Effect of the nanoformulation of siRNA-lipid assemblies on their cellular uptake and immune stimulation
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Abstract: Two lipid-based nanoformulations have been used to date in clinical studies: lipoplexes and lipid nanoparticles (LNPs). In this study, we prepared small interfering RNA (siRNA)-loaded carriers using lipid components of the same composition to form molecular assemblies of differing structures, and evaluated the impact of structure on cellular uptake and immune stimulation. Lipoplexes are electrostatic complexes formed by mixing preformed cationic lipid liposomes with anionic siRNA in an aqueous environment, whereas LNPs are nanoparticles embedding siRNA prepared by mixing an alcoholic lipid solution with an aqueous siRNA solution in one step. Although the physicochemical properties of lipoplexes and LNPs were similar except for small increases in apparent size of lipoplexes and zeta potential of LNPs, siRNA uptake efficiency of LNPs was significantly higher than that of lipoplexes. Furthermore, in the case of LNPs, both siRNA and lipid were effectively incorporated into cells in a co-assembled state; however, in the case of lipoplexes, the amount of siRNA internalized into cells was small in comparison with lipid. siRNAs in lipoplexes were thought to be more likely to localize on the particle surface and thereby undergo dissociation into the medium. Inflammatory cytokine responses also appeared to differ between lipoplexes and LNPs. For tumor necrosis factor-α, release was mainly caused by siRNA. On the other hand, the release of interleukin-1β was mainly due to the cationic nature of particles. LNPs released lower amounts of tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin-1β than lipoplexes and were thus considered to be better tolerated with respect to cytokine release. In conclusion, siRNA-loaded nanoformulations effect their cellular uptake and immune stimulation in a manner that depends on the structure of the molecular assembly; therefore, nanoformulations should be optimized before extending studies into the in vivo environment.
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Introduction

In oligonucleotide-based therapeutics, antisense oligonucleotides, small interfering RNAs (siRNA) and aptamers are currently regarded as a new class of agents for remediating hard-to-treat conditions such as cancer, inflammation, and hereditary diseases.1–5 When considering oligonucleotide-based therapeutics, there are several challenges that currently limit the clinical use of siRNA; 1) naked siRNA is unstable in the circulation due to the presence of serum RNase A-type nucleases and due to rapid renal clearance; 2) siRNA can induce immunogenicity, toxicity or off-target gene silencing; and 3) anionic and hydrophilic structures within siRNA prevent its diffusion across cell membranes.6–9 Due to advances in siRNA delivery technology, the development of siRNA-based therapeutics has rapidly progressed with a growing number of current clinical trials.10–14
Materials and methods

Materials

The following lipids were purchased from NOF Co. (Tokyo, Japan): 1,2-dioleloyxy-3-dimethylaminopropane (DODMA), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylethanolamine-N-(polyethylene glycol-2000) (PEG-DSPC), 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycéro-3-phosphocholine (DSPC) and cholesterol. Alexa647-labeled PKN3 siRNAs (sense, 5′-AGACUUGAGGACUUCGAGCAA-3′; anti-sense, 5′-UGUCAGGAAGUCCUCAGUC-3′) were synthesized by GeneDesign (Osaka, Japan). 3′,3′-dihexascyloxyacarbocyanine perchlorate (DiOC16) was purchased from Molecular Probes Inc. (Eugene, OR, USA), whereas chlorpromazine hydrochloride, nystatin and cytochalasin D were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Alexa488-labeled cholera toxin B was purchased from Molecular Probes Inc. Ribonuclease A (RNase A) was purchased from Epicentre Biotechnologies (Madison, WI, USA). Proteinase K was purchased from TAKARA BIO INC. (Shiga, Japan). All other reagents used were of analytical grade and were used without further purification.

Preparation of lipoplexes

Lipoplexes were prepared as described previously. Cationic liposomes were composed of the following lipids: DODMA, PEG-DSPC, DSPC and cholesterol in a molar ratio of 50:1.5:10:38.5. Lipids were dissolved in 3 mL ethanol and then 70.5 μL 1 N HCl and 6.93 mL distilled water were added to this mixture (total lipids: 7.8 mg/mL). Cationic liposomes were prepared by extrusion through polycarbonate membrane filters (final pore size: 0.05 μm, Liposan, Avestin, Ottawa, ON, Canada) to obtain particles with diameters of ~60 nm. The cationic liposomes were then complexed with Alexa647-labeled siRNA through electrostatic interaction at various N/P ratios (the nitrogen/phosphate [N/P] molar ratio of cationic and anionic charge carriers). Ethanol concentration was reduced to 5% by adding distilled water. Samples were subjected to dialfiltration against 300 mL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (10 wash volumes) with an Amicon centrifugal ultrafiltration device (MWCO 10,000 Da, Merck Millipore, Tullagreen, Ireland), and sterilized with Acodisc 0.2-μm syringe filters (Advantec, Tokyo, Japan).

