The translational expression of ABCA2 and ABCA3 is a strong prognostic biomarker for multidrug resistance in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia
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Purpose: The aim of this work was to study the correlation between the expressions of the ABCA2 and ABCA3 genes at the mRNA and protein levels in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and the effects of this association on multidrug resistance (MDR).

Materials and methods: Sixty-nine children with de novo ALL and 25 controls were enrolled in the study. Mononuclear cells were isolated from the bone marrow. The mRNA levels of ABCA2 and ABCA3 were measured by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Samples with high mRNA levels were assessed for respective protein levels by Western blotting. Following the first year of treatment, persistent monoclonality of T-cell gamma receptors or immunoglobulin H (IgH) gene rearrangement was assessed and considered as the MDR. The tertiary structure of ABCA2 was predicted using Phyre2 and I-TASSER web systems and compared to that of ABCA3, which has been previously reported. Molecular docking was performed using DOCK 6.7.

Results: Real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) showed high levels of ABCA2 and ABCA3 mRNAs in 13 and 17 samples, respectively. Among them, five and eight individuals demonstrated high levels of ABCA2 and ABCA3, respectively. Response to chemotherapy was significantly decreased (p=0.001) when the mRNA and protein of both genes were overexpressed compared to individuals with high transcriptional levels of either ABCA2 or ABCA3 alone. Close similarity between ABCA2 and ABCA3 structures was revealed by protein tertiary structure prediction, whereas molecular docking analysis suggested similar binding of chemotherapy drugs and therefore a potentially similar role in determining the MDR.

Conclusion: Our findings suggested, for the first time, that quantification of the protein level of ABCA2 and ABCA3 transporters had a prognostic impact on pediatric ALL MDR. Furthermore, the tertiary structure of ABCA2 was predicted for the first time, and docking analysis revealed a possible compensatory effect between ABCA2 and ABCA3 transporters, which may contribute to the efflux of cytotoxic drugs and, ultimately, to chemoresistance.
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Introduction

ALL is one of the most common types of cancer in children younger than 15 years.1,2 The peak incidence of ALL is between 2 and 5 years of age.3 Despite the successes achieved in the treatment of childhood ALL in the past 4 decades, relapse was observed in ~20% of cases.4,5 The most important cause of relapse is resistance to...
chemotherapy medications, which is often attributed to genes with MDR. MDR is generated by mechanisms such as the increased efflux of a wide range of chemotherapeutics from the cells. Increased expression of ABC membrane transporters leads to activation of this mechanism. One of the known members of this family, which belongs to the ABCA subfamily, is the endolysosomal protein named ABCA2, which is associated with lipid transport and drug resistance. This protein is located on inner vesicles, including the endoplasmic reticulum, the trans–Golgi network, late endosomes and lysosomes. Another member of the ABC family is ABCA3. This protein is expressed in the cytoplasmic membrane and cell’s inner compartments such as the endosome, lysosome and lamellar bodies.

The role of ABCA2 and ABCA3 in drug transport is not entirely clear, but much of the evidence suggests that these carrier proteins have a role in MDR by causing an accumulation of drugs in the lysosomes and possibly their efflux from the cell. The mRNA expression studies of ABCA2 and ABCA3 have shown that these genes are involved in drug resistance in adult T-ALL, acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). In addition, a recent study performed by our group has shown that four ABC transporters, including ABCA2 and ABCA3, are related to poor prognosis in childhood ALL and have a direct relationship with MRD persistence after 1 year of treatment. However, some cases with high ABCA2 or ABCA3 expression at the mRNA levels had good response to treatment, and some cases without any overexpression of these genes were resistant to therapy. While the latter may occur due to the multifactorial nature of drug resistance, which can be attributed to other mechanisms apart from the overexpression of MDR genes, the former finding raises questions about the negative role of the aforementioned ABC transporters in MDR ALL. Previous studies show that the expression of a gene at the mRNA level is not always associated with its expression at the protein level. However, no published data were found to discuss either the relationship between the mRNA and protein levels of ABCA transporters or the significance of this correlation in MDR. The aim of this study was, therefore, to investigate the plot of protein versus mRNA expression levels for the ABCA2 and ABCA3 genes, evaluate the significance of this correlation in MDR of childhood ALL in order to better identify the high- and low-risk patients, and find a better approach to treatment. On the other hand, the functional activity of ABCA2 and ABCA3 proteins was investigated regarding chemotherapeutic medicines using molecular docking analyses.

