Nanoparticles for the treatment of liver fibrosis
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Abstract: Chronic liver diseases represent a global health problem due to their high prevalence worldwide and the limited available curative treatment options. They can result from various causes, both infectious and noninfectious diseases. The application of nanoparticle (NP) systems has emerged as a rapidly evolving area of interest for the safe delivery of various drugs and nucleic acids for chronic liver diseases. This review presents the pathogenesis, diagnosis and the emerging nanoparticulate systems used in the treatment of chronic liver diseases caused by liver fibrosis. Activated hepatic stellate cell (HSC) is considered to be the main mechanism for liver fibrosis. Ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging techniques are widely used noninvasive diagnostic methods for hepatic fibrosis. A variety of nanoparticulate systems are mainly focused on targeting HSC in the treatment of hepatic fibrosis. As early liver fibrosis is reversible by current NP therapy, it is being studied in preclinical as well as clinical trials. Among various nanoparticulate systems, inorganic NPs, liposomes and nanomicelles have been widely studied due to their distinct properties to deliver drugs as well as other therapeutic moieties. Liposomal NPs in clinical trials is considered to be a milestone in the treatment of hepatic fibrosis. Currently, NP therapy for liver fibrosis is updating fast, and hopefully, it can be the future remedy for liver fibrosis.
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Introduction
Liver fibrosis results from chronic damage to the liver in conjunction with the accumulation of extracellular cell matrix (ECM) proteins, which is a characteristic of most types of chronic liver diseases. Alcohol abuse, hepatitis viral infections, genetic abnormalities, steatohepatitis, autoimmunity and other noninfectious diseases like fatty liver contribute to liver fibrosis. The major causes of chronic liver diseases are given in Figure 1. The accumulation of ECM proteins distorts the hepatic architecture by forming a fibrous scar, and the subsequent development of nodules of regenerating hepatocytes defines cirrhosis, ie, the so-called advanced liver fibrosis. Cirrhosis produces hepatocellular dysfunction, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and hepatic failure.

Fibrosis is a result of excessive accumulation of scar tissue resulting from the inflammation of liver cells. Abnormal spherical areas of cells called nodules form dying liver cells, which will be replaced by regenerating cells. As a result of a series of events resulting in hepatocyte damage, the retention of inflammatory cells in the injured liver and the activation of collagen producing cells contribute to the liver in becoming hard, finally leading to liver fibrosis. It is characterized by the excessive deposition of ECM proteins, especially collagen type 1, and it is mainly contributed by hepatic stellate cells (HSCs).1–3

Conventional therapy is not effective for the treatment of liver diseases due to the inability to deliver adequate concentration of therapeutic agents into the liver.
Recently, treatments using nanotechnology have attracted more attention owing to the targeted delivery of therapeutic agents into the liver.\textsuperscript{4–6} Using a large variety of materials, a number of nanoparticle (NP) systems have been developed for the effective treatment of liver fibrosis. The composition, architecture, shape, diverse size and surface properties of the NP systems contribute to their unique properties for the successful delivery of therapeutic precursor.\textsuperscript{7,8} This review summarizes the NP systems for the treatment of liver fibrosis and discusses the future prospects.

**Pathogenesis and therapeutic target of liver fibrosis**

The pathogenesis of liver fibrosis mainly includes the deposition of fibrillar collagen as well as ECM proteins as a result of the wound healing response. The main mechanism behind this is the activation of quiescent HSC in a myofibroblast-like cell with subsequent upregulation of several proteins like interstitial collagen, $\alpha$-smooth muscle actin ($\alpha$-SMA), proteoglycans and matrix metalloproteinase.\textsuperscript{9,10} The progression and reversal of liver fibrosis and the formation of myofibroblast are given subsequently (Figure 2).

Several etiological factors are involved in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis, such as alcohol consumption, viral infection, metabolic disorders, toxins, obesity, steatosis and cholestasis.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 1** Major causes of chronic liver diseases.

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 2** Formation of myofibroblasts and progression and reversal of hepatic fibrosis.

