Capsaicin 8% patch treatment for amputation stump and phantom limb pain: a clinical and functional MRI study
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Purpose: The aim of this study was to measure the efficacy of a single 60 min application of capsaicin 8% patch in reducing chronic amputation stump and phantom limb pain, associated hypersensitivity with quantitative sensory testing, and changes in brain cortical maps using functional MRI (fMRI) scans.

Methods: A capsaicin 8% patch (Qutenza) treatment study was conducted on 14 patients with single limb amputation, who reported pain intensity on the Numerical Pain Rating Scale ≥4/10 for chronic stump or phantom limb pain. Pain assessments, quantitative sensory testing, and fMRI (for the lip pursing task) were performed at baseline and 4 weeks after application of capsaicin 8% patch to the amputation stump. The shift into the hand representation area of the cerebral cortex with the lip pursing task has been correlated with phantom limb pain intensity in previous studies, and was the fMRI clinical model for cortical plasticity used in this study.

Results: The mean reduction in spontaneous amputation stump pain, phantom limb pain, and evoked stump pain were −1.007 (p=0.028), −1.414 (p=0.018), and −2.029 (p=0.007), respectively. The areas of brush allodynia and pinprick hypersensitivity in the amputation stump showed marked decreases: −165 cm², −80% (p=0.001) and −132 cm², −72% (p=0.001), respectively. fMRI analyses provided objective evidence of the restoration of the brain map, that is, reversal of the shift into the hand representation of the cerebral cortex with the lip pursing task (p<0.05).

Conclusion: The results show that capsaicin 8% patch treatment leads to significant reduction in chronic pain and, particularly, in the area of stump hypersensitivity, which may enable patients to wear prostheses, thereby improving mobility and rehabilitation. Phantom limb pain (“central” pain) and associated brain plasticity may be modulated by peripheral inputs, as they can be ameliorated by the peripherally restricted effect of the capsaicin 8% patch.
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Introduction

Following limb amputation, more than 50% patients complain of hypersensitivity in the amputation stump and chronic pain, which may be reported in both the residual limb and the area referred to the body part removed.1-3 The pain referred to a missing limb5-12 or an organ5-12 is termed phantom pain. Chronic pain has a negative impact on quality of life, aggravating psychologic distress following the amputation13 and increasing the risk of developing anxiety and depression.14 Moreover, stump pain may worsen on walking,15,16 which affects the use of a prosthesis and rehabilitation.17

Several studies have reported factors that may be related to the risk of developing chronic pain following an amputation – time elapsed since amputation,18 presence of pain before amputation,19 higher levels of pain soon after amputation,20 psychologic...
factors, intensity of concomitant nonpainful phantom limb sensations, and the site of amputation. Objective methods have demonstrated changes in both the peripheral and central nervous systems, including neuroimaging and neurophysiologic techniques, in patients with chronic phantom pain after an amputation. Maladaptive plasticity has been suggested as an underlying mechanism for chronic pain, from observations in patients who showed spread of activation from the neighboring cortical areas into the deprived cortical area. This spread or shift into the deprived cortical area, for example, with the lip pursing task, was positively correlated with the presence and intensity of the phantom limb pain.

The act of lip pursing was used in our study, during the functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) assessment, to evoke brain activation. This method was used previously by Lotze et al., and consisted of a simple lip pursing movement performed every second (rate of 1 Hz) during fMRI acquisition. The scanning paradigm consisted of a run of alternating resting and stimulation (lip pursing) blocks. Each block was initiated and terminated by a “go” and “stop” command.

Many pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic strategies have been considered for reversing the maladaptive plasticity, and recent studies have shown return toward a more normal cortical activation pattern after the restoration of the peripheral function, such as targeted reinnervation and hand transplantation. Huse et al., in a small study conducted in patients with phantom and stump pain who received morphine, showed both decrease in pain and changes in cortical maps in three patients, which were correlated with the decrease in pain intensity.

