Targeted therapy in severe asthma today: focus on immunoglobulin E
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Abstract: Asthma is a complex chronic inflammatory disease of multifactorial etiology. International guidelines increasingly recognize that a standard “one size fits all” approach is no longer an effective approach to achieve optimal treatment outcomes, and a number of disease phenotypes have been proposed for asthma, which has the potential to guide treatment decisions. Among the many asthma phenotypes, allergic asthma represents the widest and most easily recognized asthma phenotype, present in up to two-thirds of adults with asthma. Immunoglobulin E (IgE) production is the primary and key cause of allergic asthma leading to persistent symptoms, exacerbations and a poor quality of life. Therefore, limiting IgE activity upstream could stop the entire allergic inflammation cascade in IgE-mediated allergic asthma. The anti-IgE treatment omalizumab has an accepted place in the management of severe asthma (Global Initiative for Asthma [GINA] step 5) and represents the first (and, currently, only) targeted therapy with a specific target in severe allergic asthma. This review summarizes current knowledge of the mechanisms and pathogenesis of severe asthma, examines the actual role of IgE in asthma and the biological rationale for targeting IgE in allergic asthma and reviews the data for the efficacy and safety of omalizumab in the treatment of severe asthma. Current knowledge of the role of IgE in asthma, extensive clinical trial data and a decade of use in clinical practice has established omalizumab as a safe and effective targeted therapy for the treatment of patients with severe persistent IgE-mediated allergic asthma.
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Introduction

Asthma is now considered an inflammatory chronic disease of multifactorial etiology. Initially, asthma was described as a typical eosinophilic airway inflammation, often sustained by allergic sensitization, which led to bronchial hyperresponsiveness and acute bronchoconstriction in response to specific and nonspecific triggers.1 Later on, it became clearer that different inflammatory and noninflammatory mechanisms may be responsible for the development and progression of asthma; airway neutrophilic inflammation has been described in subgroups of asthmatic patients,2 particularly those with severe asthma,3 and also a paucigranulocytic airway inflammation has been reported in some asthma phenotypes, such as asthmatics with obesity and/or gastro-esophageal reflux.4 This “non-eosinophilic” inflammatory phenotype seems fairly stable over time.2,3 This led to the opinion that “the common disease asthma is probably not a single disease, but rather a complex of multiple, separate syndromes that overlap”.6

According to this view, the new Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) strategy document for asthma management and prevention defines the condition as a
“heterogeneous disease, usually characterized by chronic airway inflammation”, with the presence of respiratory symptoms and functional abnormalities. This heterogeneity may be related to the inducing mechanisms and triggers (allergic vs nonallergic), the pathologic background (eosinophilic vs non-eosinophilic inflammation), the clinical manifestations (in terms of severity, frequency of exacerbations, response to treatment) and the outcomes (remission or progression to non-reversible airway obstruction). Several associated conditions or comorbidities (upper airway diseases, obesity, smoking habit, etc) may modify the clinical expression of the disease. A major consequence of this new asthma definition is that, in light of the poor specificity of symptoms, risk factors and pathologic background, pulmonary function assessment now plays a crucial role in the diagnosis of the disease; in particular, the wide variability over time of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$_1$) may be now considered the main diagnostic criterion for asthma.

The goal of treatment in patients with asthma is to achieve a good control of symptoms and to reduce the “future risk”, as expressed by the risk of future exacerbations, loss of asthma control, progressive decline in pulmonary function or drug-related side effects. All international guidelines suggest to adapt the level of treatment to the level of severity/control of the disease using a standard approach, which has been demonstrated as effective in the large majority of unselected asthmatic patients. However, a “one-size-fits-all” therapeutic strategy no longer appears appropriate to effectively pursue these goals, given the heterogeneity of disease. Furthermore, although patients with severe disease represent only 5%–10% of the total number of subjects with asthma, this small population consumes a disproportionate amount of the global asthma budget (~50%) due to unscheduled health care utilization in primary care, hospitalizations due to severe exacerbations and the costs of pharmacotherapy. For this reason, a great deal of attention has been focused in the last few years toward better assessment and management of patients with severe asthma. This approach has been clearly mentioned in the new GINA document, where, when population-level decisions about asthma treatment are under consideration, the outlined “preferred option” to be taken at each step represents the best treatment for most patients, based on the best available evidence from the efficacy, effectiveness and safety data of randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses and observational studies, together with considerations of net cost. However, treatment decisions for individual patients should also consider any patient characteristics or phenotype that is able to predict the patient’s likely response to treatment, in addition to patient preferences and practical issues such as inhaler technique, adherence and the cost to the patient.