Materials and methods

Materials

Immunophenotyping was performed by flow cytometry, and related antibodies were purchased from Dako Denmark A/S (Glostrup, Denmark); Lymphoprep™, RNX-Plus and cDNA synthesis kits were from Axis-Shield (Oslo, Norway), Cinnagen (Teheran, Iran) and Fermentas (Waltham, MA, USA). Real-time PCR kit was purchased from TaKaRa (Otsu, Japan); RIPA lysis buffer was from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA) and protease inhibitor cocktail was purchased from Melford (Melford, UK). Protein ladder and PVDF blotting membrane were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA) and GE Healthcare (Buckinghamshire, UK), respectively. Goat anti-ABCA2 polyclonal antibody and goat anti-ABCA3 polyclonal antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz Biototechnology Inc., (Dallas, TX, USA); rabbit anti-goat IgG-HRP was from Sigma-Aldrich and mouse anti-GAPDH monoclonal antibody was purchased from EMD Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA). Goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP was purchased from Dako Denmark A/S and ECL solution was from Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., (Hercules, CA, USA).

Methods

Sampling and RNA extraction

The study included all children who were diagnosed to be at risk for leukemia in the Seyed-ol-Shohada Hospital, Isfahan, during the period from 2012 to 2016. Philadelphia-positive leukemia, infantile leukemia, mixed lineage leukemia and Burkitt-type leukemia were excluded from the research because of the nonsimilar type of treatment protocol applied. This research was permitted and approved by the ethics committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (protocol number 192018). Informed written consents were obtained from the children’s parents prior to participation in the study. Following diagnosis, 1–2 mL of heparinized bone marrow was sent to the Cellular and Molecular Biology Laboratory of University of Isfahan on ice for further studies. Bone marrow samples from children without evidence of any cancer (including leukemia) were selected as controls. Extraction of mononuclear cells was performed using a protocol based on the Lymphoprep™ Kit. The total RNA was extracted using the RNX-Plus kit and 2 µg of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis by a cDNA synthesis kit, in accordance with the specific temperature protocol (sequentially, 5 minutes at 25°C, 60 minutes at 42°C, and 5 minutes at 70°C). The cDNA was then stored at −20°C for further studies. Patients were treated based on the Australian
and New Zealand Children’s Cancer Study Group's ALL Study 8 protocol (http://www.anzctr.org.au/trial_view.aspx?ID=1568). Bone marrow samples were evaluated for monoclonality (IgH or T-cell gamma receptor gene rearrangement) at diagnosis and again at the end of the first year of treatment using PCR analysis. Persistent monoclonality of T-cell gamma receptors or IgH gene rearrangement after 1 year of treatment, or observation of any sign of leukemia relapse before this time, was considered as MDR. Twenty-five children, with no evidence of malignancy in subsequent studies, were used as controls.

qRT-PCR analysis

The housekeeping gene GAPDH was used as an endogenous control to study the relative expression of ABCA2 and ABCA3 mRNAs. The sequences of the primers used in this study are reported in Table 1. Real-time PCR was performed using the real-time PCR kit (Applied Biosystems Step One™ Real-Time PCR system). Briefly, 1 µL of 0.01 µg/mL of the synthesized cDNA, 0.4 µL of 100 nM forward and reverse primers, 5 µL of SYBR green Master Mix and 3.2 µL of ddH2O were added to the real-time tubes. Then, qRT-PCR was performed in triplicate according to the protocol that included a preincubation at 95°C (5 minutes), denaturation at 95°C (20 seconds), annealing at 60°C (30 seconds) and product expansion at 72°C (25 seconds). Relative expression levels were calculated using the 2-ΔΔCT method.

