Proximity hybridization-mediated isothermal exponential amplification for ultrasensitive electrochemical protein detection
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Abstract: In this study, we fabricated a novel electrochemical biosensing platform on the basis of target-triggered proximity hybridization-mediated isothermal exponential amplification reaction (EXPAR) for ultrasensitive protein analysis. Through rational design, the aptamers for protein recognition were integrated within two DNA probes. Via proximity hybridization principle, the affinity protein-binding event was converted into DNA assembly process. The recognition of protein by aptamers can trigger the strand displacement through the increase of the local concentrations of the involved probes. As a consequence, the output DNA was displaced, which can hybridize with the duplex probes immobilized on the electrode surface subsequently, leading to the initiation of the EXPAR as well as the cleavage of duplex probes. Each cleavage will release the gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) binding sequence. With the modification of G-quadruplex sequence, electrochemical signals were yielded by the AuNPs through oxidizing 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine in the presence of H₂O₂. The study we proposed exhibited high sensitivity toward platelet-derived growth factor BB (PDGF-BB) with the detection limit of 52 fM. And, this method also showed great selectivity among the PDGF isoforms and performed well in spiked human serum samples.
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Introduction
The precise detection of biomarkers is rather important for early cancer diagnosis and treatment in clinic. In general, the common detection methods rely on commercialized antibody-based assays, for example, enzyme-linked immune sorbent assay (ELISA), whereas the moderate sensitivity and tedious procedures of ELISA make it urgent to develop better methods for the detection of biomarkers. Aptamers, since its first report in 1990s, have received extensive interests as a rival of antibody due to the high affinity and specificity. As there are not much limitations on the targets of aptamers such as proteins, amino acids, small chemicals, and even metal ions up to now, numerous aptamers have been identified. In comparison with antibodies and enzymes, aptamer-based biosensors have superiorities of high flexibility and convenience, enabling to develop various novel aptasensors. By combination with amplification strategies, aptamers have been successfully applied to develop ultrasensitive biosensors.

The advances in DNA proximity binding have inspired exciting developments of nanosensors and ultrasensitive biosensors. Different from the toehold-mediated strand displacement, which has been extensively employed to design DNA assembly, a proximity hybridization-induced DNA assembly homogeneous assay
has been proposed. The method was based on the target-binding process to promote strand displacement and reinforce the hybridization of two short DNA sequences, which cannot form stable duplex at normal circumstances. Through converting the target binding event into detectable DNA assembly, proximity hybridization strategy can be combined with various signal amplification strategies, such as enzyme-free cyclic assembly of hairpins, ligation-mediated strand recycling, and binding-induced self-assembly of DNAzyme to build ultrasensitive biosensors. Up to now, there have been many novel applications of proximity hybridization-based strategy to detect proteins, nucleic acids, and small molecules.

DNAzymes are derived from catalytic DNA sequences obtained by in vitro selection. Compared with natural enzymes, artificial enzymes, which have been extensively utilized for bioanalytical applications, have several merits owing to the flexible base sequences as well as nonspecific binding properties. Among them, the G-quadruplex-hemin complex, formed by hemin intercalated into the guanine-rich nucleic acid sequences, can catalyze \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)-mediated oxidation of reductive substrates and has been successfully employed for the electrochemical, colorimetric, and chemiluminescent determination of nucleotides. By combined application with hybridization chain reaction (HCR), isothermal exponential amplification reaction (EXPAR), and rolling circle amplification (RCA) strategy, G-quadruplex-hemin complexes have been successfully employed to fabricate biosensors.

Herein, we developed an ultrasensitive electrochemical biosensor for protein on the basis of proximity hybridization-induced EXPAR strategy. Platelet-derived growth factor BB (PDGF-BB) was a disease marker, directly related to several cancer transformation and tumor growth processes, and was chosen as the target protein. In this design, the aptamers for PDGF-BB were integrated with two sequences. Binding of PDGF-BB to the aptamers triggered the strand displacement process, releasing an output DNA at the same time. The duplex probes fixed on the electrode surface were arched structured. After hybridization with the output, the probes cleaved, resulting in the initiation of EXPAR process and the free of the gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) binding sequence. In this study, AuNPs were employed to load G-quadruplex sequences due to their unique properties, such as large specific surface area, facile to prepare, and easy to modify. By combination of proximity hybridization-mediated DNA assembly and DNAzyme-modified AuNPs, this new strategy achieved ultrasensitive assay of PDGF-BB with the detection limit of 52 fM.

