Characteristics of Modic changes in cervical kyphosis and their association with axial neck pain
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Background: The purpose of this study was to evaluate characteristics of Modic changes in cervical kyphosis (CK) and their association with axial neck pain.

Methods: Study participants included 286 asymptomatic or symptomatic patients with CK (mean age = 54.2 ± 12.2 years) who were consecutively enrolled from March 2009 to October 2015. Clinical and radiographic evaluations were performed at a university outpatient department. CK was classified as global type, reverse sigmoid type, or sigmoid type.

Results: There were 138 participants with global type CK, 103 with reverse sigmoid type CK, and 45 with sigmoid type CK. Of the 286 participants, 102 had Modic changes (Modic-1 in 38 segments and Modic-2 in 75 segments). Spinal cord compression grade and disc degeneration occurred more frequently in the group with axial neck pain compared to the group without pain. Angular motion was decreased in those with axial neck pain (mean ± standard deviation [SD] 7.8° ± 4.6°) compared to those who were asymptomatic (mean ± SD 8.9° ± 5.1°; P < 0.001). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, Modic changes were associated with axial neck pain (odds ratio = 5.356; 95% confidence interval = 1.314–12.800; P < 0.001).

Conclusion: Modic changes occur most commonly in association with CK global type and less commonly with reverse sigmoid type and sigmoid type. Modic changes are associated with axial neck pain in patients with CK.
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Introduction

Modic changes, degenerative changes to vertebral endplate and subchondral bone marrow that can be detected by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are strongly associated with degenerative disc disease. Three types of Modic changes can be described based on their histopathology. Modic-1 changes reflect bone marrow edema and inflammation. Modic-2 changes manifest as bone marrow ischemia with conversion of normal red hematopoietic bone marrow to yellow fatty marrow. Modic-3 changes indicate subchondral bone sclerosis.1,2 Many studies of disc degeneration and low back pain suggest strong associations between pain and Modic changes.1–7

Axial neck pain, which is frequently reported by patients as neck pain, stiffness, or dullness, may be caused by several underlying conditions. Degenerative disc disease is also believed to be a significant risk factor for axial neck pain. Previous studies suggest that lesions to the discs, facet joints, and neck muscles may contribute to symptoms.8–10 Furthermore, Modic changes are often observed in people with axial neck pain.9
Cervical kyphosis (CK) affects spinal kinematics and causes spinal cord compression. It is also an important risk factor for accelerating intervertebral disc degeneration. Patients with CK are more likely to develop neck pain and neurologic deficits. It is thought that cervical Modic changes are related to axial neck pain in patients with CK. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the characteristics of Modic changes in patients with CK and their association with axial neck pain.

Methods

This study retrospectively evaluated images from 286 asymptomatic or symptomatic patients with CK (mean age = 54.2 ± 12.2 years; range = 30–70 years) who were consecutively enrolled from March 2009 to October 2015. Patients were eligible for study inclusion if they had or did not have chronic, nonspecific, axial neck pain of at least 3 months duration. To determine whether axial neck pain was associated with Modic changes or CK, we obtained MRI scans for 160 asymptomatic patients who underwent physical examination at a university hospital during the study period. Exclusion criteria were trauma, fracture, infection, rheumatic disease, tumor, and history of cervical surgery.

The cervical discs from C2–C3 to C6–C7 were retrospectively evaluated for all study participants. This study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of The Third Hospital of Hebei Medical University, and all patients provided written informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with approved hospital guidelines.

Radiographic evaluation included X-ray imaging, computed tomography (CT), and MRI of the cervical spine. Two experienced, independent radiologists without knowledge of the clinical outcomes assessed the radiographs. Differences that arose in radiographic interpretation were reconciled by a third, experienced radiologist. Spinal cord MRIs were obtained using a spin echo sequence system for T1-weighted images (T1WIs) and a fast spin echo sequence system for T2-weighted images (T2WIs). A cervical coil was used. Modic changes were classified as Modic-1, Modic-2, Modic-3, and no change. Pfirrmann criteria were used to classify disc degeneration into one of the five grades based on T2WI findings. Angular motion was defined as the intervertebral angle difference between two vertebrae from flexion to extension, as determined by X-ray imaging. Spinal cord compression was graded using the modified method of Hayashi et al: obliteration of the subarachnoid space in the presence of disc herniation, osteophyte formation, or hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum.

Sagittal alignment of the cervical spine (SACS) was defined using the modified method of Ohara et al, wherein CK is classified into three types using sagittal X-ray imaging. Global type CK has all centroids posterior to the C2–C7 centroid line, and the distance from at least one centroid to the line is ≥2 mm (Figure 1). In the reverse sigmoid type, at least one upper cervical centroid is posterior to and at least one lower cervical centroid is anterior to the C2–C7 centroid line, and the distance between the C2–C7 centroid line and at least one centroid is ≥2 mm (Figure 2). For sigmoid type, at least one upper cervical centroid is anterior to and at least one lower cervical centroid is posterior to the C2–C7 centroid line, and the distance from the C2–C7 centroid line to at least one centroid is ≥2 mm (Figure 3).
with Modic classification was excellent (weighted kappa =0.81), and interobserver agreement with Modic classification was substantial (weighted kappa =0.72).

Participants with axial neck pain experienced more severe disc degeneration ($P<0.001$) and worse spinal cord compression compared to those who were asymptomatic ($P=0.023$). Furthermore, angular motion was decreased in the axial neck pain group compared to the asymptomatic group ($P<0.001$).

