Coronary stents and vascular response to implantation: literature review
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Abstract: Drug-eluting stents (DESs) have minimized the limitations of bare-metal stents (BMSs) after percutaneous coronary interventions. Nevertheless, serious concerns remain about possible late complications of stenting, such as stent thrombosis (ST) and in-stent restenosis (ISR), although the introduction of second-generation DESs seems to have softened the phenomenon, compared to the first-generation ones. ST is a potentially catastrophic event, which has been markedly reduced by optimization of stent implantation, novel stent designs, and dual antiplatelet therapy. The exact mechanism to explain its occurrence is under investigation, and, realistically, multiple factors are responsible. ISR of BMSs has been previously considered as a stable condition with an early peak (at 6 months) of intimal hyperplasia, followed by a regression period beyond 1 year. On the contrary, both clinical and histologic studies of DESs have demonstrated evidence of continuous neointimal growth during long-term follow-up, named “late catch-up” phenomenon. The acknowledgment that ISR is a relatively benign clinical condition has been recently challenged by evidences which reported that patients with ISR can experience acute coronary syndromes. Intracoronary imaging is an invasive technology that allows identifying features of atherosclerotic plaque of stent implanted and of vascular healing after stenting; it is often used to complete diagnostic coronary angiography and to drive interventional procedures. Intracoronary optical coherence tomography is currently considered a state-of-the-art imaging technique; it provides, compared to intravascular ultrasound, better resolution (at least >10 times), allowing the detailed characterization of the superficial structure of the vessel wall. Imaging studies “in vivo,” in agreement with histological findings, suggest that chronic inflammation and/or endothelial dysfunction may induce late de novo “neoatherosclerosis” inside both BMSs and DESs. So, neoatherosclerosis has become the prime suspect in the pathogenesis of late stent failure.
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Introduction

Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with stent implantation is the most widely performed procedure for the treatment of symptomatic coronary artery disease, and its technology is constantly evolving.¹ Although drug-eluting stents (DESs) have minimized the limitations of bare-metal stents (BMSs), serious concerns remain about possible late complications of stenting, such as stent thrombosis (ST) and in-stent restenosis (ISR).²⁻⁵

If ST is a potentially catastrophic event, the acknowledgment that ISR is a relatively benign condition has been recently challenged by evidences of acute coronary syndromes (ACSs) in patients with ISR.⁴
Today, intracoronary optical coherence tomography (OCT)4–9 is considered the current state-of-the-art imaging technique, providing better resolution compared to intravascular ultrasound (IVUS). Imaging studies “in vivo,”10–12 in agreement with histological findings, showed a “new” mechanism of vascular response after stenting, de novo “neoatherosclerosis” inside both BMSs and DESs.

Coronary stents

History of coronary stents

In 1964, Charles Theodore Dotter and Melvin P. Judkins described the first angioplasty. In 1978, Andreas Gruntzig performed the first balloon angioplasty (plain old balloon angioplasty); it was a revolutionary treatment, but had its own drawbacks of acute vessel closure and restenosis.13 This fueled the discovery of a coronary stent: Puel and Sigwart, in 1986, deployed the first coronary stent, able to provide a scaffold that prevented acute vessel closure and late constrictive recoil.14 Although these initial stents hampered abrupt vessel closure, they caused serious endothelial injury and inflammation. Later, two landmark trials, the Belgian Netherlands Stent trial15 and the Stent Restenosis Study,16 advocated that stenting was safe with the use of dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) and/or adequate technique of deployment.17,18 Following these trials, there was a phenomenal increase in the number of PCI performed.

However, the problem of iatrogenic in-stent neointimal hyperplasia after BMS placement, leading to ISR in 20%–30% of treated lesions, was soon identified. In 2001, DESs were introduced19 to minimize restenosis and requirements for reinterventions. DESs increased the confidence of cardiologists such that more and more complex lesions were treated, earlier considered to be tackled by coronary artery bypass grafting. In 2005, 80%–90% of all PCI were with DESs.

All things have a downside, and since 2005 safety concerns over “first-generation” DESs rise,20,21 and so new generation stents were developed and introduced.22 Since then, efforts to improve stent performance are fulfilled day by day, and novel, surprising technologies are continuously discovered and quickly marketed.

BMSs

BMS is a mesh-like tube of thin wire. After the first experiences with the “Wall” stent, Gianturco–Roubin stent, and the Palmaz–Schatz stent, many different BMSs are now available.

