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Abstract: Direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) are being increasingly used in the clinical setting for patients at risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) and/or stroke. These medications offer valued benefits for long-term use, including a fast onset of anticoagulation, fixed anticoagulation profile (and consequent prescription of specified doses) and no requirement for routine monitoring. Apixaban is a selective factor Xa inhibitor, approved for use in the prevention of stroke in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation and in the prevention and treatment of acute VTE. Like many of the DOACs, it has a fast onset of action and works to deliver predictable coagulation results. Multiple randomized controlled trials including ARISTOTLE and AMPLIFY have shown apixaban to be noninferior to vitamin K antagonists in the prevention of stroke and VTE, with a good safety profile. This article aims to review the use of apixaban for the prevention and treatment of thromboembolic disease, highlighting the key study results that have led to its current licensing and use.
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History and development

In an era of anticoagulation development, more options mean more uncertainty. It is imperative for physicians and surgeons to be aware of the available anticoagulation medication, their licenses, dosing and indications. Familiarity with the systemic effects, bleeding risks and reversal options during the pre-, peri- and postoperative periods is of particular importance to surgeons.

Vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) have long been the preferred choice of anticoagulation, but their unpredictable effects, delayed onset of action and need for routine monitoring make them a challenging medication for clinical practice. The advent of the direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) has revolutionized patient care, supported by multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analyses prior to the introduction of routine clinical practice.1–3

To date, apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban and rivaroxaban have gained therapeutic licenses within the UK and USA, and these have been secured on the basis of the RCTs listed in Table 1.

Pharmacokinetics

Apixaban is an oral factor Xa inhibitor that reversibly and selectively inhibits free and clot bound factor Xa. It has a rapid onset of action, with peak effects at around 1–2 hours post dose, and a half-life of ~12 hours. With predictable pharmacokinetics, apixaban can be administered as a fixed twice daily (BD) regimen, without the need for
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The use of apixaban must be reviewed, however, in those patients taking cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) and/or P-glycoprotein (P-GP) inhibitors (such as carbamazepine, phenytoin and rifampicin) which increases risk of stroke/embolism.

Table 2 Pharmacokinetics of apixaban

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>Reversible and selectively inhibits free and clot bound factor Xa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prodrug</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>12 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak levels</td>
<td>1–2 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doses</td>
<td>BD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretion</td>
<td>25% renal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use in pregnancy/breast feeding</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactions</td>
<td>CYP3A4 and P-GP inducers: carbamazepine, phenytoin and rifampicin – increases risk of stroke/embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrCl 15–29 mL/min</td>
<td>(+Age &gt;80 OR weight &gt;60 kg) reduced dose (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrCl &lt;15 mL/min</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight &lt;60 kg</td>
<td>(+Age &gt;80 years or CrCl 15–29 mL/min) reduced dose (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hepatic impairment</td>
<td>Reduced dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-to-severe hepatic impairment</td>
<td>Not indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BD, twice daily; CrCl, creatinine clearance; CYP3A4, cytochrome P450 3A4; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; P-GP, P-glycoprotein.
Efficacy in the prevention of VTE

VTE is a significant issue in patients with reduced mobility, particularly after surgery. It is, therefore, important to ensure whether appropriate anticoagulant medication is prescribed to prevent venous thromboembolic disease.12

ADVANCE-1 and ADVANCE-2 trials were phase III clinical trials that evaluated the use of apixaban following total knee replacement. Both studies were noninferiority trials, where the ADVANCE-1 trial reviewed the use of apixaban 2.5 mg once daily (OD) (1,599 patients) compared with subcutaneous enoxaparin 30 mg BD (1,596 patients), and ADVANCE-2 randomized 1,528 patients to receive apixaban 2.5 mg BD and 1,529 patients to receive subcutaneous enoxaparin 40 mg OD.

