Sleep problems in university students – an intervention
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Introduction: Up to 60% of all college students suffer from a poor sleep quality, and 7.7% meet all criteria of an insomnia disorder. Sleep problems have a great impact on the students’ daily life, for example, the grade point average. Due to irregular daytime routines, chronotype changes, side jobs and exam periods, they need specialized treatments for improving sleep.

“Studieren wie im Schlaf” (SWIS; studying in your sleep)) is a multicomponent sleep training that combines Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia and Hypnotherapy for Insomnia to improve students’ sleep, insomnia symptoms and nightmares. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the acceptance, feasibility and the first effects of SWIS.

Methods: Twenty-seven students (mean =24.24, standard deviation =3.57) participated in a study of pre–post design. The acceptance and feasibility were measured with questionnaires. In addition, the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), sleep logs and actigraphy were implemented. Further variables encompassed daytime sleepiness, sleep-related personality traits and cognitions about sleep.

Results: Seventy-four percent of the participants reported symptoms of an insomnia disorder, and 51.9% fulfilled all criteria of an insomnia disorder according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (fifth edition). Correspondingly, the students suffered from clinically relevant sleep problems according to the PSQI. The SWIS sleep training is a well-accepted and feasible program. Significant improvements were observed in the subjective sleep quality and sleep-related personality traits, as well as clinical improvements in objective sleep measures.

Discussion: Findings showed that SWIS is a feasible program for the treatment of sleep problems in college and university students due to its various effects on sleep and cognitive outcomes. Further evaluation of follow-up measurements and additional variables, that is, cognitive performance and mental health, is needed.
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Introduction

Students experience several important developments when starting at university. They have to cope with “leaving home, increased independence, changes in peer groups, new social situations, maintenance of academic responsibilities and increased access to alcohol or drugs”.1 About 90% of university students have roommates, and among them, 41% wake up at night due to the noise of others. Bed- and risetimes on weekdays and weekends often differ in the range of more than 1 to 2 hours. These challenges and special circumstances faced by university students are associated with sleep disturbances.2 About 60% suffer from a poor sleep quality according to the PSQI.3 Gaultney revealed that 27% of all university students are at a risk of at least one sleep disorder.4 Furthermore, previous findings reported that a minimum 7.7% of students suffer from insomnia and 24.3% from nightmares.5,6
Sleep problems and sleep disorders severely impair university students’ academic success. In a study conducted by Buboltz et al, 31% of all students suffered from morning tiredness. In another study, poor sleepers reported reduced daytime functioning. Shorter sleep duration and an irregular sleep–wake schedule significantly correlated with a lower GPA. Regarding sleep habits, the wake-up times explained significant amounts of GPA variance. A clinical review provided evidence that sleep problems correlated with impeded learning, especially poorer declarative and procedural learning, neurocognitive performance and academic success.

Beyond academic considerations, sleep problems in university students are often connected with mental health issues. It is common for students with insomnia to suffer from mental health problems such as chronic fatigue, depression, stress, lower optimism, anxiety and a lower quality of life. Taylor et al controlled the correlation between insomnia and mental health problems for medical problems (ie, migraines, gastrointestinal diseases, sexually transmitted diseases). Even then, significant correlations were found for obsessive–compulsive symptoms, somatization, depression, anxiety and overall symptoms. In addition, students suffering from insomnia often thought about their sleep problems or ruminated about daily events. Furthermore, students with worse sleep reported more rumination about a stressful life event (ie, exam). Correspondingly, other studies showed that rumination predicted longer sleep-onset latency and was associated with lower sleep efficiency, wakefulness after sleep onset and reduced sleep quality. These associations also existed after controlling for general cognitive arousal, depression and anxiety. Vail-Smith et al discovered that students who reported physical aggression, suicide ideation and substance abuse had a significantly worse sleep quality than those who did not suffer from one of the aforementioned factors. This relationship between sleep problems and suicidality persisted when taking aggressive behavior and depression into account. Correspondingly, nightmares were significantly related to suicidal ideation even after controlling for depression, anxiety and posttraumatic stress disorder. Students who suffered from nightmares often reported more insomnia symptoms and lower self-efficacy.

A poor sleep quality is often associated with stimulant use in university students. Correspondingly, sleep medication is the second most common substance group consumed by German university students.

Finally, one-quarter of all university students are evening chronotypes. Eveningness is often associated with a poor sleep quality, lower self-control, more procrastination, more stress sensitivity and lower sleep efficiency. All in all, various sleep-related parameters and disorders affect university students’ life.

