Multi-targeted approach in the treatment of thyroid cancer

Abstract: While accounting for only 1% of solid organ malignancies (9% in women), thyroid carcinoma is the most common malignancy of the endocrine system. Although most patients have a favorable prognosis, over 1,500 people will die from thyroid carcinoma each year. The spectrum of disease types range from papillary thyroid cancer, which is a well-differentiated indolent tumor, to anaplastic carcinoma, a poorly differentiated fulminant cancer. With advances in diagnostic methods, surgical techniques, and clinical care of patients with thyroid carcinoma, the current management of thyroid cancer demands a multidisciplinary approach. The majority of patients with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma of follicular cell origin are cured with adequate surgical management; however, some thyroid malignancies such as medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) or poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas frequently metastasize, precluding patients from a curative resection. As such, novel palliative and therapeutic strategies are needed for this patient population. Here, we explore the current management of thyroid carcinoma, including surgical management of the primary tumor, lymph node disease, and locoregional recurrence. Likewise, we explore the application of current molecular techniques, reviewing nearly two decades of data that have begun to elucidate critical genetic pathways and therapeutic drug targets which may be important in specific thyroid tumor types.
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Background
Thyroid carcinoma is the most common cancer of the endocrine system (Hundahl et al 1998); in fact, approximately 33,550 patients are diagnosed with thyroid cancer annually in the United States, leading to an estimated 1,530 deaths per year (American Cancer Society 2007). While there are four main varieties of thyroid carcinoma, for purposes of clinical management of the patient, thyroid cancer is generally divided into three categories: well-differentiated thyroid cancer of follicular cell origin, medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), and undifferentiated or anaplastic cancer. Differentiated thyroid carcinomas are believed to arise from the follicular epithelial cells and account for nearly 94% of all thyroid malignancies (Sherman 2003). Generally, differentiated thyroid cancers include papillary carcinoma (PTC), follicular carcinoma (FC), and Hürthle cell carcinoma (HCC). MTCs, neuroendocrine tumors involving the parafollicular C cells derived from the neural crest, comprise approximately 5% of thyroid cancers (Sherman 2003; Hanks 2004; Chen et al 1998b). Less common, poorly differentiated thyroid cancers tend to be more aggressive, metastasize earlier, and portend a generally worse prognosis. The most common of these, anaplastic thyroid carcinoma, is thought to develop from the dedifferentiation of the differentiated type (Sherman 2003).

In a national cancer database report on 53,856 cases of thyroid carcinoma treated in the US from 1985 to 1995, Hundahl et al (1998) reported that 10-year overall relative
survival rates for patients with papillary, follicular, Hürthle cell, and medullary carcinoma was 93%, 85%, 76%, and 75%, respectively. Patients with undifferentiated/anaplastic carcinoma (only 1.7% of the 53,856 cases) had a dismal prognosis, with only 14% surviving 10 years. Age at the time of diagnosis appears to be the most important independent prognostic variable, as the prognosis for differentiated thyroid carcinoma is better for patients younger than 40 years (Cady et al 1979; Sanders and Cady 1998; Dean and Hay 2000; Clark 1985); evidence suggests this age cutoff may extend to 50 years in women with no other negative prognostic factors. Likewise, size less than 4 cm and absence of distant metastasis at the time of initial treatment are important independent positive predictors of survival (Cady et al 1979; Sanders and Cady 1998; Dean and Hay 2000; Clark 1985).

Well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma is a unique, heterogeneous disease; unlike the majority of epithelial cancers, differentiated thyroid cancer patients do extremely well, with few having recurrences and even fewer dying from the disease. As a result, the best treatment modality for patients with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma is a source of controversy. Tailoring treatment modalities to the patient’s risk of recurrence or death is of paramount importance. Currently, several treatment modalities (ie, thyroidectomy, radioactive iodine ablation, and thyroid-stimulating hormone [TSH] suppressive therapy) are widely used in the treatment of thyroid carcinoma; however, recent data suggest that in spite of this multimodal approach to cancer care, relative survival rates have only minimally improved in the last several decades Sherman 2003; Colonna et al 2006). Of equal importance, chemotherapy and external beam radiation are minimally effective in the treatment of aggressive thyroid cancers (Haugen 1999), highlighting a need for the development of novel, effective therapies for thyroid carcinoma. Here, we review current multimodal therapies used in the treatment of thyroid carcinoma, and we discuss the recent efforts to identify novel chemotherapeutic targets which may be important in the treatment of this disease.