Three different designs are possible: coil, tubular mesh, and slotted tube. The coil design is characterized by metallic wire or strips formed into a circular coil shape; the tubular mesh design features wires wound together in a meshwork forming a tube; the slotted tube design is composed by tubes of metals from which a design is laser-cut. These devices differ from each other with respect to composition (stainless steel, nickel chromium alloy, cobalt chromium alloy), architectural design (different strut patterns and widths, diameters and lengths, radial strength, radiopacity), and delivery system (self-expanding or balloon expandable).

In general, new BMSs are composed by a cobalt chromium alloy, which leads to thinner struts with improved navigability, maintaining the mechanical strength.

First-generation DESs

They comprise a metallic stent platform (typically stainless steel) and are coated with a polymer that elutes antiproliferative and/or anti-inflammatory therapeutic agents.

Sirolimus-eluting stents (SESs)

Sirolimus (also known as rapamycin) was originally designed as an antimycotic agent. Its mechanism of action stems from the complete inhibition of cell cycle progression by blocking G1 to S phase transition, suppressing neointimal formation. In 2001, the “first-in-man” experience with a SES showed promising results, leading to development of Cypher stent.23 Large trials demonstrated its efficacy in preventing ISR.24

Paclitaxel-eluting stents (PESs)

Paclitaxel was initially approved for ovarian cancer, but its potent cytostatic properties – the drug stabilizes microtubules during mitosis causing cell cycle arrest and inhibition of neointimal formation – made it a compound for the Taxus Express PES. TAXUS V and VI trials demonstrated long-term efficacy of PESs in high-risk, complex coronary lesions.25,26 The subsequent TAXUS Liberté featured a more deliverable stainless steel platform.

PESs versus SESs

Conclusive evidences, derived from two systematic reviews and meta-analyses, reported superiority of SESs compared to PESs, due to diminished rates of ISR and target vessel revascularizations (TVRs), coupled with a trend toward increased acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in the PES cohort.27,28

Second-generation DESs

Second-generation devices decreased strut thickness, improved flexibility/deliverability, enhanced polymer biocompatibility/drug elution profiles, with superior re-endothelialization
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kinetics. In contemporary practice, they are state of the art in DES design and the predominant coronary stents implanted worldwide.

**Paclitaxel**
The Taxus Element was a further advancement, with a unique polymer designed to maximize early release and a novel platinum chromium strut system, providing thinner struts and enhanced radiopacity. The PERSEUS trial noted similar outcomes between the Element and Taxus Express up to 12 months. However, trials comparing the Taxus Element with other second-generation DESs are lacking.

**Zotarolimus**
The zotarolimus-eluting stent (ZES) Endeavor is based on a stronger cobalt chromium stent platform, with improved flexibility and decreased strut size. Zotarolimus is a sirolimus analog with similar immunosuppressant action but enhanced lipophilic properties, to enhance vessel wall localization. ZES uses a novel phosphorylcholine polymer coating designed to maximize biocompatibility and minimize inflammation. Most of the drug is eluted during the initial injury phase, thereafter allowing arterial repair. After the first ENDEAVOR trials, the subsequent ENDEAVOR III trial compared ZESs with SESs, with ZES showing greater late lumen loss and ISR but less major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) than SESs. The ENDEAVOR IV trial compared ZESs with PESs and again found higher rates of ISR but fewer AMIs, ostensibly from very late ST in the ZES group. However, the PROTECT trial failed to demonstrate a difference in ST rates between Endeavor and Cypher stent.

The Endeavor Resolute is a refinement of the Endeavor stent with a novel trilayered polymer. The newer Resolute Integrity (sometimes considered as a third-generation DES) is based on a new platform, with improved deliverability (Integrity BMS platform), and a novel, more biocompatible trilayered polymer, which allows suppression of the initial inflammatory response and elution of most of the drug over the next 60 days. Trial comparing the Resolute with Xience V (everolimus-eluting stent [EES]) demonstrated non-inferiority of the Resolute system in terms of death and target lesion failure.

