Need for medication to complement catecholamines in smoking cessation of hardcore smokers

Abstract: Many smokers find it difficult to stop smoking without assistance. The antidepressants bupropion and nortriptyline can aid smoking cessation. The main aim of this study was to understand the pathophysiology of smoking cessation better based on biological backgrounds. We investigated the following biological markers for any alterations during smoking cessation in the absence of pharmacotherapy: the dopamine metabolite homovanillic acid (HVA), the noradrenaline metabolite 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol (MHPG) and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). Assessment and blood sampling were performed at a baseline (the start) time point and at a critical time point during smoking cessation. Seven of 30 smokers quit during a 16-week follow-up period; these smokers were defined as remission group from tobacco dependence. The remaining 23 smokers were categorized as hardcore smokers. The smoking group was compared with 23 non-smokers matched for age and gender. We compared blood levels of biological markers in each of the three groups. The hardcore smoker group showed significant decreases in HVA and MHPG levels between baseline and the critical time point ($p=0.018$ and $p=0.033$, respectively). However, the remission from tobacco dependence group exhibited no significant changes in any of the biomarkers examined. They had lower scores on the Minnesota nicotine withdrawal scale than the hardcore smoker group ($p=0.002$). The hardcore smoker group had higher MHPG and BDNF levels than the non-smoker group ($p=0.002$ and $p<0.001$, respectively). Hardcore smokers experience severe nicotine withdrawal symptoms. Nicotine withdrawal is associated with catecholamine deficiency. The resulting withdrawal symptoms make quitting difficult for hardcore smokers. These hardcore smokers may require medication to compensate for the catecholamine deficit. Non-nicotinic medications such as bupropion, nortriptyline, or varenicline may be required to bolster the catecholamine deficiency in hardcore smokers.
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Introduction

Smoking is reported to be the single largest cause of premature death in developed countries. Smoking-related illnesses constitute a major public health problem in contemporary society, with smoking contributing to the prevalence of several chronic diseases. In the United States and Europe, 70% of all smokers have considered quitting at least once. Nicotine-related disorders are some of the psychiatric disorders defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5). Randomized trials using objective measures of abstinence reported 6-month cessation rates of 8% for nicotine, 18% for alcohol, 47% for cocaine, and 44% for opioids. Thus, evidence from placebo cessation rates indicates that nicotine may be more difficult to give up than alcohol, cocaine, and opioids.
Smoking delivers nicotine rapidly to the central nervous system, where the molecule facilitates release of several neurotransmitters. The rationale behind the use of nicotine replacement therapies is intuitive. However, it remains unclear why non-nicotine pharmacotherapies are effective. Three non-nicotine pharmacotherapies currently prescribed for smoking cessation are bupropion, nortriptyline, and varenicline. Bupropion is presumed to facilitate cessation via its ability to inhibit neuronal reuptake of dopamine and noradrenaline, both of which are involved in nicotine dependence and withdrawal. Nortriptyline is a tricyclic antidepressant that inhibits reuptake of noradrenaline. The proposed mechanism of action of varenicline is modulation of dopamine release in reward areas. Dopaminergic mechanisms have been implicated in both the reward aspects of nicotine and in the manifestations of nicotine withdrawal syndrome.

Cell bodies of the midbrain dopamine system are largely located in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) A10 brain nuclei. They project axons to the caudate putamen, nucleus accumbens, and prefrontal cortex. Nicotine withdrawal symptoms may be mediated through a noradrenergic mechanism. Furthermore, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) influences the levels of monoamines, which may be closely related to addiction. In fact, BDNF levels in the striatum and nucleus accumbens are increased by nicotine. Although these findings suggest that nicotine dependence or withdrawal may be associated with catecholamines and BDNF levels, their role during smoking cessation remains unclear.

The objective of this study was to understand the pathophysiology of smoking cessation better in a biological context. To do this, we measured biological marker levels (catecholamines and BDNF) at a time point prior to smoking cessation and at a critical time point during abstinence. We then compared biological marker levels in hardcore smokers, remission from tobacco dependence smokers and non-smokers.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Study smokers (n=30) were recruited from a total of 33 subjects admitted to the outpatient facility of Total Health Clinic, Koutokukai (Yamagata, Japan). All smokers met the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, 4th Edition-Text Revision* (DSM-IV-TR) criteria for nicotine-related disorders. The diagnosis of nicotine dependence was evaluated using the tobacco dependence screener scale (TDS). Subjects were defined as nicotine-dependent if they had a TDS score of ≥5 at the baseline screening appointment. Seven smokers maintained abstinence up to 16 weeks. These 7 smokers met the criteria of early full remission from tobacco dependence according to the DSM-IV-TR. The remaining 23 subjects were defined as hardcore smokers. A flowchart describing subject selection is shown in Figure 1. The control group consisted of non-smokers matched to the hardcore smoker group for gender and age.

