Skin condition and its relationship to systemic inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
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Background: The systemic (extrapulmonary) effects and comorbidities of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) contribute substantially to its burden. The supposed link between COPD and its systemic effects on distal organs could be due to the low-grade systemic inflammation. The aim of this study was to investigate whether the systemic inflammation may influence the skin condition in COPD patients.

Materials and methods: Forty patients with confirmed diagnosis of COPD and a control group consisting of 30 healthy smokers and 20 healthy never-smokers were studied. Transepidermal water loss, stratum corneum hydration, skin sebum content, melanin index, erythema index, and skin temperature were measured with worldwide-acknowledged biophysical measuring methods at the volar forearm of all participants using a multifunctional skin physiology monitor. Biomarkers of systemic inflammation, including high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), were measured in serum using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.

Results: There were significant differences between COPD patients and healthy never-smokers in skin temperature, melanin index, sebum content, and hydration level (P<0.05), but not for transepidermal water loss and erythema index. No significant difference was noted between COPD patients and smokers in any of the biophysical properties of the skin measured. The mean levels of hsCRP and IL-6 in serum were significantly higher in COPD patients and healthy smokers in comparison with healthy never-smokers. There were significant correlations between skin temperature and serum hsCRP (R=0.40; P=0.02) as well as skin temperature and serum IL-6 (R=0.49; P=0.005) in smokers. Stratum corneum hydration correlated significantly with serum TNF-α (R=0.37; P=0.01) in COPD patients.

Conclusion: Differences noted in several skin biophysical properties and biomarkers of systemic inflammation between COPD patients, smokers, and healthy never-smokers may suggest a possible link between smoking-driven, low-grade systemic inflammation, and the overall skin condition.
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Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major cause of global morbidity and mortality and remains one of the greatest public health concerns. Many patients with COPD have concomitant chronic diseases linked to the same risk factors, mainly smoking and aging. In addition to the pulmonary features of COPD, many of its systemic effects have been well recognized. More than 30% of COPD patients...
have one additional chronic disease and another 40% have
two or more comorbidities. Inflammatory mediators in
the circulation (the “spill-over” theory) may contribute
to skeletal muscle wasting, cachexia, and may initiate or
worsen common COPD comorbidities such as ischemic
heart disease, heart failure, osteoporosis, anemia, diabetes,
and metabolic syndrome. These comorbidities result in
impaired functional capacity, a decrease in quality of life,
increased risk of hospitalizations, mortality, and significantly
increased COPD-related healthcare costs. There is also
a group of underrecognized COPD extrapulmonary mani
festations, which include rhinosinusitis, dermatologic and
ophthalmologic abnormalities, endocrinological disorders,
or gastroesophageal reflux disease. These conditions may
not always be fully recognized due to their relatively low
clinical significance, data ambiguity, or inefficient mention
in the literature.

Skin is a multifunctional organ in the body acting as a pro-
tective physical barrier by absorbing UV radiation, preventing
microorganism invasion, chemical penetration, and controlling
the passage of water and electrolytes. In addition, skin has a
major role in thermoregulation of the body as well as complex
immunological, sensory, and autonomic functions.

The data on skin condition in COPD are scarce. Studies
confirm the association between smoking and premature
aging of the skin. It has been shown that cigarette smoking is
an independent risk factor for the development of premature
facial wrinkling and that facial wrinkling is associated with
COPD in smokers, and both disease processes may share a
common susceptibility.

The aim of this study was to compare the biophysical
characteristics of the skin and serum levels of biomarkers of
systemic inflammation in COPD subjects, healthy smokers,
and never-smokers. In addition, we investigated whether
skin condition in healthy smokers and COPD subjects is
affected by systemic inflammation and whether it is linked
to smoking.

Materials and methods
Study population
A total of 90 volunteers including a cohort of 40 COPD
patients, 30 healthy smokers with a minimum of 10 pack-
years history of smoking, and 20 healthy never-smokers
were examined. This study was approved by the ethics com-
nittee of the Medical University of Lodz and was carried
out according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles. All
participants provided written informed consent before any
study procedures were performed.