**Everolimus**
Everolimus, a derivative of sirolimus, is also a cell cycle inhibitor, used for the development of the Xience (Multi-link Vision BMS platform)/Promus (platinum chromium platform) EES. The SPIRIT trials demonstrated improved performance and lower MACE with Xience V compared to PESs, while the EXCELLENT trial demonstrated non-inferiority of EESs to SESs in inhibiting late loss at 9 months and clinical events at 12 months. Finally, Xience stent demonstrated superiority compared to BMSs also in the setting of ST-elevation myocardial infarction (MI).

**Novel technologies**

**Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs)**
EPCs are a subset of circulating cells implicated in vascular homeostasis and endothelial repair. Enhancement of EPCs at sites of vascular injury would promote early re-endothelialization, potentially reducing the risk of ST. The first attempt of application of EPC biology in the field of stent design was the CD34 antibody-coated Genous stent, capable of binding circulating EPCs by their hematopoietic marker, to enhance re-endothelialization. Despite initial encouraging studies, recent evidences suggested high TVR rates.

**Bioresorbable polymer stents and polymer-free stents**
Considering the potential deleterious effects of polymer-induced delayed healing, which was correlated to the risk of ST, bioresorbable polymers providing the benefits of a DES, avoiding long-term concerns on persistence of polymer, were explored. To date, different bioresorbable systems are approved for use (eg, Nobori and Biomatrix, biolimus-eluting stent, Synergy, EES, Ultimaster, SES), although literature on long-term outcomes supporting them is limited.

Polymer-free stents are theoretically promising, especially in patients at high bleeding risk.

**Bioresorbable scaffolds**
Bioresorbable materials offer the theoretical advantage of providing mechanical support initially, when elastic recoil is a concern, and reducing long-term risks associated with existing metallic struts. Novel technologies led to the development of a lactic acid-based polymer (poly-L-lactic acid [PLLA]), but numerous stenting systems are under development, though identifying the ideal balance between drug elution and degradation dynamics remains a challenge. The ABSORB trial demonstrated safety and efficacy of the everolimus-eluting PLLA scaffold. The second-generation Absorb scaffold revision is an evolution of the previous one with good 2-year follow-up. The ongoing ABSORB II trial, the first randomized trial comparing the Absorb scaffold with the Xience Prime stent, should give further data, and the first available results are promising. However, ideal setting of...
coronary lesions, optimal technique of implantation, and safety profiles need to be better clarified.

**ST**

**Definition of ST**

The Academic Research Consortium definitions\(^\text{46}\) standardize ST diagnosis (Table 1).

**Clinical presentation of ST**

Thrombosis of both BMSs and DESs has adverse clinical outcome. In a registry of patients who underwent DES implantation,\(^\text{47}\) 24% of ST cases resulted in death, 60% in nonfatal MI, and 7% in unstable angina. PCI for emergent ST is often suboptimal, and ST recurs in 12% of cases.\(^\text{48}\)

Late ST has a potential adverse clinical outcome. In the BASKET-LATE study, between 6 and 18 months from stenting, rates of cardiac death and nonfatal MI were higher in the DES than in the BMS group (4.9% vs 1.3%, respectively).\(^\text{20}\)

A meta-analysis of nine trials, in which 5,261 patients were randomized to SESs, PESs, or BMSs, reported, over 4 years of follow-up, increased rates of very late ST for both SESs (0.6% vs 0%, \(p = 0.025\)) and PESs (0.7% vs 0.2%, \(p = 0.028\)) compared to BMSs.\(^\text{49}\) Conversely, in a meta-analysis including 5,108 patients,\(^\text{21}\) a 60% relative increase in death or MI \((0.6\% \text{ vs} 0\%, p = 0.025)\) and PESs (0.7% vs 0.2%, \(p = 0.028\)) compared to BMSs was reported, whereas PESs were associated with a nonsignificant increase of 15% (follow-up over 9 months to 3 years).

**Incidence of ST**

**First-generation DESs**

Many registries, randomized trials, and meta-analyses have investigated the relative risk for ST after BMS and DES implantation and reported conflicting results. In a registry of 6,906 patients who received BMS or DES, there was no difference in clinical outcomes or ST rate over 1-year follow-up.\(^\text{48}\) In another registry of 8,146 patients, a persistent excess ST risk of 0.6%/year was found compared with BMSs.\(^\text{49}\) A meta-analysis from trials comparing SESs or PESs versus BMSs suggested an increased risk of mortality and MI with first-generation DESs compared to BMSs,\(^\text{21}\) while another meta-analysis in which 4,545 patients were randomized to SESs or PESs versus BMSs revealed no difference in rates of ST over 4 years of follow-up.\(^\text{50}\) Additional real-world studies showed an increased risk of late ST and MI in patients treated with first-generation DESs after discontinuation of DAPT.\(^\text{51}\)

In view of the conflicting evidences, several pooled analyses and meta-analyses\(^\text{52}\) collectively established no significant differences in the risk of death or MI between first-generation DESs and BMSs, but an increased risk of very late ST with both SESs and PESs compared to BMSs.