Cell lysis and protein extraction

After assessing the expression level of ABCA2 and ABCA3 mRNAs of the patients' and controls' samples, cells belonging to patients with high levels of one or both mRNAs were chosen for Western blotting analysis. Twelve randomly selected control samples were pooled and used as a negative control sample for the aforementioned assay. Cell lysis was performed using RIPA lysis buffer and protease inhibitor cocktail after freezing and thawing cells three times. To conduct freezing and thawing, 30 µL PBS solution was added to the cells and cooled at −70°C for 10 minutes. Frozen tubes were then placed in 37°C water until the cells melted completely. Cells were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 250 × g and 4°C; the PBS supernatant was discarded and the cells were incubated for 30 minutes on ice (4°C) in cold lysis buffer (using 1 mL RIPA buffer and 10 µL protease inhibitor cocktail for every 107 cells). Tubes were then centrifuged at 8,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4°C, and the supernatant was stored in small volumes at −70°C after determining the protein concentration using the Bradford technique.

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting

The molecular weights of ABCA2 and ABCA3 proteins are 270 kDa and 191 kDa, respectively; therefore, a 7.5% resolving gel was prepared for the SDS-PAGE assay. Mouse anti-GAPDH monoclonal antibody was used as loading control. An amount of 21.5 µg of proteins extracted from the samples was loaded with the required volume of loading buffer after boiling the proteins for 5–10 minutes at 100°C, and SDS-PAGE was performed using a protein marker. Proteins were then transferred from the gel to PVDF membranes. Nonspecific binding sites were blocked using 4% BSA in PBS solution overnight. Membranes were then treated with primary antibodies for 2 hours and with secondary antibodies for 1 hour. Goat anti-ABCA2 and anti-ABCA3 polyclonal primary antibodies and mouse anti-GAPDH monoclonal antibodies were used at 1:200 dilutions. Rabbit anti-goat IgG-HRP and goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP secondary antibodies were used at 1:2,500 dilutions. Incubations were performed with mild shaking. Washing was done three times with 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS-1× solution, each time for 15 minutes on a shaker. Proteins were detected on sensitive radiology films in a dark room using ECL solution. Finally, we evaluated the relationship between the expression of the genes at the protein level and MDR.

Tertiary structure prediction

The 2,436 amino acid sequence of ABCA2 (accession: AAK14334.1, GI: 13173186) was retrieved from the National

Table 1 Primer sequences of ABCA2, ABCA3 and GAPDH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
<th>Primer length (base pairs)</th>
<th>Amplicon length (base pairs)</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>GC%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCA2</td>
<td>F:CCGCACCATCTTCTGTCCACCCACC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>70.79</td>
<td>65.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:TGGCGATGACCTGGGACCACCTGGAGC</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>70.31</td>
<td>61.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCA3</td>
<td>F:GGGACATCTACTACCTCCCACCAGCA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:ACGGGCCCTCTGTGGCCCTTCTACTG</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>F:GCCCCAGGCAAGGAGAGCAAGAGGAAGA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>68.64</td>
<td>57.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R:CATGGCAACCTGTGAGGGGAGGATT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>68.64</td>
<td>57.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: F, forward; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; R, reverse; Tm, melting temperature.
Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) in FASTA format, and the tertiary structure of this protein was predicted by Phyre2,33 similarly to methods described for ABCA3.34 Briefly, the ABCA2 protein sequence was divided into two overlapping sequence segments and independently predicted. The final model was obtained by reconstructing the two models using the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Chimera package (https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/).35 Chimera was developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the UCSF (supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences [NIGMS] P41-GM103311).

