Association between polymorphisms in DNA repair gene XRCC1 and non-melanoma skin cancer risk: a meta-analysis
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Objective: Non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) is the most common malignancy with annually rising incidence. The aim of this study was to estimate the association between three coding polymorphisms (Arg399Gln, Arg194Trp, and Arg280His) of the DNA repair gene X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 (XRCC1) and NMSC susceptibility.

Methods: Online databases were searched to retrieve case–control studies published between January 2000 and November 2016. Pooled odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were employed to assess the strength of association. Overall, 10 relevant studies were finally included for analysis, including 3,143 NMSC patients and 3,540 controls. For each polymorphism of XRCC1 gene, there were 3,050 cases and 3,463 controls for Arg399Gln, 914 cases and 1,182 controls for Arg194Trp, and 279 cases and 413 controls for Arg280His.

Results: Our results showed that these three polymorphisms in the XRCC1 coding region were not associated with increased risk of NMSC in the total studied population. However, subgroup analysis by ethnicities demonstrated that Gln/Arg genotype of Arg399Gln polymorphism was associated with increased risk of NMSC under the heterogeneous model in Asian populations (Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg: OR =1.39, 95% CI =1.04–1.87, P =0.03); subgroup analysis by tumor types showed that Trp/Trp genotype of Arg194Trp was positively associated with decreased cancer risk in squamous-cell skin cancer (SCC) type under the homogeneous model (Trp/Trp vs Arg/Arg: OR =0.38, 95% CI =0.16–0.92, P =0.03).

Conclusion: Our results suggested that Arg399Gln variant of XRCC1 gene might be a risk factor for NMSC in Asian populations, and Arg194Trp variant of XRCC1 gene might be a protective factor for patients with SCC. In addition, future case–control studies are still needed to further evaluate the effect of XRCC1 polymorphisms in NMSC risk.
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Introduction
Skin cancer is one of the most frequent malignant diseases that arise from the skin in humans.1 It is categorized into three main types: basal-cell skin cancer (BCC), squamous-cell skin cancer (SCC), and melanoma.2 Non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in white-skinned individuals with a worldwide increasing incidence.3 It comprises BCC and SCC, as well as a host of rare tumors.4 BCC and SCC together account for 95%–98% of all NMSC cases: the former is characterized by local invasiveness with rare metastasis; the latter has a higher metastatic potential and is responsible for the majority of deaths from NMSC.5 The incidence of NMSC has been rising by 3%–8% per year since 1960, with as much as 300%
increase in the past 2 decades. Moreover, recent estimates demonstrate that more cases of NMSC have occurred in the past 30 years than all other forms of cancer combined. The rising incidence rates of NMSC are probably caused by a combination of increased exposure to ultraviolet (UV) or sunlight, ozone depletion, genetics, and immune suppression. There are many approaches to the management of NMSC, but the current treatment is limited due to low complete clearance rates. In addition, treatment of NMSC is substantial and increasing, posing a considerable burden on the health care system. Therefore, there is an urgent need to identify particular biomarkers that can predict this disease and guide the treatment options.

Various types of DNA damage could promote the development of human diseases. DNA repair is a complicated biological process that maintains genome stability. Epidemiologic research has found that genetic variants of DNA repair genes might be involved in cancer development. X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 (XRCC1) gene, located at chromosome 19q13.2, is a key component of base excision repair (BER) and is required for genetic stability. It is required for repair in both DNA single-strand break repair and BER pathways and acts primarily as a scaffold protein that facilitates the assembly of multi-protein complexes and coordinating steps during damage. XRCC1 is thought to play the repair role through protein–protein interactions or by direct contact with DNA. Evidence has shown that polymorphisms in DNA repair genes could influence individual DNA repair capacity. Genetic polymorphisms of XRCC1 gene might contribute to impair DNA repair, thus playing a role in heightening the risk of NMSC. Three main single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the coding region of XRCC1 gene have been identified: amino acid substitution between arginine and glutamine at codon 399 (Arg399Gln, G to A base change, SNP number: rs25487) in exon 10, arginine and tryptophan at codon 194 (Arg194Trp, C to T base change, SNP number: rs1799782) in exon 6, and arginine and histidine at codon 280 (Arg280His, G to A base change, SNP number: rs25489) in exon 9. The 399Gln allele, located in the C-terminal functional domain, was shown to be associated with altering the phenotype of the XRCC1 protein; the 194Trp variant, located in the linker region of the N-terminal functional domain, appeared to protect against genotoxic effects; the 280His, located in the proliferating cell nuclear antigen-binding region, was suggested to impair and decrease DNA repair ability. All these changes in conserved protein sites may alter the BER capacity and affect protein function, thus increasing the chances of DNA damage.

