Esophageal motility after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy
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Background: Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) modifies the upper gastrointestinal tract motility. Controversial data currently exist. The aim of the study was to evaluate esophageal motility before and after LSG.

Patients and methods: Morbid obese patients scheduled for LSG underwent reflux symptoms evaluation and manometry preoperatively and postoperatively. The preoperative and postoperative results were compared and analyzed.

Results: Eighteen patients were enrolled. Heartburn and regurgitation improved in 38.9% and 11.1% of the patients, but deteriorated in 11.1% and 27.8% of the patients, respectively. Lower esophageal sphincter (LES) total length decreased postoperatively (p=0.002). Resting and residual pressures tended to decrease postoperatively (mean difference [95% confidence interval]: −4 [−8.3/0.2] mmHg, p=0.060; −1.4 [−3/0.1] mmHg, p=0.071, respectively). Amplitude pressure decreased from 95.7±37.3 to 69.8±26.3 mmHg at the upper border of LES (p=0.014), and tended to decrease at the distal esophagus from 128.5±30.1 to 112.1±35.4 mmHg (p=0.06) and mid-esophagus from 72.7±34.5 to 49.4±16.7 mmHg (p=0.006). Peristaltic normal swallow percentage increased from 47.2±36.8 to 82.8±28% (p=0.003). Postoperative regurgitation was strongly negatively correlated with LES total length (Spearman’s r=−0.670). When groups were compared according to heartburn status, statistical significance was observed between the groups of improvement and deterioration regarding postoperative residual pressure and postoperative relaxation (p<0.002, p<0.002, respectively). With regard to regurgitation status, there was statistically significant difference between groups regarding preoperative amplitude pressure at the upper border of LES (p<0.056).

Conclusion: Patients developed decreased LES length and weakened LES pressure after LSG. Esophageal body peristalsis was also affected in terms of decreased amplitude pressure, especially at the upper border of LES. Nevertheless, body peristalsis was normalized postoperatively. LSG might not deteriorate heartburn. Regurgitation might increase following LSG due to shortening of LES length, particularly in patients with range of preoperative amplitude pressure at the upper border of LES of 38.9–92.6 mmHg.
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Introduction

Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is an effective procedure for the treatment of morbid obesity. The technical ease of the technique has increased its popularity among surgeons and patients. LSG includes dissection of the stomach at a distance varying from 2 to 6 cm from the pylorus to the gastroesophageal junction in order to remove...
the largest part of the stomach and, especially, the fundus. Thus, LSG creates a gastric tube along the lesser curvature.

These anatomic alterations are anticipated to affect the physiology and motor function of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Specifically, the sleeve is distinguished in two functionally different sections: a mainly passive sleeve and an accelerated antrum. The sleeve is characterized by low distensibility and high intraluminal pressure. These parameters may aggravate the gastroesophageal reflux. By contrast, increased intragastric pressure may accelerate gastric emptying and in turn ameliorate any reflux. A recent systematic review of the effects of LSG in gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) showed conflicting results and mentioned a multifactorial correlation between GERD and LSG. Thus, patients after LSG may develop symptoms that require further physiological assessments.

Even though there is evidence that LSG affects gastric motility, there is a paucity of data regarding the postoperative esophageal motility. Manometry provides a measurable tool to monitor the esophageal motility alterations.

The aim of this prospective study was to evaluate the esophageal motility alterations following LSG. The correlation of manometric findings with reflux symptoms was also examined, aiming to determine whether the motility parameters replicated the symptoms.

Patients and methods

Patients who underwent to LSG at University Hospital of Larissa were enrolled in this prospective study. The Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital of Larissa approved this study. The patients provided written informed consent to participate in this research.

The inclusion criteria for surgery were set according to the 1991 NIH consensus criteria for bariatric surgery. The exclusion criteria for surgery included sweeters, patients with severe psychiatric disorders, drug addicts, alcoholics and patients with a high operative risk. Sweeter described individuals who consume sweets or sugar-added liquids more than 150 kcal per portion at least three times per week.

During the preoperative evaluation, an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed. The esophagitis severity was graded according to the Los Angeles classification. The surgical technique was the same for all the patients and has been previously described in detail. Briefly, under the guidance of a 36F bougie, a sleeve was created. The dissection started 5 cm from the pylorus. Preoperative and postoperative use of proton pump inhibitors was not indicated.

Patients were evaluated for reflux symptoms preoperatively and postoperatively using a reflux symptoms questionnaire, validated to the native language, as previously reported. Heartburn, regurgitation and vomiting symptoms were classified according to a grading scale (Figure S1).

Esophageal manometry was performed preoperatively and postoperatively using eight-channel water-perfused system that was introduced transnasally and positioned intragastrically with the patient in the supine position after 8 hours of fasting. Any medications with possible effects on esophageal motility were discontinued 5 days prior to the manometry. The manometry data were recorded using the POLYGRAM NET™ (Medtronic A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark) esophageal manometry application. The data were reviewed, and measures were collected from the data files for statistical analyses.