Using axial neck pain as a dependent variable, multivariate logistic regression was used to explore risk factors. Univariate analysis results for participants with and without axial neck pain are shown in Table 2. Disc degeneration grade, Modic changes, angular motion, and spinal cord compression grade were all significant in univariate analyses at the $P<0.1$ level; these variables were analyzed as dependent variables using a forward stepwise method. In the stepwise analysis, Modic changes were associated with axial neck pain (odds ratio =5.356; 95% CI =1.314–12.800; $P<0.001$). For patients with CK, the highest relative risk for axial neck pain was conferred by the presence of Modic changes. Age, CK type, spinal cord compression grade, angular motion, and disc degeneration were not associated with axial neck pain.

**Discussion**

Previous studies have demonstrated associations between Modic changes and low back symptoms$^{20,21}$ and between Modic changes and lumbar spine kinematics.$^{19,22–24}$ To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has shown a relationship between Modic changes and axial neck pain in CK. Furthermore, our study shows that different CK types may affect cervical spine characteristics and segmental spinal

![Figure 3 Sigmoid type cervical kyphosis with Modic-2 changes in C5–C6.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Axial neck pain</th>
<th>Nonaxial neck pain</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>56.3±11.5</td>
<td>50.5±15.3</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, (M/F), n</td>
<td>68/59</td>
<td>72/87</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD</td>
<td>25.9±4.5</td>
<td>24.5±4.9</td>
<td>0.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (16.5)</td>
<td>28 (17.6)</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of CK, n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global type</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse sigmoid type</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modic changes, n (%)</td>
<td>70 (55.1)</td>
<td>32 (20.1)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc degeneration grade, mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.5±0.6</td>
<td>3.3±0.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal cord compression grade, mean ± SD</td>
<td>1.9±1.2</td>
<td>1.4±1.1</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular motion (°), mean ± SD</td>
<td>7.8±4.6</td>
<td>8.9±5.1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1** Comparison of Modic changes in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modic types</th>
<th>Global type</th>
<th>Reverse sigmoid type</th>
<th>Sigmoid type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modic-1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modic-2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Comparison between axial neck pain group and nonaxial neck pain group in terms of radiographic evaluation

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS software (Version 19.0; Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. The independent $t$-test or Chi-square test was used to identify significant differences between groups. Relevant factors for axial neck pain were analyzed using a multivariate logistic regression model. In all analyses, significance was defined as a $P$-value of <0.05. Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The kappa statistic was used to evaluate the interobserver and intraobserver reliabilities of Modic changes based on MRI findings. Cohen’s kappa coefficients$^{13,14}$ were used to determine the reliability of Modic changes in this study: 0.81–1.0, excellent; 0.61–0.80, substantial; 0.41–0.60, moderate; 0.21–0.40, fair; 0.0–0.20, slight; <0.0, poor.

**Results**

Overall, there were 138 patients in the global type group, 103 patients in the reverse sigmoid type group, and 45 patients in the sigmoid type group. Of the 286 participants with 1430 segments, 102 patients had Modic changes (Modic-1 in 38 segments and Modic-2 in 75 segments). Modic-3 changes occurred more often in patients with global CK compared to other CK types, with Modic-2 changes being the most frequent (but nonsignificant) Modic changes in this study: 0.81–1.0, excellent; 0.61–0.80, substantial; 0.41–0.60, moderate; 0.21–0.40, fair; 0.0–0.20, slight; <0.0, poor.
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Modic changes were related to axial neck pain in patients with CK and that a distribution of Modic changes exists among patients with various CK types. Our study also showed increased spinal cord compression grade, decreased angular motion, and increased disc degeneration in patients with CK and Modic changes compared to patients with CK and non-Modic changes.

The prevalence of cervical spine Modic changes in patients varies from 4.5 to 13.9%, with Modic-2 changes occurring most frequently and Modic-3 and mixed type changes being relatively rare. However, the prevalence distribution of Modic changes is debated, with some authors reporting Modic-1 changes to be most common (16%) and others reporting Modic-2 changes to be the most common. In our study, the prevalence of Modic changes for asymptomatic and symptomatic persons with CK was 35.7%. Moreover, no differences were found with respect to Modic changes for participants with global-, reverse sigmoid-, and sigmoid type CK. Thus, Modic changes were found most commonly among patients with global type CK.

Because this study used X-rays obtained in the upright position but CT and MRI obtained in the supine position, differences in SACS may be due to the differing effect of gravity on the spine in these two positions. However, there was a strong correlation for cervical sagittal alignment parameters between imaging modalities. Modic changes have also been associated with disc degeneration compared to those without Modic changes. Mann et al likewise found a relationship between Modic changes and disc herniation at the same level. In our study, patients with CK and non-Modic changes had increased disc degeneration grade and spinal cord compression with decreased angular motion.

Modic changes have also been associated with disc degeneration, presence of low back pain, and low back pain severity. Although our study showed that Modic changes were associated with axial neck pain in patients with CK, multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that CK type, angular motion, spinal cord compression, and disc degeneration were not risk factors for patients with axial neck pain and CK.

Limitations
The present study has some limitations. Firstly, the lack of a control group (eg, cervical lordosis group) does not allow us to compare axial neck pain between those with CK and those with other cervical disease. Because we sampled patients consecutively, we could not control for variables in patients with CK such as symptom duration. Thus, selection bias may exist. Lastly, Modic changes to the cervical spine are dynamic and different types may convert over time. Therefore, prospective, large-scale, follow-up studies are needed to determine the relationship between CK and axial neck pain.

Conclusion
Modic changes are most common in patients with global type CK, followed by reverse sigmoid type CK and sigmoid type CK. Although Modic changes are associated with axial neck pain in patients with CK, CK type does not appear to influence axial neck pain.
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