Randomized clinical trials in chronic phantom limb pain have focused mainly on the drugs used for neuropathic pain. Maier et al. conducted a trial of the N-methyl-D-aspartic acid-receptor antagonist Memantine, which did not show any beneficial effect on phantom limb pain. In one study, Gabapentin was more effective than placebo in controlling phantom limb pain, however, another similar study did not show significant difference versus placebo. Treatment with Amitriptyline failed to provide pain relief in a placebo-controlled study. In another clinical trial, Amitriptyline and Tramadol produced a minor decrease in pain.

Many authors have reported the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of a single application of capsaicin 8% patch (Qutenza), a high-dose topical formulation for the treatment of peripheral neuropathic pain. Capsaicin, the pungent “hot” ingredient in chili peppers, is a natural selective agonist of the vanilloid receptor TRPV1. It is released rapidly from the capsaicin 8% patch, and leads to overstimulation of the skin TRPV1 nociceptors which are “defunctionalized” and are no longer able to respond to the stimuli that normally cause pain in patients with peripheral neuropathic pain. A single application of capsaicin 8% patch can provide pain relief for up to 3 months or more, without the systemic side effects seen with other pain treatments, with the maximum effect reached within 1–2 weeks from the application. Capsaicin 8% patch has been shown in a previous study to reduce the intensity of amputation stump and phantom limb pain, but sensory and brain mechanisms have not been studied.

The aim of this study was to measure the analgesic effect of a single application of capsaicin 8% patch and the associated changes with quantitative sensory tests in the amputation stump. An additional aim was to investigate plasticity of brain maps with the lip pursing task, using fMRI scans.

Materials and methods

This was an open-label, longitudinal study in patients with amputation stump and phantom limb pain, using the capsaicin 8% patch (Qutenza) treatment as licensed. The study was conducted at Hammersmith Hospital, Imperial College London and Imperial College NHS Trust, in collaboration with colleagues at the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, Stanmore. The study was approved by the Charing Cross Research Ethics Committee, London (NRES Committee London – Fulham; REC reference: 15/LO/0566), and each subject provided written informed consent. This was an investigator-led study sponsored by Imperial College London.

The study duration for each patient was 4 weeks, including three hospital visits and telephone calls as shown in Figure 1. At the screening visit, patients were given a trial pain diary to complete for the next 7 days. The diary collected numerical pain rating score (NPRS) twice daily (ranging from 0 to 10), as the average pain over the last 12 h. An 11-point numerical rating scale (NPRS) with the 0 anchor point being “no pain” and the 10 anchor point being “pain as bad as you can imagine” was used to separately record spontaneous stump pain, evoked stump pain, and phantom limb pain. Patients with average pain intensity equal or greater than 4/10 for spontaneous stump or phantom limb pain, and who had been clinically stable on their prescribed medical treatment for a period ≥2 months, were eligible to participate further in the study. They were advised to continue with trial pain diary daily for the entire duration of the study until the end-of-study follow-up visit. All tests (Visit 1 and Visit 3) were carried out before and after a single 60 min capsaicin 8% patch application (Visit 2, Figure 1). The patch application was performed as licensed and provided as a treatment in...
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pain clinics. The capsaicin 8% patch is a 14×20 cm (280 cm²) patch containing a high dose of capsaicin (Figure 2). Each patch application delivers 179 mg capsaicin directly into the skin. Nitrile gloves were worn at all times while handling the patch and cleaning the treatment area. Before treatment, the skin was washed and dried. Hairs were clipped to promote patch adherence. The capsaicin 8% patch was cut with a scalpel prior to removal of the release liner and shaped over the surface of the stump, which was unique for each patient. The application of the patch was on intact, nonirritated, and dry skin. The patch was kept firmly in contact with the skin for 1 h (Figure 2). The patch was then carefully removed, cleaning gel applied for 1 min, and the skin washed and dried.

Patients

Between 2015 and 2016, six male and eight female patients with single limb amputation were studied. The patient cohort included five with upper limb and nine lower limb amputations. The average age of subjects was 53 years (Table 1). Eight patients had amputation involving the knee joint; one
had an amputation at the hind quarter level, one had a right middle finger amputation, three had an amputation at the elbow joint and one at the wrist joint. Ten amputations were traumatic, two followed a long history of chronic pain due to orthopedic problems, and two were performed because of the presence of cancer. Time since patients had the amputation ranged from 12 to 420 months (35 years), with an average of 94 months (7.8 years). The mean number (range) of capsaicin patches applied during the study was 1.5 (1–2) patches.