In previous reports, withdrawal symptoms peaked within the first week and most relapses occurred in the first 8 days. Consequently, abstinence after 1 week or the time point immediately prior to smoking again was defined as the critical time point.
Eligibility was evaluated by structured interview, physical examination, and laboratory tests. None of the subjects had any history or evidence of a serious medical or neurological illness, psychiatric disorder, substance abuse, or dependence on any substance other than nicotine. None of the subjects had received any psychotropic drug or hormone therapies, including oral contraceptives. Control subjects were recruited by advertising via a notice board in the same local population. Control subjects who had never smoked in their lifetime were admitted to the study as non-smokers.

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after the procedures were fully explained. The study protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of Sato Hospital and the Ethics Committee of Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Science, Tohoku University. This study was performed according to the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Assessments
Nicotine dependence was assessed using the Fagerström test for nicotine dependence (FTND). Nicotine withdrawal symptoms were assessed using the Minnesota nicotine withdrawal scale (MNWS). To check for abstinence, expiratory carbon monoxide (CO) levels were measured using a Micro III Smokerlyzer® (Bedfont Scientific Ltd., Bedfont, UK). Confirmation of abstinence was defined as an expiratory CO level below 8 ppm.

Sample collection
Blood was obtained by venipuncture in blood-collection tubes containing the anticoagulant ethylenediamine tetracetic acid. The tubes were immediately cooled to 4°C and centrifuged at 2,000×g for 20 min. The resulting plasma samples were kept at −80°C until analysis.

Assays
The plasma levels of homovanillic acid (HVA) and 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol (MHPG) were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection to evaluate levels of dopamine and noradrenaline in the brain, respectively. Plasma levels of BDNF were measured using a sandwich ELISA kit, BDNF Emax® Immunoassay System (Promega Corporation, Fitchburg, WI, USA) as described previously.

Data analysis
Evaluation of normal distribution of data was performed using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Comparisons between the hardcore smokers and the remission from tobacco dependence groups were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test (nonparametric unpaired test). Comparisons between the three individual groups were performed using analysis of variance (ANOVA), and Scheffé’s F test was used as a post hoc test. Comparisons between the baseline and critical time point were performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (nonparametric paired test). Categorical comparisons were conducted using the χ² test. Data are presented as means ± standard deviation. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were carried out using SPSS 16.0J for Mac (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Characteristics of the subjects
A total of 33 smokers were screened. One subject failed to meet the inclusion criteria because of a diagnosis of a major depressive disorder. Two subjects declined to participate prior to study initiation. The first analysis therefore included 30 subjects (Figure 1). Seven of the 30 smokers (23.3%) achieved smoking cessation without pharmacotherapy during the 16 weeks of follow-up; therefore, these smokers were defined as remission from tobacco dependence (6 men, 1 woman; mean age: 47.0±15.6 years). The remaining 23 smokers were defined as hardcore smokers (14 men, 9 women; mean age 39.3±12.5 years) (Figure 1). The mean smoking cessation period was 7.1±6.5 days. The 23 non-smokers recruited for this study were matched with the members of the hardcore smoking group for age and gender (14 men, 9 women; mean age 40.5±14.3 years). The characteristics of the subjects are summarized in Table 1. No significant differences were found between the hardcore smoker, remission from tobacco dependence, and non-smoker groups in terms of gender, age, or CO levels at baseline (Table 1).

