The effect of circulating miR-223 on surveillance of different cancers: a meta-analysis

Yunfeng Zhang^{1,*}Jinbo Lin^{2,*}Wenjie Huang^{3,*}Yong Cao⁴Yi Liu⁴Tieqiang Wang⁴Weiyi Zhong⁴Dongli Wang⁴Rongrong Mao⁴Xiaoliang Chen⁴

¹Surgical Operating Room, Longgang District People's Hospital of Shenzhen, ²Medical Oncology, Longgang District Central Hospital of Shenzhen, ³Medical Oncology, Guangming District Central Hospital of Shenzhen, ⁴Chronic Disease Control and Prevention Center, Shenzhen Guangming District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shenzhen, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Purpose: Abnormal expression of miR-223 in cancerous tissue has confirmed it as an important player in tumorigenesis of cancers, such as hepatocellular carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, osteosarcoma, gastric cancer, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. The present meta-analysis aimed to explore the association between circulating miR-223 and prognosis of cancers.

Methods: The studies were accessed by an electronic search of multiple databases. RevMan5.3 and STATA14.0 were used to estimate the heterogeneity among studies, pooled effects, and publication bias.

Results: Ten studies with data of 1,002 patients with cancer were included in this meta-analysis. The risk of metastasis from stages 3 to 4 of TNM did not decrease when high versus low circulating expression of miR-223 were compared (pooled odds ratio = 0.50, 95% CI: 0.24–1.03). In case of prognosis, the overall survival time was not significantly longer with high circulating miR-223 expression (pooled hazard ratio [HR] = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.38–1.11) in all cancer types. However, the overall survival time of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (pooled HR = 0.19, 95% CI: 0.07–0.54) increased in subgroup analysis. Moreover, the treatment-free survival of chronic lymphocytic leukemia was significantly increased with high circulating miR-223 expression (pooled HR = 0.38, 95% CI: 0.23–0.64).

Conclusion: Circulating miR-223 was not an effective biomarker in prognosis surveillance in all cancers but in chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

Keywords: miR-223, carcinoma, metastasis, prognosis, meta-analysis

Background

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNA molecules (20–24 nucleotides) with negative regulation in gene expression at the posttranscriptional level.¹ Experiments have shown that miRNA broadly impact hundreds of mRNA targets based on the ~7 nt complementary base-pairing to the “seed sequence” of an miRNA.² The dysregulation of homeostatic control of miRNA biogenesis, such as miR-155, miR-17~92, miR-16, miR-10b, and miR-373, could be associated with multiple pathological cancers, especially tumors of the breast, lung, liver, pancreas, and bone marrow.³ Furthermore, the regulating miRNAs have shown aberrant expression in tumor tissues and patient serum and participate in the onset and progression of cancer.^{4,5} Because of the involvement of miRNAs in many cellular cancer pathways including development, cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis,^{6–8} miRNAs were expected to play crucial roles in cancer diagnosis and therapy as well as in prognosis surveillance.

MiR-223, a common tumor-associated microRNA, has been reported to be dysregulated in various human tumors, such as hepatocellular carcinoma,⁹ colorectal carcinoma,¹⁰ osteosarcoma,¹¹ gastric cancer,¹² and chronic lymphocytic leukemia.¹³ Abnormal expression of miR-223 in cancerous tissue has confirmed it as an important

Correspondence: Xiaoliang Chen
Shenzhen Guangming District Center
for Disease Prevention and Control,
59 Fengjing Road, Guangming District,
Shenzhen 518106, China
Tel/fax +86 755 8173 8057
Email 120497143@qq.com

player in tumorigenesis of cancers.¹⁴ Similar to the tissue, miR-223 could be steadily detected in patient's circulating blood, and be used as a noninvasive biomarker for early cancer detection and diagnosis.⁹ Moreover, circulating miR-223 was found to be associated with metastasis and prognosis of cancers^{15–20} and it might be a diagnostic biomarker and prognostic factor. However, the effect of circulating miR-223 on cancer prognosis is controversial, and no meta-analysis has investigated the relationship between circulating miR-223 expression and prognosis.

Therefore, the present meta-analysis aimed to explore the association between circulating miR-223 level and clinical outcome of patients with cancer to further determine the biomarker role of circulating miR-223 in metastasis and prognosis of cancers.