**Current therapeutic modalities**

With advances in diagnostic methods, surgical techniques, and clinical care of patients with thyroid carcinoma, the management of well-differentiated thyroid cancer demands a multidisciplinary approach. However, while multimodality therapy has been the cornerstone of treatment for most thyroid cancers, there are little prospective data to support this approach. Decisions about the best treatment for individual patients with thyroid carcinoma are often controversial and supported only by retrospective analyses. In an attempt to direct diagnosis and treatment decisions in patients with thyroid cancer, several guidelines for the current management of thyroid carcinoma have been offered (Udelsman and Chen 1999; Task Force TC 2001; Thyroid Carcinoma Task Force 2001; Kendall-Taylor 2003; Cooper et al 2006; Perros 2007; British Thyroid Association and Royal College of Physicians 2008).

**Primary surgical management**

Thyroidectomy is the preferred initial management of PTC, FC, MTC, HCC, and isolated metastases to the thyroid gland (Udelsman and Chen 1999; Chen et al 1999; Cheema et al 2006; Greenblatt et al 2007). In undifferentiated or anaplastic thyroid carcinoma, complete surgical resection is frequently not possible and there is no effective systemic therapy (Mazzaferri 2007); however, thyroidectomy may be utilized to make a definitive diagnosis or palliate symptoms of airway compromise (Udelsman and Chen 1999; Mazzaferri 2007; Lang and Lo 2007). Interestingly, though the outcomes for anaplastic thyroid carcinoma (ATC) are dismal, recent data suggest that complete surgical resection combined with radiation and chemotherapy (doxorubicin), in aggressive multimodal therapies, offers the best outcome in patients with ATC (Udelsman and Chen 1999; Sugino et al 2002; Lam et al 2000; Tennvall et al 1994).

The extent of thyroidectomy for well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma is controversial because no prospective randomized trial could be performed; however, it is clear that any surgery less than thyroid lobectomy has no role in the management of differentiated thyroid cancer. Based on several large retrospective reports, total thyroidectomy is the preferred initial surgical procedure for most patients with clinically significant (tumors \( \geq 10 \text{ mm in diameter} \)), well-differentiated thyroid cancer (Mazzaferri and Jhiang 1994; Chen and Udelsman 1998; Hay et al 1998; Katoh et al 1992; Taylor et al 1989). Recently, Bilimoria et al published the largest study on PTC to date (Bilimoria et al 2007); in a review of over 50,000 patients, bilobar resection was found to be associated with improved survival compared to thyroid lobectomy. This represents the only published report of a survival benefit to bilobar resection and has helped to answer the question over extent of surgery in patients with clinically significant PTC. The rationale for total thyroidectomy in the treatment of well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma is lent support by the following points: total thyroidectomy improves the effectiveness of radioactive iodine \((^{131}I)\) ablation therapy, a significant factor shown to prolong survival and
lower recurrence rates (Mazzaferri and Jhiang 1994; Chen and Udelsman 1998; DeGroot et al 1990; Krishnamurthy and Blahd 1977); the specificity of serum thyroglobulin concentrations as a tumor marker are highest with resection of as much thyroid tissue as is safely possible (Sherman 2003; Ozata et al 1994); total thyroidectomy reduces the recurrence rate in patients with well-differentiated thyroid cancer (DeGroot et al 1990; Mazzaferri and Young 1981; Mazzaferri et al 1977), specifically since 5%–10% of recurrences of PTC after lobectomy arise in the contralateral lobe (Sherman 2003; Silverberg et al 1970); and total thyroidectomy, in the hands of an experienced surgeon, has been shown to have equivalent morbidity and mortality rates as the unilateral (lobectomy) procedure (Chen et al 1998). Most current guidelines for the management of well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma suggest that patients with PTC more than 10 mm in diameter or with high-risk PTC or FTC (extrathyroidal extension of disease, bilateral or multicentric PTC, distant metastatic disease, or prior history of ionizing radiation to the neck) should undergo near-total or total thyroidectomy (Sherman 2003; Royal College of Physicians 2008). It is generally accepted that thyroid lobectomy may be employed for patients with low-risk, occult PTC (≤10 mm in diameter), as the risk of death from a non-multicentric, ≤10 mm lesion is quite low (Udelsman and Chen 1999; Mazzaferri and Jhiang 1994). Conversely for FTC, although the absence of multicentric disease and small tumor size (≤10 mm in diameter) may argue against total thyroidectomy for management of the primary tumor, total thyroidectomy is typically recommended to allow for better outcomes with 131I ablation therapy (Sherman 2003; British Thyroid Association and Royal College of Physicians 2008).

