Effects of hearing loss on speech recognition under distracting conditions and working memory in the elderly
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Purpose: The current study aimed to evaluate hearing-related changes in terms of speech-in-noise processing, fast-rate speech processing, and working memory; and to identify which of these three factors is significantly affected by age-related hearing loss.

Methods: One hundred subjects aged 65–84 years participated in the study. They were classified into four groups ranging from normal hearing to moderate-to-severe hearing loss. All the participants were tested for speech perception in quiet and noisy conditions and for speech perception with time alteration in quiet conditions. Forward- and backward-digit span tests were also conducted to measure the participants’ working memory.

Results: 1) As the level of background noise increased, speech perception scores systematically decreased in all the groups. This pattern was more noticeable in the three hearing-impaired groups than in the normal hearing group. 2) As the speech rate increased faster, speech perception scores decreased. A significant interaction was found between speed of speech and hearing loss. In particular, 30% of compressed sentences revealed a clear differentiation between moderate hearing loss and moderate-to-severe hearing loss. 3) Although all the groups showed a longer span on the forward-digit span test than the backward-digit span test, there was no significant difference as a function of hearing loss.

Conclusion: The degree of hearing loss strongly affects the speech recognition of babble-masked and time-compressed speech in the elderly but does not affect the working memory. We expect these results to be applied to appropriate rehabilitation strategies for hearing-impaired elderly who experience difficulty in communication.
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Introduction

Age-related hearing loss is the third most common chronic disease of the elderly and steadily increases in >60% of people aged >80 years.1 Age-related hearing loss is typically characterized by missed or distorted sounds mainly in the high frequencies, such as >2,000 Hz, which provide important information for human communication.2 Many investigators have argued that hearing impairment is brought about by age-related changes in the peripheral auditory system3,4 or age-related degeneration in central auditory and cognitive processing.5,6 Indeed, these factors may also contribute to the problem.7,8 In general, auditory and cognitive functions decline with age, which might negatively affect speech recognition in many elderly people.2,9 The difficulties in speech recognition resulting from age-related hearing loss and cognitive slowing are most noticeable under noisy and fast-speech conditions,10 consequently resulting in
If the degree of hearing loss is added, the aging effect is associated with deteriorated ability to recognize noise-masked speech cues. As a result, the elderly find it stressful or tiring to talk with their family and friends under environmental noise. Furthermore, elderly listeners showed degraded temporal processing on fast-rate speech conditions (ie, temporal resolution, integration, and ordering). With limitations in the executive functions of the central system, their temporal processing deficit may cause difficulties in understanding speech when presentation rates are rapid; hence, hearing loss is an additional impediment to fast-speech recognition among the elderly.

At the same time, working memory is one factor of cognitive functions for speech processing in elderly listeners with normal hearing as well as in unaided and aided hearing-impaired listeners. It is crucial in auditory processing of speech because the intermediate information of comprehension must be kept active until a listener is able to understand the speech. Vaughan and Letowski explained that slow cognitive function may cause a reduction in the ability to perform the working memory task to analyze the upcoming acoustic signals and make full use of its storage capacity. Cohen agreed with this opinion in that several steps of the peripheral and central auditory systems required for speech recognition may exceed the capacity of working memory and have a negative effect on elderly people’s experience.

An important finding by Light et al proposed that age-related deficits in working memory are associated with age-related decrements in the listening comprehension of discourse spoken even under ideal conditions. Thus, such working memory deficits in elderly listeners with some degree of hearing loss can result from impoverished linguistic input. Since hearing loss adversely affects the function of working memory responsible for the processing and storage of speech information needed to complete cognitive tasks, we might anticipate age-related deficits from the capacity of working memory to differentiate speech perceptual results, depending on the degree of hearing loss.

Numerous studies have demonstrated an aging effect on speech recognition, but they have not explored the effect of hearing loss in the elderly. In other words, elderly listeners with different hearing loss do not have the same difficulty in understanding speech. For example, an elderly person with mild hearing loss might not have a problem in a fast-speech environment, but could have considerable difficulty in a noisy one. Furthermore, little attention has been paid to mild and moderate hearing loss, although signs of age-related hearing loss are usually expected with this degree of loss. Again, it is important to scrutinize how age-related hearing loss can result in proportionate difficulty in understanding speech among the elderly. The present study aimed to assess hearing-related changes in speech recognition based on three factors — speech in noise, fast-rate speech, and working memory — and to identify which of these factors is strongly affected by presbycusis.