The lower esophageal sphincter (LES) was located using a stationary pull-through technique with the patient in the supine position. Esophageal body peristalsis was evaluated with 10 consecutive wet swallows of a 5 mL bolus of water at room temperature, which was separated by 30-second intervals with the patient in the supine position. The esophageal contractions were analyzed for amplitude pressure, onset velocity, peak velocity, time propagation and duration at the upper border LES, distal esophagus and mid-esophagus. The esophageal contraction progression was classified into two types: peristaltic normal and abnormal. Esophageal contractions that showed a continuous progression down to the lower esophagus were considered as normal. Normal peristalsis was defined as esophageal contraction exhibiting amplitudes >30 mmHg at recording sites positioned 3 and 8 cm above LES.

The upper esophageal sphincter (UES) was also studied qualitatively, and the coordination between pharyngeal contractions and cricopharyngeal UES relaxation was assessed.

The preoperative and postoperative reflux symptoms were correlated with the manometric findings. The patients were divided into groups according to reflux status (improvement, deterioration and consistency).

Statistical analysis

The data were expressed as numbers, mean values, standard deviations, medians and interquartile ranges. Frequencies and percentages were used for categorical data. A normality test was performed using Shapiro–Wilk test and normal probability plots.

Changes in symptoms were examined using the paired t-test or Wilcoxon test in case of normality violations or
ordinal data. The reflux symptoms were correlated with the manometric findings using Spearman’s rank correlation (Spearman’s $r$). Comparisons between groups with regard to reflux symptoms status were performed using analysis of variance with post hoc Bonferroni corrections as an adjustment for multiple comparisons.

All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS statistical package, version 16.0.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). All tests were two-sided. A $p$-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

Eighteen patients were included in the study. Ten of them were women, and eight were men. The mean age was 40.7±8.1 years (range 30–56 years). The mean preoperative body mass index (BMI) was 46.3±5.6 kg/m² (range 38–58 kg/m²). The mean postoperative BMI was 31.1±4.3 kg/m² (range 24–40 kg/m²). BMI reduced significantly postoperatively ($p<0.0005$). The patients were evaluated preoperatively and at median interval of 7 months (6–15 months) postoperatively. Regarding complications, the treatment of one patient was converted to an open laparotomy due to bleeding.

**Gastroscopic findings**

The gastroscopic preoperative evaluation findings are reported in Table 1.

**Reflux symptoms**

Alterations in reflux symptoms were evaluated. Heartburn symptoms improved in seven patients (38.9%), nine patients had no changes (50%) and symptoms deteriorated in two patients (11.1%). Regurgitation improved in two patients (11.1%), 11 patients had no changes (61.1%) and regurgitation worsened in five patients (27.8%). No patient experienced vomiting (Figure 1).

**Manometric findings**

Coordination between pharyngeal contraction and UES relaxation was observed in all patients.

**LES manometric data**

The LES total length was reduced in 13 patients (72%) but remained unaffected in five patients (28%) postoperatively. A decrease in the total LES length proved to be statistically significant after LSG ($p=0.002$). There was a trend toward decreased resting pressures ([mean difference, 95% confidence interval, CI] $-4$ mmHg $[-8.3/0.2]$, $p=0.060$) and residual pressures ([mean difference, 95% CI] $-1.4$ mmHg $[-3/0.1]$, $p=0.071$). In contrast, the relaxation percentage (%) increased from 78.6±7.8 to 84.8±10.6 postoperatively without reaching statistical significance (Figure 2).

The esophagus body manometric data

The amplitude pressure decreased significantly from 95.7±37.3 to 69.8±26.3 mmHg at the upper border LES ([mean difference, 95% CI] $-25.8$ mmHg $[-45.7/6]$, $p=0.014$), while it tended to decrease in the distal esophagus from 128.5±30.1 to 112.1±35.4 mmHg ([mean difference, 95% CI] $-16.3$ mmHg $[-33.4/0.8]$, $p=0.060$) and in the mid-esophagus from 72.7±34.5 to 49.4±16.7 mmHg ([mean difference, 95% CI] $-23.4$ mmHg $[-38.9/7.8]$, $p=0.006$) (Figure 3).

Preoperatively, no patient fell under the category of ineffective esophageal motility. The majority of preoperative peristaltic abnormalities were hypertensive contractions. Furthermore, the peristaltic normal swallow percentage increased significantly from 47.2±36.8 to 82.8±28 ([mean difference, 95% CI] $+35.6$ [13.9/57.1], $p=0.003$) (Figure 4).