Although several studies have identified these three SNPs in the XRCC1 gene and NMSC risk, the results still remain inconclusive. In addition, the incidence of NMSC varies widely worldwide based on geographical distribution with the highest rates in Australia and the lowest rates in parts of Africa. Therefore, we conducted the present meta-analysis to systematically review all the published articles on this issue and to obtain a relatively reliable result.

Materials and methods

Search strategy

We searched the online databases of PubMed, Medline, Web of Science, and Embase to retrieve relevant articles published between January 2000 and November 2016. The Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) terms were “skin cancer or non-melanoma skin cancer”, “basal-cell skin cancer or squamous-cell skin cancer”, “DNA repair gene or X-ray repair cross-complementing group 1 or XRCC1”, and “polymorphism or single nucleotide polymorphism or variant”. We manually checked the references of retrieved articles to obtain more sources. Our study included articles written only in the English language. If the same authors had published more than one article on the same subject among the same participants, then only the most complete study was included in this meta-analysis.

Criteria for article screening

The articles that met the following criteria were included in the study: 1) case–control studies evaluating the relationship between XRCC1 polymorphisms and NMSC susceptibility, 2) studies in which NMSC was confirmed in patients by experienced pathologists, and controls were age-matched unrelated participants without any type of cancer, 3) studies in which the results were expressed as odds ratio (OR) with corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI), and 4) studies in which the genotype distribution of controls for a certain polymorphism was in Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE). Studies whose data could not be extracted, those with duplicated data, and reviews or conference papers were excluded.

Qualification assessment and data extraction

According to the Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement, two authors independently estimated the quality of extracted articles. Any disagreement was resolved by discussing with the third author. Each item reached a final consensus. The following information was retrieved: the name of first
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Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were carried out using Review Manager (Revman, version 5.3) software. The association between XRCC1 polymorphisms and NMSC risk was evaluated by OR with 95% CI. The significance of the pooled OR was determined by Z test (P<0.05 was considered significant). For each genetic variant, allelic model, homogeneous model, heterogeneous model, domain model, and recessive model were calculated. Cochran’s Q test and I² test were employed to evaluate the heterogeneity of the included articles; random-effect model was used when the P-value of Cochran’s Q test was <0.10 and I² >50%; otherwise, the fixed-effect model was used. To assess whether our results were substantially influenced by the presence of any individual study, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by systematically removing each study and recalculating the significance of the results. The funnel plot was performed to examine the publication bias.

Association between XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism and NMSC susceptibility
The summary of the test for association and test for heterogeneity of this meta-analysis is shown in Table 2. All these 10 articles concerned the effect of XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism in NMSC risk, including 3,050 cases and 3,463 controls. No significant between-study heterogeneity was observed, and the fixed-effect model was employed. Our statistical analyses found that XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism was not associated with increased risk of NMSC under the allelic model (Gln vs Arg: OR =0.97, 95% CI =0.90–1.05, P=0.42) as shown in Figure 2. This insignificance was detected in other genetic models as well (Gln/Gln vs Arg/Arg: OR =0.90, 95% CI =0.77–1.06, P=0.20; Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg: OR =1.02, 95% CI =0.92–1.14, P=0.69; Gln/ Gln + Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg: OR =0.99, 95% CI =0.90–1.10, P=0.89; Gln/Gln vs Gln/Arg + Arg/Arg: OR =0.90, 95% CI =0.77–1.04, P=0.16).