Comparisons of the hardcore, remission from tobacco dependence, and non-smoker groups at baseline assessments
There was no significant difference in the FTND score between the hardcore smoker group and the remission from tobacco dependence smoker group (6.9±1.6 points vs 6.1±1.6 points; Mann–Whitney U unpaired test, p=0.190) (Table 1). In contrast, the MNWS scores in the hardcore smoking group and the remission from tobacco dependence group at baseline were 10.6±6.8 and 3.3±2.2 points, respectively. The group of remission from tobacco dependence had significantly lower MNWS scores than the...
Table 1 Demographic and other baseline characteristics of the selected study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Hardcore smoking group (n=23)</th>
<th>Remission from tobacco dependence group (n=7)</th>
<th>Non-smoking group (n=23)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>ANOVA post hoc Scheffe</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 (60.9)</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
<td>14 (60.9)</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (39.1)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>9 (39.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>39.3 (12.5)</td>
<td>47.0 (15.6)</td>
<td>40.5 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of first use (years)</td>
<td>18.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>186 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of use (years)</td>
<td>20.0 (10.0)</td>
<td>293 (15.1)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of use (cigarettes/day)</td>
<td>18.5 (5.5)</td>
<td>26.9 (16.0)</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periods* (days)</td>
<td>7.1 (6.5)</td>
<td>6.4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO level (ppm)</td>
<td>13.0 (7.4)</td>
<td>14.3 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments</td>
<td>FTND (points)</td>
<td>6.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>6.1 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MNWS (points)</td>
<td>10.6 (6.8)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomarkers</td>
<td>HVA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>10.25 (3.20)</td>
<td>7.52 (1.61)</td>
<td>8.83 (3.23)</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MHPG (ng/mL)</td>
<td>12.06 (2.69)</td>
<td>11.11 (2.90)</td>
<td>9.21 (2.00)</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BDNF (pg/mL)</td>
<td>7,634 (4,257)</td>
<td>6,060 (3,438)</td>
<td>2,630 (1,651)</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The values represent the mean (SD). Statistically significant (**p<0.01, ***p<0.001). Statistical analyses were performed using j2 test, Mann–Whitney U test (nonparametric test), and ANOVA post hoc Scheffe. Periods between baseline and critical time point. Hard-Remi, hardcore smoker group versus remission from tobacco dependence group; Hard-Non, hardcore smoker group versus non-smoker group; Remi-Non, remission from tobacco dependence group versus non-smoker group.

**Abbreviations:** CO, carbon monoxide in exhalation; FTND, Fagerström test for nicotine dependence; MNWS, Minnesota nicotine withdrawal scale; HVA, homovanillic acid; MHPG, 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; ANOVA, analysis of variance.

hardcore smoker group (Mann–Whitney U unpaired test, p=0.002) (Table 1).

**Biomarkers**

Plasma MHPG and BDNF levels in the hardcore smoker group (12.1±2.7 ng/mL and 7,634±4,257 pg/mL) were significantly higher than those of the non-smoker group (9.2±2.0 ng/mL and 2,630±1,651 pg/mL) (ANOVA post hoc Scheffe, p=0.002 and p<0.001, respectively) (Table 1). However, no significant differences were found between the hardcore smoker group (10.3±3.2 ng/mL) and the non-smoker group (8.8±3.2 ng/mL) in plasma HVA levels (ANOVA post hoc Scheffe, p=0.297) (Table 1). However, the BDNF levels of the remission from tobacco dependence group tended to be higher than those of the non-smoker group and were similar to those of hardcore smokers (ANOVA post hoc Scheffe, p=0.060). There were no significant differences among the hardcore smoker, remission form tobacco dependence and non-smoker groups in term of gender, age or CO levels at baseline.

**Variation between baseline and critical time point**

**Hardcore smoker group**

Plasma HVA levels decreased from baseline (10.3±3.2 ng/mL) to the critical time point (8.3±2.1 ng/mL) (Wilcoxon signed-rank paired test, p=0.018) (Table 2). Plasma MHPG levels also decreased from baseline (12.1±2.7 ng/mL) to the critical time point (11.2±2.5 ng/mL) (Wilcoxon signed-rank paired test, p=0.033) (Table 2). Plasma BDNF levels did not change significantly between baseline (7,634±4,257 pg/mL) and the critical time point (8,378±6,434 pg/mL) (Wilcoxon signed-rank paired test, p=0.429) (Table 2).

**Remission from tobacco dependence group**

The changes in the plasma HVA, MHPG, and BDNF levels from the baseline to the critical time point in the remission from tobacco dependence group were 7.5±1.6 to 22.6±34.8 ng/mL, 11.1±2.9 to 13.5±9.8 ng/mL, and 7,634±4,257 to 8,378±4,643 ng/mL, respectively. The changes in the

Table 2 Changes of biomarkers between baseline and critical time point in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>Baseline (n=23)</th>
<th>Critical time point (n=7)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HVA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>10.3 (3.2)</td>
<td>8.3 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHPG (ng/mL)</td>
<td>12.1 (2.7)</td>
<td>11.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF (pg/mL)</td>
<td>7,634 (4,257)</td>
<td>8,378 (4,643)</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remission from tobacco dependence group (n=7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>7.5 (1.6)</td>
<td>22.6 (34.8)</td>
<td>0.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHPG (ng/mL)</td>
<td>11.1 (2.9)</td>
<td>13.5 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF (pg/mL)</td>
<td>6,060 (3,438)</td>
<td>5,744 (3,894)</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The values represent the mean (SD). Statistical analyses were performed using Wilcoxon signed-rank test (paired test). Statistically significant (*p<0.05).