Despite the high prevalence rates and the severe consequences, only a few studies examined the treatment of sleep disorders in university students. A recent systematic review found that there is a lack of specialized treatment of insomnia for college students. Cognitive-behavioral therapy was the most effective approach to improve sleep in university students with relaxation techniques, mindfulness and hypnotherapy additionally benefiting mental health outcomes. However, most of the 27 included studies were conducted on healthy students who did not suffer from sleep problems, different outcomes were investigated, objective sleep was ignored and only a handful of studies provided follow-up data. Not a single study examined other sleep disorders besides insomnia or assessed the sleep regularity and chronotype of the students.

SWIS – a sleep training for university students

“Studieren wie im Schlaf” (SWIS; studying in your sleep) – a sleep training for university students with sleep problems – was derived from the structure of sleep treatment programs for different age groups, for example, Mini-KiSS for infants under the age of five, KiSS for children aged five to ten and JuS for adolescents. With a maximum of six sessions, all these programs were relatively short and were based on a combination of CBT-I and HT-I. Correspondingly, the six SWIS sessions each contained CBT-I and HT-I elements. As a multicomponent intervention, SWIS focused on the challenges of university students’ life by addressing special circumstances and environmental factors as well as biological factors. These included irregular sleep–wake cycles due to variability in their daily routine, side jobs, chronotype changes as well as learning and exam periods.

In this pilot study, we first examined the acceptance of participants and trainers. Secondly, we assessed whether the students and trainers rated SWIS as a feasible program. Thirdly, we investigated if the SWIS treatment improved various sleep variables including, a) symptoms of sleep disorders, b) sleep quality, c) daytime sleepiness, d) rumination and focusing, as well as e) dysfunctional cognitions about sleep. While the first two hypotheses aimed to evaluate the participants’ and trainers’ acceptance, the other hypothesis tested first hints toward effectiveness, thus incorporating both aspects of feasibility.
Methods

Design

The quasi-experimental study investigated the acceptance and feasibility of SWIS. Four treatment groups with five to seven participants completed the training. All participants suffered from symptoms of sleep disorders, such as insomnia disorder, nightmares or irregular sleep–wake type, according to DSM-5. Two psychologists executed the training sessions during the afternoon or early evening. Every training session was supervised for manual adherence and treatment fidelity.

Sleep disorders were diagnosed according to the classification criteria of the DSM-5. In addition, participants were classified as “poor sleepers” by the PSQI if they scored above a cut-off of 5, or as severely impaired sleepers if they scored above 10.

The pretest was taken 2 weeks before the treatment, and the post-measurement 2 weeks after the training. All participants provided written informed consent prior to diagnosis and treatment. The intervention involved six sessions. During pre- and post-measurement, participants filled out sleep logs for 14 days and wore actigraphs for 8 days to assess subjective and objective sleep quality. Furthermore, participants completed various questionnaires. The study was approved by the ethics committee of Bielefeld University.

Inclusion criteria

Based on the inclusion criteria, participants who fulfilled various criteria for an insomnia disorder or an irregular sleep–wake type according to DSM-5 classification, as well as participants who reported nightmares (Table 1) were included in this study. Sleep was assessed with the self-developed SPQ. In addition, participants were categorized as “poor sleepers” by the PSQI.

Sleep Problems Questionnaire

Participants received a 12-item SPQ (Table 2) based on the DSM-5 classification for an insomnia disorder and an irregular sleep–wake type. Due to the fact that the inclusion criteria questionnaire was developed specifically for this study, no psychometric properties were examined. Three items pertained to the diagnosis of insomnia disorder (ie, “I suffer because I have difficulties initiating sleep”, items 1–3), two items supported an irregular sleep–wake-type diagnosis (ie, “I sleep at least three times in 24 hours”, items 7, 9) and the other six items determined sleep problem frequency (items 5, 6), impairment (item 8) and exclusion criteria (“My sleep problems are not mainly caused by bad sleep hygiene”, items 10–12). The exclusion criteria were formulated in a double-negative form. A dichotomous answer mode (yes/no) was employed.

Diagnostic criteria

Insomnia was diagnosed if participants had trouble initiating sleep, woke up during the night, or had difficulties falling asleep after waking up at night. In addition, they had to report daytime impairments. Insomnia with sleep-onset latency was diagnosed if students fulfilled all criteria of an insomnia disorder and reported a sleep latency greater than 30 minutes. The irregular sleep–wake type included participants with fragmented sleep (at least three sleep periods in 24 hours) or other self-reported irregular sleep. To receive a diagnosis, the sleep problems had to cause significant distress, occur at least three times per week and persist for longer than 1 month. Nightmares were indicated if participants reported nightmares at least three times per week according to DSM-5 criteria (Table 1).

