Presentation and outcomes of necrotizing soft tissue infections
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Background: Necrotizing soft tissue infections (NSTIs) are aggressive infections associated with significant morbidity, including amputation and organ failure, and high mortality. The rapid progression and significant risk of morbidity and mortality associated with NSTIs makes quick diagnosis and treatment critical. The objective of this study was to determine the presentation of patients diagnosed with NSTIs and their in-hospital outcomes.

Methods: This was a retrospective review of adult (>17 years) patients with a discharge diagnosis of necrotizing fasciitis at London Health Sciences Centre (annual census 125,000) over a 5-year period (April 2008–March 2013).

Results: Sixty patients with confirmed NSTI were included in this study. Common comorbidities at presentation included immunocompromise (58.3%), diabetes mellitus (41.7%), vascular disease (45.0%), and obesity (24.6%). Initial presentations included swelling (91.7%), erythema (86.7%), bullae (28.3%), petechiae (8.3%), and bruising (45.0%). Fifty (83.3%) underwent surgery, with a median (interquartile range) time from initial emergency department presentation to surgery of 15.5 hours (7.8, 74.9). In-hospital mortality among those who had surgical intervention was 14.0%, compared to 60.0% for patients who did not have surgery (Δ46.0%; 95% CI: 14.8% to 70.2%).

Conclusion: Diabetes mellitus, immune-compromise, vascular disease, and obesity are common comorbidities of NSTIs. Survival is higher among patients who receive surgical treatment. Patients presenting with this clinical picture warrant a high degree of suspicion.
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Background

Necrotizing soft tissue infection (NSTI) is an infection of any of the layers within the soft tissue compartment with necrotizing changes.1 Left untreated, up to 100% of NSTIs can result in mortality.2 Even with aggressive treatment, including multiple surgical debridements and broad spectrum antibiotics, patients may suffer significant morbidity such as amputation and organ failure;5–10 and mortality can be as high as 29%.11 With an estimated 90–200 cases per year in Canada,12 NSTIs are rare. The diagnosis is challenging, as there are no known signs or symptoms of NSTIs that reliably distinguish them from non-necrotizing infections.

Previous retrospective studies have attempted to identify common presentations of NSTIs. In a small case series of 12 patients with NSTIs, Sin et al identified swelling (92%), pain (92%), erythema (75%), and fever (67%) as the most common presentations.13 Similarly, in a review of 58 cases of NSTIs, Mitchell et al reported swelling (83%) and severe pain (76%) as the most commonly presenting signs.11 Other clinical
presentations include hard induration of the soft tissue, bullous lesions, skin necrosis, and crepitus. As the most common manifestations of NSTIs are relatively sensitive but are not specific, the best tool for the detection of NSTIs remains a high index of suspicion. Perhaps one of the more specific symptoms of NSTIs is pain out of proportion to the physical exam, underpinning the insidious spread of NSTIs. The gold standard for diagnosis of a NSTI, and the essential treatment, is surgery and discovery of necrotic tissue upon debridement. Yet, without a high index of suspicion, few clinicians will send their patients for such invasive investigation.

Recognizing NSTIs followed by quick initiation of therapy and surgical debridement are the most important factors in predicting patient outcomes. Previous studies have identified risk factors associated with NSTIs and found that patients who are immunocompromised, obese, of advanced age, or have peripheral vascular disease have a higher risk of NSTI. In addition, a history of trauma, surgery, or penetrating injury, however insignificant (skin abrasion, intramuscular injection), is common. Several studies have reported a high occurrence of diabetes in patients with NSTIs, comorbid in ~45% of cases. At least two scoring systems have been devised as tools to try and separate NSTIs from less worrisome non-NSTIs. From a population of 359 patients that included 31 with NSTIs, Wall et al developed a prognostic clinical tool to help identify patients with NSTIs. They were able to produce a model that was 90% sensitive and 76% specific for NSTIs, with a positive predictive value of 26% and a negative predictive value of 99%. They found that white blood cell (WBC) count >15.4×10^9/L and serum sodium concentration <135 mmol/L were particularly useful parameters. The Laboratory Risk Indicator for Necrotizing Fasciitis (LRINEC) scoring tool uses laboratory results for glucose, total WBC count, hemoglobin, sodium, serum creatinine, and C-reactive protein (CRP) to predict NSTIs. A LRINEC score of ≥6 has a positive predictive value of 92% and a negative predictive value of 96% for NSTIs. However, recent studies have questioned the validity of the LRINEC score. In a 2012 study, when applied to NSTIs affecting the neck alone, the LRINEC tool was only 56% sensitive and 60% specific. Wilson and Schneir report a case of confirmed NSTI with a LRINEC score of 0. A Taiwanese cohort study of 233 patients with NSTIs and 3155 patients with severe cellulitis found that a LRINEC score of ≥6 had a positive predictive value of only 38%, and a negative predictive value of 92.5%. The authors concluded that this scoring system might be best for ruling out NSTIs.