As ultrasonography and fine-needle aspiration biopsy for thyroid lesions have recently increased in prevalence, the diagnosis of papillary thyroid microcarcinoma (PTMC) – thyroid carcinomas of less than 10 mm or less in maximum diameter (Hedinger et al 1988) – is becoming more common. The “ideal” therapeutic approach for patients with PTMC remains a subject of debate among endocrinologists and surgeons (Küçük et al 2007). While previous studies have shown that PTMC may account for nearly 30% of PTC cases, whether these microcarcinomas grow and become clinically apparent or life threatening for patients is unknown. In a recent observational trial of 162 patients, Ito et al (2003) showed that only 10.2% of low-risk PTMC enlarged by more than 10 mm during 5 years of follow-up and only 1.2% of patients developed lymph node metastases in the lateral compartments; more than 70% of tumors either did not change or decreased in size compared to the initial size at diagnosis, suggesting that PTMC may be observed as long as the tumor shows no progression. Ito et al further suggested that “if surgical treatment is performed for low-risk [PTMC], prophylactic modified radical neck dissection is not necessary, and lobectomy (with isthmusectomy) and central node dissection is adequate if the tumor is located only in one lobe” (Ito and Miyauchi 2007).

Management of lymph node disease

While only 35% of patients with PTC have cervical or mediastinal lymph node metastases grossly detectable at the time of initial surgery (Sherman 2003), the presence of microscopic regional metastases to nodes is not uncommon. In fact, when prophylactic lymphadenectomy was performed on all patients with PTC in the early years of surgical management, up to 90% were found to have microscopic lymph node metastases (Udelsman and Chen 1999; Attie et al 1971; Frazell and Foote 1955). The presence of micrometastases in the cervical lymph nodes, however, has not been shown to affect survival (Young et al 1980; Hay et al 1993; Byar et al 1979; Grebe and Hay 1996). In a review of 1,019 patients with differentiated thyroid cancer treated between 1940 and 1990, Sanders et al noted no significant difference in 20-year adjusted survival rate among high-risk patients with involved nodes and without involved nodes (58.5% versus 45.5%, respectively) (Sanders and Cady 1998). Likewise, the 20-year adjusted survival rate was not significantly different in low-risk patients both with and without nodal involvement (94.6% versus 96.5%, respectively) (Sanders and Cady 1998). While a recent meta-analysis of several studies confirms no relationship between lymph node status at presentation and survival, several studies did show an increased risk of tumor recurrence (Sherman 2003; Grebe and Hay 1996). In a retrospective study, Simon et al (1996) showed 51% of patients with differentiated thyroid cancer who had a total thyroidectomy alone developed regional recurrence, compared with 18% who also had lymph node dissection.

Until recently, it has generally been accepted that lymph node status in PTC affects only local recurrence rates rather than survival. However, the topic has evolved considerably in the last several years, as data from a large population-based study have demonstrated significantly higher mortality rates for differentiated thyroid cancer with nodal involvement (Lundgren et al 2006). When lymph node metastases are clinically evident on physical examination or routine cervical
ultrasound, it is generally agreed upon in most centers that surgical lymphadenectomy (LND) is the best form of treatment. In fact, many centers, including our own institution, have advocated ipsilateral modified radical neck dissection (MRND) as described by Bocca et al (1980, 1984) for clinically evident nodal involvement in the lateral neck to reduce the frequency of regional recurrence. Likewise, central neck dissection is warranted for PTC with clinically evident or pathologically involved nodes in the central compartment of the neck (also known as level IV), the region most frequently involved with skip metastases (Machens et al 2004; Qubain et al 2002).

However, controversy exists regarding the role of routine or prophylactic LND in PTC cases where nodal disease is not clinically detected (Sywak et al 2006). In a retrospective cohort study of nearly 450 patients, Sywak et al have demonstrated that, in PTC, the addition of routine central lymph node dissection (CLND) results in lower postablation thyroglobulin levels and potentially reduces recurrent or persistent disease and avoids reoperative surgery. Similarly, through an evidence-based meta-analysis of relevant literature, White et al (2007) provide levels IV and V data suggesting that systematic compartment-oriented CLND may decrease recurrence of PTC and likely improves disease-specific survival (grade C recommendation). Additionally, limited level III data are provided suggesting a survival benefit with the addition of prophylactic dissection to thyroidectomy (grade C recommendation). While the debate will undoubtedly continue, at our institution we do not routinely perform routine or prophylactic MRND or CLND in the absence of clinically evident nodal disease, as there are no convincing level I or II data demonstrating the benefit of prophylactic nodal dissection in these patients with PTC (Udelsman and Chen 1999).