**Methods**

**Chemicals and materials**

DNA sequences, hemin, and PDGF-BB (human) were from Sangon Biotechnology Inc. (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). The Bst 2.0 DNA polymerase (large fragment), Nb.BbvCI nicking endonuclease, and deoxynucleotide (dNTP) solution mixture were obtained from New England Biolabs (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Tris (2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Corporation (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). 6-Mercaptot-1-hexanol (MCH) was obtained from J&K Scientific Ltd. (Guangzhou, People’s Republic of China). MCH solution was prepared by diluting the MCH with DNA hybridization buffer (20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid [HEPES], 500 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) to 1 mM. The stock solution of hemin (10 mM) was prepared with DMSO and, then, stored at -20°C. DNA sequences were dissolved with 1× tris-EDTA (TE) buffer (pH 8.0) to 100 μM. Sequences of the DNA (Table 1) were designed with the help of the Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT; online software).

**Preparation of serum samples**

Serum samples from whole blood were prepared by centrifugation at 500×g for 15 min and, then, were diluted 100 times with 20 mM HEPES buffer (500 mM NaCl, pH 7.4).

**Biosensor fabrication**

Firstly, the gold electrode (3 mm in diameter) was cleaned by freshly prepared piranha solution (a 3:1, v/v, mixture of concentrated \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) and 30% \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)) for 20 min. And then, the electrode was rinsed with ultrapure water (caution: piranha solution reacts violently with organic materials; it must be handled with extreme care). After polishing with alumina (0.05 μm), the electrodes were treated with ultrasonic with ethanol and ultrapure water before electrochemically cleaned with 0.5 M \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) over the potential -0.2 to 1.5 V (100 mV/s). Ultimately, the pretreated electrode was rinsed with water and dried with nitrogen.

Recognition probes were prepared by annealing the same amount of P1 and Output in hybridization buffer. The solution was incubated at 90°C for 5 min before cooling to room temperature for 2 h. The S1–S2 probes were prepared through the same way and reacted with 1 mM TCEP for 1 h to reduce disulfide bonds. Certain amounts of duplex probes (3 μL) were dropped onto the electrode surface and capped for incubation overnight. After rinsing, the modified electrode was blew-dried with nitrogen and immersed in MCH solution subsequently for 1 h to remove the nonspecific adsorption.
**Preparation of DNA functionalized nanoparticles**

The DNAzyme-modified AuNPs were prepared as reported in literature with little modification. Briefly, 100 μL of capture and DNAzyme mixtures (10 μM each) were incubated with 20 μM TCEP for 1 h and, then, were dropped to 200 μL of citrate-capped 15 nm AuNPs. After incubating for 16 h in dark, the mixed solution was treated with 0.1 M NaCl in Tris-HAc (5 mM, pH 8.2) with gentle hand shaking and incubated for another day before use. The AuNPs’ solution was centrifuged twice (15,000 rpm, 30 min) to remove excessive DNA and finally dispersed in 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4, 50 mM KCl and 500 mM NaCl). At last, 5 μL hemin (400 μM) was added to the as-prepared AuNPs to form G-quadruplex–hemin-modified AuNPs.

**Electrochemical measurement and apparatus**

CHI660E electrochemical workstation (CH Instruments, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China) was used to conduct electrochemical experiments. A three-electrode system was employed in all electrochemical measurements. The modified electrode was incubated with 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.5, 50 mM KCl and 500 mM NaCl) containing TMB (4 mM) and H₂O₂ (1 mM) to conduct the enzymatic reaction. The differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was conducted after 1 min of reaction. Ultraviolet-visible (UV–vis) spectra were obtained with an UV1800PC spectrophotometer (Jinghua, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; 1200 EX; JEO, Tokyo, Japan) was used to characterize the morphology of the as-prepared AuNPs. The detailed procedures and parameters for TEM, UV–vis spectroscopy, native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), and DPV are shown in the Supplementary materials.