The objective of this study was to determine the presentation of patients diagnosed with NSTIs and the in-hospital patient outcomes (including antibiotic treatment, surgery, and mortality) associated with this disease.

**Methods**

This was a retrospective medical record review of adult (>17 years) patients with a hospital discharge diagnosis of “necrotizing fasciitis” at either of two academic tertiary care emergency departments (annual census 125,000) over the 5-year fiscal period of April 2008–March 2013. Both inpatient and emergency department visits were included. Patients transferred directly from another facility for specialty treatment were excluded. Charts were reviewed for patient demographics, comorbidities, clinical and laboratory features at initial presentation, wound and blood culture results, treatment, extent of surgical debridement, and patient outcomes (in-hospital mortality, length of stay). In-patient charts were reviewed in order to assess further characteristics or progression of NSTIs, as well as treatment and outcome data. LRINEC score and Wall criteria were assessed from laboratory results available in medical records. The LRINEC score was calculated as described previously (Table 1).

Descriptive statistics were summarized using means with SD for normally distributed data, or medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) for nonparametric data, and proportions for categorical data. Differences in proportions were assessed for the primary outcome of in-hospital mortality using Pearson chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test. All data analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM Corporation).

The study protocol was approved by the Health Sciences Research Ethics Board at the University of Western Ontario, London, ON, and did not require written informed consent to be obtained from the participants, as this was a retrospective study, and all data were anonymous. Confidentiality of patient data was maintained at all times.

**Results**

Sixty patients with confirmed NSTI were included in the study. Mean (SD) age was 53.7 years (17.8), 60% were male, and the median (IQR) hospital length of stay was 17.1 days (7.8, 33.5) (Table 2). Common comorbidities at presentation included immune compromise (58%), diabetes mellitus (42%), vascular disease (45%), and obesity (25%). Initial presentations included swelling (92%), erythema (87%), bullae (28%), petechiae (8%), and bruising (45%). Fifty-nine (98%)
patients received antibiotics, of which 70% were ordered by the emergency department (vs the admitting service). Median (IQR) time to antibiotic administration was 3.5 hours (1.9, 7.5).

Fifty-five (92%) patients had wound cultures, of which 75% were positive and 33% were polymicrobial. Polymicrobial synergistic infection was the most common cause (18 patients). Group A streptococcus was the most common cause of monomicrobial NSTI (9 patients). Fifty (83%) patients underwent surgery, with a median (IQR) time from initial presentation to surgery of 15.5 hours (7.8, 74.9). Thirteen (21.6%) patients died while in hospital. In-hospital mortality among those who had surgical intervention was 14%, compared to 60% for those who did not receive surgical intervention (Δ 46%; 95% CI: 14.8%–70.2%).

Seventeen (28.3%) patients presented with NSTI involving the pelvis, which was the most common body region affected (Table 3). Involvement of the lower extremity occurred in 16 (26.7%) patients, upper extremity in 10 (16.7%) patients, trunk in 14 (23.3%) patients, and multiple regions in 3 (5%) patients. Mortality was 100% in those patients with multiple sites affected. For patients presenting with trunk, pelvic, lower extremity, and upper extremity involvement, the mortality rates were 21.4%, 17.6%, 18.8%, and 10%, respectively (Table 3). These were not significantly different from expected proportions (Fisher's exact). Mortality was 100% in those patients with multiple sites affected (p<0.05).

Laboratory results for serum sodium and WBC were consulted to determine if the Wall criteria were met for NSTI patients. Forty-four patients met one or both criteria for NSTI, and medical record data were insufficient to assess three patients. The remaining 13 patients did not meet the Wall criteria for NSTI. Therefore, in our study, the criteria laid out by Wall et al had a sensitiv-
ity of 77% in those patients for which sufficient data were available. Calculation of the LRINEC score was done with the additional laboratory results for creatinine, glucose, hemoglobin, and CRP. Of the 60 patients, the medical records for only 19 contained enough data to calculate the LRINEC score. CRP levels were most commonly absent. Of these 19 patients, 15 had a LRINEC score of ≥6 (79%). For patients with incomplete laboratory values, we calculated the theoretical maximum and minimum LRINEC scores by substituting each missing value with the maximum or minimum score for that criterion. By assuming the maximum values for missing data, we found that 51 (85%) of patients potentially had a score of ≥6. Conversely, by assuming the minimum values, we found that only 27 (45%) of patients scored ≥6.