Lymph node involvement with FTC is rare; in fact, nodal disease is present in less than 13% of patients in most comprehensive reviews (Emerick et al 1993). Rather, follicular carcinomas have a predilection for hemogenous metastasis, commonly invading blood vessels en route to bone and lung. Importantly, the oxyphilic variant of follicular thyroid carcinoma (HCC) behaves in a more aggressive fashion than well-differentiated FTC and, if malignant, often shows early nodal spread (Sherman 2003). Likewise, due to its relatively low avidity for radioiodine, HCC is essentially a surgical disease. Therefore, nodal disease from all differentiated thyroid carcinomas of follicular cell origin (PTC, FTC, and HCC) should be treated with aggressive surgical resection of clinically evident nodal disease; that is, CLND is advocated for clinically evident or pathologically proven nodal disease in the central neck while ipsilateral MRND is advocated for obvious disease in the lateral neck.

Unlike well-differentiated follicular thyroid carcinomas, MTC is more likely to present with metastatic cervical adenopathy (50%) at the time of initial presentation (Sherman 2003); early lymphatic spread to the perithyroidal and pretracheal lymph nodes is not uncommon. Similarly, more extensive lymphatic spread commonly involves the lateral compartments, including the jugular and supraclavicular nodes. In a recent report by Machens et al tumor multifocality was found to be an independent risk factor of lymph node metastasis on top of primary tumor size (Machens et al 2007). The hereditary form of MTC — those carcinomas showing an autosomal dominant pattern of transmission and arising as part of multiple endocrine neoplasia (MEN) syndrome types 2A or 2B or familial MTC (FMTC) – is characterized by the early onset of multifocal and bilateral disease (Scollo et al 2003). The sporadic form, accounting for nearly 70% of MTC cases, has been shown to have palpable cervical adenopathy in 30%–60% of cases (Chong et al 1975; Rougier et al 1983; Saad et al 1984). Lymph node involvement is present in 10%–30% of tumors smaller than 10 mm (Beressi et al 1998; Gimm et al 1999) and is more frequent in patients with larger tumors (Machens et al 2007). For these reasons, total thyroidectomy and bilateral CLND is recommended for all MTC patients; moreover, ipsilateral MRND is advocated in cases of sporadic MTC with clinically evident cervical adenopathy, tumors larger than 10 mm in diameter, and involvement of the central neck compartment (Udelsman and Chen 1999; Scollo et al 2003; Shah 1998; Kebebew and Clark 2000; Mazzaferri 1999).

Role of ¹³¹I adjuvant therapy

Near-total and total thyroidectomy followed by ¹³¹I ablation confers a distinct outcome advantage for PTC and FTC. There are multiple reasons for the use of adjuvant radioiodine ablation of residual thyroid tissue following thyroidectomy: (1) to destroy residual microscopic foci of disease; (2) to improve monitoring of recurrent or metastatic disease with ¹³¹I scanning by eliminating uptake by normal thyroid tissue and improving the specificity of the scan; and (3) to enhance the value of serum thyroglobulin measurements as a serum marker of recurrent disease (Sherman 2003). Significant retrospective data from multiple large studies suggest that ¹³¹I ablation reduces long-term disease specific mortality in those patients whose primary tumors are ≥10 mm in diameter, are multicentric, or have capsular or soft-tissue invasion at
Thyroid-stimulating hormone suppressive therapy