**Proximity hybridization-induced EXPAR**

The oligonucleotide-modified electrode was incubated in 50 μL CutSmart Buffer reaction system, containing 32 U Bst DNA polymerase, 20 U nicking endonuclease, 400 μM dNTPs, 2 μM primer, 1 μM P1–Output, 1 μM P2, and different amounts of PDGF-BB, for 60 min at 30°C. Then, the electrode was carefully rinsed with hybridization buffer before subjected to 50 μL G-quadruplex–hemin-modified AuNPs for 30 min to promote the immobilization of AuNPs.

**Results and discussion**

**Principle of the biosensor for PDGF-BB detection**

The newly proposed electrochemical biosensor based on proximity hybridization-induced EXPAR is shown in Scheme 1. The aptamers for PDGF-BB were integrated within P1 and P2. Binding of the two aptamers to the same target assembled P1–Output and P2 together. In this proximity hybridization process, the local concentrations of P1 and P2 were increased, thus raising the melting temperature for the intramolecular hybridization (P1 and P2), favoring the strand displacement reaction between P2 and Output. As a consequence, the Output was released from P1, triggering the strand displacement cycle. And, the subsequent amplification process was triggered meanwhile. The duplex probe used to modify the electrode surface consisted of S1 and S2 (Table 1). Both S1 and S2 acted as blockers to each other. S2 prevented the hybridization of primer with S1, and S1 stopped the binding of AuNPs to S2. The loop region of S1 was the binding site for the released Output from proximity hybridization. The
nicking site, located in the loop region of S1, was unable to bind restriction endonuclease. After hybridization of Output with S1, the target–substrate complex can be formed through toehold-mediated strand displacement. The separation of one stem of the arched structure through the formation of Output–S1 resulted in the thermal melting of the remaining duplex. In this way, Output can displace S2 from S1 successfully even it was partially complementary with S1.44,45 After the S1–Output complex was released into the solution, in the presence of primer, the polymerase and nickase initiated EXPAR, during which Output was recycled and regenerated circularly. Meanwhile, S2 was free to hybridize with the capture-modified AuNPs. Electrochemical signals were yielded by the reduction current of oxidized TMB in the presence of H₂O₂.

Characterization of the nanomaterials
TEM and UV–vis spectroscopy were utilized to characterize the as-prepared nanoparticles. As illustrated in Figure 1A, the diameters of prepared AuNPs were ~15 nm. The AuNPs exhibited similar sizes and good monodispersity. There was characteristic surface plasmon absorption at 520 nm (Figure 1B, curve b), typical for AuNPs ~15 nm in diameter. The DNA-modified AuNPs, however, red shifted to 523 nm (Figure 1B, curve a). In addition, compared to the blueviolet aggregation exhibited by AuNPs (b, inset), the DNA-functionalized ones maintained red (a, inset) in the solution with 0.1 M NaCl. These results confirmed the successful preparation and functionalization of AuNPs.

Gel analysis
PAGE was further used to analyze the proximity hybridization-mediated EXPAR process. For the proof-of-concept experiment, we utilized a helper DNA (H1) to mimic the P1–protein–P2 complex in the binding-induced reaction process for native PAGE analysis (Figure 1C). H1 brought P1 and P2 in close to form a cooperative complex. P1–Output, P2, S1–S2, and S1–Output showed individual band (lanes 2–5). In the absence of H1, the mixture of P1–Output, P2, and S1–S2 displayed bands located in the same position as lane 2 to lane 4, indicating that no hybridization occurred between them (lane 7). Upon the addition of H1 to the same mixture, a fresh band appeared with much slower migration speed, as well as the appearance of S1–Output band and the disappearance of S1–S2 bands (lane 8). This result verified the formation of P1–H1–P2 complex and the strand displacement of Output from dsDNA. Moreover, the released Output can hybridize with S1–S2, resulting in the cleavage of duplex probes and the initiation of EXPAR. The PAGE image for subsequent EXPAR results is shown in Figure S1. The enzymatic reaction product band (marked in red box of Figure S1) validated the successful proceeding of EXPAR.