Discussion

Results from our study indicate that the most common signs and symptoms of NSTIs were swelling and erythema. Furthermore, prompt, aggressive, and repeated surgical debridement was critical in the treatment of NSTIs. Comorbidities most commonly associated with NSTI were immune compromise, diabetes mellitus, and vascular disease. These findings are consistent with previous studies, where the most common presentation of NSTI was one of nonspecific nature. Moreover, previously reported scoring systems for detecting NSTIs were only moderately sensitive in our cohort. The specificities of these systems were not assessed here. However, based on the literature, where the most common presentation of NSTI was one of nonspecific nature. Moreover, previously reported scoring systems for detecting NSTIs were only moderately sensitive in our cohort. The specificities of these systems were not assessed here. However, based on the literature, the strength of these scoring systems may be in their specificity for NSTIs instead of their sensitivity. Consequently, the initiation of appropriate laboratory tests and prompt diagnosis of NSTIs depends heavily on a high index of suspicion on the part of the physician. This is illustrated in our cohort where CRP, the most predictive and influential component of the LRINEC score, was available for only 19 patients. Recently, Borschitz et al proposed an amended version of the LRINEC tool that included clinical findings (pain, fever, tachycardia, evidence of acute renal failure) as well as addition of fibrinogen and erythrocyte levels. With these changes, they report a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 90%. Future studies will be needed to validate this amended LRINEC tool. But even with improved accuracy, its use will remain contingent on clinicians’ inclination for ordering relatively uncommon tests.

The distribution of NSTIs by body region in past studies has favored the lower extremities and the perineum over the trunk and upper extremities. Our study results reflected this distribution. Anaya et al found that out of 166 patients with NSTIs, mortality was significantly higher for those patients with an affected extremity, but not for other regions. However, the affected body region did not have a significant influence on mortality in our study. Our results are supported by several recent studies that have found body region to not be predictive of mortality. The possible exception to this in our cohort were the three patients that presented with multiple body regions affected, where mortality was 100%. This disproportionately high mortality rate was statistically significant. However, due to the low number of patients in this group, caution should be exercised in interpreting this result.

Overall mortality in our cohort was 21.6%, which is in line with previously reported mortality rates. The significant reduction in mortality for patients who underwent surgical debridement indicates the importance of a high index of suspicion and early referral to surgical services. It has been reported that misdiagnosis of NSTIs occurs in 41%–96% of NSTI presentations, and delayed surgical debridement has repeatedly been shown to significantly increase the mortality risk. This may explain the increased mortality rate in our study among patients who did not undergo surgery. However, we cannot assume that patients who did not receive surgical debridement had comparable prognoses upon presentation. Perhaps the decision to forego surgery was influenced more by goals of care and preexisting/complicating comorbidities than missed diagnoses. Thus, any conclusions on mortality rates with and without surgery should be made with this in mind.

This study has several limitations. This was a small study conducted at a single, urban, academic center, and our findings may not be generalizable to other settings. Due to the retrospective nature of this study, we are limited by what was documented in the patient chart. Unfortunately, this restricts the information that can be gathered about the underlying causes of the NSTIs reported here. We can say that at least four of the patients in our cohort developed a NSTI from a previous surgical wound, three from intravenous drug use, two from arthropod bites, one from a pilonidal abscess, one from a furuncle, and one from reactivation of nocardia disease. The remaining charts did not point to a clear cause. Shaikh et al encountered a similar trend when they examined 331 cases of NSTIs at a tertiary care center. They found no history of injury in 85.5% of cases reviewed. The remaining cases pointed to trivial trauma (13%) and intramuscular injections (1.5%). This tendency for NSTIs to arise without an obvious cause, or from a trivial injury, further underpins the difficulty in accurate diagnosis.
Conclusion
Primary care physicians are often the first to evaluate patients with NSTIs and as such should be aware of the presentation and management of this disease. This study confirmed that diabetes mellitus, immune compromise, vascular disease, and obesity are common comorbidities of NSTIs, and that survival is higher among patients who receive surgical treatment. Patients meeting this clinical picture warrant a high degree of suspicion to avert potentially disastrous consequences.
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