Molecular docking
To demonstrate the similarities of drug binding between ABCA2 and ABCA3, we downloaded the coordinate files of estramustine, doxorubicin, methotrexate, vincristine, 6-mercaptopurine and prednisolone chemotherapy drugs from the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Molecular docking (flexible ligands) was performed using DOCK 6.7 (UCSF; http://dock.compbio.ucsf.edu/DOCK_6/index.htm) on a multiple-processor workstation.36

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 and chi-square test to investigate the relationship between ABCA2 and ABCA3 protein expression and positive MDR.

Results
Sixty-nine de novo non-Philadelphia-positive ALL patients, including nine T-cell ALL and 60 pre-B-cell ALL patients, were entered into the study. There were 29 females and 40 males, with the mean age of 4.8±2.5 years (mean ± SD). The mean age of the controls was similar (6±4.1 years) (mean ± SD, P=0.251). After the first induction, one patient with pre-B-cell ALL and t(4;11) chromosomal translocation did not go into remission and was diagnosed as resistant to treatment. Three patients relapsed before the end of the first year (two with pre-B-cell ALL and one patient with T-cell leukemia). Overall, three relapsed patients and 19 MRD-positive patients who maintained monoclonality were categorized as the MDR patient group.

Real-time PCR and immunoblotting analysis
We found that 13 and 17 of the 69 patients with a diagnosis of de novo ALL had an increased level of ABCA2 and ABCA3 mRNA, respectively (compared to controls). Figure 1 shows a representative selection of ALL patients, wherein the bands of ABCA2 and ABCA3 mRNA are clearly visible compared to the nontemplate control. However, Western blot analysis revealed that only five out of 13 (38.5%) and eight out of 17 (47.1%) patients additionally had a significant expression of the corresponding ABCA2 and ABCA3 proteins, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 2).

The incidence of MDR was significantly higher in patients with ABCA2 overexpression at both mRNA and protein levels compared to those with normal ABCA2 gene expression (100% vs 19.6%; P=0.0001). There was no significant difference in drug resistance between patients with normal gene expression at the mRNA level and those who overexpressed ABCA2 at the mRNA level but not so at the protein level (19.6% vs 37.5%; P=0.253).

An increased expression level of ABCA3 in 17 out of 69 children with ALL, compared to controls, was observed (Figure 1). However, in nine patients (53%), no visible band was demonstrated in Western blot assay (Table 2B, Figure 2). We found a significant difference in drug resistance between patients with gene overexpression at both mRNA and protein levels and those without gene expression at mRNA levels (87.5% vs 19.2%; P=0.0001). There was no significant difference in drug resistance between patients who overexpressed ABCA3 at the mRNA level without protein expression and those who did not express ABCA3 at the mRNA level (22.2% vs 19.2%; P=0.838).

Results showed that among the 69 patients included in this study, 12 cases (17.4%) expressed either ABCA2 or...
Table 2 The expression profiles of ABCA2 and ABCA3, separately (A, B) and together (C) at the mRNA and protein levels in 69 patients with de novo ALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ABCA2</th>
<th>MDR-</th>
<th>MDR+</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A mRNA, protein</td>
<td>11 (19.6%)</td>
<td>45 (80.4%)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA, protein</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
<td>5 (62.5%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA, protein</td>
<td>5 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 (27.5%)</td>
<td>50 (72.5%)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ABCA3</th>
<th>MDR-</th>
<th>MDR+</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B mRNA, protein</td>
<td>10 (19.2%)</td>
<td>42 (80.8%)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA, protein</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA, protein</td>
<td>7 (87.5%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 (27.5%)</td>
<td>50 (72.5%)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ABCA2 and/or ABCA3</th>
<th>MDR-</th>
<th>MDR+</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C mRNA, protein</td>
<td>4 (9.3%)</td>
<td>39 (90.7%)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA, protein</td>
<td>4 (28.5%)</td>
<td>10 (71.5%)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA, protein</td>
<td>11 (91.5%)</td>
<td>1 (8.5%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 (27.5%)</td>
<td>50 (72.5%)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: mRNA indicates no overexpression of mRNA compared to the control group; protein indicates no band was observed in Western blotting.