Methods
Participants
Elderly people recruited from the Chuncheon community participated voluntarily in this study. After being administered the Korean version of the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE-K), only the people who met the normal criterion of MMSE-K (ie, a minimum score of 25 out of 30) were included. These participants reported no experience of ear surgery or any age-related chronic disease. Consequently, 100 elderly subjects (54 males and 46 females) participated. Their average age was 74.68 years (range: 65–84 years). Tympanometry and pure-tone audiometry were tested for hearing screening, and then the subjects were divided into four groups based on their average threshold of 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 kHz, respectively.

Among them, 26, 24, 28, and 22 subjects were designated as normal hearing, mild, moderate, and moderate-to-severe sensorineural hearing loss, respectively. Fourteen had worn hearing aids for <3 years: 4 for moderate and 10 for moderate-to-severe hearing loss. The four groups comprised 13, 16, 14, and 12 male participants, and the average age of each group was 75.00±5.43, 74.96±5.61, 74.57±5.09, and 75.18±5.58 years, respectively. Although gender was not equally distributed, there was no significant difference of age across the groups (F(3, 96)=2.061, P=0.111). Figure 1 shows
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the hearing threshold as a function of frequency for the four groups. All subjects signed an informed consent form before participating in the experiments. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Hallym University.

**Stimuli**

To evaluate speech perception under noise conditions, the Korean Speech Perception in Noise (KSPIN) was performed at the most comfortable level (MCL) for each subject with no noise (ie, quiet condition) and with two levels of noise composed of 20 multi-talkers’ babble (ie, +3 and 0 dB signal-to-noise ratios, SNRs). The presentation level of the KSPIN was initially set to the subject’s MCL in quiet and then adjusted under noise so that the sentences were equally loud independent of SNR. The test consisted of 40 sentences from a list and examined each condition. Sentence materials of Korean Speech Audiometry (KSA) were provided to measure speech perception ability under the fast-rate speech condition. To create two time-compressed conditions, 15% and 30%, Adobe Audition (v. 5.0; Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) was used. For the created conditions, the root mean square was applied to adjust the amplitude of each sentence, but the inherent characteristics of words, including fundamental frequencies, remained fixed. In the measurements of speech in noise and fast-rate speech, the sentences were produced by a female speaker recorded on a compact disk. Finally, the short-term and working memory capacity was assessed by the digit-forward and digit-backward span test. Both the tests consisted of a string of consecutive numbers from 0 to 9 in random order. One tester presented the test while maintaining a regular 1-second interval between number presentation.

**Experimental procedure**

The participants were tested for speech perception in noise using KSPIN sentences in quiet, noisy (+3 dB SNR), and very noisy (0 dB SNR) conditions. They were also tested for speech perception under three conditions for speech rating: normal (0% compression), slightly fast (15% compression), and fast (30% compression) using the KSA sentences. During both the measurements, the participants were asked to listen to sentences played on the CD and then repeat them. A trained audiologist calculated total scores as percentages. During the working memory capacity was measured by using forward- and backward-digit span in which the participants were asked to recall as many numbers as possible in order and in reverse order while listening to consecutive numbers. The test began with two to three consecutive numbers, increasing until the participant committed an error. The digit span defined the level at which 50% of the numbers was repeated. All three measurements were made by a presentation level of each subject’s MCL through a loud speaker located in 0 degree azimuth and 1 m distance from the subject. The speaker was connected to an audiometer (Model GSI 61; Grason-Stadler, Eden Prairie, MN, USA) in a sound isolation chamber.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 20; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). The within-subject factors were noise levels (quiet, +3, and +0 dB SNR), time compression (0%, 15%, and 30%), and digit span (forward- and backward-digit tests), and the between factor was four groups with hearing loss. To find the main effect and interaction between the factors, each factor was analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measure. If necessary, Scheffe post-hoc corrections were applied with multiple comparisons. The criterion used for statistical significance in this study was $P<0.05$.