To review the available evidence, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) assigned an expert panel\(^\text{53}\) that released a statement recognizing first-generation DESs as effective for on-label indications, acknowledging a small but significant increased risk of very late ST. As a consequence, FDA and societies recommended lengthening the DAPT period to 1 year, although little data support this statement.

**Second-generation DESs**

Second-generation DESs have been developed with advanced design features, as previously described. CoCr-EESs have undergone the most extensive clinical investigation. In a meta-analysis by Baber et al.,\(^\text{54}\) which included 17,101 patients, CoCr-EESs significantly reduced definite/probable ST and MI compared to PESs, SESs, and ZES after 21 months. Finally, Palmerini et al, in a meta-analysis with 16,775 patients, showed significant reduction of early, late, 1-year, and 2-year definite ST with CoCr-EESs compared to other pooled DESs.\(^\text{55}\) Real-world studies confirmed the reduced risk of ST with CoCr-EESs compared to first-generation DESs.\(^\text{56}\)

Re-ZES has been compared with CoCr-EESs in the RESOLUTE-AC and TWENTE trials.\(^\text{33,57}\) No significant differences in rates of death, MI, or definite ST were apparent between the two stents.

In a network meta-analysis including 49 randomized controlled trials with 50,844 patients,\(^\text{58}\) CoCr-EESs were associated with significantly lower rates of definite ST than BMSs, a result not observed with other DESs; the reduction was apparent not only early and at 30 days (odds ratio [OR] 0.21, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.11–0.42) but also at 1 year (OR 0.27, 95% CI 0.08–0.74) and at 2 years (OR 0.35, 95% CI 0.17–0.69). CoCr-EESs were also associated with

---

**Table 1** Stent thrombosis definitions and classification according to ARC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definite</strong></td>
<td>Acute coronary syndrome with angiographic or pathologic confirmation of thrombus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Probable</strong></td>
<td>Unexplained death within 30 days or myocardial infarction involving target vessel territory without angiographic confirmation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible</strong></td>
<td>Any unexplained death beyond 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timing</strong></td>
<td>Beyond 1 year from stenting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Early</strong></td>
<td>Within 30 days from stenting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Acute: within 24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Subacute: 24 h to 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Late</strong></td>
<td>30 days to 1 year from stenting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data from Cutlip et al.\(^\text{46}\)

**Abbreviation:** ARC, Academic Research Consortium.
lower rates of ST compared to PESs, SESs, and ZESs at 1 year.

**Mechanisms of ST**

**Early versus late/very late ST**

Early ST is related to different factors. Underlying plaque morphology and thrombus burden seem to influence the outcome after PCI.59 deeper struts penetration by necrotic core (NC) prolapse, long medial tear within the stent, suboptimal stenting with residual edge dissections or significant edge stenosis, incomplete apposition, and incomplete expansion of implanted stent may increase the risk of ST.60 Therapeutic regimen of antiplatelet drugs does not substantially influence incidence of early ST: in a randomized trial comparing BMSs with DESs, the rates of acute and subacute ST during DAPT were similar (≤1%).61 So, early ST seems to be primarily related to underlying treated lesions and to procedural factors.