Results of both studies highlighted that apixaban was noninferior when compared with enoxaparin with regard to VTE prophylaxis (ARR=9%, P<0.0001), and showed a reduction in the rate of bleeding from 5% to 4% (P=0.09).13,14 Although no direct RCTs have been performed for the use of apixaban in the prevention of VTE outside hip and knee surgery, the data shown throughout this article are considered to be strong enough evidence for the use of apixaban for this purpose.13-15

Efficacy in the treatment of VTE

VTE has an annual incidence of 1–2 per million population and is commonly linked to immobility (e.g., surgery or flights >4 hours), coagulation disorders and the oral contraceptive pill.6,6 Conventional therapy includes initial treatment with enoxaparin and 3–6 months of warfarin therapy dependent on VTE anatomical location. The AMPLIFY study randomized and double blinded patients into conventional treatment or 10 mg apixaban BD for 7 days followed by 5 mg BD for 3 months.4

Five thousand and four hundred patients were enrolled in the study. Of these, a total of 130 patients experienced further thromboembolic events (2.3% apixaban vs and 2.7% conventional therapy), and a similar percentage in each cohort went on to have a positive diagnosis of PE. Overall bleeding rates were again significantly lower following the use of apixaban (0.6% vs 1.8%), highlighting a clinically relevant overall relative risk reduction of 69% (ARR=1.2%, P<0.001).

Safety

Both efficacy and safety are key aspects in the development of new medications. This review highlights the clinical results of apixaban; showing similar efficacy in the treatment of acute thromboembolism, AF-related stroke management and

[Phenyltoin and rifampicin] as these medications may increase the risk of stroke and/or embolism. The opposite is consequently true for CYP3A4 and P-GP inhibitors, such as itraconazole, ketoconazole, clarithromycin and human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitors, which may increase the risk of bleeding when used in conjunction with apixaban.7

The main RCTs defining the use of apixaban over warfarin have been the ARISTOTLE and AMPLIFY studies.4,5 ARISTOTLE reviewed the rates of stroke, death and bleeding during the use of apixaban (compared with warfarin) in AF-related stroke prevention. AMPLIFY randomized patients into receiving either conventional enoxaparin and warfarin or apixaban when diagnosed with acute VTE. The outcomes of these studies and drug safety profiles are discussed below.

Efficacy in stroke prevention

It is well known that the prevalence of AF increases with age, with ~10% of the population over 80 years experiencing symptoms.8 The majority of these patients receive oral anticoagulant medication to reduce their risk of AF-related stroke. Previously prescribed medication has included warfarin or other VKAs, but their unpredictable coagulation profile and drug and food interactions limit their therapeutic use.9

With the advent of DOACs, multiple RCTs compared the use of warfarin with DOAC medications. The ARISTOTLE trial compared apixaban with warfarin in the management of AF-related stroke, particularly highlighting the rates of stroke, death and bleeding with both medications.5

For this study 18,201 patients were randomly assigned to either warfarin or 5 mg BD apixaban therapy (2.5 mg BD for dose reduction). Although a small number of participants were lost to follow-up (2.1%), overall results showed that fewer patients experienced symptoms of stroke or embolism on apixaban (1.27% vs 1.60%; P=0.01). There was a 49% relative risk reduction in the number of hemorrhagic stroke on apixaban (absolute risk reduction [ARR]=0.96%, P≤0.001), and an 8% relative risk reduction in ischemic stroke (P=0.42). Overall mortality rates were lower (3.5% vs 3.9%, P=0.047), and major bleeding was significantly lower at 2% with apixaban compared to just over 3% with warfarin (ARR=1%, P<0.001).10

Alongside these results, apixaban has also been compared with aspirin therapy alone (AVERROES study). Results have again highlighted a 55% relative risk reduction (ARR=2.1%, P<0.001) in the rate of stroke or systemic embolism, with no increase in the risk of major bleeding (P=0.07).11

Efficacy in the treatment of VTE

VTE is a significant issue in patients with reduced mobility, particularly after surgery. It is, therefore, important to ensure whether appropriate anticoagulant medication is prescribed to prevent venous thromboembolic disease.12

ADVANCE-1 and ADVANCE-2 trials were phase III clinical trials that evaluated the use of apixaban following total knee replacement. Both studies were noninferiority trials, where the ADVANCE-1 trial reviewed the use of apixaban 2.5 mg once daily (OD) (1,599 patients) compared with subcutaneous enoxaparin 30 mg BD (1,596 patients), and ADVANCE-2 randomized 1,528 patients to receive apixaban 2.5 mg BD and 1,529 patients to receive subcutaneous enoxaparin 40 mg OD.

