A suspected case of autoinduction of voriconazole metabolism in a patient with cerebral aspergillosis
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Objective: This study aims to report a case of accelerated metabolism of voriconazole in a patient with cerebral aspergillosis.

Case summary: A 36-year-old woman developed cerebral aspergillosis after immunosuppressive treatment for suspected atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome/thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. She was treated with voriconazole using therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dosing. After an initial high level, her dose was reduced, but over the following weeks, she required several dose increases in order to achieve a voriconazole level within the target range. The patient's dose requirements eventually stabilized at 700 mg twice daily. Cimetidine and omeprazole were added in an effort to inhibit the metabolism of voriconazole.

Discussion: The metabolism of voriconazole is known to be highly variable among different patients depending on pharmacogenetic factors; however, an increasing rate of voriconazole metabolism in a single patient over time is not well recognized. Therapeutic drug monitoring of voriconazole in this case facilitated the use of large doses while controlling for toxicity.

Conclusion: This case is further evidence of autoinduction in voriconazole metabolism. Therapeutic drug monitoring of voriconazole is useful in detecting variation in a patient’s metabolism of voriconazole over time.
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Introduction
Voriconazole is the first-choice antifungal treatment for cerebral aspergillosis as recommended by the Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines.1 It has the advantage of a relatively small molecular weight, which favors its penetration across the blood–brain barrier. Other advantages include its excellent oral bioavailability and the fact that dose adjustment is not needed for renal impairment.

Disadvantages of voriconazole include significant variation among patients in their rates of metabolism of voriconazole. Cytochrome P450 (CYP)2C19 is significantly involved in the metabolism of voriconazole, and this enzyme exhibits significant genetic polymorphism.2

In this case, based on therapeutic drug monitoring, multiple dose adjustments were necessary, suggesting that the voriconazole was inducing the CYP enzymes responsible for its own metabolism. A previous report by Moriyama et al3 has suggested this phenomenon and reported that it could be partially reversed by concurrent administration of cimetidine – a well-known inhibitor of several CYP enzymes. A report on the
autoinduction of voriconazole metabolism in a child with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis has also been published.4

Case report
A 36-year-old female Caucasian patient (weight: 83 kg) presented in June 2015 with headache, general weakness, fever and features of a microangiopathic process. Cultures and serology were negative for infection, and radiology and bone marrow examination failed to demonstrate a malignancy. She deteriorated quickly, requiring respiratory and inotropic support in the intensive care unit (ICU). In view of this, she was empirically treated for atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS)/thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) with high-dose steroids and plasma exchange followed by eculizumab. During the ICU stay, urine microscopy was performed and presence of hyphae was noted. Candida albicans was subsequently cultured and ocular candidiasis diagnosed. Fluconazole therapy was started. Aspergillus was subsequently cultured from a central line tip. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of the brain showed several brain abscesses, one of which was successfully drained, and Aspergillus was identified by polymerase chain reaction.

Fluconazole was changed to voriconazole on June 29 (Day 1 of voriconazole treatment). In order to achieve therapeutic voriconazole levels within the cerebrospinal fluid, the target blood concentration of voriconazole was 3–5 mg/L. Dose increases were made several times as shown in Figure 1.

An initial intravenous (IV) loading dose of 500 mg (6 mg/kg) twice daily was given on June 29 (Day 1), followed by 320 mg (4 mg/kg) IV twice daily until the first reported trough level of 10.3 mg/L (Day 9). This high level indicated that the patient’s ability to metabolize the voriconazole had been exceeded, and the dosage was reduced. After a subsequent low trough level of 0.88 mg/L, the dosage was increased and changed to the oral route. Following a 48-hour period when the patient failed to receive any dose due to problems with enteral access, she was reloaded with IV voriconazole. From Day 40 to Day 110, her IV dosage requirement increased progressively to achieve a voriconazole trough level between 3 mg/L and 5 mg/L while avoiding the saturation of her metabolic capacity (Table 1). Due to the nonlinear pharmacokinetics of voriconazole, dose increases were limited to an additional 50 mg or 100 mg twice daily in order to avoid potentially toxic levels.

Table 1 Timeline of voriconazole total daily dose and route of administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day(s) of treatment</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2–10</th>
<th>11–17</th>
<th>18–25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31–39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total daily dose, mg</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route of administration</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day(s) of treatment</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41–46</th>
<th>47</th>
<th>48–60</th>
<th>61–73</th>
<th>74</th>
<th>75–87</th>
<th>88</th>
<th>89–94</th>
<th>95–109</th>
<th>110</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total daily dose, mg</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route of administration</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IV, intravenous; PO, orally.
During her in-patient stay, the patient’s weight decreased from 80 kg to 63 kg, which was significant considering that the product information limits the rate of IV infusion to 3 mg/kg/h.

The route of administration for voriconazole was changed from IV to oral on Day 89, and cimetidine 200 mg twice daily orally was started in an effort to inhibit the metabolism of voriconazole by CYP enzymes. She was transferred back to her local hospital on Day 92. The cimetidine dose was increased to 400 mg twice daily on Day 94. Additional enzyme inhibition with omeprazole was started on Day 118. Voriconazole dose requirements eventually stabilized at 700 mg twice daily orally.

The patient was not tested for her CYP2C19 genotype status. The patient provided written consent for publication.

Discussion

Voriconazole level monitoring is not a requirement under the American or European product information for Vfend® which states that a positive association between mean, maximum or minimum plasma voriconazole concentration and efficacy in therapeutic studies was not found. Several studies, however, suggest a value in monitoring voriconazole levels to ensure efficacy and to avoid toxicity. The monitoring of voriconazole levels detected a significant increase in the rate of voriconazole metabolism in our patient. The use of standard maintenance doses based on the product information would, in this case, almost certainly have resulted in voriconazole levels of <1 mg/L, which has been associated with a lack of response to therapy. Therapeutic drug monitoring of voriconazole can allow the safe use of a much higher maintenance dose than the standard maintenance dose of 4 mg/kg. Our patient eventually required a maintenance dose of >10 mg/kg twice daily.

The addition of cimetidine in this case did not produce an immediate effect; the patient required two further voriconazole dose increases, but it is possible that the combination of cimetidine and omeprazole as enzyme inhibitors helped to stabilize the patient’s dose requirements. The patient was not on any medicines that are associated with an increase in voriconazole metabolism.

Conclusion

Our patient’s voriconazole dose requirements increased over time in a manner that suggested that voriconazole was inducing the enzymes responsible for its own metabolism. Dose adjustment was complicated by the nonlinear pharmacokinetics of voriconazole, but the patient’s dose requirements eventually stabilized at 700 mg twice daily orally, possibly due to the effects of the concurrently administered enzyme inhibitors.
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