Preparation of LNPs

LNPs were prepared as reported by Jeffs et al. An Alexa647-labeled siRNA solution was prepared in 10 mL citrate buffer (20 mM, pH 4.0) to achieve an siRNA concentration of 0.1125 mg/mL. An ethanolic lipid solution was prepared by dissolving DODMA, PEG-DSPC, DSPC, and cholesterol in a molar ratio of 50:1.5:10:38.5 (total lipids: 3.9 mg/mL for N/P ratio of 5), and was added to citrate buffer (20 mM, pH 4.0) to achieve an ethanol concentration of 90 vol%. The siRNA aqueous solution and the lipid aqueous ethanolic solution were mixed together to form particles embedding Alexa647-labeled siRNA. The particles were then immediately diluted with 20 mM citrate buffer containing 300 mM NaCl (pH 6.0). The diluted particles were then incubated at 37°C for 30 min prior to the removal of unencapsulated siRNA by charged membrane filtration using a mustang Q coin filter (Pall Co., Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Ethanol concentration was reduced to 5% by adding distilled water. Samples were concentrated with an Amicon centrifugal ultrafiltration device and subjected to dialfiltration against 300 mL PBS. Finally, samples were sterilized with Acodisc 0.2-μm syringe filters.
Particle size and zeta potential measurements
Size distribution of particles and zeta potential were measured in distilled water with Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). Mean size was determined based on the intensity results. All measurements were performed in triplicate.

Chemical analysis of siRNA and lipid concentrations
The concentrations of Alexa647-labeled siRNA in lipoplexes and LNPs were determined by gradient ion-pair reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using an Xbridge OST C18 column (2.1×50 mm, 2.5 µm) set at 60°C. A linear gradient starting at 95% mobile phase A (100 mM hexafluorosopropanol solution, 8 mM trimethylamine)/5% mobile phase B (methanol) and ending at 70%/30% over 20 min and a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min were used. The amount of Alexa647-labeled siRNA was determined using a peak area count at 260 nm and calculated from an external Alexa647-labeled siRNA standard curve.

Concentrations of the four lipids in lipoplexes and LNPs were determined by gradient reverse-phase HPLC using an Xbridge C8 column (4.6×250 mm, 5 µm) set at 60°C, and 15 min linear gradient from 100% mobile phase A (50% isopropyl alcohol, 20% ammonium acetate [10 mM, pH 7.0], 30% water) to 100% mobile phase B (80% isopropyl alcohol, 20% ammonium acetate [10 mM, pH 7.0]) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. A corona-charged aerosol detector (Corona RS Ultra) (Thermo Scientific, Chelmsford, MA, USA) was used for lipid detection. Individual lipid concentrations were determined from the area count of the elution peak and calculated using an external lipid standard curve.

Concentrations of the four lipids in lipoplexes and LNPs were determined by gradient reverse-phase HPLC using an Xbridge OST C18 column (2.1×50 mm, 5 µm) set at 60°C. A linear gradient starting at 95% mobile phase A (100 mM hexafluorosopropanol solution, 8 mM trimethylamine)/5% mobile phase B (methanol) and ending at 70%/30% over 20 min and a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min were used. The amount of Alexa647-labeled siRNA was determined using a peak area count at 260 nm and calculated from an external Alexa647-labeled siRNA standard curve.

Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)
In the same way as for the cryo-TEM experiments, measurements for lipoplexes and LNPs were performed at an N/P ratio of 5 (siRNA: 31 µM) by a SAXS method. All experiments were carried out at Shinshu University using a SAXSess camera (Anton Paar, Austria) in an extended q-range of 0.05–28 nm⁻¹. Samples were filled into a vacuum tight quartz capillary cell. Measured intensities were calibrated for transmission and background scattering was subtracted. A model-independent collimation correction procedure was performed by relying on Lake algorithm. Finally, the SAXS profiles in 0.05≤ q/nm⁻¹ ≤8 were further analyzed by the Indirect Fourier Transformation technique.