In line with the concept of the heterogeneity of asthma, a number of disease phenotypes have been proposed over the recent years, also taking into account the different inflammatory origins of the disease (Table 1). Phenotypes can play a role in guiding treatment decisions. Several examples may be described: omalizumab in severe allergic asthma, allergen immunotherapy in allergic asthmatics, montelukast in asthma plus allergic rhinitis or exercise-induced asthma and tiotropium in severe asthmatics with persistent bronchoconstriction. This is particularly relevant in more severe categories of asthma, where the heterogeneity of the disease may really require a “personalized therapy” in the presence of a lack of adequate asthma control with the current pharmacologic therapies. In effect, the current approach to asthma management and treatment as reported in the GINA guidelines is a traditional approach in the first four steps; the approach of “reactive medicine” is used. In GINA step 5, a “targeted medicine” approach is the recommended option, which is where omalizumab, a humanized monoclonal antibody that selectively targets immunoglobulin E (IgE), is positioned. In fact, nowadays the evolution from “empirical medicine” to “precision medicine” in several chronic diseases is highlighted. This evolution is present in asthma too, mainly in severe asthma, where costly treatments will find appropriate positioning.

The so-called “omics” sciences such as genomics and proteomics are providing new tools to facilitate discovery-driven studies on new and reliable biomarkers that can

Table 1 Main asthma phenotypes, according to clinical manifestations, inducers/trigger factors and inflammatory pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical or physiologic phenotypes</th>
<th>Indicators/trigger factors</th>
<th>Inflammatory pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset of asthma (early vs late)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophilic asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity (mild–moderate vs severe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed inflammatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway obstruction (occasional vs persistent)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Asthma in smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbation-prone (occasional vs frequent)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Th2-driven asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma in obese subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophilic asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenotypes according to inducers and/or triggers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Paucigranulocytic asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed inflammatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Paucigranulocytic asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise-induced asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophilic asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin-induced asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed inflammatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma in smokers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed inflammatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenotypes according to the inflammatory pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophilic asthma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data from Wenzel.
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predict response to therapy, help identify patients who are more suitable for a given treatment and provide a focus for the development of targeted therapies. New treatments such as biological therapies are now available that modify specific pathogenic mechanisms based on precise genotype characterization in the individual patient. This represents the first step toward personalized therapy for asthma patients, especially for those with more severe disease.

There is increasing awareness of the role of IgE in allergic asthma. Therefore, we undertook a review aimed at summarizing the current knowledge of the mechanisms and pathogenesis of severe asthma, with particular focus on examining the role of IgE and the biological rationale for targeting IgE in allergic asthma.

**Biological rationale for IgE as a therapeutic target in allergic asthma**

Over recent decades, the key role of IgE in the pathophysiology of allergic asthma has been recognized. IgEs have been identified as central players of allergic asthma, regulating a network that involves specific receptors expressed on a broad array of immune cells and also on airway tissue structural cells.

**Membrane receptors for IgE**

The high-affinity IgE receptor (FceRI) is constitutively expressed on mast cells and basophils as a tetrameric complex composed of an IgE-binding α subunit, a four-transmembrane-spanning β subunit and two identical disulfide-linked γ subunits. Wan et al demonstrated that IgE binding to the FceRI α chain involves the Ce3 domain pair of IgE, which is also the binding site for omalizumab.

In humans, a trimeric complex lacking the β chain (FceRIγγ) also exists and is expressed by the professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and a wide variety of other cell types, including airway smooth muscle cells (ASMcs) and airway epithelial cells (AECs) of asthmatic patients.