*Abbreviation:* ECM, extracellular cell matrix.
Alcohol consumption is considered to be the major factor. The metabolism of alcohol results in the production of acetaldehyde and reactive oxygen species (ROS). Acetaldehyde increases the production of transforming growth factor β1 (TGFβ1) in HSC and upregulates the collagen 1 protein expression, which in turn leads to hepatic fibrosis. TGFβ1 is considered to be the major factor in the progression of alcoholic liver diseases (ALDs). At the same time, the generation of ROS will lead to cell death and damage via hepatocyte necrosis or apoptosis. Other factors, like viral infection and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), also greatly contribute to the progression of liver fibrosis. NASH is also considered to be the predominant etiological factor in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis, and it is characterized by the elevated expression of latent cytokine TGFβ1 as well as elevated levels of serum alanine and aspartate aminotransferase (ALT and AST, respectively). It will also result in the deposition of collagen and increase the chance for the degeneration of hepatocytes. NASH will also lead to increased levels of free fatty acids (FFAs) followed by the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR-α), which in turn results in ROS generation and cell damage.

As a general rule, the currently available antifibrotic therapies have been directed against suppressing hepatic inflammation rather than subduing fibrosis. Therapeutic intervention may include efforts to remove the injurious stimuli, suppress hepatic inflammation, downregulate HSC activation and promote matrix degradation. Advanced cirrhosis with nodule formation, portal hypertension and early liver failure are generally considered irreversible, but less advanced lesions can show remarkable reversibility when the underlying cause of the liver injury is controlled, possibly by other therapeutic interventions. In studies of patients with hepatitis B and hepatitis C, at least 70% of the patients showed reversal of cirrhosis following successful antiviral therapies.

Activated HSC is considered to be the main reason for liver fibrosis, and all of the current NP therapies are mainly focused on targeting HSC in different manners with a variety of nanoparticulate systems. When liver injury happens, profibrogenic factors will be released by macrophages, which will activate HSC. Therefore, targeting macrophages can be useful for the therapeutic approach toward liver fibrosis, and hepatocytes are the main contributors of the accumulation of fibroblast in the injured liver. Targeting both macrophages and hepatocytes can be a good approach for therapy. There are a number of drugs targeting different pathways of liver fibrosis progression by macrophages and hepatocytes; however, few are being studied using the NP form.

Liver fibrosis results from changes in four major liver cells such as hepatocytes, HSC, macrophages and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs). It is evident that macrophages or Kupffer cells and HSCs are mainly responsible for both fibrogenesis and fibrolysis of the liver among different innate immune liver cells. When a liver injury occurs, macrophages initiate a fibrotic response by recruiting additional immune cells, and the activated Kupffer cells will destroy the hepatocytes and trigger the activation of HSC. The fibrolytic character of macrophages and HSC is considered to be the major reason for the reversal of fibrosis and can be utilized for therapy. Targeting mainly profibrogenic macrophages and HSC could be useful for the immunotherapy against liver fibrosis.

**Diagnosis of liver fibrosis**

Early fibrosis can be difficult to diagnose because it is often asymptomatic. If a blood test indicates fibrosis of the liver, a liver biopsy will typically be performed. A liver biopsy requires a needle to remove a small sample of liver tissue so that doctors can assess the extent of liver damage and the degree of fibrosis. Considered as the “gold standard” for determining the extent of liver disease, several points of interest regarding liver biopsy should be considered. Liver biopsy is not always accurate and has several shortcomings. Several scales are used to determine the stage of fibrosis. One common classification is a scale from 0 to 4. The degree of fibrosis can be assessed as none, minimal, mild, moderate or severe. Ultrasonography is widely used in the diagnosis of liver fibrosis because it is an inexpensive and accurate method. However, this approach is operator dependent and has limitations for detecting early liver fibrosis in obese patients and in patients with ascites. Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging is a more “challenging” method for radiologists and especially for patients. MR elastography has high diagnostic accuracy for the detection of fibrosis.

**NP systems for fibrosis**

Nanomedicines for liver diseases are mainly formulated using liposomes, polymers and special moieties. The delivery of drug molecules, small interfering nucleic acids, antibodies and moieties for targeting and imaging can be successfully framed using the help of liposomes as well as an enormous number of polymers. Nanoparticulate systems with stimuli sensitive polymers and liposomes have gained much attention for the treatment of liver fibrosis as well as a number of other diseases, especially cancer. Pharmacotherapy, gene therapy and immunotherapy are being studied and considered.
to be promising research fields in the future, despite the fact that current therapies are not that effective in completely curing hepatic fibrosis. Other than NPs, a number of small molecule drugs and monoclonal antibodies are in clinical trials now (Table 1).