Sample

Figure 1 provides an overview of the participant flow during the study. Forty applications were screened, and 27 participants

Table 2 Sample and sleep disturbances during baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample characteristics</th>
<th>Pre-measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, M (SD) (years)</td>
<td>24.24 (3.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range (years)</td>
<td>20–35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (%)</td>
<td>21 (77.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (%)</td>
<td>6 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbances (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties initiating sleep</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties maintaining sleep</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early awakening</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective impairment</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia disorder</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightmares</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular sleep–wake type</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: M, mean; SD, standard deviation.
were invited to take part in the study. Due to exclusion (one participant) and dropouts before (three participants) and during the training (three participants) as well as dropouts after the training (one participant), 19 participants remained for the post-measurement.

The sample characteristics for the different measurement times are displayed in Table 3. The mean age was M = 24.24 (SD = 3.57) years during pre-measurement. There were more women (77.8%; men 22.2%) participants. One participant had to be excluded due to a low PSQI score (PSQI = 4). The remaining 26 participants (96.29%) scored above the PSQI cut-off defining a poor sleep quality (PSQI sum score > 5).

Approximately two-thirds of the sample reported difficulties initiating sleep and maintaining sleep and subjective impairment because of the sleep problems. Of all the participants, 51.9% reported early awakening. While more than half of the students fulfilled all criteria for an insomnia disorder, nightmares and irregular sleep–wake types were less common.

### Instruments

#### Acceptance

To evaluate the acceptance of the training, two questionnaires were implemented: one for the students (SAQ) and the other for the trainers (TAQ). They were based on similar questionnaires in a previous sleep study. Students and trainers anonymously completed the questionnaires after each session. Answering modes comprised a five-point Likert scale ranging from “applies to me completely” (1) to “does not apply to me at all” (5). Lower scores indicated a higher satisfaction with the sleep training.

#### Student Acceptance Questionnaire

The SAQ consisted of 14 items (Table 4). Four scales measured the participants’ acceptance of each training session’s content, didactics, transfer into everyday life and trainer aptitude. Two global items evaluated satisfaction with HT-I and group dynamics.

In addition to the acceptance questionnaires, students were asked to grade the training on a scale from 1 (“SWIS did not help at all”) to 5 (“SWIS helped very much”) after completing all training sessions.

#### Trainer Acceptance Questionnaire

The TAQ contained seven scales that measured the satisfaction with the sleep training’s contents and questions regarding group dynamics (Table 5). The four scales content, hypnotherapy, trainer aptitude and group dynamics were used to measure the trainers’ acceptance.

A short section for notes and observations provided opportunity for the documentation of additional information and suggestions regarding the sessions’ content.

At the end of the TAQ, the trainers assessed the timeframe, participant comprehension and participant acceptance for each part of the training sessions. The answers were coded in grades from 1 (“completely applies to me”) to 5 (“does not apply to me at all”).
Table 4 Trainer acceptance questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content (a1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The topic was accepted by the group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Today’s content seemed useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>The participants understood the theoretical knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>The participants understood the practical knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame conditions (f1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The session’s timeframe was adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>The session room was adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>The time of day was selected appropriately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>The session progressed fluently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction (f2)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Trainer instructions were comprehensible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Trainer instructions were helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnotherapy (a2)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>I felt well prepared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainer aptitude (a3)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>I felt comfortable in the group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>The role of a sleep trainer suits me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>I felt overstrained ( – )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>I was able to handle difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Today’s session was fun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group dynamics (a4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>The group cooperated well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Enough opportunities for group discussion were provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>I was able to consider each participant’s individual situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>The group size was adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-trainer (f3)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>The cooperation with my co-trainer worked well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>I felt supported by my co-trainer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (a) = acceptance scale; (f) = feasibility scale. Item 15 was reversed (–).

Feasibility

The feasibility of SWIS was indicated by participant dropout and attendance rates during the training. In order to measure the feasibility from the trainer’s point of view, four sections from the TAQ were included: three scales (frame conditions, instruction, co-trainer) and the section at the end containing timeframe, participant comprehension and participant acceptance for each part of the training sessions.