**Results**

**Effect of speech perception in noise**

The ANOVA confirmed a significant main effect for degree of noise ($F(2, 192) =188.937, \ P<0.001$) and degree of hearing loss ($F(3, 96)=20.124, P=0.001$). In the degree of noise, the quiet condition (mean: 86.90, SD: 1.24) showed a significantly higher percentage of scores than the +3 dB SNR (mean: 60.45, SD: 2.35), which was significantly higher than that in the 0 dB SNR condition (mean: 44.42, SD: 2.41). For degree of hearing loss, normal hearing groups (mean: 82.63, SD: 3.58) showed significantly higher scores than the three hearing-impaired groups. The mild hearing-impaired group (mean: 68.28, SD: 3.01) did not differ significantly from the moderate group (mean: 57.76, SD: 3.22), but was significantly higher than the group with moderate-to-severe hearing loss (mean: 47.03, SD: 3.22). No significant difference was observed between the groups with moderate and moderate-to-severe hearing loss.

Figure 2 indicates the average correct percentage for sentence identification of the four groups in three conditions while providing results of the post hoc test. In the quiet condition, the normal hearing, mild, moderate, and moderate-to-severe groups had 93.41% (SD: 3.67), 91.54% (SD: 6.57), 85.62% (SD: 8.83), and 77.05% (SD: 18.87) perception scores ($P<0.05$), respectively. In the noise condition of +3 dB SNR, speech perception scores decreased in all the groups ($P<0.05$). For example, the four groups scored 83.65% (SD: 11.54), 65.71% (SD: 28.16), 51.43%
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Figure 2 Comparison of group mean for speech perception ability in quiet and +3 and 0 dB signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). As background noise increased, speech perception scores were dramatically decreased in the elderly while also displaying a significant difference in the perception scores within each condition.

Figure 3 Comparison of group mean for speech perception ability as a function of compression rate. Fast speech (ie, 15% and 30% compression) negatively affected speech recognition scores of elderly hearing-impaired listeners although there was no effect of hearing loss with the normal speech rate.

Effect of fast rate of speech

A significant main effect of compression rate \((F(2, 192) =18.719, P=0.001)\) and degree of hearing loss \((F(3, 96) =10.643, P=0.001)\) was observed. The normal speech rate (mean: 95.17, SD: 0.97) was significantly higher than the 15% compression (mean: 93.43, SD: 0.90), which was significantly higher than the 30% compression (mean: 87.91, SD: 1.68). In the level of hearing loss, both normal hearing (mean: 98.80, SD: 2.21) and mild hearing loss groups (mean: 95.57, SD: 1.86) showed significantly higher scores than the moderate-to-severe group (mean: 85.76, SD: 1.99). However, there was no significant difference among the other groups.

Figure 3 illustrates bar graphs for the mean of the total perception scores of the three hearing-impaired groups dramatically decreased with increased noise conditions.

Effect of working memory

To examine the significance of the main effect for working memory, ANOVA was conducted on digit span \((F(1, 96) =124.501, P=0.001)\). The forward-digit span
normal hearing and mild hearing loss groups did not differ in their scores. In a quiet environment, performance of the hearing, by the level of noise than the scores of subjects with normal hearing, the subjects with hearing loss were more severely affected than those with normal hearing.

The present study was designed to investigate which major factors of speech recognition can be affected by the level of noise. The results for fast-rate speech in our study suggest that temporal auditory processing exists in the elderly with moderate hearing loss. Some researchers have proposed that temporal processing (ie, the processing of speech stimuli over time) is important for speech understanding because temporal information of speech comes from segmental and supra-segmental stages, while affecting lexical, syntactic, and phonemic processing for speech recognition. Although these cues help listeners follow incoming acoustic stimuli and put them together into meaningful speech, the listeners have poor ability in

Discussion

According to the Working Group on Speech Understanding and Aging Committee on Hearing, Bioacoustics, and Biomechanics, the underlying reasons for age-related auditory defects are likely related to changes in the peripheral auditory system, central auditory processing, and cognitive performance. This means that age-related degradation in speech understanding might be the complex result of numerous factors. The present study was designed to investigate which major factors of speech recognition can be affected by the degree of hearing loss in the elderly.

In the first experiment, the speech perception scores of the subjects with hearing loss were more severely affected by the level of noise than the scores of subjects with normal hearing, although all the groups showed a general decrease in their scores. In a quiet environment, performance of the normal hearing and mild hearing loss groups did not differ significantly. However, whereas the normal hearing group still showed good performance at 84% in +3 dB SNR, the group with mild hearing loss was much affected by noise. It seems that for older listeners, even those having a small hearing loss, speech recognition in a noise condition is problematic; presbycusis with only mild impairment still makes it hard to understand the speech of other people in a noise situation. These results are similar to those of previous studies.