There are many kinds of nanoparticulates for the treatment of liver fibrosis. Our review article classifies the nanoparticulate systems based on their chemical structure and components. All the NP systems currently used for the therapy of liver fibrogenesis are characterized by their unique properties. Inorganic NPs are considered a good therapeutic option with special structures to carry drugs for the treatment. These NPs are characterized by a metal oxide or metal core, which is covered with an organic layer. These metal cores give them unique optical, electrical and magnetic properties according to their size and shape. Moreover, they have many advantages in terms of incorporating different drugs. These NPs are still in the preclinical stage of studies due to their lack of biocompatible characteristics.38–42

Liposome for cancer therapy is an emerging field of research interest currently, in both preclinical and clinical stages. Liposome can entrap both hydrophilic and hydrophobic drugs and can release them in the proper target sites. Biocompatibility, biodegradability and low toxicity are the main advantages of liposomal delivery systems. However, the low solubility, the high cost of production and the probability of leakage of drugs are challenging for researchers as well as clinicians.43,44 Liposomal drug delivery or gene delivery for the treatment of liver fibrosis is currently in the clinical stages of studies, which indicates the efficiency of these NPs compared with other NPs in practice.

Nanomicelles with a core–shell architecture composed of a semisolid hydrophobic core can trap water-insoluble drugs and can be used for a number of anticancer treatments as the majority of anticancer drugs are water insoluble. Drugs entrapped in the core will be more stable, and the smaller size contributes to the effective active targeting of the NP. One of the main advantages of polymeric micelles is that stimuli-responsive drug release is possible. In contrast to the many advantages, these NPs have a number of challenges. The small size of the polymeric micelles limits the loading of drugs inside, and the long-term stability of these NPs is also being questioned currently.45

Compared to other NPs, the development and research of solid lipid NPs (SLNs) have evolved fast due to their distinctive properties over other NPs. The controlled drug release and enhanced drug content compared to other NPs differentiate them from other carriers. SLNs are characterized by excellent biocompatibility and have the possibilities of incorporating both hydrophilic and hydrophobic drugs as well as genes. As SLNs are made up of lipids, the more complex lipids will be more sensible for the encapsulation of drugs.46 Other than these NPs, a number of normal nanoparticulate systems are used for the therapy of liver fibrosis and other liver-related diseases. However, liposomal-based nanoparticulates are the only nanocarriers that are currently being studied at the clinical level. The structures of different NPs currently used for liver fibrosis treatment are given in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Clinical trials of liver fibrosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted nanoparticle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposome delivering siRNA against HSP47 (ND-L02-s0201)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simtuzumab, humanized monoclonal antibody against lysyl oxidase-like-2 NASH with advanced liver fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simtuzumab, humanized monoclonal antibody against lysyl oxidase-like-2 + selonsertib (GS-4997)-(ASK1) inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obeticholic acid and FXR agonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candesartan, angiotensin II type 1 receptor antagonist Warfarin, anticoagulant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galectin-3 inhibitor (GR-MD-02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galectin-3 inhibitor (GR-MD-02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib, tyrosine kinase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ASK1, apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.
Inorganic NPs

Inorganic NPs are being widely used for the therapy of liver fibrosis. Cerium oxide NPs (CeO2NPs), gold NPs, as well as silver NPs are among the different inorganic NPs commonly used for liver fibrosis therapy. The structures of these inorganic NPs enable them for modification with particular drugs for the therapy of liver fibrosis, for example, doxorubicin (DOX), cisplatin and capecitabine. Moreover, most of the inorganic NPs are proven to be nontoxic.

In one study, the systemic and hepatic effects of CeO2 NPs on CCL4-induced liver fibrosis was checked in rats. The hepatic and renal functions were checked after treatment with NPs. A decreased level of hepatic fibrosis was confirmed by checking the reduction in the mRNA expression of inflammatory cytokines and messengers for oxidative stress, etc. Furthermore, histology examinations of liver-like α-SMA expression, macrophage infiltration and apoptotic studies exhibited a reduction in hepatic fibrosis to a great extent after the treatment.

CCL4-induced hepatic injury can be reduced by downregulating HSCs and Kupffer cells using silymarin-coated gold NPs. All the in vivo studies in male Wistar rats exhibited a decrease in different fibrosis markers. After treatment, reduction in the α-SMA expression was observed indicating the decreased fibrosis level.

Immunotherapy and gene therapy of liver fibrosis using inorganic NP systems is a less explored area of research. Inorganic NPs have been used for immunotherapy and gene therapy of different diseases. However, for liver fibrosis treatment, no studies have been reported.

