Drug delivery approaches for breast cancer

Abstract: Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers affecting women worldwide. The controlled release of drugs to the precise site of the disease using a nanocarrier vehicle increases the therapeutic efficiency of the drugs. Nanotechnology-based approaches used to endorse clinical improvement from a disease also help to understand the interaction of malignant cells with their microenvironment. Receptor-based targeting is another approach for drug delivery which is undergoing clinical trials. Nanoparticles (NPs) delivery has been proven to promise high loading capacity, less toxicity, and stability of the drugs or biomolecules compared to traditional chemotherapeutic drugs. The goal of this review is to present the current problems of breast cancer therapy and discuss the NP-based targeting to overcome the hurdles of conventional drug therapy approach.
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Introduction
Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers affecting women worldwide. In 2016, a total of 246,660 new cases of breast cancer and 14% of deaths due to breast cancer were reported in the US. The majority of the deaths from breast cancer are due to its drug resistance and potential of metastasis to distant organs, such as the lymph nodes, bone, lung, and liver. It is well known that an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family protein plays an important role in drug resistance in multiple malignancies, and its higher expression is proportional to higher resistance towards chemotherapeutics. Multidrug resistance (MDR) due to high expression of proteins such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp/ABCB1), ABCG2, and BCRP is a major hurdle in breast cancer prognosis and treatment. Although recent advances in immunotherapy have been made with the development of small molecules, proteins, and peptides, controlled-release drug delivery and targeting are still not achieved.

As indicated, the majority of the deaths from breast cancer are due to its potential of metastasis to distant organs. There are several pathways involved in the modulation of breast cancer and its progression to metastasis. There has been progress made in understanding the biological behavior of estrogen receptors (ERs), progesterone receptors (PRs), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) for multiple subtypes of breast cancer. Nanoparticles (NPs) carrying anticancer agents can be delivered actively or passively to targeted tumor to serve in diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer. NPs have multifunctional properties. In recent years, controlled release of therapeutic compounds from NPs has been achieved to determine the efficacy of the drug and to overcome MDR.

We previously reported the use of nanocapsules, nanospheres, and polymeric NPs for drug delivery, in which the drugs were physically and uniformly dispersed. The NPs size and distribution are measured by photon correlation spectroscopy and verified...
by scanning or transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to
determine the diameter of the particles. This technology of
drug delivery using nanometer-sized particles could be the
major therapeutic approach for future cancer patients.
A recent progress in drug delivery technology is the design
of surface-modified NPs that can improve the poor specificity
and toxicological problems of antitumor therapy and act as
a major therapeutic approach for patients. Primarily, the
drug carriers used during the treatment act slowly for a lon-
ger period of time using specific stimuli. The conventional
chemotherapeutic drugs affect both normal and cancer cells,
whereas NP-coated drugs accumulate in tumors through
enhanced permeability.

The application of NPs in medicine has enabled the devel-
opment of nano-formulated drug delivery approach. There
are several types of drug carriers commonly available such
as polymeric dendrimers, micelles, microspheres, liposomes,
quantum dots (QDs), nanoemulsions, gold nanoparticles
(GNPs), and hydrogels, which require various methods of
drug attachment including encapsulation, covalent binding,
and adsorption. For example, lipid-based NPs can activate
the secretion of glucagon-like peptide 1 for the treatment of
human diabetes mellitus type-2. Several other applications
of nanomaterials such as carbon nanotubes, silver, and silica
nanocarriers have been promoted in the biomedical field,
and these nanomaterials are used during the treatment of
various neurological and cancer diseases. Recently, next-
generation nano-formulated platinum-based drug delivery
has been used in clinics for cancer.