Cell culture
HeLa cells (JCRB Cell Bank, Osaka, Japan) or human monocyteic cells (THP-1, JCRB Cell Bank) were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Gibco) or Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were grown at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Cytotoxicity of lipoplexes and LNPs
HeLa cells were seeded in 96-well cell culture plates (5.0×10⁴ cells/well) and incubated in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 24 h. The medium in the cell culture dish was then exchanged with 100 µL fresh DMEM containing lipoplexes or LNPs (siRNA: 1, 10, 100 and 1,000 nM) in the presence of 10% FBS. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, cells were washed twice with Dulbecco’s PBS (DPBS, Gibco). Cell viability was tested using a watersoluble tetrazolium salt (WST)-8 assay kit (CCK-8; Dojindo).
Molecular Technologies, Inc., Osaka, Japan), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After removal of DPBS, a 100 µL WST-8 solution (CCK-8:DMEM = 1:10) was added to each culture followed by a 4 h incubation period. The absorbance of each sample was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Benchmark Plus, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc, Hercules, CA, USA). Cell viability was calculated from the following equation (2):

\[
\% \text{ cell viability} = \frac{(\text{Abs.} [\text{cells} + \text{lipoplexes or LNPs} + \text{WST}]) - (\text{Abs.}[\text{WST}])}{(\text{Abs.}[\text{cells} + \text{WST}]) - (\text{Abs.}[\text{WST}])} \times 100\%
\]

where Abs. and WST represent the absorbance value and WST-8 concentration.

**Cellular uptake of lipoplexes and LNPs containing Alexa647-labeled siRNA**

HeLa cells were seeded in a 35-mm glass-bottom cell culture dish (1.0×10⁴ cells/dish) and incubated in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 24 h. Cells were then washed twice with DPBS and 1 mL fresh Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS, Gibco) containing lipoplexes or LNPs (siRNA: 100 nM) was added. After incubation at 37°C for various periods (0.5, 1, 2, 6, 12 and 24 h), cells were washed twice with DPBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Cells were observed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (FV1000; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The overall distribution of siRNA was monitored using Alexa647-labeled siRNA with an excitation wavelength of 635 nm (Alexa647). Average intracellular fluorescence intensity was measured with ImageJ (US National Institutes of Health, MD, USA). Then the fluorescence intensity per unit cell number was evaluated.

HeLa cells (1.0×10⁵ cells) were seeded onto a 24-well plate and incubated in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 24 h. Cells were then washed twice with DPBS and 1 mL HBSS containing lipoplexes or LNPs (siRNA: 100 nM) was added. After incubation at 37°C for 1 h, cells were harvested and washed with PBS. Then cells were monitored by flow cytometry using a BD LSRSFortessa X-20 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Intracellular colocalization of siRNA and lipids carriers**

To evaluate intracellular colocalization of siRNA and lipid carriers, lipoplexes and LNPs were prepared with an Alexa647-labeled siRNA at an N/P ratio of 5 and the lipophilic dye DiO, to monitor both siRNA and lipid carriers, respectively. DiO was incorporated to a level of 1 mol % of total lipid in both lipoplexes and LNPs. HeLa cells were seeded in a 35 mm glass-bottom cell culture dish (1.0×10⁵ cells/dish) and incubated in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 24 h. Cells were then washed twice with DPBS and then 1 mL PBS containing lipoplexes or LNPs at an N/P ratio of 5 (siRNA: 100 nM) was added. PBS contained glucose (1.0 mg/mL), CaCl₂ (0.14 mg/mL) and MgCl₂·6H₂O (0.18 mg/mL). After incubation at 37°C for 1 h, cells were washed twice with DPBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Cells were observed in the same way as mentioned above. The overall distribution of DiO was monitored at an excitation wavelength of 473 nm.

**RNase protection assay of siRNA in lipoplexes and LNPs**

To evaluate RNase protection of siRNA, lipoplexes and LNPs diluted with HBSS were mixed with 25 µL 30 U/mL Ribonuclease RiboShredder RNase Blend. Mixtures were then incubated at 37°C for 1 h (siRNA: 1.25 µM). The reaction was terminated by addition of 5 µL proteinase K. siRNA concentrations in lipoplexes and LNPs at an N/P ratio of 5 were determined by the HPLC methods mentioned above. An equivalent amount of free siRNA was used as a control to check RNase activity. The residual ratio of siRNA was calculated from the following equation (3):

\[
\text{Residual ratio of siRNA} = \frac{\text{Concentration of siRNA with RNase}}{\text{Concentration of siRNA without RNase}} \times 100\%
\]

