Risk factors for developing hypoxic respiratory failure in COPD
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Background: Hypoxemia is associated with worse outcomes in COPD. The aim of the study was to investigate the prevalence, incidence, and risk factors of hypoxic respiratory failure (HRF) in COPD.

Patients and methods: This was a longitudinal analysis of data from the Swedish National Register of COPD. HRF was defined as resting saturation ≤88% or long-term oxygen therapy. Risk factors for developing HRF were analyzed using multiple logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis.

Results: A total of 3,061 patients were included; mean forced expiratory volume in 1 second was 1.47 L; mean age was 70 years; and 54% were females. Median follow-up time was 1.8 years (interquartile range 1.3–2.4 years). HRF was present in 43 (1.4%) patients at baseline and 74 (2.4%) patients at follow-up. Among patients without HRF at baseline, 49 (1.6%) developed HRF during follow-up. The risk was highest for patients in Global initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2017 stage IV or groups C or D at baseline. Developing HRF was independently predicted by lower forced expiratory volume in 1 second and lower COPD Assessment Test score, with a c-statistic of 0.84 (95% CI, 0.70–0.91). When the multivariable model used the GOLD 2017 variables stages I–IV and the dichotomized variables frequent exacerbations and COPD Assessment Test ≥10; the c-statistic increased slightly to 0.86 (95% CI, 0.80–0.92; P<0.0001).

Conclusion: In patients with COPD, the prevalence and incidence of HRF was low and was predicted well by more severe airflow limitation and worse health status. The risk is highest in patients with GOLD stage IV and GOLD groups C or D.
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Introduction

Hypoxic respiratory failure (HRF) is associated with adverse health outcomes in COPD: impaired exercise tolerance,1 pulmonary hypertension,2 skeletal muscle dysfunction,3 polycythemia,4 impaired health-related quality of life, increased risk of hospitalization,5 and earlier death.6 Long-term oxygen therapy improves the survival time in patients with severe hypoxemia due to COPD.7,8

Only a few longitudinal studies have investigated the risk factors for development of hypoxemia, reporting low lung function, previous exacerbations, heart failure, pulmonary enlargement on computed tomography, smoking history of >10 pack years, and obesity to be associated with development of hypoxemia.9,10 In addition, lower total lung capacity, lower functional residual capacity, higher resting heart rate, and lower fat mass index were associated with hypoxemia, although not with changes in arterial tension of oxygen (PaO2) over time.11 Subsequently, knowledge on the epidemiology and risk factors of developing HRF, especially for patients in clinical practice, is limited.
The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence, change over time, and factors associated with developing HRF in patients with COPD.

**Patients and methods**

**Study design and subjects**

This was a longitudinal analysis of patients in the Swedish National Register of COPD. The register was started in 2009 and is run on behalf of the Swedish Respiratory Society with governmental funding. Outpatient data are entered at patient visits in both primary care and hospital-based outpatient care, including patient demographics, smoking habits, exacerbations, comorbid conditions, oxygen saturation, and treatment. In the most recent annual report from 2015, the national coverage of all patients with severe and very severe COPD was estimated to be 75%. The calculation was based on a recent population-based study of prevalence in different COPD stages in Sweden.

Inclusion criteria were a ratio of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) to the forced vital capacity (FVC)<0.7, age ≥35 years, and having at least two registered visits (baseline and follow-up) separated by at least 1 year during the period from January 1, 2013 to January 8, 2016.

**Assessments and definitions**

Data were obtained from the Swedish National Register of COPD on sex, age, smoking habits, spirometric FEV₁ and FVC, body mass index (BMI), number of exacerbations and hospitalizations due to exacerbations the recent year, COPD Assessment Test (CAT) score, modified Medical Research Council Score (mMRC) breathlessness score, comorbid heart disease or obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, and pharmacologic treatment. Spirometry values were post-bronchodilator as available, or else pre-bronchodilator values. HRF was defined as oxygen saturation ≤88% or use of long-term oxygen therapy. BMI was categorized as <22, 22.0–29.9, and ≥30, and mMRC score as 0–1, 2, 3, or 4. According to the Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD), the variable frequent exacerbations was defined as having at least two exacerbations or one hospitalized exacerbation in the previous year. High level of symptoms was defined as CAT score ≥10. Disease severity was categorized according to GOLD 2017 as FEV₁ in percentage of the predicted normal (FEV₁/% pred) stages I–IV, and by the presence of symptoms and frequent exacerbations as groups A–D. COPD treatment groups included: long-acting muscarinic antagonists (LAMA), long-acting beta-2-agonists (LABA), combined bronchodilators (LAMA + LABA), combined LABA and inhaled corticosteroids (LABA + ICS), triple therapy (LAMA + LABA + ICS), and other maintenance treatments.