Electrochemical characterization of the proximity hybridization-mediated EXPAR
As an effective method for exploring the interfacial properties, EIS was employed to analyze the fabrication process. Figure 1D shows the EIS results of electrode fabrication at different stages. There was a very small charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) (curve a, 50.20 Ω) for the bare gold electrode. After self-assembly of duplex probes and MCH, the $R_{ct}$ increased to 2,601.76 Ω (curve b), owing to the repulsion to [Fe(CN)₆]³⁻/⁴⁻ from negative charges on the phosphate backbone. Once treated with Output, $R_{ct}$ decreased to 2,337.11 Ω (curve c), as the Output caused the cleavage of probe, reducing the oligonucleotides of the electrode interface. At last, after assembly of G-quadruplex–hemin AuNPs, the $R_{ct}$ increased.
remarkably to 3,732.88 Ω (curve d), because of the plentiful negatively charged phosphate backbone linked on the AuNPs. The stepwise variation of the electrochemical impedance verified the successful modification of gold electrode.

DPV was further employed to elucidate the feasibility of the strategy proposed. As depicted in Figure 1E, a reduction peak of ~0.3 V can be obtained in the presence of 1 nM PDGF-BB after proximity hybridization-mediated EXPAR (curve d), which was the reduction current of TMB+ oxidized by DNAzyme-modified AuNPs.46,47 In contrast, compared to curve d, the signal of hybridization to the free G-quadruplex sequence alone (curve c) was much lower, conforming that much more DNAzyme units were able to assemble on the electrode surface along with AuNPs. The DPV peak from 1 nM PDGF-BB with proximity hybridization but without EXPAR (curve b) did not show much difference to curve a, whose response derived from the reduction of original TMB+. As reported, EXPAR was rather efficient and can achieve 106–109-fold signal amplification within minutes.48,49 The Output released from proximity hybridization was amplified greatly through EXPAR and activated more probes to release S2 for the binding of AuNPs, yielding higher signal.

Optimization of the detection strategy

To achieve higher sensitivity, the formation of probe–protein complex and the release of Output should be very crucial, especially for trace analysis. To eliminate the target-independent displacement, we optimized the structure.

**Figure 1** Characterizations of the nanomaterials and electrochemical processes.

**Notes:** (A) TEM image of the as-prepared AuNPs. (B) UV–vis spectroscopy of G-quadruplex-modified AuNPs (curve a) and AuNPs (curve b). Inset: the image of G-quadruplex-modified AuNPs (a) and AuNPs (b) after addition of 0.1 M NaCl. (C) Native PAGE analysis of proximity hybridization process. Lane 1: the low molecular DNA ladder; lane 2: P3–Output; lane 3: P4; lane 4: S1–S2; lane 5: S1–Output; lane 6: the mixture of S1–S2 and Output; lane 7: the mixture of P3–Output, P4, and S1–S2; lane 8: the mixture of P3–Output, P4, S1–S2, and H1. (D) EIS analysis of unmodified electrode (a), duplex probe and MCH self-assembly (b), treatment by target protein (c), and hybridization of G-quadruplex–hemin AuNPs (d). Inset exhibits the Randle equivalent circuit: the solution resistance (R_s), the double layer capacitance (C_dl), the charge-transfer resistance (R_ct), and the Warburg impedance (diffusion) element (W). (E) DPV of blank control (a), 1 nM PDGF-BB, with proximity hybridization and hybridization with G-quadruplex-modified AuNPs, without EXPAR (b), with proximity hybridization and EXPAR, but hybridization to the free G-quadruplex sequence (DNAzyme2) (c), and proximity hybridization-coupled EXPAR and hybridization with G-quadruplex-modified AuNPs (d).

**Abbreviations:** AuNPs, gold nanoparticles; DPV, differential pulse voltammetry; EIS, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy; EXPAR, exponential amplification reaction; MCH, mercapto-1-hexanol; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; PDGF-BB, platelet-derived growth factor BB; TEM, transmission electron microscope.
of S1–S2 duplex and the length of P2–P1 complementary parts (sequences involved are listed in Table S1). According to the results shown in Figure S2, 12 bp/10 bp was chosen for the arched structure and 11 bp was chosen for both P1–Output and P1–P2. Afterward, we investigated the effect of incubation time for the probe–target reaction on the DPV signal. As illustrated in Figure 2A, the peak currents rose with the increase in reaction time accordingly and reached plateau after 60 min. This result indicated the feasibility of the proximity hybridization and EXPAR process, while the plateau can be attributed to the end of reaction. In conclusion, 60 min was chosen for optimum condition.

