An evaluation of the clinical diagnostic value of contrast-enhanced ultrasound combined with contrast-enhanced computed tomography in space-occupying lesions of the kidney
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Background: There are a variety of space-occupying lesions of the kidney, and the benign lesions may be difficult to differentiate from the malignant ones. Therefore, an accurate judgment of the benign and malignant nature of the space-occupying lesions of the kidney is of high importance for the treatment and prognosis of these patients.

Objective: To evaluate the diagnostic value of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) combined with contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) in space-occupying lesions of the kidney.

Data and methods: Three hundred and sixty-seven patients with space-occupying lesions of the kidney (378 lesions) were examined by CEUS and CECT, respectively, then, a combined diagnosis was made after the combination of CEUS and CECT by a multidisciplinary team. The diagnoses from the three methods were compared. The pathological results were taken as the gold standard. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve were calculated for the three methods. Thus, the diagnostic value of the three methods was assessed.

Results: Of the 378 lesions examined, there were 301 malignant lesions and 77 benign lesions. The combined examination revealed 303 malignant lesions, with 9 benign lesions mistakenly diagnosed as malignant ones; thus, the misdiagnosis rate was 11.7%. By using the combined examination, 75 benign lesions were diagnosed, with the missed diagnosis of 7 malignant lesions, so the missed diagnosis rate was 2.3%. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and area under the ROC curve with the combined examination of CEUS and CECT were 97.67% (0.950–0.989), 88.31% (0.785–0.942), 97.03% (0.942–0.985), 90.67% (0.811–0.958) and 0.930 (0.887–0.973), respectively. As compared with either CEUS or CECT alone, the difference in these indicators was of statistical significance (P<0.05). The combined examination greatly improved the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of the diagnosis of the space-occupying lesions of the kidney.

Conclusion: CEUS and CECT each have advantages and disadvantages in the diagnosis of the space-occupying lesions of the kidney. The two techniques can be used in combination to compensate for the respective defects. More salient benefits can be reaped from the combined examination than from either technique used alone.

Keywords: space-occupying lesions of the kidney, CEUS, CECT, combined diagnosis

Introduction
The kidney is one of the most common sites of urinary system tumors.¹ Renal cell carcinoma accounts for 2%–3% of all malignant tumors,² and the number of people...
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Data and methods

Advantages of the two techniques and realize an accurate, operational difficulty, we proposed the combined examination of CEUS and CECT. This method can integrate the advantages of two techniques and realize an accurate, quantitative diagnosis of the space-occupying lesions. CEUS has indicated a comparability of diagnostic accuracy between CEUS, CECT or CEMRI, intravenous pyelography, renal arteriography and contrast-enhanced US (CEUS). Since most space-occupying lesions of the kidney are asymptomatic at an early stage, 70%–80% of the renal tumors are found by ultrasound during routine physical examination. Ultrasound examination is now considered the optimal imaging technique for renal tumors, and it has been already applied extensively in the early screening and routine diagnosis of tumors. However, given the limitations of routine ultrasound, CECT or CEMRI may be needed for further localization and qualitative diagnosis of the space-occupying lesions. CEUS can achieve a real-time, dynamic observation of microvascular perfusion inside and around the lesions. Clinical practice has indicated a comparability of diagnostic accuracy between CEUS, CECT and CEMRI.

In order to increase the diagnostic accuracy in the space-occupying lesions of the kidney and to reduce the cost and operational difficulty, we proposed the combined examination of CEUS and CECT. This method can integrate the advantages of the two techniques and realize an accurate, economic, convenient and highly efficient diagnosis.

Data and methods

Subjects

From January 2014 to December 2016, 367 patients with space-occupying lesions of the kidney (excluding those with severe mental illnesses, disturbance of consciousness and allergy to the contrast agent) were included; 378 lesions were examined. This study and the experiment were approved by the ethics committee of The Second Hospital of Jilin University. All patients had intact clinical data and signed the informed consent.