### Clinical symptoms and pain scores

Patients described a range of symptoms, most commonly as pins and needles, tenderness of the stump, electric shocks, crushing, cold-freezing, shooting, stabbing, and burning pain. Many patients reported vivid phantom sensations. Eight patients described the missing limb with an abnormal shape, and three with an increased volume and size. All patients enrolled in the study were taking treatment for neuropathic pain at the start of the study, such as Gabapentin, Pregabalin, Duloxetine, tricyclic antidepressants, Oxycodone, Morphine, or a combination of these. Patients maintained their concomitant medications throughout the study. Details of the patients at baseline are reported in Table 1.

### Clinical examination

At the initial visit, a detailed medical history was taken from the subject and the procedures were explained. Each subject was asked to describe the perceived size, shape, movement,
and any other qualities of the phantom limb, as well as the location, quality, and frequency of stump and phantom pain. Clinical examination and tests were performed in both amputated and contralateral limb. Vibration, monofilament, and thermal thresholds were performed as described below. Symptoms were recorded using the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire\(^6\) (maximum score 55, indicating severe symptoms) and by recording a numerical pain score (Likert pain score: 0=no pain; 10=maximum pain). Patients were also asked to complete a seven-point scale (Patient Clinical Global Impression of Change) before and after the treatment – as no change, improved, or worse.\(^57,58\)

**Quantitative sensory testing**

Vibration perception thresholds were measured using a biothesiometer (Biomedical Instrument Company, Newbury, OH, USA) placed over a distal bony prominence. Three ascending and three descending trials were carried out, and the mean value obtained. Values >12 V were considered abnormal.\(^59,60\)

The thresholds for light touch were measured using monofilaments made by Mr A Ainsworth, University College London, UK (No. 1, 0.0174 g to No. 20, 263.0 g). The number of the hair with the lowest force reliably detected by the patient at the center of the area of hypersensitivity was recorded. Values >No. 3 monofilament (0.0479 g) were considered abnormal.\(^59\) The monofilament with the lowest force that caused pain or discomfort was also recorded. Clinical examination was carried out along the stump on its entire circumference from the distal end, to determine the area of any static (monofilament) and dynamic (brush) allodynia and also pinprick hypersensitivity. This method was used to obtain a detailed map before the application of capsaicin 8% patch, in order to localize the area requiring the treatment.

Static allodynia area was determined by a monofilament at the touch detection threshold in the contralateral intact limb, and the area of dynamic allodynia by gently stroking with a standardized brush (yellow brush; Somedic, Stockholm, Sweden). Allodynia was considered present if the sensation changed from a feeling of touch to a sensation of pain or discomfort. For pinprick testing, the sharp and dull ends of a sterile Neotropin pin were first lightly applied to the limb. The subject responded “sharp” or “dull” when the respective stimulus was detected. The surface area of increased or decreased pinprick sensation over the stump was mapped by moving the pin along the stump. Patients were asked to rate the evoked sensation as normal, diminished, absent, or increased, increased abnormal, and increased painful. The outline of both areas of allodynia and pinprick hypersensitivity was traced onto a transparent plastic sheet and transferred to metric graph paper.\(^61\) The area obtained after the examination was calculated by the weighing method\(^62\) using a Sartorius balance.

Thermal perception thresholds were performed as described\(^63,64\) using the TSA-II NeuroSensory Analyzer (Medoc, Ramat Yishai, Israel). A 30×30 mm thermode was used, and thermal thresholds were determined in the center of the affected area for warm perception, cool perception, heat pain, and cold pain, from a baseline temperature of 32°C, with a change in temperature of 1°C/s. All measurements were performed by placing the thermode in an area away from the scar tissue. The mean of three consecutive tests for each modality was recorded. Values >6.4°C for warm sensation, >2.3°C for cool sensation, and >10.4°C for heat pain were considered abnormal, but variation with aging was noted.\(^59,63,64\) Thermal thresholds were obtained from the distal site of the anterior aspect of the stump.\(^65\) Sensory testing was repeated at the follow-up visit in the amputated limb and at a similar site in the intact limb.\(^65,66\)