**Correlations between the reflux symptoms and manometric findings**

The absolute reflux changes were correlated with the manometric findings and are presented in descending order in Table 2.
The postoperative reflux symptom values were correlated with the manometric findings to determine if these parameters reflected the presented symptoms. Postoperative heartburn was significantly correlated with the mid-esophagus duration (Spearman’s $r=0.415$, $p=0.087$). The postoperative regurgitation was strongly negatively correlated with the total LES length (Spearman’s $r=-0.670$, $p=0.002$) and the upper border LES peak velocity (Spearman’s $r=-0.494$, $p=0.037$). Additionally, it was positively correlated with the resting pressure (Spearman’s $r=0.532$, $p=0.023$), the distal esophagus propagation time (Spearman’s $r=0.489$, $p=0.039$) and the mid-esophagus duration (Spearman’s $r=0.454$, $p=0.059$).

When groups were compared according to heartburn status, statistical significance was observed between the groups of improvement and deterioration regarding postoperative residual pressure and postoperative relaxation ($p<0.002$, $p=0.126$).
Discussed in the present study. Interestingly, the amplitude pressure was reduced at the upper border LES, distal and mid-esophagus after LSG, especially at the upper border LES. Postoperatively, also the authors showed that the time propagation and duration increased in all parts of esophagus, especially at the upper border LES. From the physical point of view, it is anticipated that when amplitude pressure decreased, time propagation and duration increased compensating the weakened LES in order to create a new balance. It seems that esophagus is less distensible for longer periods of time following LSG. Whether the motility of esophagus is increased or reduced, it needs further evaluation with a gamma camera. The aforementioned alterations in parameters, such as amplitude pressure, time propagation and duration, might

Correlation of absolute changes of heartburn and regurgitation symptoms with the manometric findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive correlation</th>
<th>Negative correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spearman’s r</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartburn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-esophagus onset velocity</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual pressure</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper border LES peak velocity</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of distal esophagus</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude pressure of upper border of LES</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal esophagus onset velocity</td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual pressure</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude pressure of mid-esophagus</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propagation time of distal esophagus</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: LES, lower esophageal sphincter.
also create a new harmony in peristalsis and thus might also explain why the peristaltic normal swallow percentage increased after LSG. So far it is known that obesity is related to increased gastroesophageal pressure gradient leading to increased resistance to esophageal transit. Thus, obese patients present with hypercontractile pattern in the esophageal body.26 The improvement of the peristalsis after LSG might also be attributable to weight loss due to the abdominal pressure reduction. However, there is a paucity of data in the literature concerning the effect of weight loss on esophageal peristalsis. Further pathophysiological studies are needed to answer this issue.

The association between the manometric findings and the reflux symptoms was examined aiming to determine whether the motility parameters replicated the reflux symptoms. The strongest negative correlation was exhibited between the total LES length and postoperative regurgitation. Thus, the regurgitation deterioration may be correlated with a shortening of the total LES length. Focusing on the group of patients in which regurgitation deteriorated after LSG, we showed that preoperative amplitude pressure at the upper border of LES was statistically significantly different compared to the group in which regurgitation improved. Patients with improved regurgitation had high preoperative values at a mean of 172.8 mmHg, while patients with deteriorated regurgitation had lower mean values of 70.6 mmHg. It seems that regurgitation might increase following LSG due to shortening of LES length, particularly in patients with a range of preoperative amplitude pressure at the upper border of LES of 38.9–92.6 mmHg.

Focusing on the group of patients in which heartburn deteriorated, there was no supportive data to predict patients with deterioration of heartburn preoperatively. According to this study, the sleeve was characterized by the motility pattern of decreased residual pressure and increased percentage relaxation, which is consistent with the clinically decreased heartburn postoperatively. By contrast, patients in whom heartburn deteriorated presented with increased residual pressure and reduced percentage relaxation postoperatively. Thus, it seems that LSG might not deteriorate heartburn.

Limitations of this study include a small sample size and the paucity of pH-metry in our institution. Patients felt uncomfortable with manometry and refused to undergo this difficult procedure. However, this was a prospective study that included objective clinical and physiological reflux symptom assessment. The data could be useful for a systematic review. Further studies are required in order to clarify the effects of sleeve gastrectomy on esophageal motility.

Conclusion
The patients developed decreased LES length and weakened LES pressure after LSG. Esophageal body peristalsis was also affected in terms of decreased amplitude pressures, especially at the upper border LES. Nevertheless, the body peristalsis was normalized. LSG might not deteriorate heartburn. Regurgitation might increase following LSG due to shortening of LES length, particularly in patients with a range of preoperative amplitude pressure at the upper border of LES of 38.9–92.6 mmHg.
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Heartburn
0: no symptoms
1: <2 episodes per week
2: >2 episodes + proton pump inhibitors
3: esophagitis grade >II (Los Angeles classification)
4: complications (stenosis, ulcer, Barrett’s esophagus)

Regurgitation
0: no symptoms
1: <2 episodes per week
2: >2 episodes per week, no medication
3: >2 episodes per week + medications (i.e., prokinetics)

Vomiting
0: no symptoms
1: <2 episodes per week
2: > episodes per week

Figure S1 Reflux symptoms assessment questionnaire