Sleep measurements

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index

The PSQI\(^5\) determines the participant’s sleep quality during the last month. Eighteen items merge into seven scales: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep problems, sleep medication and daytime sleepiness. Answering modes mostly comprise a four-point Likert scale ranging from “never during the last 4 weeks” to “three times or more per week”. Sum scores range from 0 to 21, with higher scores indicating more sleep problems. There are two cut-off scores: sum scores above 5 indicate a poor sleep quality, while sum scores above 10 indicate chronic sleep problems.\(^7\)\(^8\)

Carpenter and Andrykowski confirmed the instruments’ internal consistency (Cronbach’s \(\alpha\) = 0.80) and construct validity.\(^9\)

The nightmare item of the PSQI (“I slept badly during the last 4 weeks because I had bad dreams”) was regarded as fulfilled if the participants suffered from bad dreams at least three times per week.

Sleep log

Participants were asked to complete a sleep log for 2 weeks during pre- and post-measurement. The diagnostic sleep log contained eight questions about sleep including rise- and bedtimes, waking up during the night, naps during the day and regeneration. The regeneration was measured on a six-point Likert scale ranging from “sleep was very restorative” (1) to “sleep was not restorative at all” (6). As the current study is a feasibility study, we focused on the analysis of the sleep duration and regeneration aspects reported in the sleep logs as main outcomes.

Actigraphy

The SOMNOwatch\(^{TM}\) plus by SOMNOmedics (Randersacker, Germany) is an actigraph wrist watch with three built-in activity sensors. Analysis with the DOMINO Light Software (SOMNOmedics) provides data for time in bed, sleep duration, wake duration, number of night-time awakenings, sleep efficiency and sleep latency. In a study with sleep apnea patients, the SOMNOwatch\(^{TM}\) plus had an overall accuracy of 85.9% when compared to polysomnography.\(^40\)

The participants wore the actigraphs for 8 days during pre- and post-measurement.

Epworth Sleepiness Scale

The ESS\(^{41}\) consists of eight items and measures daytime sleepiness across different situations (ie, sitting and reading).
Despite its relatively short form, both the test–retest reliability ($r=0.82$) and the internal consistency in clinical ($\alpha=0.88$) and nonclinical populations ($\alpha=0.73$) are adequate.42

**Morningness–Eveningness Questionnaire**

The chronotype was measured with the MEQ.43 The MEQ consists of 19 items that add up to a sum score. According to the sum score, participants are classified as definite evening types (14–30), moderate evening types (31–41), neutral type (42–58), moderate morning type (59–69) or definite morning type (70–86). The reliability and validity of the MEQ could be proven, notwithstanding the instruments’ multidimensionality.44

**Fragebogen zur Erfassung spezifischer Persönlichkeitsmerkmale Schlaufgestörter (Questionnaire of specific personality traits for patients with sleep disorders)**

The FEPS-II records two personality traits on a five-point Likert scale: focusing (11 items) and rumination (12 items). Gender-specific norms are provided for healthy sleepers ($N=346$) and patients with sleep disorders ($N=322$). Though the psychometric qualities of the FEPS-II have not been sufficiently examined yet, it is the only instrument that measures these specific personality traits in participants with sleep problems.

**Meinungen-zum-Schlaf-Fragebogen (cognitions about sleep)**

Weingartz and Pillmann developed the MZS in order to investigate the participants’ beliefs concerning sleep.46 Sixteen convictions about sleep are rated on a ten-point scale ranging from “I absolutely disagree” (0) to “I absolutely agree” (10), with higher scores indicating more dysfunctional beliefs about sleep. A factor analysis by Weingartz and Pillmann revealed the three factors, “convictions about long-term consequences”, “biological causes for sleep disorders” and “influence on daytime productivity”. According to the authors, the MZS is a reliable ($\alpha=.87$) and valid instrument.46

**Intervention: SWIS**

SWIS is a multicomponent group treatment and consists of six training sessions of 100 minutes (Table 6). Behavior therapeutic elements are rules of healthy sleeping, sleep-promoting living arrangements, sleep rituals, progressive muscle relaxation, cognitive restructuring, stress management and problem-solving skills. Trance sessions, self-calming imaginations, self-hypnosis and further imagination techniques comprise the hypnotherapeutic elements. Based on the special requirements and situations of college/university students such as chronotype, sleep environment, self-organization processes for learning and changing starting times at university,