Methods
All study participants underwent clinical assessments
including detailed medical history, physical examination,
evaluation of biophysical skin variables, and spirometry.
In addition, COPD subjects self-evaluated disease-specific
symptoms with modified Medical Research Council (mMRC)
dyspnea scale and the COPD Assessment Test (CAT).
Physical capacity impairment was measured using the
6-minute walk test (6MWT). On the basis of available data,
body mass index, airway obstruction, dyspnea, and exercise
capacity (BODE) index for each of the COPD subjects was
also calculated.

Biophysical skin properties
Transdermal water loss (TEWL), stratum corneum hydra-
tion, skin sebum content, melanin index, erythema index,
and skin temperature were measured at the volar forearm
of all participants using a multifunctional skin physiology
monitor (Courage & Khazaka electronic GmbH, Cologne,
Germany). This multiprobe adapter system consists of
respective probes: TEWAmeter, corneometer, sebumeter,
mexameter, and skin thermometer. The measurement of
TEWL by TEWAmeter® TM 300 is based on the diffusion in
an open chamber and is measured as g/m²/h. Corneometer®
CM 825 uses the high dielectric constant of water for analyzing
the water-related changes in the electrical capacitance of
the skin. It provides hydration measurements in system-
specific arbitrary units. Sebumeter® SM 815 uses the
difference of light intensity through a plastic strip to indicate
the amount of absorbed sebum. The sebum level is expressed
in µg/cm². Mexameter® MX 18 calculates melanin index
from the strength of the absorbed and the reflected light
at 660 and 880 nm, respectively, and similarly, erythema
index at 568 and 660 nm, respectively. Skin-Thermometer
ST 500 was used to measure the skin temperature. The
measurement is based on relative infrared temperature
measurement.

All participants were asked to wash their hands with
water only and not to use any soap or detergent or cosmetic
products for at least 12 hours prior to measurements so that
they would not affect measured biophysical skin parameters.
Before skin measurements, participants rested for 30 minutes
in a room with climate control having a temperature of
20°C–24°C and relative humidity of 30%–40% according to
the recommendations of the manufacturer. The same trained
investigator performed measurements of all skin variables
between 8 a.m. and 12 p.m. to minimize time-dependent
variations of skin biophysical characteristics.
Spirometry
Spirometry assessment was performed using Lungtest 1000 spirometer (MES, Krakow, Poland) according to American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society guidelines.16 Postbronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC), and FEV₁/FVC% were evaluated and recorded.

The CAT
CAT is a simple, validated health status instrument for patients with COPD. The self-administered questionnaire consists of eight items assessing various manifestations of COPD and global impact of the disease on health status. It is a simple quantified measure of health-related quality of life. Range of CAT scores is from 0 to 40. A decrease in CAT score represents an improvement in health status, whereas an increase in CAT score represents a worsening in health status.17

The mMRC dyspnea scale
mMRC is a five-level rating scale based on the patient’s perception of dyspnea in daily activities. It consists of five statements that describe the entire range of dyspnea from none (Grade 0) to almost complete incapacity (Grade 4).18

The 6MWT
The 6MWT is used for the evaluation of functional exercise capacity in patients with chronic respiratory diseases. In this study, 6MWT was performed using the methodology specified by the Polish Respiratory Society guidelines.19 Briefly, all COPD patients were instructed to walk as far as possible during 6 minutes. The 6MWT was performed in a flat, long, covered corridor which was 30 m long, meter-by-meter marked. When the test was finished, the distance covered by each was calculated.

BODE index
This multidimensional scoring system for COPD patients evaluates body mass index (BMI), measure of airflow obstruction (FEV₁ % predicted), dyspnea score (grade in mMRC scale), and exercise capacity (distance covered in 6MWT). This composite marker of disease takes into consideration the systemic nature of COPD and is used to predict long-term outcomes in this population.20

Biomarkers of systemic inflammation
High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) were measured in serum using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA, R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Data were expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) unless otherwise stated. Normality of data distribution was tested with Shapiro–Wilk test. Normally distributed data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a post hoc Tukey test. Non-normally distributed data were analyzed with Kruskal–Wallis test with the post hoc Dunn’s test. Correlations were analyzed with Pearson correlation coefficient. Significance was accepted at P<0.05.