**Statistical analyses**

Patient characteristics were cross-tabulated by the presence of HRF at baseline. The proportions of HRF at baseline and incidence during follow-up distributed by severity of disease were calculated. Among patients without HRF at baseline, risk factors of having developed HRF at follow-up were analyzed using multivariable logistic regression. Factors to be evaluated in the main model were selected based on subject matter knowledge and included sex, age, BMI, FEV₁, number of exacerbations in the previous year, CAT score, heart disease, and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. The predictive ability of the model was assessed as the area under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUC). Analyses were also performed with the CAT score variable removed, replaced by, or combined with the mMRC variable, and in a separate model including FEV₁/% pred, exacerbations, and CAT score, these three variables were analyzed as ordinal or dichotomized variables according to GOLD 2017. Finally, the influence of COPD treatment groups, in univariable logistic regression and as further adjustment to the main multivariable model, was investigated. A two-sided P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Ethics**

Patients included in the National Register of COPD are informed using oral information from the caregivers and by pamphlets and announcements at the clinics. Oral informed consents were obtained at inclusion from all patients. The study protocol was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board of Gothenburg (DNr 393-15). The Regional Ethical Review Board of Gothenburg did not require written informed consent to be obtained from the participants because registration implied consent if not specifically expressed otherwise by the patients and the database used for analyses was anonymous to the authors of the study.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

In total, 3,061 patients were included. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 70 years; 55% were females; the mean FEV₁ was 1.47 L; mean CAT score was 14; and patients had 0.7 exacerbations during the previous year. The median follow-up time from baseline was 1.8 years (interquartile range 1.3–2.4 years).
Incidence and risk factors of developing HRF

The incidence of HRF was highest in patients with GOLD 2017 stage IV and of groups C and D (Figure 2). In univariate logistic regression, lower FEV₁, higher number of exacerbations in the previous year, more symptoms (higher CAT or mMRC score), presence of BMI < 22, and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome were associated with increased risk of HRF.

In multivariable logistic regression, lower FEV₁ and higher CAT score remained independent risk factors for developing HRF (Table 2). The predictive ability of the model was high, with an AUC of 0.84 (95% CI, 0.70–0.91). Replacing the number of exacerbations with the variable of frequent exacerbations according to GOLD in multivariable logistic regression did not change the findings (data not shown). With removal of CAT score from the multivariable model, development of HRF was still associated with FEV₁ (odds ratio [OR] 0.08; 95% CI, 0.03–0.20; P<0.0001) and also with a higher number of exacerbations in the previous year (OR 1.27; 95% CI, 1.10–1.46; P=0.001).

Higher mMRC score was associated with development of HRF in univariable analysis (Table 2). However, when adjusting for both CAT and mMRC scores in multivariable analysis, mMRC was no longer a risk factor for HRF (Table 2).

When the GOLD 2017 categorization of CAT score, exacerbations in the previous year, and FEV₁% pred were used as independent variables, the AUC of the model was 0.84 (95% CI, 0.78–0.89) and increased slightly to 0.86 (95% CI, 0.80–0.92), as shown in Figure 3, with further adjustment for the confounders in Table 2.

Maintenance treatment with triple inhaled therapy was statistically significantly associated with developing HRF. None of the treatment alternatives LAMA, LABA, LAMA + LABA or LABA+ ICS at baseline, or having received physiotherapy, structured education, influenza or pneumococcal vaccinations, were associated with development of HRF.
Additional adjustment with inhaled triple therapy to the main multivariable logistic regression models did not substantially change the results (data not shown).

**Discussion**

**Main findings**

The main findings are that the prevalence and incidence of HRF in patients with predominantly moderate to severe COPD are relatively low, and that the risk of developing HRF is increased in patients with lower lung function and lower health status.

**What this study adds**

Only a few studies have reported on the prevalence and incidence of HRF in COPD. In a cross-sectional analysis of the COPDGene cohort, the prevalence of severe resting hypoxemia, defined as saturation \( \leq 88\% \), was as much as 8%,\(^{16}\) while the proportion of patients with HRF in the UPLIFT trial was 2%.\(^{17}\) As the proportion of patients with hypoxemia increases by severity of airflow limitation, our prevalence of 1.4% at baseline could be consistent with the fact that we have included all COPD stages I–IV. The fact that the incidence of HRF is highest in patients with GOLD stage II, III, or IV may be consistent with the fact that we have included all COPD stages I–IV. The fact that the incidence of HRF is highest in patients with GOLD stage II, III, or IV may be consistent with the fact that we have included all COPD stages I–IV.

**Figure 2** Development of hypoxic respiratory failure by severity of disease at baseline.

**Note:** Percentages of hypoxic respiratory failure at follow-up, distributed over GOLD stages I–IV and GOLD groups A–D.