### Table 6 Short description for each SWIS session

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWIS</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Training at home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    | Instructions  
Psychoeducation I: healthy sleep, sleep disorders, studying and sleep  
Psychoeducation II: model for the development and maintenance of sleep disorders  
Rules for healthy sleeping  
HT-I 1: branch in the river (problem solving, promotes change-willingness) | Apply two rules of healthy sleeping  
Practice HT-I 1 |
| 2    | Psychoeducation III: vicious-circle model  
Sleep-promoting living arrangements  
Sleep rituals  
Relaxation: PMR  
HT-I 2: sleep tree (enhance melatonin release) | (Change living arrangements)  
Practice PMR  
Practice HT-I 2 |
| 3    | Stress management I: stress multiplier  
Cognitive restructuring I: positive and negative phrases  
Calm picture  
HT-I 3: rucksack (disengaging oneself from unnecessary ballast) | Practice positive phrases  
Practice calm picture  
Practice HT-I 3 |
| 4    | Stress management II: Stress-O-Meter  
Systematic problem solving (nightmares)  
Self-hypnosis  
HT-I 4: learning in your sleep (improve learning) | Apply systematic problem solving to one bigger problem  
Practice self-hypnosis  
Practice HT-I 4 |
| 5    | Psychoeducation IV: situation, perception, behavior and consequences  
Cognitive restructuring II: positive and negative feedback loops  
HT-I 5: sleep–wakeland (function of circadian rhythm) | Practice positive feedback loops  
Practice HT-I 5 |
| 6    | Stress management III: useful inner phrases for stress reduction  
Sleep in exam periods  
Emergency sleep kit  
HT-I 6: red balloon (stress reduction and management) | Practice HT-I |

**Abbreviations**: SWIS, Studieren wie im Schlaf (studying in your sleep); HT-I, Hypnotherapy for Insomnia; PMR, progressive muscle relaxation.
first relationship, partying, etc., the treatment was adapted from adolescent treatment (JuSt) and insomnia treatment for adults. Beyond CBT-I, HT-I elements were included to address relaxation and nightmare strategies as imaginary rescripting strategy. As prior results showed, HT-I is helpful to enhance deep sleep and to address insomnia.\(^{47,48}\) Participants have to practice the session contents at home.

At the beginning of each session, students were asked to describe their progress and difficulties regarding the implementation of SWIS during everyday life. At the end, they received a CD with the session’s HT-I elements to establish practice at home.

**Data analysis**

Data were analyzed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 22 (Version 22.0; IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) and Microsoft Excel 2013 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). The inclusion criteria, acceptance questionnaires and grades were analyzed with a descriptive and frequency analysis. The notes and observations of the acceptance questionnaires were listed.

Prior to analysis, each variable was tested for normal distribution (Shapiro–Wilk). The differences between pre- and post-measurement were analyzed with a Student’s t-test for dependent samples if they were normally distributed and a Wilcoxon signed-rank test if they were not. Effect sizes were Cohen’s \(d\) for the Student’s \(t\)-test (small \(>0.20\), medium \(>0.50\), large \(>0.80\)) and Pearson’s correlation coefficient \(r\) for the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (small \(>0.10\), medium \(>0.30\), large \(>0.50\)). They were only calculated if the test statistic provided significant \(P\)-values.

Average weekly sleep duration and regeneration scores were compiled from the diagnostic sleep logs. Missing values were replaced with the average weekly score.

Control for group disparities could not be conducted due to the small sample sizes for pre- and post-measurement comparison. For data analysis, it was assumed that the four treatment groups did not differ significantly.

The significance level was set at \(P<0.05\). A tendency was detected with a \(P\)-value \(<0.10\). Due to the small sample size, the missing questionnaire data were not imputed but excluded from the analyses.

**Results**

**Prevalence rates for sleep problems and diagnoses**

Many participants (74\%) fulfilled criteria for an insomnia disorder or reported various insomnia symptoms in the Table 7 Prevalence rates of sleep disturbances for pre- and post-measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sleep disturbances</th>
<th>Pre-measurement (%)</th>
<th>Post-measurement (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties initiating sleep</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulties maintaining sleep</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early awakening</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective impairment</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia disorder</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightmares</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular sleep–wake type</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
three of the 27 participants discontinued the SWIS program after two sessions. The students’ acceptance was also documented by the fact that all participants who completed the program attended at least four of the six sessions.

**Trainer Acceptance Questionnaire**

The results for the trainer’s acceptance and the feasibility of the SWIS training are demonstrated in Table 9. All scales were evaluated positively. The scale group dynamics received the highest acceptance rating (M = 1.52, SD = 0.46), while the scale co-trainer received the highest feasibility rating (M = 1.03, SD = 0.13).

**Feasibility**

The results for the three feasibility scales of the TAQ are presented in Table 9. All scales received favorable evaluation. The scale co-trainer had the best evaluation (M = 1.03, SD = 0.13). Trainers reported a moderate-to-excellent satisfaction with the session parts’ timeframe. Mean timeframe ratings ranged from excellent for the self-hypnosis in session 4 (M = 1.00, SD = 0.00) to moderate for the rules of healthy sleeping in session 1 (M = 2.25, SD = 1.41). The trainers evaluated the participants’ comprehension of the session parts to be excellent for the feedback elements at the beginning of each session (M = 1.33, SD = 0.58) and moderate for the stress section in session 4 (M = 2.50, SD = 0.55). The trainers rated the participants’ acceptance as excellent for the emergency sleep kit in session 6 (M = 1.20, SD = 0.45) and moderate for the useful inner phrases in the same session (M = 3.00, SD = 0.71).