As the immobilization concentration was also a key factor for the rate and efficiency of hybridization on the electrode surface. Various amounts of probes (0–1.0 μM) were evaluated for the electrochemical response toward 1 nM PDGF-BB under the optimized reaction time (Figure 2B). The higher concentration can give rise to higher DNA density, whereas the hybridizing efficiency decreased due to the steric hindrance effect, yielding relatively lower electrochemical response. Thus, 0.6 μM was chosen.

Detection performance of the assay
Various amounts of protein were measured under the optimized reaction condition to investigate the analytical performance of the method. Figure 3A illustrates that with the increase of target concentration from 0 to 5 nM, the reduction peak currents increased correspondingly, demonstrating

![Figure 2 Optimization of the detection strategy.](image)

**Notes:** (A) Evaluation of the reaction time for proximity hybridization-triggered EXPAR. (B) Effect of the self-assembling concentrations of probes on the performance of the biosensor for the detection of 1 nM target protein. The data represent the averages of three experiments.

**Abbreviation:** EXPAR, exponential amplification reaction.

![Figure 3 Detection performance of the assay.](image)

**Notes:** (A) DPV of various concentrations of PDGF-BB (a–h: 0, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1,000, 2,000, and 5,000 pM). (B) Relationship between the electrochemical responses and target concentrations. Inset: the linearity of reduction peak currents versus the logarithm of PDGF-BB concentrations (0.1–1,000 pM). Error bars were the standard deviation of three experiments.

**Abbreviations:** DPV, differential pulse voltammetry; PDGF-BB, platelet-derived growth factor BB.
Table 2: The comparison of our proximity hybridization-induced EXPAR strategy with other reported PDGF-BB assay methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay strategy</th>
<th>Detection method</th>
<th>Linear range</th>
<th>Detection limit</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One–two–three-cascaded amplification</td>
<td>SERS</td>
<td>1 pM to 10 nM</td>
<td>420 fM</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity hybridization</td>
<td>Electrochemistry</td>
<td>500 fM to 10 nM</td>
<td>500 fM</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exonuclease III</td>
<td>Electrochemistry</td>
<td>0.1 fM to 1 nM</td>
<td>20 fM</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo/MBA AuNPs</td>
<td>SERS</td>
<td>1–50 pM</td>
<td>500 fM</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PET-AgNCS–G-quadruplex</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>0.5 pM to 10 nM</td>
<td>100 fM</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLAA</td>
<td>Fluorescence</td>
<td>0.25–8 nM</td>
<td>16 fM</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptamer-primed polymerase amplification</td>
<td>Electrochemistry</td>
<td>2–20 nM</td>
<td>0.73 pM</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity hybridization-induced isothermal EXPAR</td>
<td>Electrochemistry</td>
<td>0.1 pM to 1 nM</td>
<td>52 fM</td>
<td>Our work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: One–two–three-cascaded amplification: one target–aptamer-binding event, two hairpin DNA switches, and three DNA amplification reactions. PET-AgNCS–G-quadruplex: photoinduced electron transfer between DNA–Ag fluorescent nanoclusters and G-quadruplex/hemin.

Abbreviations: Apt/MBA, aptamer/4-mercaptobenzoic acid; AuNPs, gold nanoparticles; EXPAR, exponential amplification reaction; PDGF-BB, platelet-derived growth factor BB; SERS, surface-enhanced Raman scattering; TLAA, thrombin-linked aptamer assay.

that more PDGF-BB will lead more G-quadruplex–hemin to immobilize on the electrode. Figure 3B shows the relationship of peak currents and the concentrations of target. All measurements were repeated three times. The reduction peak currents were in linear relationship with the logarithm of PDGF-BB from 0.1 pM to 1 nM. The correlation coefficient was 0.9915 (Figure 3B). According to the 3rd method, the limit of detection was 52 fM for PDGF-BB. We also compared our method with other reported strategy, and the results are listed in Table 2. The outstanding performance of our biosensor endowed its great potential in early diagnosis of disease.