Materials and methods

Literature search strategy

Reports of studies in Language of English or Chinese on the role of circulating miR-223 expression in the development of human cancer were searched in PubMed, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, and Wanfang databases with the following keywords: ("cancer" or "tumor" or "neoplasm" or "malignant" or "carcinoma") and ("prognos*" or "surviv*" or "follow-up" or "mortality" or "predict" or "outcome" or "metastas*") and ("microRNA-223" or "miRNA-223", or "miR-223") and ("serum" or "plasma" or "blood" or "circulating"). The last search date was September 23, 2016. References of retrieved papers and conference reports were also searched to identify relevant studies.

Selection criteria

After removing the duplicate entries, titles and abstracts of articles were checked by 4 authors (YZ, JL, YC, YL). The full text of eligible articles was retrieved. The eligible articles had the following criteria: 1) the expression of circulating miR-223 was analyzed by metastasis or survival, 2) patients were divided by high and low expression of circulating miR-223, 3) odd ratios (ORs) for metastasis (tumor node metastasis [TNM] stage, international staging system) or hazard ratios (HRs) for survival (overall survival [OS], disease-free survival, event-free survival, progression-free survival, cause-specific survival, and treatment-free survival [TFS]) were provided or could be calculated from the available data; and 4) the expression of circulating miR-223 was tested in patients' peripheral blood by real-time PCR or fluorescence in situ hybridization. Studies not fulfilling the criteria, reviews, and animal/cell-line studies were excluded. Furthermore, if

more than 1 study of the same cohort was published, only the most recent English publication was included. Consensus on searching and exclusion was resolved by discussion and with 2 other investigators (TW, XC) if needed.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The general data was extracted by 4 authors (WH, WZ, DW, RM) using the following form: first author's name, published year, region of cohort, sample size, cancer type, method to test miR-223, cases in each expression group (high/low), cases of metastasis (TNM/ISS) in each group, and survival results (OS, disease-free survival, event-free survival, progression-free survival, cause-specific survival, and TFS). Furthermore, the reference for all effects (ORs or HRs) was reformatted as low circulating miR-223 expression, and the multivariate analysis effects were used for pooled analysis. The quality of each eligible study was assessed by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, consisting of selection, outcome, and comparability, with scores from 0 to 9. A study with Newcastle-Ottawa Scale score ≥ 6 was considered at high quality.

Statistical methods

This meta-analysis involved the use of Review Manager 5.3 (Cochrane network) and STATA 14.0. When HRs and 95% CIs were not provided directly in some studies, Engauge Digitizer 4.1 was used to analyze them from Kaplan–Meier curve. The heterogeneity among eligible studies was tested by Inconsistency (I^2) and Q tests (chi-squared test). If no statistical heterogeneity was found ($P_0 > 0.05$, $I^2 < 50\%$), a fixed-effects model was used to estimate the pooled OR and HR. Otherwise, a random-effects model was used. Moreover, Begg's and Egger's tests were used to assess publication bias. All tests were two-sided, and $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of eligible studies

The literature search included 10 studies eligible in the meta-analysis (Figure 1), 6 from China^{13,17,18,21–23} and 1 each from France,²⁴ United States,¹⁵ Japan,¹⁹ and Belgium.²⁰ The studies involved a total of 1,002 patients with cancer, with mean sample size of 1,002 patients (range: 46–180). Six different types of cancers were evaluated: nonsmall cell lung carcinoma ($n=3$); esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia ($n=2$ each); and pancreatic cancer, osteosarcoma, and multiple myeloma ($n=1$ each). The level of miR-223 was detected in patients' plasma or serum by real-time PCR and the negative control was healthy plasma

Figure 1 Flow of the studies in the meta-analysis.

or serum. Furthermore, the group cutoff value determined by the original research depended on the median/mean value of miR-223 level receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. The main characteristics of each study are summarized in Table 1.

Association between circulating miR-223 and metastasis

Although three studies reported the cases among TNM stage, only two in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and nonsmall cell lung carcinoma had the same classification between high and low expression of circulating miR-223 with 158 cases in stages 3 and 4 of TNM. Because of no severe heterogeneity with 2 studies ($I^2=0\%$, $P_Q=0.34$), the

fixed-effects model was used to calculate the pooled effect. High expression of circulating miR-223 did not significantly decrease the risk of stage 3 developing to 4, with pooled OR = 0.50 (95% CI: 0.24–1.03) (Figure 2).