**Functional magnetic resonance imaging**

Patients were scanned twice while performing a lip pursing motor task, once prior to and once posttreatment. Patients were visually cued to perform the task in blocks of 30 s of lip pursing or resting. There were six blocks of rest and six blocks of lip pursing. The scanning protocol included a high-resolution gradient-echo T1-weighted structural anatomic volume (for registration between patients; voxel size: 1.00×1.00×1.00 mm, flip angle: 9°, repetition time [TR]/echo time: 2300/2.98 ms, 160 ascending slices, inversion time: 900 ms) acquired on a Siemens Verio 3 T scanner, as well as an Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) sequence (182 volumes of T2*-weighted gradient echo, voxel size: 3.00×3.00×3.00 mm, field of view: 192×192×105 mm, flip angle: 80°, TR/echo time: 2000/30 ms, 35 interleaved slices with 3.00 mm thickness). Each run lasted 182 TR. Data analysis was carried out with FSL (FMRIB Software Library):\(^45\) 1) brain extraction of the anatomic image;\(^58\) 2) linear registration of the anatomic image (using 12 degrees of freedom) to a standard 2 mm brain atlas (Montreal Neurological Institute [MNI]) using FLIRT (FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool);\(^49\) 3) the functional data were registered to the anatomic image using FLIRT BBR (FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool, Boundary-Based Registration); 4) the functional images were corrected using Motion Correction Linear Image Registration Tool (MCFLIRT); 5) functional images were high-pass
filtered with a cutoff of 60 s; and 6) spatially smoothed using a 5 mm full width at half maximum Gaussian kernel.

Data were analyzed by convolving a canonical double-gamma hemodynamic response function with the task (30 s ON/OFF) time course. First and second scans were contrasted (First > Second) using a fixed-effect model for each patient. Subsequently, bilateral regions of interest were defined in group space for approximately the lip area (centered on MNI 52-8 36) and the hand area (centered on MNI 28–20 62) of the motor cortex and the estimated change in task-evoked blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signal extracted for each ROI. The signal change (First session – Second session) on the contralateral side to the amputation was then subtracted from the signal change on the ipsilateral side. One patient who did not respond to the treatment was not included in the subsequent analyses.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 5.0 for Windows (GraphPad Prism Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The statistical test used was the paired, two-tailed Mann–Whitney test. Values were compared before and after the treatment with capsaicin 8% patch. For all statistical tests, p values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results
Clinical symptoms and pain scores
Patients (N=14) reported baseline NPRS scores (mean±SD) for spontaneous stump pain and evoked stump pain of 6.1±1.5 and 7.1±1.9, respectively, and 6.1±2.1 for phantom limb pain. After capsaicin 8% patch application, spontaneous pain, phantom and evoked pain scores all improved (Figure 3). At 4 weeks following patch application, the NPRS scores for spontaneous stump pain and evoked stump pain were 5.0±2.4 and 5.0±2.5, respectively, and 4.6±2.7 for phantom limb pain. The mean difference (95% CI) for NPRS score, 4 weeks after application of capsaicin 8% patch, was −1.01 (0.12–1.89) for spontaneous stump pain (p=0.028), −2.03 (0.63–3.4) for evoked stump pain (p=0.007), and −1.41 (0.27–2.5) for phantom limb pain (p=0.018). Two patients reported complete resolution of phantom sensations after the treatment, and another reported a clear decrease in the duration of phantom limb pain episodes.

Patients also showed an improvement of the McGill pain score after capsaicin 8% patch application, with a mean difference (95% CI) at the end of the follow-up of 11.0 (5.7–16.3) for continuous pain descriptors (p=0.0006), 13.43 (6.1–20.7) for intermittent pain descriptors (p=0.002), and 10.3 (3.5–17.1) for neuropathic pain descriptors (p=0.005),

Figure 3 Pain scores at baseline and after capsaicin 8% patch treatment.
Notes: Difference in pain scores over time from baseline for spontaneous stump pain (A), phantom limb pain (B), and evoked stump pain (C) in patients treated with capsaicin 8% patch. * Significant; ** very significant, *** highly significant.
while the affective descriptors showed a relatively minor, but statistically significant change \((p=0.05)\), as shown in Figure 4. After capsaicin 8% treatment, the Patient Global Impression of Change scale showed significantly marked improvement \((p=0.002)\).

