Adverse renal effects of anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibitors and the response to alectinib of an ALK+ lung cancer patient with renal dysfunction

Abstract: A 62-year-old female patient with renal dysfunction and pulmonary adenocarcinoma developed postoperative recurrence and received carboplatin/pemetrexed and maintenance pemetrexed. As an anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) gene translocation was identified, the therapy was changed to crizotinib. However, the patient’s blood creatinine level increased, and her physical status worsened. Alectinib also induced exacerbation of renal dysfunction but was controlled by dose reduction of 140 mg twice daily for 2 weeks treatment and 2 weeks break were repeated, and exhibited a partial response for 16 months. Here, we describe the case in which alectinib treatment had beneficial clinical effects on ALK-positive lung adenocarcinoma, which controlled the adverse renal effects by dose reduction and drug breaks.
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Introduction
Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) rearrangements are seen in 3%–7% cases of nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), predominantly in cases involving adenocarcinoma histology and light or nonsmoking young individuals. Alectinib is a highly potent, oral, selective, second-generation ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting the ALK receptor, and it has been shown to exhibit marked activity against ALK-positive NSCLC. The treatment-related adverse events of alectinib are generally mild, but significant increases in blood creatinine levels have been detected. Here, we present a case in which alectinib produced a clinical response in a patient with ALK-positive NSCLC who exhibited renal dysfunction. We also describe how the adverse renal effects of the drug were overcome. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient to publish the report and accompanying images.

Case presentation
A 62-year-old female patient came to our hospital after being referred from a local hospital due to chest X-ray abnormalities in the left upper lung field. Her medical history included glomerulonephritis and nephrotic syndrome. The patient had been diagnosed with pulmonary adenocarcinoma 4 years ago and underwent left upper lobectomy (pT2aN1M0, stage IIA). Two years ago, a chest X-ray and a CT scan revealed multiple nodules in the left lower pulmonary lobe, resulting in a diagnosis of recurrent lung cancer. The patient had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (PS) of 0 and received 3 cycles of carboplatin and pemetrexed chemotherapy. Because 1) we usually selected cisplatin and pemetrexed for young lung cancer patients with
adenocarcinoma without epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) sensitive mutations, 2) the patient had renal dysfunction, 3) although cytotoxic agents including both carboplatin and pemetrexed may induce renal adverse effects, its renal toxicities were inferior to cisplatin, therefore, we selected the regimen of carboplatin and pemetrexed. The patient was carefully treated and renal dysfunction did not progress. As the tumor response, according to the response evaluation criteria in solid tumors guidelines, was classified as stable disease, the patient subsequently received maintenance chemotherapy involving 6 cycles of pemetrexed. Simultaneously, the genes encoding the EGFR and ALK were analyzed using tumor tissue, which was removed during the previous operation. As an ALK gene translocation was identified using fluorescence in situ hybridization, in addition, developed to progressive disease (PD), the patient’s treatment was changed to crizotinib monotherapy. Laboratory analysis revealed the following findings: a leukocyte count of 5,300/μL, a lactate dehydrogenase level of 314 IU/L, a blood urea nitrogen level of 36.7 mg/dL, a serum creatinine level of 2.96 mg/dL, and positivity for albuminuria. These laboratory findings were indicative of renal dysfunction. Tumor marker tests revealed an elevated cytokeratin 19 fragment level (5.6 ng/mL). We did not have enough knowledge and experience about renal adverse effects of crizotinib, it was administered orally at a dose of 250 mg twice daily without dose reduction. During the 6 days of crizotinib treatment, the patient’s serum creatinine levels increased to 4.10 mg/dL, and her physical status worsened to a PS of 2. Thus, crizotinib was discontinued, and the patient’s serum creatinine levels decreased to 2.54 mg/dL after 3 weeks. Alectinib was then administered orally at a dose of 140 mg twice daily because of renal dysfunction. During the 15 days of alectinib treatment, the patient’s serum creatinine levels increased to 3.72 mg/dL. Then, alectinib was discontinued, and the patient’s serum creatinine levels decreased to 2.78 mg/dL within 2 weeks. A timeline of the patient’s blood creatinine levels is shown in Figure 1.

**Discussion**

Crizotinib is an orally administered potent inhibitor of several different oncogenic kinases, including ALK, ROS1, and mesenchymal–epithelial transition,6–8 and is widely used to treat ALK-positive NSCLC (mainly adenocarcinoma, rarely squamous cell carcinoma).9,10 Crizotinib is predominantly eliminated in feces (63%); however, some is eliminated in urine (22%), and both acute and chronic kidney dysfunction have been reported after crizotinib treatment.11–14 Camidge et al reported a case of induced acute kidney dysfunction after the treatment of crizotinib.14 After 15 days therapy of crizotinib, there was a 26% (2.09–2.64 mg/dL) rise in serum creatinine and a 24% (34-26 mL/min/1.73 m²) drop in estimated glomerular filtration rate, however, did not show any evidence of acute tubular necrosis (ie, granular casts or renal tubular epithelial cells). Brosnan et al published a retrospective series of 38 stage IV cases of crizotinib-treated NSCLC and reported that the patients’ mean estimated glomerular filtration rate decreased by 23.9% over the first 12 weeks of crizotinib.
therapy. The current formal recommendations for crizotinib therapy state that the dosage should not be adjusted in patients with mild (creatinine clearance rate [CrCl]: 60–89 mL/min) or moderate (CrCl: 30–59 mL/min) renal dysfunction, but the dosage should be reduced to 250 mg once a day in patients with severe renal dysfunction (CrCl: <30 mL/min) who do not require dialysis. If the dose of crizotinib is not reduced in patients with severe renal dysfunction it can lead to elevated blood creatinine levels, the discontinuation of therapy, and tumor progression. Because most tumors acquire resistance to crizotinib (via various mechanisms), next generation ALK inhibitors are developing.

Alectinib is a second-generation, highly selective, oral ALK inhibitor that exhibited marked activity against ALK-positive NSCLC in clinical studies. Alectinib achieved an outstanding response rate of 93.5% (n=46) as a first-line therapy for ALK-positive NSCLC and a response rate of 48% (n=69) as a second-line therapy for ALK-positive crizotinib-resistant NSCLC. In the former study, 12 of 46 (26%) patients experienced treatment-related increases in their blood creatinine levels. However, few studies have examined renal dysfunction that occurs as an adverse effect of alectinib therapy, and to the best of our knowledge the current report is the first to describe the clinical course of renal dysfunction during alectinib therapy. In a review article of the renal effects of ALK inhibitors, crizotinib was found to be associated with renal failure, renal cysts, and peripheral edema; however, the effects of alectinib were not described. Our case demonstrates that both alectinib and crizotinib adversely affect renal function, although alectinib can be used to achieve tumor shrinkage in patients with ALK-positive NSCLC who exhibit renal dysfunction via dose reduction and drug breaks. We administered 140 mg alectinib twice daily for 2 weeks of a 4-week cycle. Alectinib is normally administered at a dose of 300 mg twice daily on a continuous basis; therefore, we only administered 23% of the normal dose of alectinib to our patient. Thus, reduced dosing and treatment breaks might overcome the adverse renal effects of ALK inhibitors and provide clinical benefits for patients who are treated with these drugs.
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