Liposomes

Liposomes are being used as one of the potent carriers for delivering drugs to different pathological sites, and drug delivery through liposome-based drug nanocarriers is considered the most powerful tool for the treatment of liver fibrosis. The therapeutic potential of dexamethasone-loaded liposomes has been proven by different researchers, and it is evident that the treatment reduced both liver inflammation and liver fibrosis. These NPs are proven to target hepatic macrophages by reducing T cells in the liver through an immune reaction, which results in the reduction of liver inflammation and fibrosis. Another group reported cationic liposomes bearing microbubbles for the effective delivery of artificial microRNA, which was used to target connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) and can be useful for the inhibition of hepatic fibrosis. In their study, the ultrasound-mediated bubble destruction gene delivery of artificial microRNA in a dimethyl nitrosamine-induced fibrotic mouse model resulted in a decrease in fibrotic marker collagen, as well as α-SMA, by targeting CTGF.

There are a number of drugs being studied in clinical trials for the treatment of liver diseases like liver fibrosis, HCC, HBV and HCV, but in the NP form, only liposomes are available. Most of the NP systems for liver fibrosis therapy are in the preclinical stage of study; however, the only type of NPs in the clinical stage of study is liposomal nucleic acid carrier. The gene delivery system of vitamin A-conjugated siRNA lipid NPs is now under clinical Phase I trials for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis. siRNA delivery through PLK-1 targeting
lipid particles, as well as double-stranded RNA-encapsulated liposomes, is also now being studied in Phase II and Phase I trials, respectively, for the treatment of HCC. In that study, the successful delivery of siRNA to HSC against gp46 using vitamin A-coupled liposomes resulted in the suppression of collagen secretion and therefore reduced liver fibrosis in a CCl4- and bile duct-ligated fibrosis mouse model.\(^5^4\)

PPAR-γ ligand-loaded mannose-6-phosphate (M6P)–human serum albumin (HSA)-conjugated liposomes have been effectively used to target HSC, thereby opening a new path for the treatment of hepatic fibrosis. NPs with a size of 130 nm showed targeting of the M6P receptor and therefore reduced the symptoms of liver fibrosis both in vitro and in vivo in a carbon tetrachloride-induced fibrosis mouse model.\(^5^5\) In another study, the modification of liposomes using cyclic peptides to deliver drugs to fibrotic liver cells was analyzed. It was proposed that platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) played a crucial role in the HSC proliferation. Therefore, targeting the PDGF receptor by sterically stabilized liposome and cyclic peptide loaded with interferon (INF-γ) can be utilized for the treatment of liver fibrosis. The antifibrotic effect of INF-γ was shown to be improved in the NP form in a thioacetamide (TAA)-induced fibrosis mouse model.\(^5^6\) Various kinds of modifications can be done on liposomes to target different liver cells (Figure 4).

Other than liposomes, polymersomes and lentiviral particles have also been used to target different liver cells. Another category of NPs that can be useful for the treatment strategy is lipid NPs. Lipid NPs are comparable with liposomes. Studies have shown that lipid NPs loaded with siRNA remarkably downregulated procollagen α(I) gene expression and therefore reduced the total hepatic collagen content, which in turn reduced hepatic fibrosis in carbon tetrachloride-induced liver fibrosis in Balb/c mice.\(^5^7\) Another group studied a CXCR4-targeted lipid-based NP formulation to specifically deliver VEGF siRNA, which can also be used for the therapy of liver fibrosis and hepatic cellular carcinoma. The downregulation of VEGF expression in vitro and in vivo can be done using AMD-modified NPs (AMD-NPs) by the effective delivery of VEGF siRNAs into HSC.\(^5^8\)

**Nanomicelles**

Hyaluronic acid (HA) micelles can be utilized to target LSEC and HSC by targeting the HA receptor. HA micelles carrying losartan are an effective NP system for the therapy of advanced liver fibrosis in a C3H/HeN mouse model. The overexpression of CD44 receptors during liver injury is confirmed to be suitable for HA receptor-mediated drug delivery to HSC. Angiotensin receptor type 1 receptor blocker losartan delivery showed a decreased level of α-SMA both in vitro and in vivo.\(^5^9\) The antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of natural products are also utilized currently for liver fibrosis treatments. The use of curcumin NPs is considered to be a very effective treatment for hepatic fibrosis. Curcumin-encapsulated HA–poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PEG-PLGA) copolymers with PLGA were developed