Results
Characteristics of participants
Summary of characteristics of study participants is shown in Table 1. COPD patients were older than the control group and had greater mean smoking exposure than healthy smokers group. The mean time since diagnosis of COPD was 7.05±1.00 years and mean FEV₁ was 61.98%±2.76% of predicted value. COPD patients were symptomatic with a mean CAT score of 15.8±1.18 points.

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Never-smokers</th>
<th>Smokers</th>
<th>COPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>11/19</td>
<td>22/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>56.8±2.14*</td>
<td>59.7±1.72*</td>
<td>67.40±1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since diagnosis (years)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.05±1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking exposure (pack-years)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>27.56±2.43*</td>
<td>41.99±2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (current smokers/ex-smokers)</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>30/17</td>
<td>17/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (l)</td>
<td>3.06±0.13*</td>
<td>2.88±0.11*</td>
<td>1.52±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁ (% predicted)</td>
<td>101.90±2.92*</td>
<td>96.62±4.01*</td>
<td>61.98±2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC%</td>
<td>77.69±1.43*</td>
<td>75.35±1.04*</td>
<td>52.49±1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.23±0.80</td>
<td>27.72±0.68</td>
<td>28.39±1.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWT (m)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>388.6±11.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT (score)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15.8±1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMRC (median, min–max)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1, 0–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODE index (median, min–max)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1, 0–6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P<0.001 vs COPD. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM or number.
Abbreviations: 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; BMI, body mass index; BODE, BMI, airflow obstruction, dyspnea, exercise capacity; CAT, COPD assessment test; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale; N/A, not available; SEM, standard error of mean.
Biophysical skin properties

The mean and standard error of mean (SEM) of measured biophysical skin parameters in all participants are shown in Figure 1. Skin temperature was significantly lower in healthy never-smokers (29.46°C±0.16°C) compared with healthy smokers (30.18°C±0.16°C; P<0.01) and COPD subjects (30.15°C±0.13°C; P<0.01). Stratum corneum hydration was higher in never-smokers (43.60±0.97) compared with smokers (38.35±1.66; P<0.05) and COPD subjects (34.91±1.08; P<0.001). Mean skin melanin level was elevated in COPD subjects (150.2±4.95) compared with never-smokers (128.2±4.47; P<0.05). Mean sebum level was lower in COPD (0.58±0.16) compared with never-smokers (0.67±0.06; P<0.05). Erythema index and TEWL did not differ significantly between studied groups.

Correlations of biophysical skin variables with clinical measures

We found that in COPD subjects TEWL correlated negatively with the number of pack-years (R=-0.33; P=0.03), time since diagnosis (R=-0.31; P=0.04), and BODE index (R=-0.35; P=0.02). Skin temperature correlated positively with BODE index (R=0.36; P=0.02) while erythema index correlated negatively with BMI (R=-0.46; P=0.002) in COPD group.

Figure 1 Biophysical skin parameters in never-smokers, smokers, and COPD subjects.

Notes: Panels showing measured biophysical skin parameters: (A) skin temperature, (B) melanin index, (C) erythema index, (D) sebum, (E) stratum corneum hydration, and (F) TEWL. **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.

Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TEWL, transepidermal water loss.
as shown in Table 2. None of the biophysical skin variables measured correlated with age in any of the groups studied (data not shown). The most relevant and significant correlations between biophysical skin variables and clinical measures in COPD subjects are shown in Figure 2.

### Biomarkers of systemic inflammation

Serum hsCRP and IL-6 levels were significantly lower in never-smokers (2.04±6.328.8 ng/mL and 0.85±0.2 pg/mL, respectively) compared with smokers (5.907±1.155 ng/mL, P<0.05 and 2.54±0.35 pg/mL, P<0.01, respectively) as well as COPD subjects (14.71±5.4780 ng/mL, P<0.001 and 6.08±1.37 pg/mL, P<0.001, respectively). There were no differences in TNF-α levels between studied groups.