**Inhibition studies of endocytosis**

HeLa cells were seeded in a 35-mm glass-bottom cell culture dish (1.0×10⁵ cells/dish) and incubated in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 24 h. Cells were washed with DPBS and then treated with 1 mL DMEM containing inhibitors of endocytosis such as chlorpromazine, nystatin or cytochalasin D (each concentration was 10 µg/mL) for 30 min. After cells were washed twice with DPBS, they were treated with 1 mL HBSS containing lipoplexes (siRNA: 1 µM) or LNPs (siRNA: 100 nM) with each inhibitor (10 µg/mL). After incubation at 37°C for 1 h, cells were washed twice with DPBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Cells were observed in the same way as mentioned above. For colocalization studies, HeLa cells (1.0×10⁵ cells) were treated with 1 mL HBSS containing lipoplexes (siRNA: 1 µM) or LNPs (siRNA: 100 nM) together with Alexa488-labeled cholera...
toxin B (5 µg/mL). After incubation at 37°C for 1 h, cells were processed for microscopy as above.

Immune stimulation
The mature macrophage-like state was induced in THP-1 cells (4.0×10⁶ cells/mL) by treating with a 100 nM phorbo 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) solution in dimethyl sulfoxide for 24 h in 12-well plates. PMA was washed off with DPBS and cells were then treated with lipoplexes or LNPs (siRNA: 100 nM). After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, cells were centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 5 min and the supernatants were collected to evaluate amounts of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) released, using a Duoset enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Alternatively, cells were washed twice with DPBS, and TritonX-100 (0.5% in DPBS) was then added to lyse the cells. Intracellular concentrations of lipoplexes and LNPs were determined fluorometrically by measuring siRNA in the lysate with a fluorescence plate reader (excitation and emission wavelengths of 650 and 690 nm, respectively; SpectraMax PARADIGM, Molecular Devices, Japan). The protein concentration of the lysate was determined by a standard protein assay (Pierce 660 nm Protein Assay: Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).30 Cellular uptake efficiencies of lipoplexes and LNPs were evaluated as ng Alexa647-labeled siRNA per µg protein.

Statistical analysis
The student’s t-test was applied in the analysis of RNase protection assay. The Aspin–Welch test was applied in the comparison of cellular uptake of Alexa647-labeled siRNA evaluated by flow cytometry. Inflammatory cytokine production was analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s multiple-comparisons test. Statistically significant differences were identified when \( P<0.05 \).

Results
Preparation and characterization of lipoplexes and LNPs
Particle size, polydispersity and zeta potential were measured using Malvern Zetasizer. As shown in Table 1, particle size and zeta potential changed depending on the N/P ratio. Cationic liposomes used for lipoplexes were prepared by an extrusion method using polycarbonate membrane filters (final pore size: 0.05 µm) before complexation with siRNA. The resulting cationic liposomes were 60 nm in diameter and exhibited a zeta potential of ~40 mV. As previously reported,15,25 size was found to increase slightly on complexation with siRNA molecules and zeta potentials also decreased accordingly. Particle size showed a maximum at N/P ratio of 5. On the other hand, the zeta potential increased from 10 to 29 mV as N/P ratio increased from 2 to 10. The above trends were similar to those reported elsewhere.31,32 While LNPs were prepared in one step, siRNA and lipid solutions were mixed together. LNP sizes were 90–120 nm smaller than those of lipoplexes and were similar to those reported using the same method.19,33 As with lipoplexes, LNP size showed a maximum at an N/P ratio of 5 and then decreased at an N/P ratio of 10. The zeta potential increased from 12 to 33 mV as the N/P ratio increased from 2 to 10. LNPs showed a similar trend to lipoplexes, though they showed higher potential values than lipoplexes at each N/P ratio.

Cryo-TEM
Lipoplexes observed on cryo-TEM appeared to be aggregated and deformed in the aggregate (Figure S1A and C). They seemed larger than LNPs, corresponding to the particle size results obtained with Zetasizer. On the other hand, LNPs appeared to adopt more compact and solid structures, which were dispersed, thereby maintaining an isolated spherical structure, rather than an aggregated form (Figure S1B and D).