**Other NPs**

Protein aggregates like HSA and bovine serum albumin (BSA) NPs can be used to target the liver for the treatment of liver fibrosis as well as HCC. Berberine/BSA NPs are a potent candidate for liver fibrosis therapy and have been shown to reduce liver fibrosis in a CCl4-induced liver fibrosis mouse model in vitro and in vivo mediated by antiproliferative activity against activated HSC. These kinds of NPs are considered to be safe and effective.\(^6^0\) Another group studied the efficiency of dexamethasone-coupled mannosylated albumin to selectively deliver the anti-inflammatory drug to Kupffer cells. Both in vitro and in vivo studies in bile duct-ligated mice showed that the NP effectively inhibited tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) in vitro and reduced intrahepatic ROS in vivo.\(^6^1\) HSAs modified with M6P NPs with a particle size of 280 nm have been used for targeting TGFβ fibrogenic cytokine through the M6P/IG II receptor to inhibit collagen production and therefore inflammation. Both in vitro and in vivo studies in male Wistar rats showed that these NPs were a potent nanocarrier for targeting HSC and can utilize the immune response against fibrosis.\(^6^2\) A similar kind of study was done by another group using M6P to modify HSA, which showed successful delivery of DOX, cisplatin and chlorambucil for the pharmacotherapy of liver fibrosis. The reduction in liver fibrosis markers was confirmed using in vitro studies as well as in vivo studies in BDL rats. HAS-M6P NPs containing DOX inhibited liver fibrosis in BDL rats, which showed the ability of antiproliferative drugs against antifibrotic action.\(^6^3\)

Polymeric NPs for the delivery of drugs, nucleic acid and other therapeutic moieties are considered emerging field of interest among researchers. Different polymeric-based NPs have also been proven to deliver drugs and other therapeutic moieties to different liver cells for the treatment of liver fibrosis and HCC. For example, sorafenib is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that has recently been shown to be a potential antifibrotic agent. Poly(ethylene glycol)–b-poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PEG-PLGA) copolymers with PLGA were developed...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Receptor or cellular target</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Hepatocytes</td>
<td>Asialoglycoprotein receptor</td>
<td><img src="Galactose" alt="Galactose" /> <img src="Liposomes" alt="Liposomes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Hepatic stellate cells</td>
<td>Mannose-6-phosphate receptor</td>
<td><img src="M6P-HSA" alt="M6P-HSA" /> <img src="M6P(28)-HSA" alt="M6P(28)-HSA" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PDGFβ receptor</td>
<td><img src="Liposomes" alt="Liposomes" /> <img src="PEG%3Csub%3E2000%3C/sub%3E-DSPE" alt="PEG&lt;sub&gt;2000&lt;/sub&gt;-DSPE" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retinol binding protein</td>
<td><img src="pPB-HSA" alt="pPB-HSA" /> <img src="pPB-PEG-IFN-%CE%B3" alt="pPB-PEG-IFN-γ" /> <img src="pPB-SSL-IFN-%CE%B3" alt="pPB-SSL-IFN-γ" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrin</td>
<td><img src="Liposomes" alt="Liposomes" /> <img src="RGD" alt="RGD" /> <img src="Oxymatrine" alt="Oxymatrine" /> <img src="Polymersomes" alt="Polymersomes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Kupffer cells</td>
<td>Mannose receptor</td>
<td><img src="Mannose" alt="Mannose" /> <img src="Liposomes" alt="Liposomes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scavenger receptor</td>
<td><img src="Liposomes" alt="Liposomes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs)</td>
<td>CD105 receptor</td>
<td><img src="CD105-scFv-H_mut" alt="CD105-scFv-H_mut" /> ![Lentiviral particles](Lentiviral particles)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HA receptor</td>
<td>![HA-coated micelle](HA-coated micelle)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4** Various modifications on liposomes and other nanoparticles to target different liver cells.