**Abbreviation:** GOLD, Global initiative for chronic Obstructive lung Disease.

**Table 2** Risk factors for incident hypoxic respiratory failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Univariable logistic regression</th>
<th>Multivariable logistic regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.92 (0.52–1.61)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (per 1 year)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.98–1.05)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV(_1) (per 1 L)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.04–0.17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT score (per 1 point)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.08–1.18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;22</td>
<td>0.41 (0.21–0.78)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22–29</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq 30 )</td>
<td>0.74 (0.35–1.56)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease</td>
<td>0.89 (0.35–2.25)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apnea syndrome</td>
<td>3.59 (1.08–11.9)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMRC(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.65 (0.57–4.79)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.99 (1.08–8.29)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.4 (5.03–25.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Results from logistic regression with hypoxic respiratory failure at follow-up as the dependent variable. *The estimates of mMRC are from univariable analysis, and multivariable analysis with all independent variables above and with further adjustment for mMRC.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; CAT, COPD assessment test; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 second; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; OR, odds ratio; Ref, reference category.
 Spirometric stage IV and in patients with low health status and GOLD groups C and D supports the relevance of the recent refined GOLD assessment tool \(^{15}\) to identify patients at risk for HRF.

The finding that lower lung FEV\(_1\) is associated with development of HRF is consistent with a Norwegian longitudinal study.\(^9\) This study however adds important longitudinal data on the epidemiology and risk factors of HRF in clinical practice, and adds the novel finding that lower health status, assessed using CAT, is independently associated with increased risk of developing HRF in COPD.

Interestingly, exacerbation frequency did not predict the risk of developing HRF when adjusting for confounders, including CAT score. This is in contrast to what was found in the COPDGene study, which, however, did not include health status.\(^{10}\) When CAT score was removed from our main model, we also found a statistically significant association of higher number of exacerbations with developing HRF. The incidence of HRF was highest in GOLD stage IV and group D, but was higher in group C (where all patients have frequent exacerbations) than in group B (where all patients have low health status). We speculate that higher exacerbation frequency and lower health status are both markers for more severe disease, and the effect of exacerbations was captured by reduced health status. Continuous CAT score may also be a more granular and sensitive variable of developing HRF than the total exacerbation frequency in the previous year. Replacing the number of exacerbations with the variable of frequent exacerbations according to GOLD in multivariable logistic regression did not change the finding that lower CAT score, but not exacerbations, predicted development of HRF. However, when the GOLD variables GOLD stage I–IV, frequent exacerbations, and dichotomized CAT score were used in the main model, frequent exacerbations was the most predictive variable. Indeed, this model had strong predictive ability with the highest AUC of 0.86 (95% CI, 0.80–0.92). The AUC is a measure of goodness of fit for binary outcomes in a logistic regression model. In clinical studies, an AUC of 0.5 means that the model is no better at predicting than chance; values over 0.8 are often interpreted as a strong model and values over 0.9 as an excellent model. An AUC of 1 means that the model perfectly predicts who will experience the outcome and who will not.\(^{18,19}\) Thus, all our models had strong predictive ability. The AUC for the model with GOLD stages I–IV, frequent exacerbations and the dichotomized CAT score was 0.84, and this increased only slightly to 0.86 with further adjustment for other confounders. Thus, the refined 2017 GOLD assessment tool seems very suitable to predict development of HRF.

Strengths and limitations

The major strengths of our study are the national multicenter longitudinal design with data from clinical practice in both primary and secondary care, and that we included more patients than any previous longitudinal study of HRF in clinical practice. Limitations are that hypoxemia was based on pulse oximetry as data on arterial blood gases were unavailable, and that no detailed data on nocturnal desaturation, effort desaturation, total lung volumes, diffusing capacity, and compliance to interventions were available. In addition, the follow-up was relatively short and varied between 1 and almost 4 years. The wide range in follow-up was explained by the use of first and last registered visits in order to get as long follow-up time as possible.

Implications

The clinical implication of our results is that monitoring of saturation and arterial blood gases in COPD patients is of major importance, not only in patients with low lung function but also in patients with high frequency of exacerbations or low health status. In clinical practice, the 2017 refined assessment tool with GOLD stages I–IV and GOLD groups A–D appears as a clinically useful tool also for identifying patients with risk of developing secondary HRF.
In our study, having triple inhaled therapy was a marker for more severe disease and did not influence the development of HRF. Future studies in larger populations are needed to address the question whether different pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions can prevent development of hypoxemia.

Conclusion
The prevalence and incidence of HRF in COPD is low. More severe airflow limitation and worse health status are associated with a higher risk of developing HRF over time, and the risk is highest in patients with GOLD stage IV and GOLD groups C or D.
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