Table 1 Particle size and zeta potential of lipoplexes and LNPs reported as mean ± SD (n=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Loading N/P value</th>
<th>Particle size (d.nm) ±SD</th>
<th>PdI</th>
<th>Zeta potential (mV) ±SD</th>
<th>Measured N/P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lipoplexes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>145.6±3.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>10.3±2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>149.2±0.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>14.6±0.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>136.5±0.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>29.3±1.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNPs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>114.3±0.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>11.5±4.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>117.2±1.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>25.1±0.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97.9±0.8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>32.5±2.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cationic liposomes</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.1±0.8</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>43.6±2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Lipoplex sizes were slightly larger than those of LNPs at each N/P ratio. Zeta potentials of LNPs were more positively charged than those of lipoplexes. Cationic liposomes were prepared before forming lipoplexes.

Abbreviations: N/P, nitrogen/phosphate molar ratio; LNPs, lipid nanoparticles; PdI, polydispersity index.
Small-angle X-ray scattering
The diffraction maxima $q=1 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ for both lipoplexes and LNPs at an N/P ratio of 5 indicated a lamellar organization with a periodicity of $d=6.9 \text{ nm}$ ($d=\frac{2\pi}{q}$) (Figure S2A). The average diameters of lipoplexes and LNPs at an N/P ratio of 5 were calculated to be ~80 and 65 nm, respectively (Figure S2B).

Cytotoxicity of lipoplexes and LNPs
Cytotoxicity against HeLa cells was evaluated for lipoplexes and LNPs at an N/P ratio of 5. The rate of viability was assessed using a WST reduction assay.\(^5\) No cytotoxicity was evident over the concentration range of siRNA used (1–1000 nM), corresponding to a DODMA concentration range of 0.24–240 nM (Figure S3).

Cellular uptake of lipoplexes and LNPs containing Alexa647-labeled siRNA
To analyze cellular uptake of siRNA-loaded carriers, a red-fluorescent (Alexa647)-labeled siRNA was monitored by fluorescence microscopy. HeLa cells were treated with lipoplexes or LNPs containing 100 nM Alexa647-labeled siRNA at an N/P ratio of 5, for up to 24 h. As shown in Figure 1, the amount of siRNA delivered by LNPs was significantly greater than that by lipoplexes. The Alexa647-labeled siRNA delivered by LNPs was detected intracellularly 30 min after adding sample to the cells and the fluorescence intensity per unit cell number showed a maximum in 12 h. Same as LNPs, the fluorescence intensity of siRNA delivered by lipoplexes was saturated after 12 h, but the values achieved were about 4 times lower than those obtained with LNPs. It showed the same trend when evaluated by flow cytometry. Mean fluorescent intensity of LNPs showed much higher than that of lipoplexes at an N/P ratio of 5 and a dose of 100 nM siRNA for 1 h (Figure S4). In both LNPs and lipoplexes, cellular uptake efficiency increased when the N/P ratio was raised from 2 to 10 (Figure S5). However, the average intracellular fluorescence intensity of siRNA delivered by LNPs was much higher than that by lipoplexes at each N/P ratio. In comparison, free Alexa647-labeled siRNA incubated with HeLa cells for 1 h, exhibited no fluorescence, either within cells or in the extracellular medium, suggesting that siRNA was not internalized and was removed by washing (Figure S6).

Intracellular colocalization of siRNA and lipids carriers
Particle size, polydispersity and zeta potential were not significantly changed after incorporation of DiO into both lipoplexes and LNPs at an N/P ratio of 5 (Table S1). HeLa cells were treated with lipoplexes or LNPs for 1 h (siRNA: 100 nM). Fluorescence microscopy results indicated that intracellular dynamics of siRNA and DiO differed completely between lipoplexes and LNPs (Figure 2). Both siRNA and DiO were efficiently taken up by cells in the case of LNPs, and the intracellular colocalization of these compounds was confirmed. On the other hand, intracellular intensity of the DiO signal in lipoplexes was weaker than that in LNPs; that of siRNA in lipoplexes was much weaker. These data correspond to the results shown in Figure 1, indicating that the uptake of siRNA was very weak 1 h after the addition of lipoplexes to the cells.

RNase protection assay of siRNA in lipoplexes and LNPs
We first confirmed that naked siRNA was completely degraded by RNase during a 1 h incubation at 37°C after the addition of RNase. We then evaluated the residual ratios of siRNA in lipoplexes and LNPs under the same conditions. As shown in Figure 3, almost 100% of the siRNA remained in LNPs, while ~80% remained in lipoplexes. It is suggested that siRNA in the LNPs was completely protected against RNase while siRNA in the lipoplexes was partially degraded.