Abbreviations: ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; MDR, multidrug resistance.

ABCA3 at the protein levels. From this population, 11 cases (91.7%) displayed resistance to chemotherapy (Table 2C). There was a significant difference in response to therapy between patients who expressed ABCA2/ABCA3 at the protein level compared with those without ABCA2/ABCA3 protein expression (91.7% vs 14%; P = 0.001).

Alternative risk factors

The mRNA or protein expression levels of ABCA2 or ABCA3 had no significant relationship with underlying factors such as age, sex, WBC and platelet counts, hepatosplenomegaly at diagnosis, and subtypes of the disease (including T-cell ALL and pre-B-cell ALL). No correlation between the aforementioned factors and response to treatment was observed.

Tertiary structure prediction and molecular docking of ABCA2 and ABCA3

Previous clinical studies have shown that estramustine is sequestered and effluxed by ABCA2 in resistant ovarian carcinoma. Docking analysis performed on a predicted model of ABCA2 suggested that ABCA2 is able to bind estramustine (docking grid energy equal to −42.395 kcal/mol) (data not shown). The overlap between the ABCA2 and ABCA3 models obtained by Phyre2 outlined the presence of similar domains (ie, transmembrane helixes and nucleotide-binding domains) (Figure 3A). This finding was also suggested by visually inspecting the ab initio model obtained by I-TASSER on ABCA3 (Figure 3B) and the structure of ABCA2 obtained by Phyre2. Figure 3C shows ABCA2, as a representative example, used for docking calculation, surrounded by the corresponding surface (in green) and water molecules (in cyan). The 20 μm box around the active site of the protein (gray lines) has been chosen for grid calculation and docking. Docking analyses were performed for ABCA2 and ABCA3 protein models. Five chemotherapy drugs, doxorubicin, methotrexate, vincristine, 6-mercaptopurine and prednisolone, were used as ligands. Doxorubicin was depicted as a representative example (Figure 4). Data showed almost identical docking results for ABCA2 and ABCA3. We found that doxorubicin possessed a docking grid energy of −42.093 kcal/mol, methotrexate of −48.092 kcal/mol, vincristine of −33.796 kcal/mol, 6-mercaptopurine of −17.831 kcal/mol, and prednisolone of −34.312 kcal/mol.

Figure 2 ABCA2 and ABCA3 protein expression of samples with a high ABCA2/ABCA3 mRNA expression.

Notes: Mononuclear cell lysis and extraction of total protein was performed on blood samples of de novo ALL patients with high expression of ABCA2 and ABCA3 at mRNA levels compared to controls. Accordingly, 21.5 μg of the extracted protein was loaded into the wells and Western blotting was performed following SDS-PAGE using goat anti-human ABCA2 and ABCA3 polyclonal antibodies, respectively. PVDF membranes were treated with related secondary antibody and exposed to ECL solution. Out of 12 MDR+ patients, seven showed visible bands corresponding to high expression levels of ABCA2 protein with an MWt of 191 kDa, and five showed visible bands corresponding to high expression levels of ABCA2 protein with an MWt of 270 kDa. One MDR patient (patient number 11) showed high expression levels of ABCA3. Each lane corresponds to an individual ABCA2 or ABCA3 mRNA+ patient and is labeled by numbers. Probing the blots with mouse anti-human GAPDH monoclonal antibody showed identical amounts of loaded proteins. P indicates a pool of 12 control samples.