Eighty-nine patients visited the hospital with symptoms, 37 patients had lumbago and soreness of the lower back, 25 patients had hematuria, 12 patients had poor appetite and abdominal discomfort, 8 cases had fever, and 7 cases had urinary tract infection. The lesions were discovered by routine physical examination in the remaining 278 cases (254 cases by routine ultrasound, 19 cases by CT and 5 cases by MRI). Of 367 cases, there were 246 males and 121 females, who were aged 17–91 years old with an average of 53.2±9.7 years. The lesion diameter was 4.9–145.8 mm, with an average of 42.8 mm. The lesions were found in the left kidney in 195 cases, and the lesions were located in the right kidney in 172 cases. All cases received CEUS and CECT before surgery. Of 378 lesions, 372 were pathologically proven by radical or partial nephrectomy. With the consent of the patients, the other 6 lesions of patients with small and deep location of the disease with atypical renal space-occupying lesions were performed pathological biopsy. The research flowchart is available as Figure 1.

Examination

LightSpeed 64-row helical CT scanner and Siemens Emition 16-row helical CT scanner were used. Ultravist (Bayer Schering) containing 300 mg iodine per milliliter was used as the contrast agent. Plain CT scan was first performed for the two kidneys, which was followed by contrast-enhanced scan of the abdomen. On the high-pressure syringe, the flow rate was set to 3.5–4.0 mL/s, with slice thickness of 3–5 mm and an injection dose of 95–100 mL. Three-phase CT scan was performed, with scan in the arterial, venous and pelvic phase lasting for 20–30 s, 45 s and 3–5 min, respectively. ACUSON S2000 Ultrasound System (probe 4C1, frequency 2.5–5 MHz) was used for CEUS; the contrast agents were Bracco and SonoVue. Before CEUS, 5 mL of the normal saline was added into the contrast agent and mixed well by shaking gently. For each scan, 1.2 mL of the diluted contrast agent was taken and the bolus injection was performed in the median cubital vein. First, the tumor size, echoic pattern and blood flow signals were detected by color Doppler ultrasound. The cross sections that showed the lesions most clearly and the most renal tissues were chosen. The distribution of the contrast agent inside the tumor was observed for 3–4 min. The characteristic manifestations of the lesions upon the contrast-enhanced scan and CEUS were observed and recorded. The images were stored for off-line analysis.
Imaging processing and analysis
Two radiologists who had 15–20 years of working experience and were blinded to the clinical symptoms and the pathological results interpreted the images of CEUS and CECT. Under the multidisciplinary team (MDT) treatment plan, consultation was held between the two radiologists and the imaging characteristics were analyzed comprehensively. A diagnosis was made based on the combined examination.

In order to reduce the deviation of subjective judgment, the CEUS and CECT data were analyzed and recorded in detail by two physicians from ultrasound imaging and radiation imaging departments, who must have >15–20 years of working experience and not know the clinical symptoms and pathological results. If two physicians disagree on the diagnosis, they should communicate with each other and analyze by synthesis of its imaging features to make a final diagnosis. Then, applying MDT, diagnostic value of CEUS combined with CT was analyzed and determined by a further selection of another two ultrasound imaging physicians and two radiology physicians.
Statistical process
SPSS 20.0 software was used for statistical analysis. On the time–intensity curves, the parameters were reported as mean ± standard deviation. The difference between the benign and malignant lesions was compared by using the t-test.

The pathological results from surgery or biopsy were taken as the gold standard. The sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for CEUS, CECT and the combined examination, respectively. The diagnoses made by the three techniques were compared by using the McNemar’s test. The diagnostic value of the three methods was assessed by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. The significance level was set to α=0.05, and P<0.05 was considered to be significantly different.

Results
Pathological results of 378 lesions
As confirmed pathologically by biopsy or surgery, there were 301 malignant lesions (79.63%) and 77 benign lesions (11.37%). Table 1 shows the pathological classification of these lesions.

Imaging characteristics of the space-occupying lesions
CEUS manifestations
All 301 malignant lesions were enhanced upon CEUS, with 89.03% (268/301) of the lesions showing hyper-enhancement and 10.97% (33/301) of the lesions showing hypo-enhancement. As to the enhancement pattern, 249 lesions (82.72%) were fast wash-in and fast wash-out; 43 lesions (14.28%) were fast wash-in and slow wash-out; 15 lesions (5.00%) were slow wash-in and slow wash-out.