**Quantitative sensory testing**

Sensory thresholds in the contralateral (intact) limb of all patients were within normal limits.

The tactile detection threshold (with monofilaments) in the amputation stump varied from reduced to elevated thresholds, the latter particularly in proximity of the scar tissue. All subjects but one reported brush dynamic allodynia. Pinprick sensation was also reported as increased in most cases, though five patients also described pinprick as decreased or absent in the areas of the stump near scar tissue.

After capsaicin 8% treatment, the area of allodynia for brush and hypersensitivity for pinprick showed a statistically significant decrease (Figures 5 and 6). The mean difference (95% CI) at follow-up visit was \(-65.6 \text{ cm}^2 (76.98–254.2)\) for brush mechanical allodynia \((p=0.001)\), 80% reduction, and \(-132.2 \text{ cm}^2 (64.85–199.6)\) for pinprick hypersensitivity \((p=0.001)\), 72% reduction. No statistically significant changes in the area of monofilament static allodynia were observed after treatment.

Vibration threshold tested at the amputation stump was within the normal limits in all but five patients. Four of these patients reported an elevated vibration threshold in the stump when compared to the homologous site in the intact limb, while in one patient, the vibration threshold was decreased compared to the contralateral side. These were not significantly changed after capsaicin 8% patch application.

At baseline, the mean difference in the stump from the contralateral limb for thermal thresholds was significantly elevated for cool \((p=0.0017)\) and warm \((p=0.0022)\) thresholds, but not for cold pain \((p=0.2907)\) or heat pain \((p=0.1788)\) thresholds. At follow-up, all patients showed a change with the trend toward normal values of the thermal thresholds except for two patients, while the cold and hot pain thresholds did not change. The patients who had an improvement of cool and warm thresholds showed a positive relationship between elevation of thermal thresholds at baseline and the magnitude of improvement after treatment (for cool: \(r=0.73, p=0.0003\); for warm: \(r=0.63, p=0.0007\)).

**Functional magnetic resonance imaging**

Nine patients received fMRI scan before and after the treatment, as three patients had ferromagnetic objects potentially not compatible with the fMRI magnetic field, one patient

---

**Figure 4** Short Form (SF) McGill pain score at baseline and end of study.

**Notes:** SF-McGill pain scores for continuous (A), intermittent (B), neuropathic (C), and affective (D) descriptors of pain in patients treated with capsaicin 8% patch, at baseline and after patch application. **Very significant, ***highly significant.
was claustrophobic, and one patient was uncomfortable in keeping the supine position.

The effect of lip pursing > rest averaged across both First and Second scans and across all patients and is shown in Figure 7. Analysis of data obtained in nine patients who completed the fMRI studies showed objective evidence of restoration of brain maps with the lip pursing task. The difference between the scans (First > Second scan) of lip pursing > rest averaged across completed patients is shown in Figure 8, which indicates a decrease (reversal) of the spread into the hand representation area of the cerebral cortex with the lip pursing task (shown in green, arrowed).

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that a single 60 min capsaicin 8% patch application to the amputation stump is effective in reducing stump and phantom limb pain for 4 weeks, without any systemic or adverse events. We observed a marked decrease in the area of allodynia for brush and pinprick hypersensitivity in the amputation stump following capsaicin 8% patch treatment, which had beneficial consequences for using a prosthesis and, hence, for the mobility and rehabilitation of the patients. Current medical treatments for neuropathic pain have not been shown to be clearly effective in reducing post-amputation stump or phantom limb pain in clinical trials33–36,70 and have side effects.71

Our findings are in accordance with previous observational studies, as of Kern et al,55 which reported relief of amputation stump and phantom limb pain in a small cohort of amputees after treatment with capsaicin 8% patch. In the larger QUEPP study,53,55 30% of patients with phantom pain, 25% with stump pain, and 14% with combined phantom and stump pain experienced improvement in pain intensity after patch application.