The receptor (HER-2, epidermal growth factor receptor
[EGFR], vascular endothelial growth factor receptor
[VEGFR], insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor [IGF-IR])
-based targeting is the approach which is correlated with
the breast tumorigenesis and is undergoing clinical trials
(Phases II and III). Among them, the most common type
of breast cancer, which affects one in five women, is HER-
2-positive breast cancer caused due to the overexpression of
HER-2 on the surface of the breast tumor and characterized
by poor prognosis and aggressive growth. An overview of the
ongoing breast cancer clinical trials based on targeted therapy
and chemotherapy drugs is presented in Table 1. Moreover,
antibody–NP conjugates also facilitate the loading of higher
drug concentrations for targeted delivery. For example,
liposomes formulated with the monoclonal antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic agents</th>
<th>Target cancer subtypes</th>
<th>Clinical trial phases</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Trail ID reference (ClinicalTrials.gov)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trastuzumab</td>
<td>HER-2-positive and locally advanced/metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III, Phase II</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>MM-302-02-02-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neratinib plus capecitabine vs lapatinib plus capecitabine</td>
<td>HER-2-positive metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>PUMA-1301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talazoparib (BMN 673), a PARP inhibitor</td>
<td>Advanced and/or metastatic breast cancer patients with BRCA mutation</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>673-301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulvestrant and/or anastrozole</td>
<td>Postmenopausal patients with stage II–III breast cancer undergoing surgery</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>A011106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olaparib</td>
<td>Triple-negative nonmetastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, natural history/epidemiology, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>NSABP-B-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboplatin and paclitaxel with or without veliparib (ABT-888)</td>
<td>ER2-negative metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>M12-914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palbociclib (PD-0332991) + letrozole vs placebo + letrozole</td>
<td>ER-positive/HER-2-negative advanced breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>A5481027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum-based or capecitabine chemotherapy</td>
<td>Triple-negative basal-like breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>EA1131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin hydrochloride and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel with or without carboplatin</td>
<td>Triple-negative breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>NRG-BR003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margetuximab plus chemotherapy vs trastuzumab plus chemotherapy</td>
<td>HER-2-positive metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CP-MGAH22-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic agents</th>
<th>Target cancer subtypes</th>
<th>Clinical trial phases</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Trail ID reference (ClinicalTrials.gov)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) vs single-agent chemotherapy</td>
<td>Metastatic triple-negative breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>3475-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) plus chemotherapy vs placebo plus chemotherapy</td>
<td>Metastatic triple-negative breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase III</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>3475-355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trastuzumab in treating leptomeningeal metastases</td>
<td>HER-2-positive breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>NU 10C03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB1608 administered with paclitaxel</td>
<td>Advanced malignancies</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>BB1608-201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imiquimod, cyclophosphamide, and radiation therapy</td>
<td>Breast cancer with skin metastases</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>NYU 11-00598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dovitinib lactate in combination with anastrozole, exemestane, or letrozole</td>
<td>Hormone-receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2010-535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine kinase inhibitor</td>
<td>Triple-negative breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>12751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLX3397 and eribulin meylate</td>
<td>Stage IIB–IV breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2011-269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triciribine phosphate, paclitaxel, doxorubicin hydrochloride, and cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Locally advanced or metastatic ER-positive breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>GO29642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDC-0810 single agent or in combination with palbociclib and/or a luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonist</td>
<td>Advanced ER-positive breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CLEE011X2107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE011, BYL719, and letrozole</td>
<td>Advanced or metastatic solid tumors</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CA209-032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nivolumab combined with ipilimumab</td>
<td>ER/PR-positive metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>C31001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLN0128 in combination with exemestane or fulvestrant</td>
<td>Metastatic inflammatory breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Temporarily closed</td>
<td>13C.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romidepsin and paclitaxel albumin-stabilized nanoparticle formulation</td>
<td>Metastatic HER-2-positive breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>AAAM1906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruxolitinib phosphate and trastuzumab</td>
<td>HER-2-positive metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>MCC-17656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemcitabine hydrochloride, trastuzumab, and pertuzumab</td>
<td>HER-2-negative stage III or IV breast cancer</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>Biomarker/laboratory analysis, treatment</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>CBYL719XUS06T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HER-2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; ER2, estrogen receptor 2; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.

act against tumor cell antigens. Nanocarrier-based and clinically approved therapeutic drug conjugates used to target metastatic breast cancer are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Targeted drug nanocarriers could replace the current method of treatment of chemoresistant tumor cells and cure cancer. In this review, we discuss the various drug delivery platforms (systemic, localized, and receptor-based) used for the model of breast cancer therapy (Figure 1).