Table 1 Pathological results of 378 lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cases, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>Clear cell renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>238 (62.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Papillary renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>34 (8.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cystic renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>8 (2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chromophobe renal cell carcinoma</td>
<td>15 (3.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transitional cell carcinoma</td>
<td>6 (1.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Hamartoma</td>
<td>41 (10.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juxtaglomerular cell tumor</td>
<td>2 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal tuberculosis</td>
<td>3 (0.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benign cystic lesion</td>
<td>24 (6.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>5 (1.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oncocytoma</td>
<td>2 (0.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>378 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(93.36%) and 20 lesions (6.64%), respectively. Pseudocapsule was observed in the parenchymal phase in 38.87% lesions (117/301).

Of 77 benign lesions, enhancement was found in 58 lesions (75.32%). There was no enhancement in 19 benign lesions. Of the enhanced lesions, 46 lesions (79.31%) showed hypo-enhancement; 49 lesions (84.48%) were slow wash-in and slow wash-out; 51 lesions (87.93%) showed concentric enhancement and 2 lesions (3.45%) showed pseudocapsules.

Manifestations of lesions upon CECT
In CECT, 159 lesions (52.82%) showed hyper-enhancement; 87 lesions (28.90%) showed iso-enhancement; 48 lesions (15.94%) showed mild enhancement and 7 lesions (2.32%) showed no enhancement. Of 294 enhanced lesions, 249 lesions (82.72%) were fast wash-in and fast wash-out; 43 lesions (14.28%) were fast wash-in and slow wash-out and 15 lesions (2.99%) were slow wash-in and slow wash-out.

Of 77 benign lesions, 11 lesions (14.28%) showed hyper-enhancement upon CECT; 28 lesions (36.37%) showed iso-enhancement; 22 lesions (28.57%) showed mild enhancement and 16 lesions (20.78%) showed no enhancement. Of 44 enhanced lesions, 34 lesions (77.27%) were fast wash-in and slow wash-out; 3 lesions (6.81%) were fast wash-in and slow wash-out and 7 lesions (15.90%) showed persistent hypo-enhancement.

Analysis of quantitative parameters on the time–intensity curves
Qontra X software was used for the quantitative analysis of the scan parameters in the cortex of the benign and malignant lesions of the kidney. The time–intensity curves showing tumor enhancement and clearance were obtained along with the quantitative parameters. It can be seen from Table 2 that the benign lesions took longer time to reach the peak as compared with the malignant lesions. The peak intensity and area under the curve were smaller in the benign lesions.
than in the malignant lesions. P<0.001 was considered significant difference.

Comparison of diagnoses of the space-occupying lesions using the three methods

Taking the pathological results as the gold standard, we compared the diagnoses of space-occupying lesions using the three methods. CEUS revealed 308 malignant lesions, with 20 benign lesions misdiagnosed; thus, the misdiagnosis rate was 6.6%. Seventy benign lesions were diagnosed by CEUS, with missed diagnosis of 13 malignant lesions; the missed diagnosis rate was 4.3%. The CECT diagnosed 304 malignant lesions, with 25 benign lesions misdiagnosed; the misdiagnosis rate was 8.3%. Seventy-four benign lesions were diagnosed by CECT, with missed diagnosis of 22 malignant lesions; the missed diagnosis rate was 7.3%. The combined examination diagnosed 303 malignant lesions, with 25 benign lesions misdiagnosed; the misdiagnosis rate was 8.3%. Seventy-five benign lesions were diagnosed by the three methods were checked against the pathological results. The McNemar χ² test indicated P>0.05 between the three methods, and thus, there was no significant difference in the diagnostic value (Table 3).

Comparison of the diagnostic value of the space-occupying lesions using the three methods

Table 4 provides the ratios (%) of the diagnostic performance and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of the three methods. The sensitivity of the combined examination was 97.67% (0.950–0.989); the specificity was 88.31% (0.811–0.958); the PPV was 97.03% (0.942–0.985) and the NPV was 90.67% (0.811–0.958). These values were all above those using CEUS or CECT alone. The chi-square test showed P<0.05, indicating significant difference.

Comparison of ROC curves with the three methods

The ROC curves were plotted (Figure 2) for the 387 lesions based on the diagnoses made by the three methods and the pathological results. The area under the curve was calculated (Table 5). The area under the ROC curve was 0.930 (0.887–0.973) for the combined combination, which was higher than that of the CEUS (0.849, 0.778–0.909) and CECT (0.803, 0.737–0.868). This means the combined examination had a higher diagnostic value for the space-occupying lesions of the kidney (area >0.9). CEUS or CECT alone had moderate diagnostic value (area >0.7).