### Correlations of biophysical skin variables with biomarkers of systemic inflammation

We found significant correlations between skin temperature and serum hsCRP (R=0.40; P=0.02) as well as skin temperature and serum IL-6 (R=0.49; P=0.005) in smokers. Stratum corneum hydration correlated significantly with serum TNF-α (R=0.37; P=0.01) in COPD subjects. There were also significant negative correlations between erythema and serum IL-6 (R=−0.36; P=0.04) in smokers as well as erythema and serum TNF-α (R=−0.27; P=0.08) in COPD subjects. All correlations of biophysical skin variables with biomarkers of systemic inflammation are shown in Table 3. The graphical presentation of the most relevant and significant correlations between biophysical skin variables and biomarkers of systemic inflammation in smokers is shown in Figure 2.

### Discussion

The complex structure of human skin and its biophysical characteristics turn it into an effective first-line defense against exogenous factors and help maintain homeostasis. This role is played by the epidermal barrier in which the corneal layer of epidermis has a particularly important function to perform. The condition of the epidermal barrier depends on its properties such as the amount of sebum produced, epidermis hydration, TEWL, or skin surface pH.31

To our knowledge, our study is the first to demonstrate that skin biophysical properties deteriorate in COPD compared with healthy subjects and this phenomenon is caused by systemic inflammation triggered by cigarette smoke.

### Skin temperature

Skin temperature is an important physiological measure that can reflect the presence of illness or injury and provide insight into the localized interactions between the body and the external environment. The human body retains tight thermoregulatory control about a set temperature of ~37°C, with life-threatening complications arising with core temperature increases as small as 3°C.32 It is well known that exogenous pyrogens stimulate response of cytokine cascade in circulation, which are endogenous mediators of fever.33 Among all cytokines that are measurable in blood during lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced fever, circulating levels of IL-6 show the best correlation with the febrile changes of body temperature.34,35 In our study, skin temperature was significantly higher in smokers and COPD patients when compared with never-smokers (Figure 1), and it was accompanied by increased hsCRP and IL-6 serum concentrations. However, these markers of systemic inflammation correlated positively with skin temperature in smokers only which may suggest that systemic inflammatory response affects skin condition even in the absence of lung injury. Nevertheless, BODE index, a composite marker of severity of COPD, also correlated with skin temperature indicating that skin condition deteriorates in COPD patients as the disease progresses.30

### Melanin index

Melanin is one of the pigments that determine the skin color.36 In our study, skin melanin index was significantly higher...
Figure 2  Graphical representation of the most relevant and significant correlations between biophysical skin variables and clinical measures in COPD subjects (A–E), and biomarkers of systemic inflammation in smokers (F and G).

Notes: Panels showing correlation between: (A) TEWL and pack-years in COPD subjects, (B) TEWL and time since diagnosis in COPD subjects, (C) TEWL and BODE index in COPD subjects, (D) skin temperature and BODE index in COPD subjects, (E) erythema and BMI in COPD subjects, (F) skin temperature and serum hsCRP in smokers, and (G) skin temperature and serum IL-6 in smokers.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BODE, BMI, airway obstruction, dyspnea, exercise capacity; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin-6; TEWL, transepidermal water loss.
in the COPD cohort when compared with never-smokers group. The most important factor influencing skin pigmentation is sun exposure. There was no observed correlation between melanin index and age of study participants, which is in agreement with previous studies. However, it cannot be ruled out that measurements of melanin index in study participants in different seasons are responsible for observed skin pigmentation variations.

### Erythema index

Quantification of erythema and pigmentation is useful for the analysis of skin tests and management of skin diseases. Erythema is the most common presenting sign in patients with skin diseases. We found no significant differences in erythema index between the groups; however, erythema index correlated negatively with BMI in COPD subjects. Low BMI in COPD is associated with increased mortality and reduced health status, quality of life, and exercise capacity, independently of airflow limitation. Thus, increased skin erythema may reflect worse overall condition of COPD patients.

