints are one of the most common extraintestinal manifestations in patients diagnosed with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).1 Spondyloarthritis is an immune-mediated inflammatory disorder that includes ankylosing spondylitis (AS), psoriatic arthritis, juvenile spondyloarthritis, and acute anterior uveitis.1 Spondyloarthritis is now classified as axial or peripheral, and is reported to be associated with IBD,1–4 with wide variations of the prevalence of both conditions among patients with IBD that range between 5% and 20% and 3% and 25%, respectively.5–7 Conflicting results have been reported with regard to the prevalence of spondyloarthritis based on IBD subtype. Studies have reported that there is no major difference in the prevalence of spondyloarthritis...
between ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD), whereas others reported higher prevalence among patients with CD. Nevertheless, the prevalence of spondyloarthritis in IBD is reported from several cohorts outside the USA, and one study from Olmsted County, Minnesota, estimated that the cumulative incidence of spondyloarthritis among patients with IBD after 10 years was 6.7% [95% confidence interval (CI) 2.5%–6.7%].

Patients with IBD frequently present with joint complaints; however, the character and means of evaluating these symptoms are poorly described. As these patients with IBD frequently present with axial and peripheral joint pain, we studied the clinical characteristics, prevalence, and incidence of AS, inflammatory peripheral arthritis, and non-inflammatory joint complaints among a cohort of patients with IBD.

Methods

Data source and case identification

We used data from the local Veterans Affairs (VA) administrative data sets to estimate the prevalence and describe the characteristics of AS and other joint complaints among patients with IBD. The VA Medical SAS datasets contain individual-level data from inpatient and outpatient encounters. VA users with IBD were identified by International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) diagnosis codes for CD (555.x) or UC (556.x) and confirmed to have either CD or UC on chart review, on the basis of available clinical, endoscopic, histologic, or radiologic data. The occurrence of AS, peripheral arthritis, and other joint complaints were identified based on chart review (eg, non-inflammatory back pain and non-inflammatory peripheral arthritis).

A standardized data abstraction form was used to collect information on demographics (eg, age, gender, ethnicity), IBD type, IBD characteristics using the Montreal classification encounters or visits (ie, date, frequency), onset of IBD, onset of AS or joint complaints, diagnosis codes (ICD-9), and medical and surgical procedure codes (Current Procedural and Medical and Surgical Procedure codes/Current Procedural Terminology). Joint complaints were categorized on the basis of clinical documentation as ankylosing spondylitis, non-inflammatory back pain, as well as inflammatory and non-inflammatory peripheral arthritis. Patients with missing data of the elicited variables were excluded from the analysis.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of VA Medical Center and Baylor College of Medicine, who deemed written informed consent was not needed due to the retrospective nature of the study.

Results

Between 1996 and 2009, we identified 626 patients with a confirmed diagnosis of IBD who were eligible for inclusion in the analysis for the current study. Participants were between the ages of 18 and 90; mean (SD) age at diagnosis was 54 (±16) years, 90% were males, and 57% were diagnosed with UC. The race distribution was: 74% Caucasians, 18% African Americans, 5% Hispanics, and 3% others. Among the total IBD study population, 108 patients (17%) had at least one type of joint pain. Within the cohort of 108 patients of joint pain, 12% had AS, 43% had inflammatory peripheral arthritis, 32% had non-inflammatory back pain, and 13% had non-inflammatory peripheral arthritis. The age-specific distribution of the four groups of joint pain was almost similar (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Age-specific distribution of the four groups of joint pain among the VA cohort in the study population.
The overall prevalence of inflammatory peripheral arthritis among the IBD study population was three times higher than that of AS (7% vs 2.1%, respectively; OR 3.5; 95% CI 1.9–6.5; \( p = 0.001 \)). Although the prevalence of AS (2.1% and 1.9%, respectively) did not differ between CD and UC patients \( (p = 0.2) \), the prevalence of inflammatory peripheral arthritis was higher among CD than UC patients (8% vs 6%, respectively); however, the difference did not reach statistical significance level \( (p = 0.1) \). No significant difference was observed in the prevalence of inflammatory peripheral arthritis and AS based on race (Figure 3). Of the 108 patients with joint pain, 68% had any type of joint pain after the initial diagnosis of IBD, ranging from 1 to 17 years (mean ± SD 9.3 ± 4.5), 25% within the same year as the first IBD encounter, and only 7% had any type of joint pain before the initial diagnosis of IBD, ranging from 1 to 11 years (mean ± SD 5.6 ± 6.1). The same pattern was consistent within each group of joint pain (Table 1).