The low-affinity IgE receptor (FceRII or CD23) is transcribed in two spliced isoforms, CD23a and CD23b, with CD23a being constitutively expressed on B cells and CD23b, upon interleukin (IL)-4 activation, on several cell types, including APCs, eosinophils, neutrophils and human bronchial epithelial cells.

IgE regulates the expression of both high- and low-affinity receptors. A direct correlation between total serum IgE levels and FceRI surface expression has been reported for human basophils, monocytes and dendritic cells (DCs).

Similarly, IgE binding to CD23 inhibits receptor cleavage by proteases, thus allowing the IgE–CD23 complex to stabilize on the cell surface. Conversely, the unoccupied membrane form of CD23 is highly susceptible to proteolytic cleavage, leading to the release of a free receptor known as soluble CD23 (sCD23), which retains the capability to bind IgE.

sCD23 and other two human soluble IgE receptors, namely, soluble FceRI (sFceRII) and galectin-3, represent additional elements of the IgE network.

**Biological functions of the IgE network on mast cells and basophils**

The ligation of allergen to FceRI-bound IgE on mast cells and basophils initiates a signaling cascade leading to the release of preformed and de novo synthesized mediators. A classic “early-phase” reaction is characterized by vasodilation, increased vascular permeability, upregulation of adhesion molecules and contraction of smooth muscle cells, whereas the “late-phase” reaction leads to the production of cytokines that orchestrate the recruitment and activation of several immune cells.

Signaling events involved in regulating FceRI-mediated mast cell and basophil activation and the role of these effector cells in the pathophysiology of asthma have been reviewed extensively. Notably, a positive-feedback regulation of FceRI expression on mast cells and basophils is provided by monomeric IgE in the absence of allergen cross-linking (Box 1), whereas, upon receptor engagement, a negative-feedback regulation is provided by receptor ubiquitination that represents a signal for endocytosis and lysosomal degradation of engaged receptor complexes.

**IgE and the biological activities of DCs**

DCs, typical of professional APCs, can provide all the known co-stimulatory signals required for activation of naïve T cells. DCs are present in an extended and highly intricate network at the level of the respiratory mucosa; they can uptake inhalant allergen molecules, process and transport them to the regional lymph nodes, where DCs can present the peptides to specific T cells. Among membrane receptors of DCs, the FceRI mediates IgE-dependent allergen presentation.

In addition to their constitutive presence within environmentally accessible organs, eg. skin and mucosal tissues, FceRI-expressing APCs circulate in peripheral blood and, upon allergen challenge, may enter sites of ongoing allergic inflammation, eg. airway walls. With low concentration of allergens within such tissues, the expression of FceRI on
Box 1 IgE and mast cell/basophil homeostasis

Exposure to high levels of monomeric IgE enhances FcεRI expression on basophils and mast cells^27-33^ that show an increased magnitude and sensitivity of responsiveness. Moreover, IgE antibodies significantly affect murine mast cell survival and proliferation and promote cytokine production.\(^{34,35}\) Similarly, human monomeric IgE induces histamine and leukotriene release by human lung mast cells.\(^{36}\) Mast cells that undergo FcεRI-dependent FcεRI upregulation show an increased versatility, magnitude and sensitivity of responsiveness. Thus, the progressive accumulation of receptors at the cell surface contributes to the maintenance of a positive amplification loop. However, Kawakami’s group demonstrated that there is a high variability among IgE molecules of different specificities with respect to the reported activities and designated as “highly cytokinergic” (HC) those IgE clones capable of inducing significant quantities of cytokines.\(^{37,38}\) Notably, HC IgE clones can cross-react with multiple autoantigens and may promote a low level of FcεRI aggregation.\(^{39,40}\)

Taken together, these findings provide a potential mechanism to explain the effects of HC IgE in the absence of the nominal allergen, whereby cross-linking of IgE-occupied receptors would be induced by ubiquitous self-antigens. Furthermore, they provide the biological rationale for targeting IgE in allergic asthma with agents such as omalizumab. With respect to the homeostatic effects of IgE, the depletion of free IgE by omalizumab results in the downregulation of FcεRI on basophils and mast cells, making those cells less sensitive to stimulation by incoming allergens.\(^{41,42}\) Moreover, IgE neutralization abolishes the ability of monomeric IgE to activate mast cell survival, proliferation and cytokine production.