### Sebum

Sebum forms a type of insulation against excessive humidity and variations of ambient temperature. In our study, skin sebum level was significantly higher in COPD subjects in comparison with never-smokers. We did not observe any correlation between sebum level and age in any of the study groups, which is in agreement with findings of previous studies examining the relationship between skin sebum and age. In contrast, in a report from Switzerland, skin sebum level has been shown to decrease with age. Recent data suggest that inflammatory mediators may play a more important role than previously realized in the pathogenesis of skin diseases characterized by excessive production of sebum, for instance, acne vulgaris. It is possible that increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines generated in COPD and other chronic inflammatory conditions may trigger sebaceous glands to produce excess sebum. Increased sebum production may also be induced by nicotine, which stimulates sebocyte proliferation and lipid production.

### Stratum corneum hydration

The integrity of the epidermal barrier protects the skin against the excessive loss of water and maintains the correct hydration of epidermis. We found that stratum corneum hydration was significantly reduced in smokers and COPD subjects in comparison with never-smokers. Similar to other skin variables measured in our study, we did not find a correlation between skin hydration and age in any of the studied populations. This is in line with findings of other investigations. However, another study report did find a significant relationship between skin hydration and age. Although there is a substantial body of evidence describing skin inflammation and processes that lead to its clinical manifestations, there are no data on immunologic activity that occurs in the absence of any visual inflammatory cues. It is feasible that inflammation itself may be able to induce a functional skin barrier dysfunction. Therefore, low-grade systemic inflammation and oxidative stress generated in smokers and of greater degree in COPD subjects may impair epidermal permeability barrier function resulting in lower levels of skin hydration. This is in contrast to recent findings in a population of Chinese smokers which demonstrated significantly higher stratum corneum hydration on the forehead in light smokers (<20 cigarettes a day) than in nonsmokers. Authors of this research attribute a high skin hydration on sebaceous gland-enriched sites (eg, forehead) of smokers to increased sebum production.

### Table 3 Correlations of biophysical skin variables with biomarkers of systemic inflammation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum biomarkers</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Melanin</th>
<th>Erythema</th>
<th>Sebum</th>
<th>Hydration</th>
<th>TEWL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Never-smokers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP</td>
<td>R=0.27; P=0.24</td>
<td>R=0.01; P=0.95</td>
<td>R=0.12; P=0.58</td>
<td>R=0.29; P=0.2</td>
<td>R=0.3; P=0.18</td>
<td>R=0.3; P=0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>R=0.42; P=0.06</td>
<td>R=0.38; P=0.09</td>
<td>R=0.11; P=0.09</td>
<td>R=0.16; P=0.47</td>
<td>R=0.12; P=0.59</td>
<td>R=0.01; P=0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>R=0.30; P=0.19</td>
<td>R=0.22; P=0.35</td>
<td>R=0.11; P=0.62</td>
<td>R=0.08; P=0.72</td>
<td>R=0.03; P=0.88</td>
<td>R=0.24; P=0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smokers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP</td>
<td>R=0.40; P=0.02</td>
<td>R=0.14; P=0.44</td>
<td>R=0.20; P=0.27</td>
<td>R=0.31; P=0.09</td>
<td>R=0.13; P=0.48</td>
<td>R=0.09; P=0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>R=0.49; P=0.005</td>
<td>R=0.02; P=0.89</td>
<td>R=0.36; P=0.04</td>
<td>R=0.26; P=0.15</td>
<td>R=0.03; P=0.85</td>
<td>R=0.15; P=0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>R=0.2; P=0.26</td>
<td>R=0.09; P=0.61</td>
<td>R=0.05; P=0.79</td>
<td>R=0.2; P=0.61</td>
<td>R=0.03; P=0.86</td>
<td>R=0.22; P=0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COPD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP</td>
<td>R=0.01; P=0.52</td>
<td>R=0.13; P=0.4</td>
<td>R=0.24; P=0.40</td>
<td>R=0.02; P=0.85</td>
<td>R=0.16; P=0.31</td>
<td>R=0.01; P=0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>R=0.21; P=0.18</td>
<td>R=0.02; P=0.86</td>
<td>R=0.03; P=0.83</td>
<td>R=0.02; P=0.89</td>
<td>R=0.09; P=0.56</td>
<td>R=0.03; P=0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>R=0.04; P=0.78</td>
<td>R=0.24; P=0.12</td>
<td>R=0.27; P=0.08</td>
<td>R=0.01; P=0.92</td>
<td>R=0.37; P=0.01</td>
<td>R=0.07; P=0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin-6; TEWL, transepidermal water loss; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α.
to increased sebum production induced by nicotine.\textsuperscript{39} In the same study, authors showed lower stratum corneum hydration on sebum-impoverished site (dorsal hand) of heavy smokers (\textgeq20 cigarettes a day). Variations of measurements of skin hydration in our study and the study performed in Chinese population may also be due to the site of assessment and ethnicity. All measurements in our study were done at the volar forearm, while authors of discussed study collected data on the dorsal hand, forehead, and cheek. Previous studies showed variations in several biophysical properties of the skin among different skin locations.\textsuperscript{34} However, considering forearm as a sebum-impoverished site, our findings seem to be concordant with those from Chinese population study.\textsuperscript{39}