**Sleep measurements**

The following paragraphs refer to the comparison between pre- and post-measurement.

**Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index**

The PSQI sum scores declined significantly from pre- to post-measurement (Table 10), indicating a higher sleep quality after the training. Nonparametric results for the seven PSQI scales showed significantly improved scores regarding

**Table 8 Students’ acceptance of the SWIS training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didactics</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT-I</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainer aptitude</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group dynamics</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Means and standard deviations are provided for the four scales and two global items. Lower scores represent a higher satisfaction (range 1–5).

Abbreviations: SWIS, Studieren wie im Schlaf (studying in your sleep); M, mean; SD, standard deviation; HT-I, Hypnotherapy for Insomnia.
subjective sleep quality, sleep-onset latency and daytime sleepiness. Positive tendencies \((P<0.10)\) were detected for sleep duration and sleep efficiency. The two subscales sleep disturbances and sleep medication did not change significantly according to PSQI. The effect size for the change in the PSQI sum scores was large. The differences in the subscales sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep efficiency and daytime sleepiness reached medium effect sizes, and the scale sleep duration had a small effect.

Sleep logs
The results for the diagnostic sleep logs are presented in Table 11. Compared to pre-measurement level, the SWIS participants reported a reduced number of night-time awakenings at post-measurement with a medium effect size \((d=0.67)\). The remaining subjective sleep variables from the diagnostic sleep log did not change significantly.

Actigraphy
Descriptive statistics and differences between pre- and post-measurement for the actigraphs are displayed in Table 12. Statistically significant tendencies were discovered for sleep-onset latency, which decreased from 38.4 minutes to 13.8 minutes. This equates to a medium effect size \((r=-0.31)\). Additionally, clinically significant improvements were found for duration of night wakings \(<30\) minutes at posttest) and sleep efficiency \((>95\%\) at posttest).

Epworth Sleepiness Scale
Average daytime sleepiness decreased from pre- \((M=9.92, SD=5.02)\) to post-measurement \((M=8.25, SD=4.83)\), although the difference was not significant \((t(18)=0.86, P=0.40)\).

Morningness–Eveningness Questionnaire
The average chronotype was neutral during pre- \((M=45.42, SD=10.34)\) and post-measurement \((M=47.75, SD=8.36)\). A nonsignificant development toward morningness was detected \((t(11)=-1.69, P=0.12)\).

Fragebogen zur Erfassung spezifischer Persönlichkeitsmerkmale Schlaufgestörter (Questionnaire of specific personality traits for patients with sleep disorders)
The participants’ tendency to ruminate decreased significantly \((Z(19)=-2.60, P=0.009, r=-0.42)\) from pre- \((M=46.53, SD=9.95)\) to post-measurement \((M=40.37, SD=11.18)\). In addition, participants reported significantly reduced focusing \((t(18)=4.69, P=0.000, d=1.33)\) after the training \((M_{pre}=33.00, SD_{pre}=5.80; M_{post}=25.63, SD_{post}=7.85)\).

Meinungen-zum-Schlaf-Fragebogen (cognitions about sleep)
Descriptive statistics and differences between pre- and post-measurement for the convictions about sleep are displayed in Table 13. The MZS sum score did not change significantly from pre- to post-measurement. Similar results were found for the two subscales convictions about long-term consequences and biological causes for sleep disorders. However, the participants reported a reduction of dysfunctional beliefs concerning daytime productivity with a small effect size \((d=0.32)\).

### Table 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acceptance</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT-I</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainer aptitude</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group dynamics</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feasibility</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frame conditions</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-trainer</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Means and standard deviations are provided for six scales and one global item. Lower scores represent a higher satisfaction \(range 1–5\). Abbreviations: SWIS, studieren wie im schlaf (studying in your sleep); M, mean; SD, standard deviation; HT-I, Hypnotherapy for Insomnia.