Results
Characteristics of eligible studies
We firstly identified 106 relevant articles. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 10 articles were finally selected, including 6,683 participants (3,143 NMSC patients and 3,540 controls). Figure 1 shows the selection process of this meta-analysis. The 10 articles included were conducted in 10 different countries: UK, Denmark, USA, Sweden/Finnland, Sweden, Korea, Japan, Germany, and Taiwan (China). Three were conducted in Asian populations and seven in Caucasian populations. The sample size ranged from 40 to 1,176. The genotypes of XRCC1 polymorphisms were determined using three methods. The genotype distributions of the controls of all studies were in agreement with HWE (P>0.05). The main characteristics of the studies included are presented in Table 1.
Table 1 The detailed characteristics of all studies included in this meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country (ethnicity)</th>
<th>Mean age (years)</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Tumor types</th>
<th>Genotyping method</th>
<th>HWE in controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nelson et al 2002</td>
<td>UK (Caucasians)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>BCC + SCC</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yin et al 2002</td>
<td>Denmark (Caucasians)</td>
<td>20–60</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>SNAPSHOT</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yin et al 2003</td>
<td>Denmark (Caucasians)</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al 2005</td>
<td>USA (Caucasians)</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>BCC + SCC</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Festa et al 2005</td>
<td>Sweden/Finnland (Caucasians)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirumar et al 2006</td>
<td>Sweden (Caucasians)</td>
<td>63.5±11.7</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>BCC</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al 2007</td>
<td>Korea (Asian)</td>
<td>68.98±12.6</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>BCC + SCC</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiyomaru et al 2012</td>
<td>Japan (Asian)</td>
<td>72.9±12.2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>BD + BCC + SCC</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surdu et al 2014</td>
<td>Germany (Caucasians)</td>
<td>30.79</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>BCC + SCC</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu et al 2015</td>
<td>China Taiwan (Asian)</td>
<td>56.13±6.87</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>BCC + SCC + BD</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The data are presented as mean values ± standard deviation.
Abbreviations: –, not available; BCC, basal-cell skin cancer; SCC, squamous-cell skin cancer; BD, Bowen’s diseases; PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

Subgroup analysis by ethnicities showed that only Gln/Arg genotype of this variant was significantly correlated with increased risk of NMSC under the heterogeneous model in Asian populations (Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg: OR = 1.39, 95% CI = 1.04–1.87, P = 0.03) as shown in Figure 3. This positive relationship was not found in other genetic models. Moreover, no significant relationship was found between XRCC1 Arg399Gln variant and NMSC risk under any genetic models in Caucasian populations (P > 0.05).

Subgroup analysis by NMSC types showed that XRCC1 Arg399Gln variant was not associated with NMSC risk in both BCC and SCC types.

Association between XRCC1 Arg194Trp polymorphism and NMSC susceptibility

Four articles focused on this genetic variant, containing 914 cases and 1,182 controls. The frequency of Trp allele was a little higher in the patient group than that in the control group (14.6% vs 12.6%), but statistical analyses did not detect significant association between XRCC1 Arg194Trp variant and NMSC risk under each genetic model as shown in Table 2. Subgroup analysis by ethnicities showed that XRCC1 Arg194Trp polymorphism was not associated with NMSC risk among Asian and Caucasian populations (P > 0.05). Subgroup analysis by NMSC types showed that Trp/Trp genotype was positively associated with decreased cancer risk in SCC type under the homogeneous model (Trp/Trp vs Arg/Arg: OR = 0.38, 95% CI = 0.16–0.92, P = 0.03) as shown in Figure 4. However, this correlation was not found in patients with BCC type.

Association between XRCC1 Arg280His polymorphism and NMSC susceptibility

Two articles included 279 cases and 413 controls. Our results showed that XRCC1 Arg280His polymorphism was not associated with NMSC risk under each genetic model (His vs Arg: OR = 0.88, 95% CI = 0.38–2.05, P = 0.78; His/His vs Arg/Arg: OR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.21–3.50, P = 0.84; His/Arg vs Arg/Arg: OR = 0.97, 95% CI = 0.48–1.95, P = 0.93; His/His vs Arg/Arg: OR = 0.92, 95% CI = 0.41–2.07, P = 0.84; His/His vs Arg/Arg: OR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.21–3.53, P = 0.84).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

We systematically omitted each single article one at a time to verify whether our results were influenced by each included study. Our results showed that the pooled ORs were not significantly changed. The funnel plot was used to assess the publication bias of the literature. All genetic comparisons in our study showed no evidence of publication bias as shown in Figure 5, which indicates that there was no publication bias in the present meta-analysis.