Results of both studies highlighted that apixaban was noninferior when compared with enoxaparin with regard to VTE prophylaxis (ARR=9%, P<0.0001), and showed a reduction in the rate of bleeding from 5% to 4% (P=0.09).13,14 Although no direct RCTs have been performed for the use of apixaban in the prevention of VTE outside hip and knee surgery, the data shown throughout this article are considered to be strong enough evidence for the use of apixaban for this purpose.13-15

Efficacy in the treatment of VTE

VTE has an annual incidence of 1–2 per million population and is commonly linked to immobility (e.g., surgery or flights >4 hours), coagulation disorders and the oral contraceptive pill.6,6 Conventional therapy includes initial treatment with enoxaparin and 3–6 months of warfarin therapy dependent on VTE anatomical location. The AMPLIFY study randomized and double blinded patients into conventional treatment or 10 mg apixaban BD for 7 days followed by 5 mg BD for 3 months.4

Five thousand and four hundred patients were enrolled in the study. Of these, a total of 130 patients experienced further thromboembolic events (2.3% apixaban vs and 2.7% conventional therapy), and a similar percentage in each cohort went on to have a positive diagnosis of PE. Overall bleeding rates were again significantly lower following the use of apixaban (0.6% vs 1.8%), highlighting a clinically relevant overall relative risk reduction of 69% (ARR=1.2%, P<0.001).

Safety

Both efficacy and safety are key aspects in the development of new medications. This review highlights the clinical results of apixaban; showing similar efficacy in the treatment of acute thromboembolism, AF-related stroke management and
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Apixaban dose</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Bleeding events</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARISTOTLE²</td>
<td>Apixaban vs warfarin for stroke prevention in AF (18,201 patients)</td>
<td>5 mg BD</td>
<td>Reduced rates of stroke and/or embolism (RR=0.79)</td>
<td>Reduced bleeding (RR=0.67)</td>
<td>Reduced death rates (RR=0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPLIFY⁴</td>
<td>Apixaban vs enoxaparin followed by warfarin for treatment of VTE (5,400 patients)</td>
<td>10 mg OD for 7 days followed by 5 mg for 3 months</td>
<td>Noninferior</td>
<td>Reduced bleeding (RR=0.33)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERROES¹¹</td>
<td>Apixaban vs aspirin for stroke prevention in AF (5,599 patients)</td>
<td>5 mg BD</td>
<td>Reduced rates of stroke and embolism (RR=0.43)</td>
<td>Noninferior</td>
<td>Reduction (RR=0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVANCE-1¹³</td>
<td>Apixaban vs enoxaparin for VTE prophylaxis post total knee replacement (3,195 patients)</td>
<td>2.5 mg BD</td>
<td>Noninferior</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVANCE-2¹⁴</td>
<td>Apixaban vs enoxaparin for VTE prophylaxis post total knee replacement (3,057 patients)</td>
<td>2.5 mg BD</td>
<td>Reduced rates of VTE (RR 0.62)</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparison of the major studies reviewed in the licensing of apixaban

The majority of the safety profiling for apixaban has been derived from the RCT’s stated above, for example, ARISTOTLE and AMPLIFY. These studies have confirmed that the use of apixaban (when compared with warfarin) has a significantly lower rate of mortality, reduced incidence of major bleeding events (Table 3) and few recordable side effects.¹

**Bleeding and bridging**

Reversal agents are currently being trialed, but the only marketed drug at present is idarucizumab (PRAXBIND) for dabigatran.¹⁷ Consequently, the same protocol as for major bleeding on warfarin must be adhered to: discontinue the drug, apply manual compression, maintain blood pressure, surgical/radiological intervention if appropriate and replace blood products +/- prothrombin complex concentrate.¹⁸

To bridge a DOAC to enoxaparin, the DOAC should be discontinued and enoxaparin commenced at the same time as the next scheduled DOAC dose. When converting to warfarin, all DOACs should be discontinued when the patients’ international normalized ratio is in the therapeutic range. For apixaban, therefore, the medication must be continued until recordable therapeutic levels of warfarin are reached (specific to indication), ensuring full anticoagulation effect.

**Conclusion**

The DOACs are quickly becoming the preferred treatment in the prevention and management of thromboembolic disease. Apixaban has been shown to be a safe alternative to other oral anticoagulant medications and has a reduced risk of bleeding and stroke when used for AF-related stroke prevention and fewer negative outcomes when used in VTE prophylaxis and treatment (Table 3). With a twice daily dosing regimen and no need for routine monitoring, apixaban is a safe and effective alternative to other oral anticoagulant medications.
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