For moderate and moderate-to-severe hearing loss, speech perception scores were ≤50% in +3 dB SNR and ranged from 36% to 23% in 0 dB SNR. These results might apply to our environment, as we assume a possible perceptual ability of hearing-impaired elderly indoors with 8–12 dB SNR, outdoors with 3–7 dB SNR, in department stores with 6 dB SNR, in subways of ~2 dB SNR, and in the street of ~5 dB SNR. Based on these levels of noise, elderly people who have a moderate hearing loss are unwilling to talk with other people in the outdoors and their social activity is limited. Jin et al indicated that elderly listeners even without a hearing loss are often frustrated by not being able to understand speech in conditions of background noise. Our results support this finding in that the speech perception scores of the normal hearing group dropped to about 70% at 0 dB SNR. Due to such subclinical perceptual deficits which may be caused by increased level of internal noise, older listeners with relatively good audiometric thresholds may experience perceptual deficits under a noise condition as an aging effect.

In the second experiment using time-compressed speech, the speech perception scores of the normal hearing group were not affected by the compressed conditions. The group with mild hearing loss did not experience an effect with speech that was a little fast (ie, 15% compressed speech), but did in the fast condition (ie, 30% compressed speech). Both the moderate and moderate-to-severe hearing loss groups experienced an adverse effect on their speech recognition even in a speech condition that was a little fast. The results for fast-rate speech in our study suggest that temporal auditory processing exists in the elderly with moderate hearing loss. Some researchers have proposed that temporal processing (ie, the processing of speech stimuli over time) is important for speech understanding because temporal information of speech comes from segmental and supra-segmental stages, while affecting lexical, syntactic, and phonemic processing for speech recognition. Although these cues help listeners follow incoming acoustic stimuli and put them together into meaningful speech, the listeners have poor ability in
temporally degraded speech when they have a hearing loss or are elderly.23

Gordon-Salant and Fitzgibbons indicated that elderly listeners with and without hearing loss had some difficulty in recognizing rapid speech.24 However, our data showed that the degree of age-related hearing loss in understanding temporally distorted speech may be a key. As one possibility for these contradictory findings, their study used selective time compression for consonants, vowels, or pauses instead of controlling overall sentences as we did. Peripheral effects may cause a sensory coding problem arising from sensorineural hearing loss and/or supra-threshold processing of short-term spectral, durational, and intensive attributes of phonetic elements,24 whereas problems associated with central timing mechanisms are more likely to influence judgments about stimulus duration and/or the perception of supra-segmental prosodic attributes of speech tempo and rhythm.16 Thus, subjects with normal hearing and mild hearing loss were less affected by central timing mechanisms in our study.

Among the cognitive abilities related to the processing of speech, working memory capacity has received much attention and the deficit of working memory capacity with age is well explained.25 However, working memory did not differ with the level of hearing loss in our elderly subjects, and controversy still remains about the exact contribution of hearing sensitivity and working memory to speech recognition.

Limitations in the measurement of working memory warrant further research. First, we may have restricted the possible variability because of testing only subjects with good cognitive function passing the normal criteria of MMSE-K. A second issue stems from not including young listeners as counterparts of our subjects. We need to confirm more obvious working memory functions in the degree of hearing loss in presbycusis while comparing elderly listeners to young listeners with similar hearing loss. Third, although the digit span is a widely used neuropsychological test for measuring short-term verbal memory,14,22 we need to understand the difference between our results using digit span and results using different test materials. The present study failed to detect any difference in working memory associated with the degree of hearing loss because the digit span test was not sufficiently sensitive to measure it. However, like Pichora-Fuller et al, who measured working memory span for young and old subjects who were required to understand sentences in various SNR conditions while simultaneously storing sets of sentence-final words for later recall,8 we expected to see an effect of degree of hearing loss if applied to noise.

Conclusion

The effects of hearing loss were best seen in the results of fast-rate speech in the elderly. Speech perception ability in noise was deteriorated for elderly listeners with normal hearing, although the scores were much decreased as a function of noise with larger hearing loss, which might be explained by the aging effect rather than the hearing loss. As a possible clinical application, speech recognition in a noise environment, which is a major problem for elderly listeners, should be emphasized in aural rehabilitation sessions.
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