Inhibition studies of endocytosis
To study the mechanism of endocytosis, HeLa cells were pretreated with chlorpromazine, an inhibitor of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), nystatin, an inhibitor of caveolae-mediated endocytosis (CvME), or cytochalasin D, an inhibitor of macropinocytosis, as indicated in methods. Because the cellular uptake efficiency of lipoplexes was much lower than that of LNPs (as shown in the above cellular uptake experiments), HeLa cells were treated with lipoplexes at an siRNA dose of 1 µM, which is 10 times higher than the dose used in the case of LNPs. Chlorpromazine did not inhibit the internalization of either lipoplexes or LNPs (Figure S7). In the case of lipoplexes, cellular uptake of siRNA was weakly reduced on treatment with nystatin or cytochalasin D, suggesting that internalization was driven by both CvME and macropinocytosis. On the other hand, in the case of LNPs, cellular uptake of siRNA was strongly reduced on treatment with nystatin, indicating that cellular uptake with the LNPs was predominantly driven by CvME. In colocalization studies, we used Alexa488-labeled cholera toxin as a marker of CvME. In the case of LNPs, the intracellular colocalization of Alexa488-labeled cholera toxin with Alexa647-labeled siRNA suggested that internalization of LNPs was driven by CvME (Figure S8). On the other hand,
in the lipoplexes case, the intracellular localization of siRNA and cholera toxin was not completely merged, indicating that lipoplexes were partially taken up by CvME. These observations agreed with the evaluation using inhibitors. Interestingly, it was confirmed that in both lipoplexes and LNPs, the addition of nystatin inhibited localization of siRNA near cell surface regions, while the addition of cytochalasin D inhibited the localization of siRNA near central regions of the cell; thus, different uptake pathways influenced intracellular behaviors of siRNA (Figure S7).

**Immune stimulation**

It has been reported that cellular uptake of siRNA-loaded nanoformulation induced activation of the innate immune response. To evaluate the inflammatory cytokine response mediated by lipoplexes or LNPs, cellular uptake efficiency by
THP-1 cells and amounts of TNF-α and IL-1β released from cells were measured. Similar to the cellular uptake behavior of HeLa cells, LNPs internalized siRNA molecules into THP-1 cells at significantly high efficiencies when compared with lipoplexes (Figure 4A). The extents of TNF-α release triggered by lipoplexes or LNPs were higher than those obtained with cationic liposomes that did not carry siRNA (Figure 4B). Furthermore, the amounts released by both lipoplexes and LNPs increased with decreases in N/P ratio, indicating that the release of TNF-α was mainly caused by siRNA; this was because the amount of siRNA loaded per particle became larger as the N/P ratio decreased. The amounts of IL-1β release caused by lipoplexes or LNPs are shown in Figure 4C. Cationic liposomes caused a greater release of IL-1β than the negative control (PBS), to the same level as the adenosine triphosphate positive control. Moreover, when the N/P ratios of lipoplexes increased, the amount of IL-1β increased almost to the same level as with the cationic liposomes, indicating that the release of IL-1β was mainly caused by the cationic properties of particles.

**Discussion**

In this study, we prepared 2 types of siRNA-loaded carriers: lipoplexes and LNPs, with similar particle sizes, using lipid components of the same composition. Since these carriers were thought to form molecular assemblies of differing structures, the impact of structure on their cellular uptake and immune stimulation was evaluated. Lipoplexes were
formed by adding siRNA to preformed cationic liposomes 60 nm in diameter; the resulting lipoplexes were found to be 130–150 nm in diameter, which was slightly larger than that of LNPs (100–120 nm). These results were corroborated by SAXS measurements: again, diameters were similar, though size estimates were smaller, namely 80 nm for lipoplexes and 60 nm for LNPs. SAXS measurements also indicated that both lipoplexes and LNPs at an N/P ratio of 5 exhibited lamellar organizations inside the particles, suggesting that both particles adopted similar structures even though their diameters differed. In addition, the number of correlated bilayers in lipoplexes seemed higher to some extent in view of the sharper interference peak located at ca. 1 nm⁻¹. Thus, it is suggested that the structure of lipoplexes cannot be described simply in terms of attachment of siRNA to the liposomal surface, but is considered to adopt a lamellar structure as reported elsewhere.³⁵–³⁸ Aggregation of deformed particles was observed in lipoplexes by examination of cryo-TEM data, while LNP particles exhibited stable spherical structures. These differences may be explained by differences in zeta potentials. In fact, measured N/P values of LNPs were slightly higher than those of lipoplexes at loading N/P ratios of 5 and 10 (Table 1). However, even at the loading N/P ratio of 2, where the measured N/P value in lipoplexes was higher than that in LNPs, the zeta potential of LNPs was higher than that of lipoplexes. Considering the above discussion, it is suggested that the siRNA molecules of lipoplexes were more localized on the particle surface than those of LNPs, resulting in the lower zeta potential and aggregation tendency.