Systemic drug delivery approaches
Nanocarrier-based drug delivery systems for chemotherapeutic drugs act efficiently on multiple malignant sites. The most common drug delivery approaches are based on organic and inorganic particles. The organic particles used for drug delivery application are micelles, liposomes, polymers, dendrimers, and nanogels. They have versatile surface building blocks for efficient endocytosis and loading. NPs have a multifunctional surface-modifying property that directs the cell to the tumor vasculature. The technology of encapsulating chemotherapeutic drugs using a nano-scale device is the best approach with regard to decreased side effects and improved bioavailability of drugs for breast cancer. While emerging technologies of systemic drug delivery using NPs promise early treatment of breast cancer, at present, limited options...
are available to the patients with metastatic breast cancer. Based on nanocarrier platforms, the most relevant strategy for precise site targeting in drug-resistant breast tumor cells is shown in Figure 2.

### Organic drug delivery approaches

#### Micelles

Polymeric micelles (PMs) are colloidal particles prepared from conjugates of water-soluble polymers with phospholipids or long-chain fatty acids and other surfactants. Micelles are used for the delivery of water-insoluble chemotherapeutic drugs. They were first proposed by Paul Ehrlich for targeted drug delivery to diseased cells. Micelles accumulate at poorly vascularized tumors and enhance permeability and retention, and increase the half-life of anticancer agents. They have been shown to overcome P-gp efflux, act through receptor-mediated endocytosis, and increase intracellular drug concentration with enhanced cytotoxicity in MCF-7/doxorubicin-resistant cells. Moreover, fabricated immune micelles (antibodies bound to the surface of micelles) were also used in breast adenocarcinomas. Treatment of HER-2-positive breast cancer was performed with anti-HER-2 monoclonal antibody (mAb), fabricated with antibody-conjugated lysosomop P (LA-co-TMCC)-g-PEG-furan micelles. Use of anti-HER-2 antibody complex micellar formulation in HER-2-positive and HER-2-negative cells has shown high efficacy of taxol compared to simple lipid-based protein. In another study of the use of paclitaxel

### Table 2 Nanocarrier-based therapeutic drug conjugates to target metastatic breast cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticle carrier composition</th>
<th>Therapeutics</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol-poly(ε-lactide-co-glycolide)</td>
<td>Paclitaxel + lonidamine</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(N-methylglycidylamine)</td>
<td>Paclitaxel + siRNA</td>
<td>Downregulation of Bcl-2 and increased cytotoxicity in MDA-MB-231 cells</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-nanocapsule/liposome</td>
<td>Z_{ex,2}-BNC + siRNA</td>
<td>Gene silencing and protein knock down in HER-2-expressing breast cancer cells</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol-block-poly(N-hexyl stearate 1-aspartamide)</td>
<td>Doxorubicin + wortmannin</td>
<td>Passive, metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol-liposome</td>
<td>Quercetin+vincristine</td>
<td>Passive, metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cationic, anionic polyethylene glycol-liposome</td>
<td>siRNA + doxorubicin</td>
<td>Passive, metastatic breast cancer</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide copolymer</td>
<td>Trastuzumab + PK1166</td>
<td>Active, HER-2 breast cancer</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; BNC, bio-nanocapsule; HER-2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