Abbreviations: ECL, enhanced chemiluminescence; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; MDR, minimal residual disease; MWt, molecular weight; PVDF, polyvinylidene difluoride; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.
Discussion

Several studies have suggested the possible role of the ABC transporter genes ABCA2 and ABCA3 in several malignancies in terms of contributing to MDR. However, other studies underestimate the impact of these transporters on cancer and the MDR phenomenon. The reason for this controversy might be the discordance between the gene expression at the mRNA and protein levels. In the current study, we examined ABCA2 and ABCA3 expressions in childhood ALL at the mRNA level with respect to the protein level. Results concerning the prevalence of the overexpression of these genes at the mRNA level mirrored those of our previous study (18.84% and 24.64% of ALL patients for ABCA2 and ABCA3, respectively). However, poor response to treatment was not always correlated with gene overexpression; five out of 13 patients with high mRNA but low protein levels of ABCA2, and seven out of 17 patients with high mRNA but low protein levels of ABCA3, had positive responses to treatment, similar to de novo patients with low mRNA transcript levels (Table 2A and B). On the other hand, all except one of the 12 patients with increased levels of ABCA2 and/or ABCA3 protein levels among the 69 de novo ALL patients developed MDR, implying the critical role of these transporters in refractory pediatric ALL (Table 2C). Out of 26 patients with an overexpression of ABCA2/ABCA3 mRNA, 14 patients (53.8%) did not express high levels of the corresponding protein, and 71.4% of them had a positive response to therapy (Table 2C). If clinicians classify ALL patients as “high risk” only considering their ABCA2/ABCA3 mRNA expression values, without taking into consideration the absence of the corresponding protein level, an unnecessary intensive treatment will follow. Alternative diagnostic strategies might simplify the therapy (ie, shorter chemotherapy cycles with a consequent earning in terms of therapeutic costs) and a reduced number of complications.

These data suggest, for the first time, a robust negative prognostic value for patients with a high expression of either ABCA2 or ABCA3 (or both) at the mRNA and protein levels, simultaneously. This finding should be considered in therapeutic strategies applied for childhood ALL.

Figure 3 Tertiary structures of ABCA2 and ABCA3.
Notes: (A) Comparative structural analysis of ABCA2 (purple) and ABCA3 (yellow) models predicted by Phyre2 showed close similarity. (B) ABCA3 model obtained by I-TASSER ab initio method (orange) and the water molecules (cyan) within the active site. (C) ABCA2 active site used for grid calculations and docking analysis. ABCA2 model (yellow), ABCA2 molecular surface (green), water molecules (cyan) and ABCA2 active site (gray box).

Figure 4 Molecular docking analyses of ABCA2/ABC3 models shown in zoomed out (A) and zoomed in (B) images.
Notes: Doxorubicin is depicted as a representative antineoplastic drug and colored arbitrarily according to the heteroatom. ABCA2 (purple); ABCA3 (yellow).
Abbreviation: ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia.
A possible compensatory effect between ABCA2 and ABCA3 transporters was previously proposed, through which, downregulation of one transporter leads to the upregulation of the other. In the current study, we confirmed these findings and observed the existence of an interchangeable pattern of protein expression between ABCA3 and ABCA2, at least in some of our patients with poor response to therapy. Four patients with MDR showed high protein levels of ABCA2 and low protein levels of ABCA3, simultaneously. In addition, seven MDR patients displayed high protein levels of ABCA3 and low protein levels of ABCA2 (Figure 2). Functional similarity of these two transporters has been suggested by examining the models of ABCA2 and ABCA3 and the corresponding ligands used as chemotherapy drugs (reported in the National Cancer Institute [NCI] database). Computational prediction studies and docking analyses were performed to investigate this hypothesis and suggest a mutualistic role of ABCA2 and ABCA3 transporters for chemotherapy drug efflux. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting the model of ABCA2 protein and revealing its similarity to ABCA3.

Finally, although much has been explored in this regard, the exact mechanism through which ABCA2 and ABCA3 transporters contribute to chemoresistance is still to be fully established. Moreover, investigating larger populations of ALL patients in prospective cohort studies may help emphasize the validity of results provided in this study.
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