The efficiencies of siRNA uptake by HeLa cells or THP-1 cells differed between lipoplexes and LNPs. We found that cellular uptake of LNPs incorporating siRNA was significantly higher than that of lipoplexes. As described above, the measured N/P value was higher in LNPs than in lipoplexes at an N/P ratio of 5; therefore, the greater numbers of positive LNPs were considered to reflect higher cell internalization.³²,³⁹ However, as shown in Figure S5, regardless of N/P ratios and zeta potentials, LNPs showed markedly high intracellular uptake in comparison with lipoplexes, which is thought to be due to the structural differences between particles. We hypothesized that the cellular uptake mechanism would therefore be different. In this experiment, we stained siRNA and lipid carriers separately and evaluated their intracellular dynamics. In the case of LNPs, both Alexa647-labeled siRNA and DiO were taken up 1 h after addition of LNPs to the cells and showed colocalization, indicating that both were incorporated together into the cells in a co-assembled state. However, only small amounts of DiO and siRNA uptake were observed in the case of lipoplexes. Under conditions of comparable DiO fluorescence intensity, it was clear that lipoplexes delivered smaller amounts of siRNA to cells than LNPs (Figure 2B), suggesting that siRNA in lipoplexes was partially dissociated from the particles. Compared with siRNA in LNPs, siRNA in lipoplexes is thought to be more likely to localize on the particle surface; therefore, siRNA tended to dissociate under the influence of divergent cations dissolved in the medium.¹⁹,³¹

In support of the points discussed above, RNase was used to investigate the localization of siRNA within the 2 nano formulations. The greater degradation of siRNA in lipoplexes by RNase suggested that a proportion of siRNA bound to the surface of the lipoplexes (as reported elsewhere³⁵) would be dissociated and digested by RNase. Therefore, the results herein indicated that the lipoplexes were more susceptible to the external environment of the particles, and were thus considered to be less favorable as a carrier of siRNA than the LNPs from the viewpoint of administration for in vivo evaluation. Since a variety of uptake pathways into cells are known for siRNA-loaded carriers and since intracellular behavior should depend on such pathways, we evaluated the uptake mechanisms in lipoplexes and LNPs using endocytosis inhibitors. Non-viral gene vectors, including siRNA-loaded carriers cannot easily pass through the cell membrane because of their size or hydrophilic nature, and are taken up by an endocytotic route.⁴⁰–⁴² Endocytosis is roughly divided

Figure 3 RNase protection assay of siRNA in lipoplexes and LNPs diluted with HBSS.

Notes: After addition of RNase to samples, mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 1 h (siRNA: 1.25 µM). The reaction was terminated by the addition of 5 µL proteinase K. Almost 100% of the siRNA remained in LNPs, whereas 80% of the siRNA remained in lipoplexes. The student’s t-test was performed. *P<0.05 Lipoplexes versus LNPs.

Abbreviations: HBSS, Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution; LNPs, lipid nanoparticles; siRNA, small interfering RNA.
into pinocytosis, so-called cell drinking, and phagocytosis, so-called cell eating. Phagocytosis is an actin-based uptake mechanism mainly handled by phagocytes, such as macrophages. In contrast, pinocytosis is a common uptake mechanism for all cells that can be further divided into 4 possible processes: CME, CvME, macropinocytosis and other clathrin- and caveolae-independent endocytoses. Endocytosis is known to be greatly affected by the size of the particles: CME, 100–120 nm; CvME, 50–100 nm; macropinocytosis, ~5 μm; and clathrin- and caveolae-independent endocytosis, 40–50 nm. The mechanisms whereby lipoplexes and LNPs are internalized are not well understood and seem to be affected by their physicochemical characteristics and the nature of the target cells. Specific inhibitors for each pathway are also known: chlorpromazine for CME, nystatin for CvME, and cytochalasin D for macropinocytosis.