### Table 3 Additional clinically approved chemotherapeutic drug combination for metastatic breast cancer prevention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agents</th>
<th>Drug combinations</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monoclonal antibodies</td>
<td>Trastuzumab + doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide; trastuzumab + epirubicin + cyclophosphamide; trastuzumab + paclitaxel; trastuzumab + gemcitabine; trastuzumab + vinorelbine</td>
<td>Improved overall survival and response rate, while cardiomyopathy and hematological toxicity were observed</td>
<td>16, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Progression-free survival with hematological toxicity</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cituximab + cisplatin</td>
<td>Improved response rate in TNBC with neutropenia and dyspnea</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyrosine kinase inhibitor based</td>
<td>Lapatinib + (capecitabine, paclitaxel, letrozole); suitinib + docetaxel; erlotinib + cisplatin + gemcitabine</td>
<td>Improved response rate and overall survival, but toxicity, diarrhea, and skin rashes were the side effects</td>
<td>28–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthracycline based</td>
<td>Anthracine-based doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide; doxorubicin + fluorouracil; epirubicin + fluorouracil/cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>Improved response rate while related toxicity and no significant difference in progression or survival were observed</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxane based</td>
<td>Doxorubicin + paclitaxel; doxorubicin + docetaxel; capecitabine + docetaxel; gemcitabine + paclitaxel</td>
<td>Improved response rate, progression-free survival, and relapse-free survival, but side effects such as cardiotoxicity, hematological toxicity, and increased diarrhea were found</td>
<td>34–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other chemotherapeutic regimen combinations</td>
<td>Ixabepilone + capecitabine</td>
<td>Improved response rate but peripheral neuropathy was observed</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide + methotrexate + fluorouracil</td>
<td>Overall improved survival and relapse-free survival, but loss of bone was a disadvantage</td>
<td>40–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trastuzumab + lapatinib</td>
<td>Improved patient survival, and overcome toxicity issue with trastuzumab</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer.
PM formulation in metastatic breast cancer patients, the Genexol-PM response rate was observed to be 58.5% compared to plain drugs that are in clinical trial Phases I and II. However, in SK-BR-3 cells, antibody-decorated NPs have shown 53.4% and 38.6% higher cellular uptake than the plain micelles in Phases I and II, respectively.49

Liposomes

Liposomes are spherical vesicle microparticles that contain single or multiple bilayered membrane structures and were first described in 1965.50 Their size varies from 50–200 nm and they have a tendency to accumulate in tumor cells with an enhanced permeability and retention. Liposomes are classified based on size and composition and influenced by several factors such as bilayered fluidity, surface charge, surface hydration, and methods of preparation.51 Liposomes have been reported to encapsulate lipophilic and hydrophobic drugs which are stable, nontoxic, biocompatible, biodegradable, and non-immunogenic.52 Moreover, liposomes are reported to play a role in direct inhibition of P-gp by anionic membrane lipids. A previous study on Rhodamine retention using P-gp and BCRP substrate in breast cancer cell line MCF-7 showed that liposome encapsulation was increased in MCF-7/P-gp cells compared to MCF-7/wild-type cells.53 Liposomes are reported as an effective delivery system for siRNA- or oligonucleotide-based therapy, and liposome-based drug formulations are currently used in clinical protocols.54 The encapsulation of drugs in liposomes reduces the toxicity through biodistribution. The therapeutic application of liposomes as a drug carrier for the delivery of paclitaxel has also been evaluated in human ovarian cancer.55,56 Due to their small size and prolonged circulation, liposomes (including PEGylated liposomes) can provide protection from mononuclear phagocytes. PEGylated liposomes formulation with NPs coated on the surface using polyethylene glycol (PEG) improved the efficacy of drug
Yang et al reported that PEGylated formulation of paclitaxel increased the half-life compared to conventional liposomes formulation. The combinations of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide utilizing non-PEGylated liposomes were used for the treatment of metastatic breast cancer. Moreover, liposome-conjugated antibody that overexpresses the HER-2 has been developed and reported as delivering 22-fold more calcine to mammary epithelial cells. The synergistic effects of combined drug delivery of quercetin and vincristine through liposomes were reported for treatment of ER-negative breast cancer.