To investigate the specificity of the strategy proposed, different concentrations of PDGF-BB and other PDGF isoforms, PDGF-AA homodimer and PDGF-AB heterodimer, were detected under the optimized condition. Results shown in Figure 4 revealed that the peak currents of PDGF-AA and PDGF-AB were close to the value of blank control, which were much lower than that of PDGF-BB. The results confirmed our detecting principle that only PDGF-BB can promote the two probes to form proximity complex to trigger the strand displacement process. Notably, our method also exhibited good specificity even when the concentration of PDGF-BB was down to 10 pM. In addition, the strategy we proposed performed well in both the HEPES buffer and the diluted human serums even challenged with fivefold PDGF-AA and PDGF-AB (Figure S3).

**Real sample analysis**

We investigated the performance of the strategy proposed for protein detection in serum samples with standard addition method. A series of PDGF-BB solutions with different concentrations (10, 100, 500, and 1,000 pM) were prepared by adding certain amounts of target to the human serums diluted 100 times, and then, the electrochemical detection was conducted under the optimized condition. The results are shown in Table 3. The recovery ranged from 93.92 to 105.31%, and the relative standard deviation (RSD) was ≤5.38%, which implied that the electrochemical platform can be a promising alternate for analytical application in complex samples.

Table 3: Determination of spiked PDGF-BB in serum samples using the fabricated biosensor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added (pM)</th>
<th>Found (pM)*</th>
<th>RSD (%)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>9.34±0.43</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>93.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>96.27±5.18</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>96.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>526.55±21.17</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>105.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,038.50±33.75</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>103.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Values represented mean ± SD (n=3).

Abbreviations: PDGF-BB, platelet-derived growth factor BB; RSD, relative standard deviation.
Conclusion

We developed a biosensing platform based on proximity hybridization-induced EXPAR for the sensitive assay of PDGF-BB. The target protein can promote the formation of proximity complex and triggered the EXPAR process for recycling and regenerating Output sequences. After each binding and probe cleavage process, the DNAzyme-functionalyzed AuNPs can be immobilized on the electrode surface, which subsequently catalyzed the oxidation reaction to generate the electrochemical signal. The protocol we proposed provided a broad dynamic range and good sensitivity. Moreover, the bioassay proposed showed satisfying selectivity and anti-interference capability in complex serum samples. By changing the aptamer-associated sequences, this method could be expanded to detect other analytes, demonstrating great potential for biomarker assay in early clinical diagnosis.
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Supplementary materials
Experimental conditions and procedures for characterization
Transmission electron microscope (TEM)
TEM images of the gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) samples were taken using a JEOL 1200 EX TEM at 80 kV. AuNPs were dispersed in water and dropped onto copper grid before the images were acquired using an AMT 2K CC Camera.

UV–vis spectroscopy
The as-prepared AuNPs or DNA-modified AuNPs (100 μL) were characterized with UV–vis spectroscopy (UV1800PC) in a quartz cell with wavelength from 350 to 700 nm.

Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)
Proximity hybridization-triggered EXPAR was assessed with 18% native PAGE. The gels were freshly prepared. Before loading, DNA samples were mixed with DNA-loading buffer (6×) on a volume ratio of 5:1. A potential of 85 V was applied for gel electrophoresis. After separation, gels were stained using 4S Red Plus for 15 min and imaged by digital imaging system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)
The parameters for EIS were as shown below:
- Init E (V): 0.247
- High frequency (Hz): 100,000
- Low frequency (Hz): 0.01
- Amplitude (V): 0.005
- Quiet time (s): 2

Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV)
The parameters for DPV were as shown below:
- Init E (V): 0.45
- Final E (V): 0.18
- Incr E (V): 0.004
- Amplitude (V): 0.05
- First pulse width (s): 0.2
- Second pulse width (s): 0.05
- Sampling width (s): 0.0167
- Pulse period (s): 0.5
- Quiet time (s): 2
- Sensitivity (A/V): 1e−5

Native PAGE characterization
Native PAGE analysis is shown in Figure S1.