### Table 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t1, M (SD)</th>
<th>t2, M (SD)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Effect size, (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSQI sum score</td>
<td>10.71 (3.69)</td>
<td>8.24 (3.47)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>-2.71</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep quality</td>
<td>2.00 (0.71)</td>
<td>1.53 (0.72)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep latency</td>
<td>2.11 (0.76)</td>
<td>1.65 (0.86)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>0.096*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration</td>
<td>1.18 (1.07)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.86)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.93</td>
<td>0.053*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep efficiency</td>
<td>1.61 (1.29)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.06)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbances</td>
<td>1.35 (0.49)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.47)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep medication</td>
<td>0.47 (1.00)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.77)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime sleepiness</td>
<td>1.88 (0.78)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.79)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Significant differences \((P<0.05)\) are displayed in bold characters. Higher values indicate worse sleep quality. *The PSQI sum score differs from the inclusion criteria for the pre-measurement because only participants who completed pre- and post-measurement were included. **Tendencies \((P<0.10)\). Abbreviations: PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; M, mean; SD, standard deviation.
Table 11 Descriptive statistics of and comparisons between pre- and post-measurement of the diagnostic sleep logs. Regeneration scores are displayed on a six-point Likert scale (N=13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t1, M (SD)</th>
<th>t2, M (SD)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Effect size, d/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time in bed (hours)</td>
<td>8.44 (1.26)</td>
<td>8.45 (1.30)</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration (hours)</td>
<td>6.94 (1.45)</td>
<td>6.83 (1.18)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of night waking</td>
<td>89.96 (49.86)</td>
<td>97.43 (53.91)</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(minutes)</td>
<td>52.71 (54.06)</td>
<td>45.15 (35.13)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−1.06</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep efficiency (%)</td>
<td>83.77 (9.69)</td>
<td>81.49 (9.28)</td>
<td>−0.035</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of night-time</td>
<td>3.45 (0.89)</td>
<td>2.60 (1.55)</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.049†</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>awakenings</td>
<td>2.57 (0.89)</td>
<td>2.71 (0.79)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−1.36</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Duration of night waking describes the discrepancy between time in bed and the sleep duration. Significant differences (P<0.05) are displayed in bold characters. Higher regeneration scores indicate a better regeneration. †Tendencies (P<0.10).

Abbreviations: M, mean; SD, standard deviation.

### Discussion

The purpose of the current study was to examine the feasibility of the SWIS sleep training, a specialized treatment for college/university students. It addresses insomnia symptoms, nightmares and irregular sleep-wake cycles. First indications of acceptance, feasibility and effectiveness were investigated with several instruments including sleep logs, actigraphy and questionnaires.

Overall, participants showed a high acceptance of the SWIS sleep training that encompassed nearly all subscales. The CBT-I elements were rated as very good, whereas the HT-I elements received a slightly lower but still acceptable rating. On average, participants graded the training as good to moderate. The trainers displayed a high to very high acceptance of the SWIS content, hypnotherapy, trainer aptitude and group dynamics. Based on these results, the first hypothesis can be confirmed.

The training’s feasibility was reflected in the participants’ high attendance and low dropout rates. Similarly, the trainers evaluated the frame conditions, instructions and co-trainers positively, likewise indicating SWIS feasibility from the trainers’ perspective.

After the SWIS training, sleep improved on nearly all outcome measures. Students reported a significantly better sleep quality, including shorter sleep latency and less daytime sleepiness according to the PSQI. The sleep log data showed a reduced number of night-time awakenings after the treatment. As an objective measure, actigraphy results showed tendencies of reduced sleep-onset latency and clinically significant improvements for the duration of night wakings and sleep efficiency. Daytime sleepiness according to the ESS and the chronotype did not change significantly from pre- to post-measurement. However, participants showed cognitive changes as a reduction of rumination and focusing as well as a tendency to have less dysfunctional beliefs about daytime productivity. All in all, the students’ sleep was improved after the SWIS training.

In general, prior to treatment, the students reported an impaired sleep quality and sleep problems, most commonly insomnia symptoms. This is in accordance with current research that indicates reduced sleep quality and more sleep disorders in university students in comparison to the general population.3

After SWIS, the sleep-onset latency decreased in both actigraphy results and subjective ratings (PSQI), which is in accordance with Lichstein et al.49 Most notable, sleep was improved on a subjective basis in sleep questionnaires, but also on more detailed instruments as sleep logs and objective actigraphy. However, some instruments that measured the same aspects of sleep did not concur. These differences might

Table 12 Actigraphy: descriptive statistics and comparisons between pre- and post-measurement in hours. Sleep efficiencies are displayed in percentages (N=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t1, M (SD)</th>
<th>t2, M (SD)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Effect size, d/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time in bed (hours)</td>
<td>8.38 (1.28)</td>
<td>8.29 (1.18)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−3.41</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration (hours)</td>
<td>7.60 (1.18)</td>
<td>7.91 (1.09)</td>
<td>−1.28</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of night waking</td>
<td>46.80 (43.20)</td>
<td>22.20 (17.40)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−1.17</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(minutes)</td>
<td>38.40 (47.40)</td>
<td>13.80 (16.20)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−1.68</td>
<td>0.094†</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep-onset latency</td>
<td>91.56 (7.34)</td>
<td>95.52 (3.11)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−1.14</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(minutes)</td>
<td>29.13 (24.56)</td>
<td>18.27 (12.63)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−1.29</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Duration of night waking describes the discrepancy between time in bed and the sleep duration. Clinically significant results are displayed in bold. †Tendencies (P<0.10).