**Discussion**

The main strength of this study is its population-based design and availability of clinical information from the VA data sets. Our study found the overall prevalence of all types of joint pains to be 17%. The prevalence of inflammatory peripheral arthritis was 7.3%, which is slightly higher among CD than UC patients. This finding is consistent with a previously reported study from Oxford, England, that reported the frequency of peripheral arthritis at 3.6% in patients with UC and 6% in patients with CD.\(^4\) On the other hand, our findings were in contrast with two retrospective studies from Europe that reported conflicting results to each other on the prevalence of peripheral arthritis between CD and UC patients. The first study from Italy reported that the prevalence of peripheral arthritis among UC patients is much higher than in CD patients (6.1% vs 1.7%), respectively,\(^13\) whereas the second one from Switzerland reported a higher prevalence of arthritis among CD, than in UC, patients.\(^14\) The inconsistency between our results and those from previous studies could be attributed to study design, because our study was based on review of medical records, whereas the other two studies obtained their data through physician and patient questionnaires.

The frequency of AS in our current study was significantly lower than the prevalence of inflammatory peripheral arthritis and did not differ between CD and UC patients. This finding is in accordance with two prior studies from Olmsted County that independently examined two cohorts of CD and

---

**Table 1** Start of joint symptoms relative to IBD diagnosis in the 108 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All with IBD and joint symptoms</th>
<th>Ankylosing spondylitis</th>
<th>Inflammatory peripheral arthritis</th>
<th>Non-inflammatory back pain</th>
<th>Non-inflammatory peripheral arthritis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD diagnosis prior to joint symptoms (%)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range/years</td>
<td>1–17 years</td>
<td>4–13</td>
<td>2–17</td>
<td>1.5–17</td>
<td>4–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>9.3 (±4.5)</td>
<td>8.5 (±3.3)</td>
<td>9.5 (±4.5)</td>
<td>9.2 (±4.3)</td>
<td>10.1 (±4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same year %</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD diagnosis after onset of joint symptoms (%)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range in years</td>
<td>1.1–11</td>
<td>1 patient</td>
<td>1.1–4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>5.6 (6.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; NA, not applicable.
UC patients and reported that the increase of the cumulative incidence of AS was similar for both CD and UC patients.\textsuperscript{15,16} It is important to estimate and compare the prevalence of both AS and inflammatory peripheral arthritis among IBD patients to understand the associations between these diseases for better management and treatment of both conditions.

Our results did not find a difference of any type of joint pain based on race and/or ethnicity. Previous studies have examined phenotypic and outcome differences by race and ethnicity. Previous studies have compared the prevalence of IBD among African Americans, Hispanics, and non-Hispanic White reported that there are racial differences in IBD EIMs,\textsuperscript{20} whereas another study published that African Americans have similar variety and frequency of EIMs compared to Caucasians.\textsuperscript{21} Further studies comparing the prevalence and characteristics of the EIMs between Caucasian and non-Caucasian populations may provide insight into the impact of genetic variations on the clinical course of both IBD and EIMs.

Of interest, our study revealed that AS, inflammatory peripheral arthritis, and non-inflammatory joint complaints are most often diagnosed after the initial diagnosis of IBD and implies that IBD may share environmental triggers, genetic susceptibilities, or alterations in immune homeostasis with inflammatory arthritis. It is important to recognize IBD comorbidities to promptly evaluate, diagnose, and treat or refer to other specialists. Early recognition and treatment of IBD-associated manifestation are crucial in preventing major morbidity.

The ICD-9 codes are useful in clinical research and we have previously validated the ICD-9 code for identifying patients with IBD in the Veterans Affairs Health Care System.\textsuperscript{22} However, a major shortcoming of the current study is that we relied on ICD-9 coding for identifying only IBD as there are no validated ICD-9 code case-finding algorithms for AS and back pain among an IBD population. However, we have performed detailed chart reviews for each of the studied cases to confirm cases. Our study population predominantly comprised Caucasian males and, therefore, our findings may not be generalizable to female populations or non-Caucasians. However, our population is more racially/ethnically diverse than many other reported IBD populations.

**Conclusion**

Our study demonstrates that both inflammatory and non-inflammatory joint complaints are common among IBD patients and are usually diagnosed after the initial diagnosis of IBD. No differences in the prevalence of AS or inflammatory peripheral arthritis were observed based on IBD type, age, or race. Recognition and clear understanding of these results will have important implications for management and treatment of both IBD and related joint complaints.
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