Some authors have noted that amputees may find it difficult to distinguish between phantom and stump pain, while other studies have shown that amputees are able to differentiate stump pain from the phantom pain both immediately after the amputation72 and 1 year or more after the amputation.73 In our study, patients were asked, after careful explanation and demonstration, to rate spontaneous and evoked stump pain twice daily and phantom limb pain. The distinctions were reiterated at clinic visits, when touch and pinprick sensation were assessed, along with any allodynia or pinprick hypersensitivity.
Our findings were consistent with previous literature showing the coexistence in the residual limb of areas of hypoesthesia and hyperpathia for pinprick and light touch, often related to the proximity of the scar or grafted tissue. In our study, amputees showed elevated thresholds for warm and cool perception in the residual but not intact limb, while no differences were found for cold and hot pain thresholds. Thermal thresholds for cool and warm in the residual limb showed a trend toward normal values after the treatment, which suggests regeneration or modification of the phenotype of nerve fibers and deserves further study. Previous studies indicated similar changes in thermal thresholds in the residual limb, though some showed differences such as decrease in cold threshold or increase in cold pain threshold. Electrical sensation and pain thresholds were found to be elevated in the residual limb in one study. The potential sources of differences include the presence of scar or grafted tissue and the site of testing, which may account for discrepant results.

To investigate the central mechanisms following treatment with capsaicin 8% patch in amputation stump and phantom limb pain, patients in our study were also assessed with fMRI scans. Amputees who reported relief from phantom limb pain showed a decrease in the spread of lip-to-hand representation in the cortex contralateral to the amputation stump, evoked during the lip pursing task. Further studies with larger numbers of subjects are needed to replicate this proof-of-concept study and upper versus lower limb amputation effects. Sensory stimulation, for example, by a pneumatic device, would also be of interest. As capsaicin 8% patch effects are restricted to cutaneous nerve terminals and are generally reversible, our findings suggest that phantom limb pain may be driven or modulated by peripheral inputs.

Using neuromagnetic source imaging, Flor et al showed shift in the contralateral cortex map of traumatic amputees who had phantom limb pain, but not in congenital amputees or healthy volunteers. The cortical reorganization was confirmed by Lotze et al and Maclver et al using fMRI in amputees who were asked to perform voluntary movements of the lips, intact hand, and imaginary movements of the phantom limb. Based on these results, the presence of phantom pain was attributed to an increased responsivity of the area of the brain representing the body part removed, due to the spatial invasion of adjacent cortical representations. However, Raffin et al reported that distinct and separate brain networks are activated by active or imagined movements, and these networks are similar between amputees and healthy controls. The preservation of the connections between cortex and periphery has been largely supported by Makin et al in amputees. An integrative explanatory hypothesis for the mechanisms responsible for generating phantom pain suggests the potential of coexistence between reorganizational processes of the cortex with the expansion of cortical map neighboring the deafferented area and the abnormal spontaneous activity of the area now deprived of peripheral input. The maladaptive plasticity and persistent representation models may be not mutually exclusive.

As suggested by two studies, a normal cortical map representation may be restored in amputees by intervention at peripheral sites, as in our study. In these studies, both reimplantation of the missing limb and retargeting of peripheral terminals led to a large shift of cortical maps toward the expected preamputation location. Interestingly, the patient who had the transplant of both hands showed a reversal of the reorganization in a manner suggesting strengthening of preexisting connections. However, mirror therapy and mental imagery that work directly on the central nervous system have been shown to have beneficial effects with cortical remapping; thus, both central and peripheral inputs are important.

**Conclusion**

This study showed marked relief of amputation stump pain and, particularly, the area of hypersensitivity following capsaicin 8% patch application. The treatment may enable patients to wear prostheses, thereby improving mobility and rehabilitation. fMRI scan analyses provided objective evidence of the restoration brain maps, thereby demonstrating the potential of the treatment.
that phantom limb pain (“central” pain) may be modulated by peripheral inputs and can be reduced by the peripherally restricted effect of the capsaicin 8% patch.
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