Experimental investigations have demonstrated that differentiated thyroid cancer cells have membrane-bound thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) receptors and that the growth regulation of differentiated thyroid cancer depends upon TSH (Shiba et al 1989). Furthermore, TSH suppression by administration of thyroid hormone (L-thyroxine) has been shown to lower the recurrence rates FTC and PTC (Emerick et al 1993; Young et al 1980; Clark 1981; Mazzaferri 1987; Mazzaferri and Jhiang 1994) and improves survival after thyroidectomy (Schneider et al 1978; Fogelfeld et al 1998). Likewise, Clark and Gerend (1985) have shown that most HCCs have thyrotropin receptors; therefore, all patients with HCC are typically given postoperative thyrotropin suppression therapy (Yutan and Clark 2001). Currently, the appropriate degree of TSH suppression remains controversial. In a study of 141 patients who underwent hormone therapy following thyroidectomy, Pujol et al showed that relapse-free survival (RFS) was longer in patients with a greater degree of TSH suppression (all TSH values ≤0.05 mU/L); furthermore, multivariate analysis that included TSH levels, age, sex, histology, and tumor node metastases stage showed that TSH levels predicted RFS independently of other variables (Pujol et al 1996). At our institution, we attempt to maintain TSH levels lower than 0.1mU/L and aim for undetectable levels. Historically, adverse clinical outcomes attributed to prolonged L-thyroxine suppressive therapy have included bone and cardiac disease (Burmeister 1994; Paloyan et al 1998; Bauer 1997); however, recent data suggest that patients with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma are not at increased risk of developing low bone mass nor have a higher prevalence of vertebral fracture when treated with relatively low doses of L-thyroxine (Heijckmann et al 2005).

Molecular pathogenesis and cytogenetics

Although most thyroid carcinomas are relatively indolent, there have been no significant improvements in survival over the last two decades. In fact, the trend in US cancer mortality with associated annual percentage change (APC) for cancer of the thyroid is disturbing; while an average 3% decrease in mortality from thyroid cancer was seen in males and females from 1975–1985, such a decrease has been absent in either gender since 1985 (NCI 2008). More intriguing, Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) data indicate a 6.2% increased incidence of thyroid cancer during the same two decades (NCI 2008). Clearly, insight into the molecular pathogenesis of HCC, MTC, ATC, and other aggressive forms of thyroid cancer may lead to the development of more targeted therapies in the future.

Fortunately, the molecular basis of well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma, MTC, and anaplastic thyroid cancer is currently under investigation. With the application of current molecular techniques, nearly two decades of research have begun to elucidate critical genetic pathways involved in the development of specific thyroid tumor types. In particular, chromosomal inversions or translocations causing mutations in the RET proto-oncogene may play an important role in approximately 40% of patients with PTC (Vidal et al 2005; Carlomagno et al 2002). Likewise, mutations that activate RET activity can lead to several hereditary cancer syndromes, including MEN types 2A and 2B and FMTC. Some studies suggest that differentiated thyroid carcinoma cell invasion is regulated through epidermal growth factor receptor-dependent (EGFR) activation of matrix metalloproteinases (Yeh et al 2006). Anaplastic thyroid carcinoma is postulated to develop secondary to dedifferentiation from a more differentiated tumor and has been associated with the loss of the p53 tumor suppressor protein (Sherman 2003; Moretti et al 1997). Many of these findings have paved the way for the
development of phase II/III clinical trials, several of which may be found in Table 1. Fortunately, the importance of these genetic pathways, as well as a myriad of others, continue to be described today.

The RET receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)
The RET gene encodes a 120-kDa transmembrane tyrosine kinase that functions as a receptor for the glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family of growth factors (Manie et al 2001). Mutations in the RET proto-oncogene have been implicated in patients with hereditary MTC associated with MEN types 2A and 2B and familial MTC (FMTC). Likewise, nearly 40% of patients with PTC have rearrangements of the RET gene that join the promoter and NH2-terminal domains of unrelated genes to the COOH-terminal fragment of RET (Vidal et al 2005). The cumulative result of the point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 National Cancer Institute/US National Institutes of Health list of clinical trials now accepting participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical trial title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Effect of Lithium Carbonate on Low-Dose Radioiodine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy in Early Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Safety and Efficacy Study of In-111 Pentetreotide to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat Neuroendocrine Tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Clinical and Translational Study of MK-0646 in Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Metastatic Neuroendocrine Tumors (NET)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Avastin (Bevacizumab) and RAD001 (Everolimus) in Advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low or Intermediate Grade Neuroendocrine Carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. An Initial Study of Lithium in Patients With Medullary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Thyroid Cancer and Sunitinib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Phase 2 Study Of AG-013736 In Patients With</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxorubicin-Refactory Or Intolerant Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Efficacy and Safety of Lanreotide Autogel in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour Stabilization of Patients With Progressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroendocrine Tumours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Phase II Two-Step Radioimmunotherapy Clinical Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. A Phase I Study of BMS-690514 in Patients With</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced or Metastatic Solid Tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Sorafenib in Treating Patients With Advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Lithium for Low-Grade Neuroendocrine Tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. The Safety and Efficacy of CPTK787/ZK222584 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients With Metastatic Neuroendocrine Tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. A Phase 1/2 Dose Finding Study of an Experimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Drug CS7017, an Oral PPARγ Agonist Taken by Mouth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice Daily in Combination With Paclitaxel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy Administered Every Three Weeks by Venous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusion by Patients With Anaplastic Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Efficacy and Safety of Zactima™ in Patients With</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic Papillary or Follicular Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Boronophenylalanine-Fructose Complex (BPA-F) and/ or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Borocaptate (BSH) Followed By Surgery in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treating Patients With Thyroid Cancer, Head and Neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer, or Liver Metastases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Irinotecan in Treating Patients With Metastatic or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoperable Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. 17-AAG in Treating Patients With Inoperable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locoregionally Advanced or Metastatic Thyroid Cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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mutations associated with MEN 2A and 2B and FMTC and the chromosomal rearrangements associated with PTC is activation of the RET receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK).