Discussion

In this meta-analysis, we screened 10 relevant articles in total. We found that Arg399Gln, Arg194Trp, and Arg280His polymorphisms of the XRCC1 gene were not associated with NMSC risk in the total studied populations. However, subgroup analysis showed that only Gln/Arg genotype of XRCC1 Arg399Gln variant was significantly correlated with increased risk of NMSC in Asian populations, and Trp/Trp genotype of XRCC1 Arg194Trp variant was positively associated with decreased risk of SCC type. Our results were not consistent with the previous meta-analysis, which studied the association between polymorphisms of the XRCC1 gene and skin cancer risk, and showed that no significant association was observed in stratified analyses of Arg399Gln and Arg194Trp polymorphisms and tumor type (SCC, BCC, and melanoma).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNPs</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Comparisons</th>
<th>Test of association</th>
<th>Test of heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg399Gln</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln vs Arg</td>
<td>0.97 (0.90–1.05)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Gln vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.90 (0.77–1.06)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>1.02 (0.92–1.14)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Gln + Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.99 (0.90–1.10)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Gln vs Gln/Arg + Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.90 (0.77–1.04)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln vs Arg</td>
<td>1.04 (0.70–1.55)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Gln vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.94 (0.43–2.05)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>1.39 (1.04–1.87)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Gln + Gln/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>1.26 (0.96–1.66)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gln/Gln vs Gln/Arg + Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.83 (0.41–1.70)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg194Trp</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trp vs Arg</td>
<td>0.95 (0.88–1.03)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trp/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.90 (0.76–1.07)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trp/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.98 (0.87–1.09)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trp/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.96 (0.86–1.07)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trp/Arg vs Arg/Arg</td>
<td>0.91 (0.77–1.06)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg280His</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>His vs Arg</td>
<td>0.97 (0.90–1.06)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arg194Trp, Arg280His, and Arg399Gln in the coding region of XRCC1 gene with NMSC risk under each genetic model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparisons:

Arg194Trp
- **Trp** vs **Arg**
- **Trp**/**Trp** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Trp**/**Arg** vs **Trp**/**Trp**
- **Trp**/**Trp** vs **Trp**/**Arg**
- **Trp**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**

Arg280His
- **His** vs **Arg**
- **His**/**His** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **His**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **His**/**Arg** vs **His**/**Arg**

Caucasians
- **Gln** vs **Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** + **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Gln**/**Arg** + **Arg**/**Arg**

Asian
- **Gln** vs **Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** + **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Gln**/**Arg** + **Arg**/**Arg**

BCC
- **Gln** vs **Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** + **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Gln**/**Arg** + **Arg**/**Arg**

SCC
- **Gln** vs **Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** + **Gln**/**Arg** vs **Arg**/**Arg**
- **Gln**/**Gln** vs **Gln**/**Arg** + **Arg**/**Arg**

Abbreviations: NMSC, non-melanoma skin cancer; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; N, number of included studies; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; F, fixed-effect model; R, random-effect model; BCC, basal-cell skin cancer; SCC, squamous-cell skin cancer; Ph, P-value of heterogeneity.
Harmful effects of solar UV radiation on human health is one of the causes of NMSC. Exposure to UV radiation initiates 90% of NMSC, causing malignant transformation of keratinocytes and suppression of inflammatory response. A reduced capacity to repair UV-induced DNA damage is one of the underlying molecular mechanisms for sunlight-induced skin carcinogenesis in the general population. Evidence showed that a low DNA repair capacity was a susceptibility factor for NMSC. The XRCC1 protein, involved in the DNA repair pathway, is essential for the maintenance of genomic stability. It serves to orchestrate BER via its role as a central scaffolding protein physically associated with DNA ligase III and via its function in recognizing and binding to single-strand breaks. XRCC1 is also involved in the organization of BER into multi-protein complexes of different sizes to DNA damage sites, and defects in BER are shown to be linked with immunodeficiency, neurodegenerative disorders, and cancer predisposition.