**Abbreviations:** HVA, homovanillic acid; MHPG, 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylglycol; BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor.
plasma levels of all biomarkers examined from the baseline to the critical time point in the remission from tobacco dependence group were not significant (Wilcoxon signed-rank paired test, $p=0.398$, $p=0.866$, and $p=0.429$, for HVA, MHPG, and BDNF, respectively) (Table 2).

**Discussion**
The precise mechanisms by which non-nicotinic medications aid smoking cessation are not well understood, whereas non-nicotine pharmacotherapies have been proven to increase abstinence rates. To our knowledge, this is the first study that examines changes in the biomarker levels during periods of smoking abstinence in hardcore smokers.

We found that both HVA and MHPG levels decreased significantly in the hardcore smoker group during abstinence. This suggests that hardcore smokers may experience a catecholamine deficit during acute nicotine deficiency.

The hardcore smoker group had significantly higher plasma levels of MHPG and BDNF compared with the non-smoker group. High levels of MHPG can be caused by chronic exposure to nicotine. Nicotine induces a dose-dependent increase in noradrenaline levels in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. Our results suggest that nicotine may cause hardcore smokers to develop high noradrenaline levels in the brain. This is the hallmark feature of nicotine dependence: decreased noradrenaline will induce nicotine craving. Hardcore smokers may have a difficult time adjusting to acute changes in brain catecholamines. DiFranza and Wellman proposed a sensitization-homeostasis model; a model of addiction that predicts what happens to the brain after smoking cessation. Our results suggest that nicotinic acetylcholine receptors mediate sensitivity to nicotine stimulus in the hardcore smoker group. Hardcore smokers may experience withdrawal symptoms mediated by decreases in dopamine and noradrenaline. Bupropion and nortriptyline are presumed to inhibit neuronal reuptake of dopamine and/or noradrenaline. These antidepressants may increase abstinence rates by increasing catecholamine levels. Hardcore smokers may require medications to complement both dopamine and noradrenaline, as these smokers have difficulty achieving catecholamine homeostasis.

Cicnic exposure to nicotine is associated with increased levels of BDNF in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex. In a clinical study, active smokers had higher serum BDNF levels than that of non-smokers. Nicotine may have the effect of increasing brain BDNF levels. Our study results are consistent with these findings. Thus, BDNF levels may be increased by smoking, regardless of nicotine dependence. However, BDNF levels did not change significantly between the baseline and the critical time point in this study. In animal studies, 21 days of exposure to psychotropic drugs were required to show increases in BDNF. Kim et al. reported that BDNF levels in smokers increased after a 2-month smoking cessation period. Thus, the interval between our baseline and critical time point may not be sufficiently long to evaluate the changes in BDNF.

Seven smokers in this study achieved abstinence without pharmacotherapy. This remission from tobacco dependence group had FTND scores similar to the hardcore smokers, suggesting similar levels of nicotine dependence ($p=0.190$). However, the MNWS scores in the remission from tobacco dependence group were significantly lower than those of the hardcore smokers at baseline ($p=0.002$). These findings suggest that MNWS scores may be a useful tool in distinguishing between people who may successfully quit smoking and hardcore smokers.

Many smokers find quitting difficult, whether they try on their own, or in response to social pressure. There may be a biological basis for this phenomenon, in which hardcore smokers suffer a biological vulnerability to nicotine withdrawal.

A limitation of our study is that the sample size of the group of remission from tobacco dependence is small. Therefore, significant differences in any biomarkers might not be discernible among the group of remission from tobacco dependence. Many smokers (excluding hardcore smokers) may have already quite smoking due to pressure for a non-smoking environment. Although it may be difficult to recruit subjects for remission from tobacco dependence, future studies will need to recruit more subjects to increase the statistical power of this research.

**Conclusion**
Nicotine withdrawal may result in deficiencies in both dopamine and noradrenaline in hardcore smokers. These findings suggest that hardcore smokers do not have sufficient catecholamine compensatory mechanisms, owing to acute nicotine depletion. For this reason, hardcore smokers may need medication to complement catecholamines, such as dopamine or noradrenaline.
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