---

Abbreviation: IgE, immunoglobulin E.

APCs by atopic individuals may critically promote allergen uptake, processing and presentation, thus ensuring allergen-specific T-cell responses.\(^{19}\) FcεRI-IgE-dependent allergen presentation may hence critically lower the atopic individual’s threshold to mount allergen-specific T-cell responses. In fact, the targeting of allergens to FcεRI via IgE leads to a 1,000-fold increase in the activation of T cells and to the production of CCL28, a chemokine that selectively attracts T helper (Th) 2 lymphocytes.\(^{35,36}\) Consequently, such activation of allergen-specific Th cells may result in the perpetuation of allergen-specific IgE production and, thus, of type I reactions and possibly even the induction of delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions in allergen-exposed tissues.\(^{34}\)

The effect of the IgE network on DCs is still more complex, taking into account that FcεRI on monocytes can lead to IL-10 production preventing their in vitro differentiation in DCs.\(^{37}\) This modulation of APC differentiation promotes a less efficient T-cell activation, suggesting a contribution of FcεRI in the downregulation of the inflammation. Furthermore, FcεRI is a negative regulator of plasmacytoid DC interferon-1 production through the activation of immunoglobulin-like transcript 7, an inhibitory receptor bearing an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activating motif-based activation motif.\(^{38}\)

Expression and putative functions of IgE receptors on human eosinophils and macrophages

Human eosinophils develop as mature cells in the bone marrow, and they usually have a short (24–48-hour) lifespan in the blood. Under normal circumstances, these cells do not accumulate within peripheral tissues unless they are recruited locally by specific proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Early studies demonstrated that human blood eosinophils express mRNA for all chains (α, β and γ) of the high-affinity IgE receptor.\(^{39-41}\) In diseases associated with high IgE and eosinophilia, surface expression of the FcεRI has been detected in both blood and tissue eosinophils. However, the level of membrane expression is usually low, and its detection is often complicated by the presence of intracellular stores of the receptor. Human eosinophils also express FcεRII that, together with FcεRI, have been associated with the anti-parasitic function of these cells.\(^{39}\) Increased expression of either the high- or low-affinity IgE receptor on eosinophils has been associated with their recruitment in hypereosinophilic disorders.

Despite being fully saturated by IgE, the mechanisms of activation and the responses elicited by FcεRI engagement on eosinophils are still undefined. Studies in vitro failed to demonstrate degranulation of eosinophils by cross-linking of IgE on their surface.\(^{42}\) Thus, the real function of this receptor on human eosinophils still remains elusive.

Macrophages are ubiquitous cells developed by differentiation and maturation of blood monocytes recruited within peripheral tissues. These cells play a primary role in innate immunity, regulating local tissue inflammation, injury and remodeling. IgE-mediated activation of macrophages through FcεRI has been demonstrated in a murine and human model of aortic aneurysm development.\(^{43}\)

IgE activation of macrophages leads to the release of IL-6, MCP-1 and other proinflammatory cytokines, as well as to macrophage apoptosis.\(^{44}\) Furthermore, FcεRI stimulation promotes the generation of macrophage-like cells expressing the H1 receptor for histamine with enhanced histamine biosynthesis and histamine-mediated proinflammatory...
properties. Supporting these in vitro findings, high numbers of histamine H1 receptor-expressing CD68+ macrophages were detected in the dermis of atopic dermatitis skin lesions. These results support the hypothesis of a functionally active FceRI expression on human macrophages involved in the pro-inflammatory and tissue remodeling activity of these cells.

IgE and smooth muscle cell function
ASMCs are one type of the main structural cells of the airway walls, considered for many years only as contracting elements involved in bronchoconstriction during acute exacerbations of asthma. The activation of ASMCs is a crucial step of asthma pathophysiology. In fact, smooth muscle thickening in asthma is a consequence of both hypertrophy and hyperplasia, both of which correlate with asthma severity. In addition to their physical properties, ASMCs can participate in inflammatory processes through the release of a variety of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, modulating the initiation, amplification and perhaps resolution of airway inflammation. Upon stimulation or interaction with immune cells, ASMCs produce and secrete extracellular matrix proteins that are key factors actively involved in the remodeling of airway walls. The activation of ASMCs is the consequence not only of the direct effect on these cells by preformed mediators released by mast cells and basophils during the acute allergic reaction but also through the involvement of IgE fixed on their surface, in that they express FcεRI. The activation of FcεRI on ASMCs suggests a critical yet almost completely ignored network, which may modulate the function of this type of cells in allergic asthma. The major effect of IgE on remodeling is mediated via FcεRI and explains the release of collagen type-I and -III by ASMCs, as well as their proliferation.