Abbreviations: M, mean; SD, standard deviation.
could be explained by the fact that students with sleep disorders, especially insomnia, often have a distorted perception of sleep. This misperception leads to an overestimation of their sleep-onset latency and an underestimation of their sleep duration. Disparities between the ESS and the daytime sleepiness from the PSQI can be explained by the different accuracy levels. While the PSQI uses only one item to measure daytime sleepiness, the ESS is a more comprehensive scale with seven items. In any case, daytime sleepiness is more likely to change in patients who suffer from other sleep disorders such as obstructive sleep apnea or narcolepsy than in patients with insomnia. The lack of significant changes concerning the students’ chronotypes may be attributable to the fact that the chronotype is a relatively stable construct in young adults and that changes might need more time.

**Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia**

The content of the SWIS sleep training including CBT-I and HT-I converges with other programs regarding stimulus control, sleep hygiene, relaxation training and cognitive therapy. Based on theoretical constructions, the reflexion of our training is in line with the results of Taylor et al. Both trainings were accepted by the participants and improved sleep quality as well as sleep latency. Despite these similarities, considerable differences between the CBT-I implemented by Taylor and SWIS emerge. While SWIS does not contain bedtime restriction, it offers a great variety of study-related strategies that are not present in other sleep treatments. These strategies include a focus on stress management, sleep in exam periods, problem solving and the HT-I elements.

In contrast to Taylor et al, the SWIS treatment led to improvements in objective sleep measures (actigraphy), like a reduced duration of night wakings, reduced sleep onset latency and higher sleep efficiency. Furthermore, the participants of the SWIS training reported an improved daytime sleepiness according to PSQI. On the one hand, the differences in daytime sleepiness may be caused by methodical disparities, as Taylor et al used a specific questionnaire to assess daytime sleepiness (ESS), while the current study used the ESS as well as the PSQI subscale. On the other hand, a study that investigated side effects of psychotherapy found that sleep restriction results in objectively reduced total sleep time, increased daytime somnolence and impaired vigilance. Therefore, the additional positive effects of SWIS on objective measures and daytime sleepiness may be attributable to the elements that are missing (ie, stress management) as well as the elements that are included in Taylor’s CBT-I (ie, bedtime restriction).

Most important, the CBT-I concept of Taylor was designed to treat only insomnia, while the SWIS sleep training treats insomnia disorder, nightmares and irregular sleep–wake type according to DSM-5, enabling a wider range of implementation and effectiveness.

**Strengths and limitations**

The most important advantages of the SWIS sleep training are the great applicability to different sleep disorders and the focus on university students’ lives. Methodological strengths include the additional application of objective measures of sleep and the anonymity of the acceptance assessments.

As this study was a pilot study, the most obvious limitation is the small sample size of only 27 participants. Two aspects relativize this limitation. Firstly, the current study is a feasibility study with the aim of testing the program’s acceptance and seeking first indications of effectiveness. Furthermore, despite the small sample size, significant results for all hypotheses were obtained. Another factor is the quasi-experimental design. Due to the missing control group, the positive effects may be attributable to other factors than SWIS. Finally, the lack of a follow-up measurement impeded the investigation of long-term effects.

**Conclusion and future prospects**

The current feasibility study showed a high acceptance and effectiveness of the SWIS sleep training for university students. The SWIS sleep training scored well on participant and trainer acceptance as well as feasibility. Furthermore, the students’ sleep quality improved significantly, making it a feasible program for university context.
These results strongly support further investigation of the SWIS sleep training, especially regarding important aspects of college students’ life such as study-related impairments and cognitive performance. These could encompass the ability to concentrate as well as different aspects of memory, learning and other neuropsychological faculties. Future evaluations should include the methodological changes indicated by the limitations section, that is, a control group design, follow-up assessments and a larger sample size to expand the generalization of results. Lastly, the improvements in the sleep variables may lead to reduced mental health issues (ie, depression, anxiety, somatization, etc) and a better life quality, which should be assessed in future studies.
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