Association between circulating miR-223 and OS

Eight studies showed data for OS in terms of circulating miR-223 level for 904 patients with cancer. Because of significant heterogeneity ($I^2=76\%$, $P_Q=0.0002$), the random-effects model was used. The pooled HR of OS was 0.64 (95% CI: 0.38–1.11, $P=0.11$) for high versus low circulating miR-223 expression (Figure 3A); therefore, high miR-223 expression did not significantly increase the OS time. Because of the

Table 1 Basic data for all included studies in the meta-analysis

References	Year	Country	Sample size	Cancer-type	Method	Follow-up (months)	Cutoff (high/low)	TNM stage		Survival		Quality score
								Low	High	Method	Outcomes	
Sanfiorenzo et al ²⁴	2013	France	52	NSCLC	qrt-PCR	60	24/28	NR	NR	Multivariate	DFS	8
Heegaard et al ¹⁵	2012	USA	180	NSCLC	qrt-PCR	60	90/90	NR	NR	Univariate	OS	8
Wu et al ^{17,*}	2014	China	194	ESCC	qrt-PCR	60	113/81	1:3	1:5	Multivariate	OS	8
								2:48	2:62			
								3:21	3:36			
								4:5	4:2			
Wu et al ¹⁸	2014	China	63	ESCC	qrt-PCR	24	NR	NR	NR	Univariate	OS	8
Komatsu et al ¹⁹	2015	Japan	46	PC	qrt-PCR	50	25/20	NR	NR	Univariate	CSS	8
Stamatopoulos et al ²⁰	2009	Belgium	110	CLL	qrt-PCR	300	37/73	NR	NR	Univariate	OS, TFS	8
Zhou et al ¹³	2012	China	53	CLL	qrt-PCR	90	31/22	NR	NR	Univariate	OS, TFS	8
Dong et al ²¹	2016	China	112	OSC	qrt-PCR	60	59/53	2a:22	2a:50	Multivariate	OS	8
								2b/3:40	2b/3:10			
Wu et al ²²	2014	China	94	NSCLC	qrt-PCR	48	47/47	3:23	3:29	Multivariate	OS	8
								4:24	4:18			
Qu ²³	2014	China	98	MM	qrt-PCR	48	49/49	1+2:22	1+2:30 [#]	Univariate	OS, PFS	8
								3:27	3:19			

Note: [#]ISS, international staging system.

Abbreviations: NSCLC, nonsmall cell lung carcinoma; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; PC, pancreatic cancer; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; OSC, osteosarcoma; OS, overall survival; MM, multiple myeloma; TNM, tumor node metastasis; qrt-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; DFS, disease-free survival; TFS, treatment-free survival; CSS, cause-specific survival; PFS, progression-free survival; NR, not reported.

different types of cancer involved in this meta-analysis, we also calculated the pooled HR for OS by cancer-type. For studies of chronic lymphocytic leukemia, high miR-223 expression significantly increased the OS time with pooled HR of 0.19 (95% CI: 0.07–0.54) under the fixed-effects model ($I^2=0\%$, $P_Q=0.68$) (Figure 3B). For studies of nonsmall cell lung carcinoma, the pooled HR was 0.82 (95% CI: 0.61–1.11) under the fixed-effects model ($I^2=0\%$, $P_Q=0.46$) (Figure 3C) and for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, it was 1.29 (95% CI: 0.62–2.66) under the random-effects model ($I^2=61\%$, $P_Q=0.11$) (Figure 3D).

Association between circulating miR-223 and TFS

Two studies showed data for TFS with respect to circulating miR-223 level for 163 patients of chronic lymphocytic

leukemia. These studies showed no significant heterogeneity ($I^2=0\%$, $P_Q=0.82$) and therefore a fixed-effects model was used. High miR-223 expression significantly increased TFS time in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (OR = 0.38, 95% CI: 0.23–0.64) (Figure 4).

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was conducted to test the association between circulating miR-223 and OS. Each study was deleted in turn to examine the influence of the deleted data on the overall HR. Only exclusion of Wu et al's data significantly changed the results of pooled HR of 0.54 (95% CI: 0.33–0.89) (Table 2), which suggested that the role of circulating miR-223 was differential or reverse in OS of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Therefore, we pooled the data on effect of circulating miR-223 on OS of all cancers

Figure 2 Forest plot of association between circulating miR-223 expression and TNM.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; M-H, Mantel–Haenszel test; TNM, tumor node metastasis.

Figure 3 Forest plot showing the association between circulating miR-223 expression and OS. (A) Pooled HR of circulating miR-223 on OS of all types of cancer under random-effects model. In subgroup analyses, (B) pooled HR of circulating miR-223 on OS of chronic lymphocytic leukemia under the fixed-effects model; (C) pooled HR of circulating miR-223 on OS of nonsmall cell lung carcinoma under fixed-effects model; (D) pooled HR of circulating miR-223 on OS of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma under the random-effects model. Data are HRs and 95% CIs.