IgE network and AEC functions
The epithelial airway tract and allergen-specific IgE are considered essential regulators of inflammatory responses to allergens. Indeed, the low-affinity IgE receptor, CD23, is expressed on AECs and acts as a transporter of IgE or IgE–allergen complexes across the polarized airway mucosal barrier both in vitro and in vivo. The mechanism of transport mediated by CD23 implies that the IgE produced locally by B lymphocytes is recognized by the receptor on the apical membrane of AECs and released into the lumen, resulting in binding inhaled allergens to form immune complexes. They would then be transported in the opposite direction where they could engage the FcεRI expressed by sensitized mast cells promoting their degranulation and be captured and processed by DCs, thus facilitating presentation to Th2 cells. Since AECs are the first cells to come into contact with the allergen assumed by inhalation, this mechanism plays a pivotal role in the initiation and development of airway allergic inflammation.

In summary, targeting the inflammatory and allergic process can be considered a valid approach to control the symptoms of severe allergic asthma. In this respect, omalizumab selectively binds free IgE molecules, blocking the binding site for both FcεRI and CD23, modulating and acting upstream of the IgE network and slowing or preventing the allergic inflammatory cascade. A schematic representation of the biological function of the IgE network and the possible effects of omalizumab is shown in Figure 1. Thus, treatment with omalizumab provides the unique opportunity of blocking both the allergen-specific airway response and the initiation and development of chronic airway allergic inflammation.

Targeting IgE in allergic asthma: from theory to practical application
Omalizumab is currently the only available IgE-targeted therapeutic agent, approved for patients with severe allergic asthma and high IgE blood levels. Initial clinical studies evaluating the efficacy of omalizumab in asthma showed that this anti-IgE monoclonal antibody significantly inhibited early- and late-phase asthmatic reactions, triggered by inhaled allergens. Subsequently, a series of randomized controlled trials have shown that add-on treatment with omalizumab is very effective in reducing respiratory symptoms and especially asthma exacerbations, hospitalizations, emergency room visits and consumption of oral corticosteroids in patients with moderate-to-severe asthma.

Specifically, omalizumab has been shown to significantly decrease severe disease exacerbations in subjects with uncontrolled allergic asthma. Furthermore, omalizumab as add-on therapy in patients with moderate-to-severe asthma and concomitant rhinitis markedly improved both Asthma Quality-of-Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) and Rhinitis Quality-of-Life Questionnaire (RQLQ) scores. These results are very important because of the high relevance of allergic rhinitis as one of the most prevalent asthma comorbidities.

The Investigation of Omalizumab in Severe Asthma Treatment (INNOVATE) study was a pivotal study in 419 allergic subjects with uncontrolled severe asthma,characterized by recurrent disease exacerbations and marked bronchial
obstruction (baseline FEV$_1$ $\geq 40\% - < 80\%$ predicted). Asthma exacerbations, emergency visits and requirements of oral corticosteroids significantly decreased in patients undergoing 28-week add-on anti-IgE therapy with omalizumab, compared with placebo.$^{58}$ These findings have been confirmed in 850 asthmatic patients with a wide age range (12–75 years), who underwent a comparative evaluation of omalizumab versus placebo after 48 weeks of add-on treatment, in which omalizumab significantly lowered asthma symptoms and exacerbations and also reduced the daily need for short-acting rescue bronchodilators.$^{59}$ Treatment with omalizumab has also been shown to be accompanied by relevant improvements in quality of life, asthma symptoms and peak expiratory flow.$^{58}$ Of particular interest, 60 weeks of add-on treatment with omalizumab significantly improved asthma control and prevented seasonal exacerbations in 419 subjects with moderate-to-severe persistent allergic asthma, including inner city children, adolescents and young adults.$^{60}$ The data from placebo-controlled trials have been further validated by real-life studies performed worldwide and recently systematically reviewed by Abraham et al.$^{61}$