![Native PAGE analysis of proximity hybridization-triggered EXPAR.](image)

**Notes:** Lane 1: the low molecular DNA ladder; lane 2: P3–Output; lane 3: S1–S2; lane 4: S1–Output. Lanes 5–6 were the enzymatic reaction results in the condition of 32 U Bst DNA polymerase, 20 U nicking endonuclease, 400 μM dNTPs, 2 μM primer. Lane 5: the mixture of P3–Output, P4, and S1–S2; lane 6: the mixture of P3–Output, P4, S1–S2, and H1.

**Abbreviations:** dNTPs, deoxynucleotides; EXPAR, exponential amplification reaction; PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.
Elimination of nonspecific reaction

To eliminate the nonspecific displacement, we optimized the structure of S1–S2 duplex and the length of P1–Output complementary parts. As S1–S2 formed an arched structure with two stems and a loop in the middle, we fixed one stem to be 12 bp and the other stem varied from 8 to 14 bp. After incubation on the gold electrode surface (0.5 μM was chosen), 10 nM Output was added to the reaction system to proceed the duplex cleavage process. At last, the electrode was incubated with G-quadruplex-modified AuNPs and electrochemical signals were obtained from the reduction of oxidized 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB). Figure S2A shows that 10 bp yielded the largest signal/background ratio. While the shorter stem will lead to the nonspecific cleavage of the arched structure, the longer stem will make it hard to cleavage even after the hybridization of Output. Finally, 12 and 10 bp were chosen for the arched structure in this system.

Another important factor to affect the nonspecific reaction was the length of P1–Output complementary part. First, we fixed the complementary part of P2-P1 11 nt, and increased the length of P1–Output from 9 to 13 bp. In principle, shorter P2 was thermodynamically unfavored to displace a longer Output from P1 and, thus, should be able to suppress background signal. Figure S2B shows that the maximal signal-to-background was obtained at 11 nt.

Sequences of involved oligonucleotides are listed in Table S1.

Specificity investigation

We investigated the selectivity of the method that we proposed in different buffers with the addition of fivefold PDGF-AA or PDGF-AB. The results are shown in Figure S3.

Table S1 Sequences of involved oligonucleotides for the elimination of target-independent reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5′-3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output-9</td>
<td>ATCTTGAGTACAAAAACTAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output-10</td>
<td>CATCTTGAGTACAAAAACTAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output-12</td>
<td>ACCATCTTGAGTACAAAAACTAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output-13</td>
<td>AACCATCTTGAGTACAAAAACTAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1–8</td>
<td>CTCTCTTATGTTTTGACCTCTCAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2–8</td>
<td>SH(−(CH₃)₆−TTTTTTGTGAGCTACACTAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1–12</td>
<td>CTCTCTTATGTTTTGACCTCAGCTCAACTCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2–12</td>
<td>SH(−(CH₃)₆−TTTTTTGTGAGCTACACTAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1–14</td>
<td>CTCTCTTATGTTTTGACCTCAGCTCAACTCTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2–14</td>
<td>SH(−(CH₃)₆−TTTTTTGTGAGCTACACTAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S2 Optimization of the proximity hybridization-mediated isothermal exponential amplification to minimize the target-independent strand displacement.

Notes: (A) Effects of the length difference of the duplex stem. (B) Evaluation of the length of P1–Output complementary part. The detection system was 50 μL CuSmart Buffer reaction system, containing 32 U Bst DNA polymerase, 20 U nicking endonuclease, 400 μM dNTPs, 2 μM primer, 1 μM P1–Output, 1 μM P2, and 1 nm of PDGF-BB for 60 min at 30°C.

Abbreviations: dNTPs, deoxyribonucleotides; PDGF-BB, platelet-derived growth factor BB.
Figure S3 The specificity investigation of our method in HEPES buffer and diluted human serum (100-fold).

Notes: PDGF-BB was 500 pM, and PDGF-AA and PDGF-BB were 2.5 nM. The detection system was 50 μL CuoSmart Buffer reaction system, containing 32 U Bst DNA polymerase, 20 U nicking endonuclease, 400 μM dNTPs, 2 μM primer, 1 μM P1–Output, and 1 μM P2 for 60 min at 30°C.

Abbreviations: dNTPs, deoxynucleotides; PDGF-AA, platelet-derived growth factor AA; PDGF-BB, platelet-derived growth factor BB.