While MTC tends to be a slow-growing tumor primarily treated with surgical resection, it also frequently metastasizes to the liver and regional lymph nodes, precluding patients from a curative resection. Clearly, there is a great need for novel therapeutic and palliative strategies to treat these patients with metastatic MTC. RTK inhibitors are likely to be beneficial for patients with hereditary MTC, where currently there are no effective chemotherapy or radiotherapy options.

ZD6474 (vandetanib) is a low-molecular-weight tyrosine kinase inhibitor that has demonstrated potent and selective inhibition of RTK in vitro (Carlonagno et al 2002; Wedge et al 2002). In additional pre-clinical studies,
Carlomagno et al (2005) have shown that ZD6474 blocks in vivo phosphorylation and signaling of the RET/PTC3 and RET/MEN2B oncoproteins, inhibits the proliferative autonomy of RET/PTC3-transformed cells, and prevents the growth of two human PTC cell lines that carry spontaneous RET/PTC1 rearrangements. Based on phase I studies, ZD6474 was generally well tolerated at doses ≤300 mg/day and adverse events were generally mild and limited by dose adjustment. The most common monotherapy-related adverse outcomes included diarrhea, asymptomatic QTc prolongation, and a skin rash (Holden et al 2005; Tamura et al 2006). Currently, promising data in patients with MTC have led to ZD6474 being assigned orphan drug designation by the US Food and Drug Administration. These data are based on studies in which ZD6474 has demonstrated clinical activity in a single-arm Phase II study in 30 patients with metastatic hereditary MTC (Wells et al 2007). Concomitantly, an international, randomized, placebo-controlled phase II study of ZD6474 monotherapy in metastatic MTC is currently recruiting patients.

In addition to ZD6474, several other novel RTK inhibitors, sorafenib and sunitinib, for example, are under investigation for treatment of RET-dependent thyroid carcinomas. Utilizing an orthotopic anaplastic thyroid carcinoma xenograft model in nude mice, Kim et al have shown that sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor of RTK, VEGFR, and BRAF kinase, inhibits proliferation of ATC cell lines and inhibits tumor angiogenesis via induction of endothelial apoptosis (Kim et al 2007). Similarly, the orally administered multitarget tyrosine kinase inhibitor, SU11248 (sunitinib), has been shown to be a novel potent inhibitor of thyroid oncogenic RET/papillary thyroid cancer kinases (Kim et al 2006).

**EGFR as a therapeutic target in human thyroid carcinoma**

Epidermal growth factor (EGF) is a 6-kDa polypeptide which has been shown to stimulate the proliferation of normal and malignant thyroid cells and inhibit cellular differentiation (Hoelting et al 1994). Overexpression of the EGF receptor (EGFR), a transmembrane 170-kDa glycoprotein tyrosine kinase, is frequently detected in thyroid carcinomas, and though controversial (Mitsiades et al 2006), this pathway has been proposed to be important for thyroid carcinoma proliferation and metastasis. Recent studies with gefitinib and erlotinib, well-known EGFR kinase inhibitors, have resulted in objective responses in patients with non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLC), tumors in which EGFR is also frequently overexpressed. This has led some to believe that novel therapeutic compounds targeting the EGFR pathway may have future palliative and therapeutic potential in patients with refractory or metastatic thyroid carcinomas. In fact, the aforementioned compound ZD6474 is, in addition to its role in RTK inhibition, a potent and selective inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) and EGFR. As above, this compound is currently in phase II clinical trials to measure its efficacy and clinical impact in patients with hereditary MTC.

Interestingly, many of the novel RTK inhibitors, ZD6474, sorafenib, and sunitinib, for example, appear to non-specifically inhibit multiple signaling pathways critical in thyroid carcinogenesis, suggesting a potential synergistic effect to these novel drugs; however, the question of whether this lack of specificity will be advantageous or disadvantageous in the long run for patients remains unanswered.