Today, particular attention is focused on late/very late ST. If procedural and technical factors seem to play a major role in the occurrence of acute and subacute ST, mechanisms of delayed thrombotic events seem to be more complex. It has been suggested that some patient characteristics may be risk factors for late and very late ST: diabetes, ACS during the original procedure, renal failure, advanced age, reduced ejection fraction, major cardiac adverse events within 30 days from the original procedure. Procedural variables, such as small vessel size, bifurcations, multivessel disease, calcification, total occlusions, long stents, seem to be associated with the risk of late ST, both for BMSs and for DESs.62,63 Inadequate response to antiplatelet therapy is a major risk factor for late DES thrombosis.53 This response might be due to patient noncompliance, underdosing, drug interactions, comorbidities affecting drug response, genetic polymorphism at the receptor level (particularly for clopidogrel resistance), upregulation of other platelet activation pathways. In-stent neoatherosclerosis is considered an important mechanism of late stent failure, including late ST64 (“In-stent neoatherosclerosis” section). The intact endothelium separates the thrombogenic vessel wall and stent struts from the blood stream and secretes antithrombotic and vasodilator substances. DESs expose the vessel wall to antiproliferative drugs and drug-eluting platforms, with variable effects on endothelial healing and function, with late thrombotic risk.65 Pathological studies showed that the durable polymer of first-generation DESs could result in chronic inflammation, with chronic fibrin deposition, poor endothelial healing, and consequent increased thrombotic risk. Late hypersensitivity reactions to DESs seem to be another mechanism contributing to ST. Virmani et al66 reported autopsy findings after late ST, showing aneurysmal dilation of stented segment with a localized hypersensitivity reaction consisting of T lymphocytes and eosinophils; these findings likely reflect an effect of the non-erodable polymer.67 Malapposition of the stent might result from suboptimal stent expansion or develop months after PCI. Although procedural malapposition is a risk factor for acute and subacute ST, the clinical significance of acquired stent malapposition, which may depend from positive artery remodeling or from drug-induced delayed healing, is controversial.68

**Mechanisms potentially explaining the reduced ST with second-generation DESs**

Protective effect of second-generation DESs likely includes more rapid and complete endothelialization as well as differences in stent alloy and architecture, strut thickness, polymer characteristics, and antiproliferative drug type, dose, and kinetics.

Relative to CoCr-DESs, the thin (81 µm) cobalt chromium stent struts, the thromboresistant fluoropolymer, the low polymer, and drug load may contribute to the low rate of ST. Experimental studies demonstrated that fluoropolymer-coated stents had significantly lower thrombosis and platelet deposition than bare-metal counterparts.69 Whether similar properties are shared by other second-generation DESs deserves future investigations.

**ISR**

**Incidence and timing of ISR**

Coronary stents improved procedural success of coronary interventions, compared to conventional percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA), which was burdened by mechanical complications (vessel occlusion, dissection, etc) and high rate of restenosis (up to 40%–50% of cases). By the end of the 1990s, nearly 70% of PCIs were performed with a BMS implantation.70

However, despite advances in technologies, technique, and medical therapy, the risk of restenosis after BMS implantation is ~20%, with rates of >40% in particular subsets.71 In general, it was demonstrated by clinical studies that the time course of restenosis after BMS implantation, similar to that observed for conventional PTCA, shows a peak at 3–6 months, and a regression period beyond 1 year.72

DESs have further decreased the incidence of ISR,73 although this reduction depends on the angiographic and clinical setting. The polymeric coating on DESs releases anti-inflammatory and antiproliferative agents, which inhibit
neointimal formation, and delay the vessel reparative process by months to years. Continuous neointimal growth during long-term follow-up after DES implantation, named “late catch-up” phenomenon, was observed by clinical and histologic studies.

Pathophysiology of ISR

Vascular injury occurring during PCI produces, over a relatively short time (weeks to months), a complex inflammatory and reparative process, resulting in endothelialization and neointimal coverage. According to histopathologic observations, neointimal hyperplasia after stent implantation (both BMSs and DESs) is mainly composed of proliferative smooth muscle cells in a proteoglycans-rich extracellular matrix.

So, neointimal hyperplasia represents a reparative process, involving coagulation and inflammatory factors and cells that induce smooth muscle cell proliferation and extracellular matrix formation. Immediately after PCI, platelets and fibrin deposit on the vessel wall and recruit leukocytes through a cascade of cell adhesion molecules. Rolling leukocytes attach to adherent platelets through the interaction between the leukocyte integrin Mac-1 (CD11b/CD18) and platelet glycoprotein Ibα or fibrinogen bound to the platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa.