**Polymers**
Polymeric NPs (size 3–200 nm) that are formulated by binding a copolymer to a polymer matrix are widely used as drug delivery carriers. Polymers are classified as natural, synthetic, biodegradable, and nonbiodegradable forms. The most commonly used natural polymers are cellulose, chitosan, alginate, and gelatin, which are mildly immunogenic in nature. In addition, modified polymers with precise chemical composition increase the efficacy of site-specific targeting. Moreover, synthetic polymers such as poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL), poly-(lactic-co-glycolide), and polylactide (PLA)
have a high rate of solubility and permeability. Such polymers are biocompatible and biodegradable with slow degradation rate, with good drug stability and release. Numerous polymer–drug conjugates, such as poly(d,l-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA), PEG, dextran, and N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide (HPMA), have been tested in drug delivery research. Chemotherapeutic drugs like paclitaxel, doxorubicin, camptothecins, and platinites have been clinically tested in drug conjugates for multiple cancers. It has been shown that polymeric NPs have a higher loading capacity for poorly water-soluble drugs, more stability, and more physicochemical properties (solubility, stability) compared to liposomes. The hybrid PM, developed by coating a PEG–phospholipid copolymer envelope on a nuclear PLGA NP, has improved therapeutic index with reduced toxicity. A cisplatin-modified Pt(IV)-based PLGA-PEG NP was also reported with a significantly improved efficacy in breast cancer patients. Lee and Nan proposed a novel combination of the drug delivery system for HER-2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer via HER-2-targeted HPMA copolymer conjugates in combination with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (PKI-166). Their study on targeting HER-2 receptors via extracellular (via TRZ binding) and intracellular (via PKI-166 binding) kinase domains suggested the synergistic effect from a drug conjugate delivery system for anticancer activity. Therefore, a novel drug delivery system using a polymer with different mechanisms of action can bring forth a promising targeted therapy to overcome the limitations of the individual drug.

**Dendrimers**

Dendrimers are highly branched macromolecules possessing low polydispersity index. In 1978, Vogtle first described the nanotechnology platforms for drug delivery using dendrimers. Like other nanocarriers, the biocompatibility and pharmacokinetics of dendrimers are easy to predict and can be controlled. There are various drug platforms that have been synthesized as delivery vehicles such as polyether-hydroxyxylamine (PEHAM), polyamidoamine (PAMAM), polyesteramine, polypropyleneimine, and polyglycerol. The biopermeability of cationic PAMAM-NH2 (G0–G4) dendrimers across the biological membranes was evaluated for oral drug delivery which revealed that they crossed the membrane by paracellular and endocytosis pathways. The water solubility and size of dendrimers increased by PEGylation which helped to improve the retention and biodistribution characteristics. Several groups have shown that cell toxicity strongly correlates with the dendrimers end. The surface functional groups of dendrimers are amines that are decorated with protons, benzylxycarbonyl- or tert-butoxycarbonyl-protecting group’s ethylenediamine ligands, or dansyl fluorescence labels. The doxorubicin-containing polion complex micelle accumulates in the nucleus of drug-resistant MCF-7 cells and is also considered to have a potent antiproliferative effect on targeted tumor. The cytotoxicity of MCF-7 breast cancer cells was examined in vitro using low-generation (G0, G1, and G2) PAMAM-like polymers. However, dendrimers–drug conjugate has an antineoplastic agent and is covalently attached to the peripheral groups of the dendrimers, and has distinct advantages over drug-encapsulated systems. For local delivery in breast cancer, doxorubicin-G4-PAMAM complexes were encapsulated into the liposomes. These were formulated with HEPC and showed enhanced activity towards the MDA-MB435 breast cells compared to the individual dendrimers. Thus, the methods for delivering the dendrimers-based NPs for transport of drugs into the specific area of malignant cells could be the best approach for delivery of NPs and to treat cancer cells.