More recently, studies have demonstrated that differentiated thyroid cancer cell invasion in vivo is regulated through EGFR-dependent activation of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2/gelatinase A (Yeh et al 2006). Undoubtedly, the role of MMP inhibitors as effective anti-tumor agents for the treatment of aggressive thyroid carcinomas is intriguing.

**BRAF mutations in PTC**

Kimura et al studied 124 snap frozen tumor samples, including 78 papillary carcinomas, using DNA isolation and single-strand conformational polymorphism (SSCP) analyses (Kimura et al 2003). Overall, 28 of 124 cancers demonstrated mutations in exon 15 of the B-type Raf kinase (BRAF) gene; all had the same thymine-to-adenine transversion at nucleotide 1796, resulting in a valine-to-glutamate substitution at residue 600 (currently designated as V600E). More importantly, all of the **BRAF**\(^{V_{600E}}\) mutations were in papillary carcinomas (Kimura et al 2003). By contrast, all 46 of the follicular neoplasms were wild-type. Since this initial report, Xing has shown that the BRAF activating mutation is the most common genetic alteration in thyroid cancer (Xing 2005), occurring in 18% to 87% of thyroid cancers (Trovisco et al 2006); importantly, the **BRAF**\(^{V_{600E}}\) mutation occurs in nearly 45% of sporadic cases of PTC (Xing 2005) and 24% of cases of anaplastic carcinoma (Salvatore et al 2006). Recently, Kebebew and colleagues sought to determine the association of **BRAF** mutations with indicators of poor prognosis for PTC and patient outcome in an attempt to determine whether **BRAF**\(^{V_{600E}}\) is associated with an aggressive tumor phenotype (Kebebew et al 2007). In patients with conventional PTC, patients with the **BRAF**\(^{V_{600E}}\) mutation were associated with a more aggressive tumor phenotype and were characterized by older age at the time of
initial presentation, the presence of lymph node and/or distant metastases, higher TNM stage, and recurrent or persistent disease with a median follow-up of 6 years (Kebebew et al 2007). Genetic analysis for BRAF mutations in patients with PTC may enable better prediction of those tumors associated with a poor prognosis and may be useful in selecting initial therapeutic modalities in these patients.

The raf-1/mitogen-regulated extracellular kinase (MEK)/extracellular regulated kinase (ERK) pathway in MTC

The raf-1/MEK/ERK pathway has long been recognized for its role in cancer biology. Although activation of this signaling pathway is commonly considered to be growth promoting in several cancers, in certain cell-specific subtypes, raf-1 activation results in growth suppression (Kunnimalaiyaan et al 2007b). Specifically, activation of the raf-1 signaling pathway in MTC has been shown to lead to significant morphologic differentiation and growth reduction both in vitro and in a mouse xenograft TT model in vivo (Ravi et al 1998, 1999; Park et al 2003; Vaccaro et al 2006). Similarly, we have shown that raf-1 activation results in a reduction of the neuroendocrine tumor markers achaete-scute complex-like 1 (ASCL1), chromogranin A (CgA), and calcitonin in vitro (Park et al 2003; Kunnimalaiyaan et al 2006). Furthermore, inactivation of GSK-3β expression of ASCL1 and other hormones. In fact, our laboratory has recently shown the histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor such as lithium chloride (LiCl) and SB216763 is associated with a significant decrease in neuroendocrine markers such as human-achaete-scute complex-like 1 (ASCL1) and chromogranin A (CgA). LiCl has been utilized clinically for more than fifty years as an adjunctive psychiatric medication for the treatment of bipolar disorder and has shown only minimal adverse side effects. As such, the efficacy of LiCl therapy in patients with MTC is currently being investigated at our institution in phase II clinical trials.