Some studies have shown that polymorphisms in DNA repair genes might change the risk of cancer development. Variant genotypes of XRCC1 gene that cause amino acid substitutions may affect protein structure and gene expression, and impair the interaction of XRCC1 with the other enzymatic proteins, thus altering the BER function. For example, XRCC1 polymorphisms could influence cell responses to X-rays, and Arg399Gln of XRCC1 was shown to be associated with higher levels of DNA breaks; the polymorphic variant Arg280His exhibited a slightly shorter retention time at DNA breaks. XRCC1 polymorphisms might be associated with human cancer and could function as biomarkers of cancer susceptibility: Arg399Gln polymorphism was associated with lung cancer and breast cancer; Arg194Trp polymorphism was found to be associated with gastric cancer and breast cancer; and Arg280His was associated with invasive cervical cancer and pancreatic cancer. A previous review showed that the homozygous variant (Gln/Gln) of Arg399Gln variant can have 3–4-fold diminished capacity to remove DNA adducts and oxidized DNA damage; Arg280His variant appeared to decrease repair function, but Arg194Trp variant appears to protect against genotoxic effects. This was consistent with our result. Several studies have identified the role of XRCC1 variants in skin cancer risk. Previous meta-analysis suggested
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**Figure 2** Forest plot of the association between XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism and non-melanoma skin cancer risk under allelic model. 
**Abbreviation:** NMSC, non-melanoma skin cancer.
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**Figure 3** Forest plot obtained by subgroup analysis based on ethnicity showing the association between XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism and non-melanoma skin cancer risk under heterogeneous model in Asian populations. 
**Abbreviation:** NMSC, non-melanoma skin cancer.
that XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism was a risk factor for cutaneous melanoma in a population-based subgroup.\(^6^1\)

Only the 10 studies included in the present meta-analysis analysed the effect of XRCC1 polymorphism in NMSC risk. For instance, a study that included ~300 Koreans showed that Arg/Gln and Gln/Gln genotypes had an ~2-fold increased risk of BCC compared to Arg/Arg individuals,\(^3^5\) whereas another study that included ~1,000 Caucasians showed that Arg/Arg genotype had significantly reduced risk of both BCC and SCC.\(^2^9\) In addition, genes of DNA BER pathway might be evolving as biomarkers for personalized therapies in cancer.\(^6^2\) Polymorphisms in DNA repair genes might exert a role in survival, might facilitate response to chemotherapy, and might predict prognosis. Figl et al showed that variants in potential regulatory regions of DNA repair genes might influence disease outcome in melanoma patients and have potentially significant implications for patient surveillance and tailored treatment.\(^6^3\) XRCC1 risk allele may result in resistance to therapy and shorter survival in bladder cancer patients treated with chemotherapy.\(^6^4\) XRCC1 Gln allele genotype showed significant prognostic associations in hepatocellular carcinoma.\(^6^5\) Bhandaru et al demonstrated that loss of XRCC1 expression was correlated with the progression of melanoma from dysplastic nevi to primary melanoma and to metastatic melanoma, and with worse overall and disease-specific 5-year and 10-year survival of patients with melanoma.\(^6^6\)

Several limitations were presented in this meta-analysis. 1) The included studies were conducted only in Asian and Caucasian populations, and other ethnicities were not considered. 2) The number of included studies for a certain SNP such as Arg280His variants was small, which may result in possible bias related to the selection of individual study participants. 3) Other risk factors such as age and gender should be analyzed. 4) The interaction of gene–gene and gene–environment should be included in future research because the combined effect of multiple SNPs in several genes of DNA repair pathways could have a large impact on pathological phenotypes.

**Conclusion**

Our results found that Arg399Gln polymorphism of *XRCC1* gene might be a risk factor for NMSC in Asian populations and Arg194Trp variant of *XRCC1* gene might be a protective factor for SCC patients. However, future large-scale, well-designed studies with more ethnicities are still needed to further investigate the role of XRCC1 polymorphisms in NMSC risk.
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