Two groups have reported internalization, trafficking and endosomal escape of siRNA delivered by LNPs composed of different lipids. Sahay et al reported that LNPs composed of synthetic lipidoid C12-200 were internalized into HeLa cells by macropinocytosis when monitored with Alexa647-labeled siRNA. Gilleron et al studied LNPs with siRNA that was labeled by either fluorescent dyes or gold nanoparticles and evaluated their trafficking in different cells. LNPs were found to enter the cells through both macropinocytosis and CME. For the lipoplexes and LNPs used in our study,
cellular uptake of LNPs was inhibited by nystatin, indicating that CvME was the major route. On the other hand, cellular uptake of lipoplexes was inhibited by nystatin and cytochalasin D, indicating both CvME and macropinocytosis as the major routes. Cellular uptake of the cationic liposomes used to prepare lipoplexes was inhibited by nystatin and cytochalasin D (data not shown); thus, the cellular uptake mechanisms of lipoplexes, where a part of siRNA would be dissociated from the particle surface in particular media conditions, is suggested to be related to the cationic liposomes.

In this study, the use of inhibitors produced certain interesting cell internalization behaviors. In lipoplexes, when nystatin was added, siRNA molecules were distributed in the central regions of cells and not distributed near the peripheral regions of the cell membrane. Distribution in the former manner would be due to macropinocytosis, while the latter manner would occur via CvME that was inhibited by nystatin. When cytochalasin D was added, siRNA distribution showed a ring-like structure namely, the central regions were dark, suggesting that siRNA molecules were internalized by CvME and macropinocytosis was inhibited. This is because caveolae are highly stable, and CvME is considered to be a very slow process in comparison with macropinocytosis. In summary, lipoplexes would not be internalized effectively by CvME and the contribution of macropinocytosis would be relatively increased. On the other hand, LNPs were actively internalized by CvME. We consider that cholesterol, which is a known requirement for CvME, locates near the surface of LNPs and can be recognized preferentially by CvME receptors; however, further detailed analyses are needed to confirm this. From this study, the nanof ormulations obtained by different preparation methods influence mechanisms of cellular uptake or changes to intracellular dynamics, which would also affect efficacy profiles. As with cellular uptake efficiency, intracellular dynamics of siRNA and lipids, especially endosome escape efficiency, would be factors that greatly affect the onset of efficacy. We are currently studying endosome escape and cytosol delivery of siRNA after cell internalization of LNPs.

Regarding inflammatory cytokine responses against lipoplexes and LNPs, the amounts of TNF-α and IL-1β released showed different profiles. Judge et al reported that lipid-complexed siRNA induced inflammatory cytokines through the activation of toll like receptors (TLRs) 3, 7 and 8, whereas naked siRNA did not. This indicates that the inflammatory response is related to cell internalization of siRNA-loaded carriers because these TLRs are known to localize on the endosomal membrane. On the other hand, Kedmi et al reported cytokine release, including both TNF-α and IL-1β by positively charged lipid nanoparticles via stimulation of TLR4 in addition to immune stimulation by nucleic acids, and TLR4 is known to localize on the cell surface. In this study, because the released amount of TNF-α was higher as the N/P ratio was lower, TLRs 3, 7, and 8 in the cells that recognize the nucleic acid were likely to be involved. However, the involvement of TLR4 could not be denied. In contrast, siRNA does not appear to be responsible for the release of IL-1β. Stimulated release of IL-1β by lipoplexes is postulated to be a result of the cationic nature of particles because of which siRNA is dissociated from the lipid carriers when incorporated into THP-1 cells. Therefore, it is thought that the cationic nature of liposomes stimulates TLR4 and increases the amount of IL-1β released, even if the uptake efficiency of lipoplexes into the cells is low. Therefore, it is necessary to consider toxicity of siRNA-loaded nanof ormulations, including immune stimulation by both siRNA and the cationic nature of particles and also to consider preparation methods.

**Conclusion**

Most of studies performed focused on the types of cationic lipid used and the composition of the preparation affect intracellular uptake or toxicity. In this study, we prepared 2 types of nanof ormulations (lipoplexes and LNPs) with similar particle sizes from the same components with the same composition using different preparation methods, and evaluated the impact of their structures on cellular uptake and immune stimulation. LNPs showed higher cellular uptake efficiency of siRNA than lipoplexes; however, there were no significant differences in physicochemical properties when dispersed in PBS after preparation using lipid components of the same composition. Stability of the lipoplex–siRNA interaction was affected by external environments such as the medium, whereas LNPs were less susceptible to the external environment. Furthermore, LNPs were also better tolerated in terms of reduced cytokine (TNF-α and IL-1β) release in response to siRNA and cationic lipid carriers. LNPs released lower amounts of TNF-α and IL-1β than lipoplexes. Thus, LNPs are considered to be more beneficial siRNA carriers following their intravenous administration.
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