**Inorganic drug delivery approaches**

**Gold nanoparticles**

GNPs are used in chemotherapy for several cancers. Due to their small size (approximately 130 nm) and specificity, they circulate throughout the tumor cells. GNP coating acts as a biomarker for the cancer diagnosis and is used as a probe for transmission electron microscopy and antimicrobial agents. There are several methods available for GNP production; the most common is the one involving citrate reduction of gold in water and the Brust-Schiffrin method. The conjugation of GNPs to transferrin molecules was tested in breast cancer cells, and the results showed higher cellular uptake of transferrin molecules bound to GNPs in comparison to unbound molecules. PEG-conjugated liposomes were used for anticancer drug delivery. Balakrishnan et al targeted the breast cancer EGFR/VEGFR-2 signaling pathway using AuNPs-Qu-5, and reported its role in inhibition of migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis of breast cancer cells. This group has studied significant inhibition of multiple proteins such as p-PI3K, Akt, Snail, Slug, vimentin, N-cadherin, and p-GSK3β with treatment with AuNPs-Qu-5. Eissa et al investigated 120 patient samples for ER, PR, and HER-2 status and reported that histidine-rich glycoprotein RNA-AuNPs had 90% sensitivity and specificity and can act as a diagnostic marker for breast cancer prognosis. Another report showed that triple-negative breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells were inhibited by phytochemical compounds such as gallic acid capped with GNPs.
**Localized drug delivery approaches**

The current treatment for recurrent breast cancer is based on chemotherapeutic drugs, radiation, or surgery depending on location and the stages of the tumor. Localized drug delivery has more impact as a therapeutic option for early-stage cancers compared to the systemic drug. There are natural (dextran, chitosan, hyaluronic acid, gelatin, collagen polypeptides) and synthetic polymers that are used intratumorally in the cancerous tissue for drug delivery to cure breast cancer. Furthermore, hydrogel formation of the NPs or polymers system, nanofiber with versatile morphology and tensile strength, and intraductal injection using microcatheter enhance the performance of ongoing smart drug delivery therapy.

**Nanofibers**

A nanofiber is a cross-linked polymer characterized by tensile strength and chemical nature. Biodegradable polymers such as PEG, PLGA, chitosan, PVA, PLA, polyethylene oxide, and PCL are used for preparing nanofibers for drug delivery applications. Another type of nanofiber, electrospun, was found to be bioactive and biocompatible similar to a human extracellular matrix, which supports diverse cells to grow into fabricated tissues. A nanofiber-based platform has been prepared to evaluate migration of metastatic breast cancer cells. Curcumin-loaded PCL nanofibers were tested in breast cancer cell line MCF-7, and exhibited 15% more cytotoxicity compared to the commercial drug. The use of a nanofiber model could allow testing the efficacy of an anticancer drug in diagnostic tools for multiple malignancies.

**Hydrogels**

Hydrogels are water-insoluble molecules, chemically or physically linked into a polymer chain. They allow controlled release of a drug within the body. Drugs enclosed within a hydrogel correspond to swell, diffusion, and control of the chemicals. Hydrogels for tumor cell therapy are developed in the form of microspheres or NPs. They are fabricated using protein and glycosaminoglycan components of breast tissue, which stimulate the growth of human breast cells. The most common endothermal hydrogel was based on chitosan, which is formed in tumor tissue after intratumor injection. Chitosan hydrogels based on temperature-responsive hydroxyl butyl, poly (vinyl alcohol), thermo sensitive poly (ethylene glycol)-grafted, chitosan chloride/glycerophosphate and chitosan/bifunctional aldehyde have been investigated, but not tested in the preclinical trial for breast cancer.
application. However, only a few of the hydrogels have in situ gelling properties. Another platform for local and sustained delivery with high efficiencies in in vitro and in vivo breast cancer mice model was reported via siRNA encapsulation in oligopeptide-terminated poly(β-amino ester) NPs. Moreover, sustained delivery was enhanced when NPs were embedded in a hydrogel scaffold.\textsuperscript{84}