Discussion

We included 378 space-occupying lesions of the kidney that were pathologically confirmed and divided into 11 benign and malignant types (five malignant types and six benign types). Only 89 patients (24.25%) showed clinical symptoms. It may be sometimes difficult to differentiate between the benign and malignant lesions of the kidney in clinics. Imaging techniques are considered very helpful in the diagnosis, and routine ultrasound is the preferred choice due to its non-invasiveness.7,8 In this study, the lesions were found by routine ultrasound during routine physical examination in 254 patients.

With the use of contrast agent, thin-slice scan, rapid dynamic scan and 3D reconstruction, the 3-phase scan
Another benefit of CEUS is its ability in quantitative analysis. Based on the time–intensity curves plotted by the acoustic quantitative analysis software, the microcirculatory perfusion of the target tissues can be evaluated quantitatively. The time–intensity curves plotted in this study indicated that the benign lesions took longer time to reach the peak than the malignant lesions; the peak intensity and area under the ROC curve were much smaller in the benign lesions than in the malignant lesions.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and area under the ROC curve for CEUS were 95.68% (0.925–0.975), 74.03% (0.625–0.830), 93.51% (0.899–0.959), 81.43% (0.699–0.894) and 0.849 (0.778–0.909), respectively. Thus, CEUS has a broad application prospect.

Both CEUS and CECT exhibit high sensitivity and specificity in diagnosing space-occupying lesions of the kidney, though each has respective defects. CEUS outperforms the CECT in visualizing the tumor blood supply and also in qualitative diagnosis of renal tumors. CEUS can detect minute, low-speed blood flows and display a higher sensitivity to small vessels than the CECT. CEUS can realize real-time, dynamic imaging of the wash-in and wash-out of the renal tumors and parenchyma; it can be used to observe the tumor vessel perfusion and to differentiate between the cystic and solid tumors at a higher performance than the CECT. Seven patients with benign cystic lesions of the kidney were misdiagnosed by CECT in this study. Nevertheless, CECT provides a more detailed understanding of the inner structure and basic features of the space-occupying lesions by virtue of the 3D reconstruction technique, as well as the anatomic relationship with the surrounding tissues. With CECT, we can make a more accurate diagnosis of the tumors in the retroperitoneum and at a deeper depth. In contrast, CEUS can only depict a single lesion and may be inadequate for multiple lesions, especially in bilateral renal lesions; the kidneys, peri-renal and retroperitoneal conditions, renal veins and inferior vena cava may be not fully visualized. In this study, 2 lesions <1 cm were not detected by CEUS.

### Table 5 Comparison of the area under the ROC curve with the three methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test result variables</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Standard errora</th>
<th>Asymptotic significanceb</th>
<th>Asymptotic 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEUS</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CECT</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined CEUS and CECT</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: aUnder the nonparametric assumption. bNull hypothesis: true area =0.5.

**Abbreviations**: CECT, contrast-enhanced computed tomography; CEUS, contrast-enhanced ultrasound; CI, confidence interval; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; sig, significance; Std, standard.
We proposed the combination of CEUS and CECT in the diagnosis of the space-occupying lesions of the kidney to integrate the benefits of the two. Statistical analysis indicated that the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and area under the ROC curve were 97.67% (0.950–0.989), 88.31% (0.785–0.942), 97.03% (0.942–0.985), 90.67% (0.811–0.958) and 0.930 (0.887–0.973), respectively. The combined approach achieved a considerable improvement of the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in the diagnosis of the space-occupying lesions of the kidney as compared with either CEUS or CECT alone.

CEUS integrates the qualitative and quantitative imaging techniques and achieves a real-time, non-invasive, non-allergic, radiation-free, safe and accurate diagnosis. CECT is less costly and easier to operate and therefore more widely applicable; it is fit for screening for tumors and for observing the peri-renal and retroperitoneal conditions. By combining the two techniques together, we can achieve a more accurate diagnosis of the space-occupying lesions of the kidney than using either alone. This combined approach is worthy of clinical popularization.
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