According to emerging data, bone marrow-derived progenitor cells are involved in vascular responses and repair processes. EPCs mobilized from bone marrow into peripheral blood promote endothelial regeneration and postnatal neovascularization. It seems that bone marrow smooth muscle progenitor cells (SMPCs) migrate to the sites of vascular injury, contributing to neointimal proliferation. Previously, CD34-positive cells were believed to be the committed population of EPCs; further study demonstrated that the CD34 surface antigen actually identifies undifferentiated bone marrow-derived stem cells that have the ability to differentiate into EPC and SMPCs. Transdifferentiation of CD34-positive cells into EPC or SMPC lineages depends on the local environment; ischemic conditions induce differentiation toward EPC phenotypes in order to promote re-endothelialization, while inflammatory conditions induce differentiation toward SMPC phenotypes in order to promote neointimal proliferation.

Predictors of ISR

Principal predictors of ISR are summarized in Table 2.

Patient factors

Diabetes mellitus amplifies the risk of ISR by 30%–50% after BMS implantation, and the higher incidence of restenosis in diabetic patients, compared to nondiabetic ones, persists also in the DES era. The mechanism underlying this observation is probably multifactorial, involving both systemic (eg, variability in the inflammatory response) and anatomic (eg, smaller diameter vessels, longer lesions, diffuse disease, etc) factors, which independently increase the risk of ISR.

Anatomic factors

Vessel diameter and lesion length independently impact the incidence of ISR, and smaller diameter/longer lesions significantly increase restenosis rates compared to larger diameter/shorter lesions.

Procedural variables

First-generation stent platforms showed thicker stent struts, which were burdened by higher rates of ISR when compared to second-generation stent platforms with thinner struts. Moreover, incidence of restenosis is associated with the stent length, nearly doubling for stent lengths >35 mm compared to those ≤20 mm. Final stent minimal lumen diameter also plays a major role: smaller final minimal lumen diameter predicts a significantly increased risk of restenosis.

In-stent neatherosclerosis

Late restenosis

Traditionally, intimal hyperplasia after BMS implantation has been considered stable, with an early peak between 6 months and 1 year and a late quiescent period thereafter. An early peak of intimal growth, followed by intimal regression with luminal enlargement some years after stent implantation was previously reported; maturation of smooth muscle cells with modification of extracellular matrix was postulated as the possible mechanisms of late neointimal regression. However, further long-term follow-up studies demonstrated a triphasic response after BMS placement, with an early restenosis, an intermediate regression, and a late-luminal re-narrowing.
In the DES era, late neointimal growth was demonstrated, initially in animal models, after SES or PES implantation. Several IVUS studies showed early attenuation of intimal growth, followed by late catch-up over time after SES or PES implantation, probably due to persistent inflammatory process.66

“De novo” neatherosclerosis
Histologic features and timing
About one-third of patients with ISR of BMSs presents with ACS, in spite of the “stability” traditionally attributed to ISR.4

There is emerging evidence that chronic inflammation and/or incompetent endothelial function induce late de novo neatherosclerosis inside both BMSs and DESs (mainly first-generation DESs), which may be an important mechanism of late ISR or late ST. Inoue et al reported histologic findings of autopsied samples after implantation of Palmaz–Schatz coronary stents, suggesting the possibility that peri-strut inflammation might accelerate new indolent atherosclerotic changes within the stents. Other studies demonstrated that restenotic tissues inside BMSs, beyond 5 years, were composed of newly developed atherosclerosis regardless of the presence of peri-strut inflammation; samples from the cases presented with ACS exhibited typical histologic morphologies of vulnerable plaque in native coronary arteries, with foamy macrophages and cholesterol crystals. Moreover, when comparing BMSs and DESs, a significant difference in the timing of neoatherosclerosis development was noted.11,12

The earliest atherosclerotic change with foamy macrophage infiltration began at 4 months after SES implantation, whereas the same change in BMS lesions occurred beyond 2 years and remained a rare finding until 4 years. In addition, unstable lesions like thin-cap fibroatheromas (TCFA) or intimal rupture had shorter timing of development from stenting for DESs compared to BMSs. So, neatherosclerosis in first-generation DESs seems more frequent and occurs earlier than in BMSs, likely from different pathogenesis.

The effects of second-generation DESs or developing DESs have yet to be investigated; although some available observations on second-generation DES suggest less inflammation but similar rate of neatherosclerosis compared to first-generation ones, further studies are warranted.