**Intraductal injection**

The molecular and morphological changes that occur in breast ductal epithelium cells are associated with a high risk of breast cancer. Ductal carcinoma in situ is a noninvasive early cancer, occurring in the lining of the breast milk duct, and represents 80% of breast cancers diagnosed. The use of microcatheter for collecting ductal cells can improve the ductal epithelium cells detection in abnormal breast cells. Ductal lavage procedure using microcatheter has been reported for cytological analysis with high efficiency for collecting breast epithelial cells. A study of 507 women who had a high risk of breast cancer was conducted to evaluate nipple aspirate fluid and ductal lavage and proved that ductal lavage was more sensitive and safer than nipple aspiration.\textsuperscript{85} Moreover, chemotherapy through localized drug delivery was achieved by intraductal injection of chemotherapeutic drugs 5-fluorouracil and estradiol into mammary papilloma for the improvement of the immune response.\textsuperscript{86} Detection of ductal cellular abnormalities can provide additional information to reduce the risk of breast cancer and also help in ongoing drug therapy.

**Receptor-based drug delivery approaches**

Breast cancer growths are regulated by multiple receptors, and inhibition at the receptor provides a new avenue for cancer therapy. Studies on receptor targeting are being used in clinical trials in patients with metastatic breast cancer. Although multiple cytotoxic drugs, such as gemcitabine, nab-paclitaxel, doxorubicin, etoposide, and vinorelbine, have been developed, the overall survival rates are still less.\textsuperscript{87} Several studies have focused on receptors HER-2, EGFR, IGF-IR, and VEGFR, which revealed specific targets for breast cancer cells. According to those studies, HER-2 belongs to EGFR family and is poorly differentiated in triple-negative breast cancer; IGF-IR is regulated by tyrosine kinases, whereas VEGFR works as a stimulus for angiogenesis. The receptor-based targeting approach is illustrated in Figure 3.

**HER-2**

HER-2 has been reported to be overexpressed in breast cells. It belongs to the EGFR family and strongly correlates with tumorigenesis. Anti-HER-2 therapy using nanocarrier drugs and antibody-directed therapy for the antigen-binding site could be an effective treatment for breast cancer. Trastuzumab (mAb) has shown an overall response rate of 15%–30% against the extracellular domain of HER-2-positive breast cancer cells when given individually, but in combination with taxanes or vinorelbine, the response rates were 50%–80%.\textsuperscript{88} In a randomized clinical trial Phases II and III, the overall percentage survival and the response rate were demonstrated to be high when combination therapies of trastuzumab with chemotherapeutic drugs were given to breast cancer patients.\textsuperscript{16} Blockade of receptor using inhibitors may improve the treatment of trastuzumab-resistant tumors. A tyrosine kinase inhibitor, such as lapatinib, blocks the expression of EGFR (ErB1) and HER-2 (ErB2), which are co-expressed in 30% of breast cancers. The Phase II trial has shown 33% response rate after treatment of HER-2-positive metastatic breast cancer with lapatinib.\textsuperscript{89} Another inhibitor gefitinib (an EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and pertuzumab (mAb) were reported to be able to block the overexpression and hetero-dimerization of HER-2 receptor family.\textsuperscript{90} Moreover, adjuvant therapy of chemotherapeutic drugs can enhance the overall survival rate of breast cancer patients.