Data from completed clinical studies of 1–4 years duration and involving $> 7,500$ patients with asthma, rhinitis or related conditions, as well as post-marketing safety data, have confirmed a high level of safety and tolerability with omalizumab treatment.$^{62,63}$ with a placebo-like tolerability profile and no increased risk of omalizumab-related hypersensitivity reactions, immune system abnormalities, cardiovascular events, cancer, parasitic infections or other relevant diseases. Furthermore, no measurable anti-omalizumab antibodies were produced in patients treated up to 4 years.

**Figure 1** Schematic representation of the biological functions of the IgE network, which are inhibited by omalizumab.

**Notes:** Republished with permission of *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*, from Omalizumab in asthma: an update on recent developments. Humbert M, Busse W, Hanania NA, et al. Vol 2(5). Copyright 2014; permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc.$^{72}$

**Abbreviations:** IgE, immunoglobulin E; DCs, dendritic cells; Th, T helper; IL, interleukin.
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In essence, the role of omalizumab as the only current agent with a specific target that functions as a stratified or multi-targeted therapy and has been demonstrated to be safe and effective in the context of currently recommended treatments makes it unique in the treatment of allergic asthma, the most easily recognized of the “asthma phenotypes”.

Appropriate patient selection is a key aspect for successful anti-IgE therapy of severe asthma, with the best therapeutic results likely to be achieved by treating severe, inadequately controlled and oral steroid-dependent asthmatics experiencing frequent disease exacerbations. Identifying reliable biomarkers that can predict response to therapy and help define candidate patients more suited for a given treatment is an important and ongoing focus in developing biological therapies, such as omalizumab, which can modify specific pathogenic mechanisms based on precise genotype characterization in the individual patient. In this regard, the EXTRA study has demonstrated that high baseline values of peripheral blood eosinophils can be regarded as a reliable biomarker of Th2 cell-driven allergic inflammation in patients with allergic asthma, especially in association with high levels of fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) and serum periostin. These three biomarkers make it possible to predict a positive therapeutic response to omalizumab in terms of decreasing asthma exacerbations. Furthermore, omalizumab has been shown to be associated with significant decreases in sputum and peripheral blood eosinophils in patients with asthma, supporting the role of omalizumab in reducing inflammatory activity in the airways of patients with allergic asthma.

The duration of anti-IgE treatment is also an important aspect to consider. The mechanism of action of omalizumab, based on competitive binding to the Ce3 domain of the constant fragment of IgE, would suggest that this drug should be used according to a life long schedule in order to block IgE functions and to avoid potential symptoms relapses and exacerbations. However, there are some indications that improvements in asthma symptoms and lung function may persist for at least 3 years after discontinuation of anti-IgE therapy, suggesting that anti-IgE therapy could modify the natural history of moderate-to-severe uncontrolled asthma in some patients, perhaps due in part to a modulatory action of omalizumab on bronchial remodeling.

Discussion and conclusion
IgE-mediated allergic asthma still represents a majority of patients with asthma. The recent GINA report redrew the definition of asthma, emphasizing its heterogeneity and the relevance of disease phenotypes, while recognizing specific biological pathways as clinical features to be considered in the management of severe or difficult-to-treat asthma. Indeed, in GINA step 5, anti-IgE treatment with omalizumab is the recommended option when moderate or severe allergic asthma is uncontrolled on step 4 treatment. To better understand the mechanisms regulating the IgE-mediated mechanisms in allergic asthma, we have herein reviewed the features and functions of IgE receptors and the interactions with different cellular components, such as mast cells and basophils or eosinophils, epithelial cells or smooth muscle cells. These last cells proliferate as a consequence of IgE-driven inflammation, thus leading to exacerbations of respiratory symptoms, airway remodeling and subsequently affecting pulmonary function.

As a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms and pathogenesis of the disease processes underlying allergic asthma, additional agents that inhibit specific pathways will likely be developed, leading to further reductions in the burden of asthma.
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