Pathophysiology
The cellular mechanisms involved in neatherosclerosis focus on the endothelium. This is not surprising, as early studies in the era of balloon angioplasty demonstrated that regenerated endothelial cells are structurally and functionally abnormal.80 Early pathological studies of BMSs implanted for 2–7 years revealed chronic inflammation, neointimal microvessels, extracellular matrix collagen deposition, and matrix metalloproteinase activity.57 It should be expected that after stent placement the regenerated endothelium would be functionally incompetent, with poor atheroprotective features and incomplete cell–cell junctions which are permissive for lipid or inflammatory cell migration into the vessel wall. Shear stress modification, due to the presence of stent, induces endothelial cells to express vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 and intercellular adhesion molecule 1 near stent struts, allowing the adhesion and diapedesis of leukocytes into the subendothelium, where they become foam cells. Moreover DESs, releasing antiproliferative drugs, promote chronic inflammation and recruitment of lymphocytes and monocytes and may determine a greater and earlier endothelial dysfunction, with lower production of nitric oxide and antithrombotic factors and gap junction malfunction.

In-stent neatherosclerosis as a predictor of late stent failure
The extensive data as reviewed in the preceding text support the importance of in-stent neatherosclerosis as a mechanism of late ST after either BMS or DES implantation. Although incomplete endothelialization with uncovered struts remain the primary cause of DES thrombosis, advanced neatherosclerosis with neointimal rupture is also suggested as another contributing factor to late and very late thrombotic events.12

Angioscopic and IVUS data reported intramural thrombi in newly formed yellow neointima, as well as neatherosclerotic progression with intimal rupture.

It is clear that neatherosclerosis plays a role as an important indicator of late ST and late restenosis in both BMSs and DESs, but more importantly in DESs, as it occurs much earlier. However, the lack of knowledge regarding the exact mechanisms underlying this phenomenon warrants further investigation on this topic.

Intracoronary imaging for the evaluation of coronary stent healing
IVUS imaging modality
Technical aspects
IVUS allows the application of ultrasound technology to coronary imaging. This technique employs a special catheter with a miniaturized ultrasound probe attached to its distal end and a computerized ultrasound equipment attached to its proximal end. The 6-F compatible mechanical systems offer more uniform pullback and greater resolution due to
OCT imaging modality

Technical aspects

OCT is a near-infrared light-based imaging modality with ultrahigh resolution (10–20 µm, 10-fold higher than IVUS). The light source used for OCT imaging is in the near-infrared range, around 1,300 nm wavelength, selected as a compromise to achieve both penetration and delineation of vascular structures.

Intravascular OCT requires a blood-free field lasting several seconds to allow imaging. Early commercially available versions of the technology used time domain (TD) detection, which achieved the blood-free field either by injecting continuous saline/contrast flushes through the guiding or delivery catheters, or by using a proximal balloon occlusion of the vessel with distal saline/contrast injection. Currently available systems use a higher speed method, the frequency or Fourier domain (FD) detection, which measures all echoes of light from different depths simultaneously, rather than sequentially as in TD-OCT, with imaging speeds that are >10 times faster than TD-OCT. So, image acquisition is possible during a contrast or saline flush lasting 3–5 seconds. FD-OCT systems do not require proximal occlusion; the coronary vessel is transiently rendered free of blood by a bolus injection of saline, contrast, or other solution. The monoral rapid exchange catheter is compatible with 6-F guiding catheters and can be conveniently incorporated into most interventional procedures.

Expert review documents defined the methodology and current clinical application of OCT.6,7

“Quantitative” OCT tissue properties analysis

Dedicated software packages provide tissue analysis tools that are able to quantitatively assess the optical properties of OCT images. Till now, they are mainly employed for research use, and few studies are available.

The signal intensity from a tissue region is determined by two factors: the incident light intensity and the backscattering ability of the region.

Quantitative measurements of tissue evaluate the following parameters:

1. attenuation (the gradual loss in intensity of the ROI);
2. backscatter (the diffuse reflection due to nonuniformities in the ROI);
3. mean intensity (the brightness of the signal);
4. variance (a statistical measure of the ROI homogeneity).

Neointimal patterns according to OCT assessment

According to previous descriptions,97 OCT is able to distinguish the following neointimal patterns (Figure 1):

1. fibrous = green;
2. fibrofatty = light green;
3. NC = red;
4. dense calcium = white.