**TEWL**

Water loss through epidermis is described by TEWL value and affects the level of epidermis moisture.\textsuperscript{12} TEWL is a parameter reflecting the integrity of epidermis water layer and is a very sensitive indicator of the epidermis barrier damage.\textsuperscript{7} In our study, TEWL was not different among studied groups of subjects and age did not show any significant effect on TEWL. A negative correlation between age and TEWL has been reported in several studies.\textsuperscript{33,40,41} However, other studies found no correlation between these two parameters.\textsuperscript{34,42} No difference in TEWL between never-smokers, smokers, and COPD subjects noted in our study is concordant with findings from a recent study performed in heavy cigarette smokers in a Chinese population.\textsuperscript{39} While there were no differences in basal TEWL between smokers and nonsmokers, permeability barrier recovery (after barrier disruption) was delayed in heavy smokers in comparison to nonsmokers. Permeability barrier recovery rates correlated negatively with the extent of cigarettes consumption. These results indicate that while basal permeability barrier function remains unchanged, heavy cigarette smokers display altered epidermal permeability barrier homeostasis. The authors conclude that these findings suggest the pathogenic role of cigarette smoking in skin condition.\textsuperscript{39} We found that in COPD subjects, TEWL also correlated negatively with the cigarette consumption, time since disease diagnosis, and BODE index. It is plausible that higher TEWL levels at early stages of disease are due to better epidermal hydration, which becomes reduced as the disease progresses. However, in contrast to our results, others found higher basal TEWL levels in cigarette smokers than in nonsmokers.\textsuperscript{43} This discrepancy with our data could be attributed to measurements collected from a different body region. While Muizzuddin et al measured TEWL on the cheek,\textsuperscript{43} our cohort and subjects from Chinese population\textsuperscript{39} had TEWL assessed on the forearm.

This study has several limitations, the most important being the difference in age between COPD patients and healthy smokers and never-smokers. Physiological aging could be responsible for changes in skin biophysical parameters. Although available data on this subject can be conflicting, we cannot exclude that the age difference could have biased the results. However, in our study none of the biophysical skin variables correlated with age. Comorbidities and systemic treatments are also important factors that could possibly influence obtained results. Another limitation is a small sample size. Despite the aforementioned limitations, the novel nature of our results fills a void in the literature. Studies including larger, age-balanced patient cohorts are warranted to determine the extent of skin abnormalities in COPD.

**Conclusion**

Experimental evaluation of serum inflammatory cytokines in our study suggests that smoking itself leads to the development of systemic inflammation, which in turn affects skin functions, observed in smokers and COPD patients. This hypothesis is supported by the lack of difference between COPD patients and smokers in any of the examined biophysical properties of the skin.
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