**Notes:** Copyright © 2016 Bansal et al. Reproduced with the permission from Bansal R, Nagorniewicz B, Prakash J. Clinical advancements in the targeted therapies against liver fibrosis. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2016;2016:7629724.4

**Abbreviations:** HA, hyaluronic acid; HAS, human serum albumin; INF, interferon; M6P, mannose-6-phosphate; PEG, poly(ethylene glycol).
recently for the systemic delivery of sorafenib into the fibrotic livers of CCl4-induced fibrosis mouse models. The treatment group showed decreased α-SMA content and collagen production in the liver with significantly shrunken abnormal blood vessels and decreased microvascular density, leading to vessel normalization in fibrotic livers.67 Another polymeric NP system for the treatment of liver fibrosis is characterized by the presence of nitric oxide, which is a new treatment option. The di-block copolymer poly(octyl acrylate-co-ethylene glycol) methyl ether-methacrylate-block-2-vinyl-4,4-dimethyl-5-oxazolone coated with vitamin A NPs is considered a potential carrier of nitric oxide to the HSC. It has been proven that the release of nitric oxide will decrease the rate of collagen I and α-SMA in collagen and microvascular density.

A summarization of different NP systems for liver fibrosis treatment is given in Table 2.

### Prospective and conclusion

Currently, treatment using NP systems is a promising tool for the therapy of both acute and chronic liver diseases in animal models. As inorganic NPs are commonly used to load therapeutic drugs, they have a slight toxic effect to different cells unless they are modified with some biocompatible moiety.18

Liposomes and micelles are considered to be first-generation NPs with less toxicity and a wide range of advantages. SLNs are now in the stage of development for the treatment of liver fibrosis. The extremely stable structure with a lipid core for this type of particle enables them for prolonged drug release and reduces unwanted cellular uptake.69 Liposomal-based NP gene delivery for liver fibrosis, HCC and hepatitis is now in different phases of clinical trials, which indicates their promising future.

Tailoring of NPs can be utilized for specific targeting to liver cells and delivering potent therapeutic precursor with low systemic toxicity for pharmaco-, gene- and immune therapy. In the current scenario, pharmacotherapy and immunotherapy are being studied in the preclinical stage but are not yet in clinical trials. However, more advanced systems have been developed with improved delivery and efficacy, which can be next-generation solutions for the treatment of different kinds of liver diseases. Compared to other therapeutic options, gene delivery is more effective and is now in clinical trials.

### Table 2 Different nanoparticle systems for liver fibrosis treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticle systems</th>
<th>Nanoparticle formulation</th>
<th>Application, delivered system</th>
<th>Targeting structures, action</th>
<th>Animal model</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic</td>
<td>Cerium oxide nanoparticles (CeO2NPs)</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>HSC reduces macrophage infiltration, abundance of α-SMA, caspase-3, inflammatory cytokines</td>
<td>Ccl4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold nanoparticles</td>
<td>Dexamethasone–liposome</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, nitric oxide</td>
<td>HSC, inhibition of HSC activation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposomes and micelles</td>
<td>Dexamethasone-exosome</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>Macrophages, reduced T cell</td>
<td>Ccl4</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cationic liposome microRNA</td>
<td>Artificial microRNA</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>HSC, reduced collagen, α-SMA levels</td>
<td>Dimethyl nitrosamine</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A-coupled liposomes</td>
<td>Gene therapy, siRNA</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>HSC, suppression of collagen secretion</td>
<td>Ccl4</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSA-M6P-liposomes</td>
<td>Immunotherapy, mannoside</td>
<td>Immunotherapy, INF-γ</td>
<td>HSC, inhibition of collagen production</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterically stabilized liposome-cyclic peptide (SSL-pPB)</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, losartan</td>
<td>HSC, antifibrotic actions</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA micelles</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>HSC, inhibition of HSC activation</td>
<td>TAA/ALCOHOL</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other nanoparticles</td>
<td>HA-PLA-curcin</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, curcumin</td>
<td>HSC, anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berberine-BSA nanoparticles</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, berberine</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>HSC, antifibrotic action</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone-mannosylated albumin</td>
<td>Immunotherapy, INF-γ</td>
<td>HSC, inhibition of HSC production</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6P-HSA-DOX</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, DOX</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>HSC, inhibition of collagen I and α-SMA</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG-PLGA-sorafenib</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, sorafenib</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy, dexamethasone</td>
<td>HSC, inhibition of collagen I and α-SMA</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BSA, bovine serum albumin; DOX, doxorubicin; HA, hyaluronic acid; HAS, human serum albumin; HSC, hepatic stellate cell; INF, interferon; M6P, mannosyl-6-phosphate; PEG-PLGA, poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); α-SMA, α-smooth muscle actin; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; ROS, reactive oxygen species; BDL, bile duct ligation; PLA, poly(lactic acid); TAA, thioacetamide.
**Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by Basic Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and Future Planning (2015R1A2A2A01007798).

**Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

**References**