Overexpression of the Notch1 intracellular domain in MTC

Notch1 is a multifunctional transmembrane receptor that regulates cellular differentiation, proliferation, and survival (Kadesh 2004; Maillard and Pear 2003; Yoon and Gaiano 2005). Binding of any one of the Notch ligands (Delta1 (DLL-1) or Jagged1 (JAG-1), for example) promotes a sequence of proteolytic cleavages resulting in the activated Notch intracellular domain (NICD). The active NICD then translocates to the nucleus and binds with the DNA-binding protein complex CSL (CBF1, Su (H), and LAG-1), resulting in the transcriptional activation of target genes such as hairy enhancer of split-1 (HES-1) (Kunnimalaiyaan et al 2007a). In human cancer cells, Notch1 has a dual role as either a tumor suppressor or an oncogene. It has been shown that Notch1 is upregulated in many types of cancer, including pancreatic cancer, colon cancer, non-small cell cancer, cervical cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and several lymphomas. It has been suggested that expression of Notch1 signaling prevents cellular differentiation and inhibits apoptosis in certain cancers. Conversely, Notch1 signaling is very minimal or absent in prostate cancer and NETs such as small-cell lung cancer (SCLC), pancreatic carcinoid, and MTC (Kunnimalaiyaan and Chen 2007). These apparent but paradoxical functions clearly indicate that the role of Notch signaling is dependent on its cellular context.

Using a doxycycline-inducible NICD in MTC cells (TT cells), we have recently shown that overexpression of Notch1 in MTC cells – Notch1 signaling is normally absent in MTC cells – results in a dose-dependent reduction in NE tumor markers and growth (Kunnimalaiyaan et al 2006, 2007a; Kunnimalaiyaan and Chen 2007). These findings indicate that identification of compounds capable of pharmacologically activating the Notch1 signaling may have a therapeutic role in treating NE diseases caused by aberrant expression of ASCL1 and other hormones. In fact, our laboratory has recently shown the histone deacetylase (HDAC) results in MTC growth inhibition both in vitro and in vivo. Inactivation of GSK-3β in TT cells with well known GSK-3β inhibitors such as lithium chloride (LiCl) and SB216763 is associated with a significant decrease in neuroendocrine markers such as human-achaete-scute complex-like 1 (ASCL1) and chromogranin A (CgA). LiCl has been utilized clinically for more than fifty years as an adjunctive psychiatric mediation for the treatment of bipolar disorder and has shown only minimal adverse side effects. As such, the efficacy of LiCl therapy in patients with MTC is currently being investigated at our institution in phase II clinical trials.
inhibitors valproic acid (VPA) and suberoyl bis-hydroxamic acid (SBHA) to be strong Notch1 activators in NE tumors, including MTC. Moreover, we have shown these compounds to limit growth and reduce neuroendocrine markers both in vitro and in vivo. The efficacy of these HDAC inhibitors as part of a comprehensive therapy in patients with MTC is currently being investigated at our institution in ongoing phase II clinical trials.

Mutation of the p53 tumor suppressor gene

The tumor suppressor gene, p53, plays a critical role in cell cycle control, DNA repair, and synthesis, apoptosis, and many other cellular processes. Though it is believed that nearly 50% of all human malignancies are due to inactivating mutations of the p53 tumor suppressor gene, the significance of the p53 gene in thyroid carcinogenesis is less clear (Malaguarnera et al. 2007). In a review of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) TP53 tumor suppressor database, the largest comprehensive database of its kind in existence, Olivier et al showed inactivating mutations of the p53 gene have been found in only 10% of thyroid carcinomas and mainly in poorly differentiated and aggressive histotypes (Olivier et al. 2002). Similarly, in a cohort of nearly 100 patients, Saltman et al clearly demonstrated the presence of aberrant p53 expression in more aggressive phenotypes of thyroid carcinoma; the study demonstrated a gradual increase in p53 immunopositivity rate along the spectrum of thyroid carcinoma progression with a statistically significant difference between well-differentiated PTC and anaplastic phenotypes (0% vs 31.8%, respectively; p < 0.001) (Saltman et al 2006). Clearly, studies elucidating the role of inactivating mechanisms in the early stages of thyroid cancer are needed to help facilitate a better understanding of the complex network of p53 family member isoforms and their role in thyroid carcinogenesis.

Conclusion

While constituting only 1% of solid organ malignancies (9% in women), thyroid carcinoma is the most common malignancy of the endocrine system. The majority of patients with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma are cured with adequate surgical management; however, some thyroid malignancies such as MTC or poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas frequently metastasize, precluding patients from a curative resection. As such, novel palliative and therapeutic strategies are needed for this patient population. This review is a summary of our standard treatment strategies for PTC, MTC, HCC, follicular cancers, and anaplastic carcinoma of the thyroid. In addition, we hope to shed some light on the molecular pathogenesis and cytogenetics of these cancers by providing information on the myriad cell signaling pathways currently under investigation. We acknowledge that while not entirely inclusive of all ongoing research, the above mentioned pathways appear significant in the tumorigenesis of thyroid carcinoma.
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