The technique is based on advanced radiofrequency analysis of reflected ultrasound signals and displays the reconstructed color-coded tissue map of plaque composition superimposed on cross-sectional images of the coronary artery, obtained by grayscale IVUS.93

IVUS and VH-IVUS in the evaluation of vessel response after stent implantation

Although it is difficult for IVUS to classify neoointimal tissue because of the signal interference from metal struts, there are several reports attempting discrimination of neoointimal tissues by IVUS, demonstrating plaque rupture and a flap-like dissection inside a restenotic stent.

Kang et al94 reported findings from 70 DES-ISR and 47 BMS-ISR lesions with intimal hyperplasia in more than 50% of the stent area by VH-IVUS.

The region of interest (ROI) was placed between the luminal border and the inner border of the struts, and tissue composition was represented as percentages of intimal area.

In both DES and BMS groups, NC and dense calcium suggesting neoatherosclerosis were greater especially in the lesions with longer implant duration. However, even though VH-IVUS is histologically validated in the assessment of the compositions of naïve atherosclerotic plaques with high accuracy (94% for NC and 99% for dense calcium), the methodology needs to be revisited for the evaluation of neointimal characteristics.
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1. homogeneous neointima, a uniform signal-rich band without focal variation or attenuation;
2. heterogeneous neointima, focally changing optical properties and various backscattering patterns;
3. layered neointima, layers with different optical properties, an adluminal high scattering layer and abluminal low scattering layer;
4. neoatherosclerotic neointima, containing at least one of the following features: lipid-laden neointima, neointima with calcification, TCFA-like neointima, and neointimal rupture.

OCT in the evaluation of vessel response after stent implantation

Due to its excellent resolution, OCT was recently developed as a new intravascular imaging technique to assess stent morphology and vessel response after stent implantation in the catheterization laboratory.

OCT provides more detailed information, compared to IVUS, on acute findings, such as stent edge dissection, tissue protrusion, and incomplete stent apposition immediately after stent implantation.98

OCT demonstrated its potential capacity to accurately characterize or evaluate vascular responses after months or years since stent implantation. Habara et al99 examined restenotic lesions >5 years after BMS implantation and found a high incidence (90.7%) of possible neoatherosclerotic change, defined as OCT appearance with low-intensity areas, whereas lesions <1 year after BMS implantation showed only 17.9% incidence of neoatherosclerosis. Neointimal disruption, which has an analogous morphology of ruptured TCFA in a native coronary artery, occurred more frequently in >5-year lesions (18.6%) than in <1-year lesions (0%). Takano et al100 described neointimal OCT characteristics of BMSs in early (<6 months) and late phases (≥5 years). In the early phase, neointima exhibited a homogeneous OCT appearance, without lipid-laden intima. Conversely, in the late phase, lipid-laden intima, intimal disruption, and luminal thrombus formation were more frequently observed compared to the early phase (67% vs 0%, 38% vs 0%, and 52% vs 5%, respectively; all p<0.05).

A recent OCT analysis in 50 patients with DES-ISR (median follow-up period of 32.2 months) demonstrated that 52% of overall lesions had at least 1 TCFA, 58% in-stent neo-intimal rupture, and 58% intraluminal thrombi.101 Unstable clinical presentation was associated with unstable OCT neointimal findings, including TCFA, neo-intimal rupture, and thrombus. DESs ≥20 months postimplantation had a higher incidence of TCFA and red thrombi (27% vs 0%, p=0.007).

So, OCT contributed to clarify that neoatherosclerosis is an important mechanism of late stent failure, with (often) unstable clinical presentation; OCT imaging was also important to understand that neoatherosclerotic process undergoes during an extended follow-up period, but earlier for DESs (mainly first-generation ones) than for BMSs.

Summary

Second-generation DESs have minimized the limitations of BMSs and first-generation DESs after PCIs. However, serious concerns remain about late complications of stenting, such as ST and ISR.

ST is a potentially catastrophic event. Multiple factors are responsible for this phenomenon, such as suboptimal stent implantation, complex lesions, and low compliance to DAPT.
ISR of BMSs has been previously considered as a stable condition with an early peak, followed by a regression period beyond 1 year. The acknowledgment that this condition is relatively benign has been recently challenged by clinical evidence of ACSSs associated with angiographic documentation of ISR. Histologic studies and intracoronary imaging (which allows a detailed characterization of vessel wall) suggest that chronic inflammation and/or endothelial dysfunction may induce late de novo “neoatherosclerosis” inside both BMSs and DESs. Neoatherosclerosis plays an important role in the pathogenesis of late stent failure.
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