**EGFR**

Overexpression of EGFR has been reported in poorly differentiated triple-negative and inflammatory breast cancer cells. EGFR gene was identified in the early 1980s, and the clinical interest in the gene began in the late 1990s with the development of inhibitors.\textsuperscript{91} There are several members of EGFR family reported, including EGFR (also known as ErB1 and HER-1), HER-2 (also known as HER-2/neu and ErB2), ErB3 (HER3), and ErB4 (HER4). Out of these, HER-2 was overexpressed in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{92,93} It has been proven that the EGFR expression was correlated with an increased copy number of the gene and protein overexpression in breast cancer. The increased EGFR gene copy number and protein overexpression were observed in ER-negative, PR-negative, HER-2 negative (triple-negative) breast cancer patients. Although drugs including cetuximab, lapatinib, gefitinib, and others have been developed to target the EGFR, the overall clinical outcome is poor. EGFR signaling and the relationship between triple-negative and inflammatory breast cancer-targeted therapies are the current topic of interest in the field of breast tumor therapy. Several clinical trials...
investigating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), EGFR, Src, and mTOR molecular markers, for the treatments of triple-negative breast cancer, are ongoing; other inhibitors of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway for deregulation in triple-negative breast cancer are in early-phase clinical trials.

**IGF-IR**

Breast cancer growth is regulated by receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), and the inhibition of the receptors, thus, could be the targets for anticancer therapy. The growth and differentiation of normal breast cells are mediated by IGF-IR signaling. Additionally, it stimulates mitogenesis and apoptosis of tumor cells. RTKs contain two domains – intracellular tyrosine kinase domain and extracellular ligand-binding domain. The ligands binding to the IGF-IR activate tyrosine kinases and induce conformational changes. After activation, antiapoptotic effects of the IGF-IR are mediated via the Akt/PI3K pathways and IGF-IR is overexpressed in many cell types. RTKs such as IGF-IR and c-erbB-2/HER-2/neu (HER-2/neu) have been reported for the breast cancer cell growth. Inhibition of these RTKs helps in reduction of cell growth and drug development. Several reports demonstrate the evidence for overexpression and hyperactivation of the IGF-IR in the early stages of breast cancer. The negative expression of IGF-IR, using monoclonal antibodies, antisense IGF-IR, catechols, and transfection methods,
can inhibit the tumor growth in breast cancer.\textsuperscript{100,101} Nordihydruguairetic acid is a phenolic compound and is reported as a direct inhibitor of both IGF-IR and the HER-2/neu receptor in breast tumor cells and induces apoptosis. Thus, negative expression of IGF-IR with a potential inhibitor can play an important role in breast cancer therapy.

\textbf{VEGF}

VEGF serves as a primary stimulus of angiogenesis when upregulated by various hormones, cytokines, and transforming growth factors. It is associated with the development, progression, and metastasis of breast cancer, through receptors such as VEGFR-2 (also known as flk/kdr), VEGFR-1 (also known as flt), and VEGF-C (homolog of VEGF gene family). VEGF-2 (flk) and VEGF-1 (flt) are expressed on vascular endothelial or non-endothelial cell-specific receptor;\textsuperscript{102} VEGFR-1 (flt) is found on monocytes, whereas VEGF-C receptor (flt-4) is expressed on endothelial cells of lymphatic vessels.\textsuperscript{103} VEGFRs play an important role in the antiapoptotic mechanisms in breast cancer cells.\textsuperscript{104} The receptor-based monoclonal antibodies have been reported to inhibit VEGF activity, which downregulates the growth of tumors and their blood vessels.\textsuperscript{105} The siRNA as well as anti-VEGF antibody therapy is already in clinical trials for regulation of VEGF activity.\textsuperscript{106} Therefore, targeting of endothelial growth factor either alone or in combination with a target agent could be the future therapeutic strategy for metastatic breast cancer.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Drug carrier systems allow for the controlled release of drugs at the desired sites, thus altering the pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of the drugs. In this sense, nanoparticles are intrinsically advantageous over conventional particles. This study provides an overview of all aspects of drug delivery mechanisms using nanocarriers for metastatic breast cancer treatment. Precise drug release into highly specific targets involves miniaturizing the delivery systems to be much smaller than their targets. It is highly expected that these minute drug delivery system can be realized through the advances in nanotechnology. The integration of nanotechnology products, such as nanoparticles, with therapeutic agents, has recently created a new therapeutic trend that would not otherwise be possible.
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