Abbreviations: SE, standard error; IV, inverse variance; CI, confidence interval; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio.

Figure 4 Forest plot of association between circulating miR-223 expression and TFS.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance; SE, standard error; TFS, treatment-free survival.

Table 2 Sensitivity analysis for the studies that resulted in loss of significance after omission

Original summary effects				Omitted study	Resulting summary effects			
HR	95% CI	Nature of association	I ²		HR	95% CI	Nature of association	I ²
0.64	0.38–1.11	Not significantly decreased risk	76%	Heegaard et al ¹⁵	0.59	0.29–1.21	Not significantly decreased risk	78%
				Wu et al ¹⁷	0.54	0.33–0.89	Significantly decreased risk	57%
				Wu et al ¹⁸	0.57	0.29–1.13	Not significantly decreased risk	79%
				Stamatopoulos et al ²⁰	0.77	0.46–1.29	Not significantly decreased risk	71%
				Zhou et al ¹³	0.66	0.38–1.13	Significantly increased risk	78%
				Dong et al ²¹	0.79	0.48–1.30	Not significantly decreased risk	69%
				Wu et al ²²	0.61	0.33–1.13	Not significantly decreased risk	79%
				Qu ²³	0.65	0.37–1.14	Not significantly decreased risk	79%

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

except esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, which significantly increased the OS time under a random-effects model (HR = 0.44, 95% CI: 0.24–0.82) (Figure S1).

Publication bias

Publication bias for the association between circulating miR-223 and OS was checked by a Begg's funnel plot under the random-effects model (Figure 5). Begg's test showed no significant rank correlation with Kendall score ($Z = -1.24$, $P > |z| = 0.216$). Given this result, we performed Egger's test where evidence of significance of publication bias was not found ($r = -2.11$, 95% CI: -5.09 – 0.87 , $P > |t| = 0.133$).

Discussion

This current study aimed to assess the pooled effect of circulating miR-223 expression on prognosis with cancer. High miR-223 expression was not significantly associated with decreased cancer metastasis and increased OS time of reported cancers. However, high miR-223 expression

significantly increased the OS time as well as TFS time of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

MiR-223, initially identified as part of the hematopoietic system,²⁵ has been widely reported to show association with different cancers. Research in the mechanism of miR-223 found that it could target C/EBP β , E2F1, FOXO1, NFI-A, and MAFB to inhibit tumor cell proliferation, migration, and invasive capability in vitro;^{26–30} however, it could also deregulate the expression of tumor suppressors.^{31–33} As miR-223 affects multiple targets simultaneously that are involved in key processes,¹⁴ the role of miR-223 in cancer depends on the type of cancer and the total level in patient's body. Although, circulating miR-223 was not always positively correlated with the level expressed in tumor tissue,^{19,34} the level of circulating miR-223 was proven as a high-quality biomarker for early cancer detection and diagnosis.^{9,35,36} Moreover, circulating miR-223 could contribute to the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, required for cancer metastasis and invasion,³⁷ and could enhance response to preoperative chemo-radiotherapy in patients with cancer.³⁸ Therefore, circulating miR-223 is an important cancer-associated miRNA and participates in tumor development and progression.

In our meta-analysis, although the risk of progression of stages 3 to 4 of TNM was not significantly decreased with high circulating miR-223 expression for the small sample size and few cancer types, it still suggested that high circulating miR-223 might be epidemiologically associated with decreased metastasis of cancers. For prognosis of patients, circulating miR-223 was not significantly associated with OS in all reported cancers; however, their association in subgroup analysis was not coincident. It epidemiologically supported the fact that the role of circulating miR-223 in cancers depends on the mechanism and character of cancer-type. It suggested that the circulating miR-223 could not be used as biomarker for prognosis surveillance of cancer but chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

Figure 5 Begg's funnel plot of the association between circulating miR-223 and OS in all cancer types under random-effects model.

Abbreviations: OS, overall survival; SE, standard error.

Some meta-analyses focused on the association of miR-223 with cancer; all analyzed the role of miR-223 in the early detection and diagnosis of cancer.^{9,39–41} To search for an applicable biomarker for therapy, we focused on the association of circulating miR-223 on metastasis and prognosis. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis of the effect of circulating miR-223 on outcomes of patients with cancer.

Our study has some limitations. First, the studies of each cancer-type and respective patient samples were few in number. Second, because of heterogeneity of different cancer-type in the included studies, the results need to be further identified with more randomized clinical trials. Finally, because of using aggregated group data in the meta-analysis, some confounding factors could not be controlled.

Conclusion and recommendations

This meta-analysis is the first to demonstrate that high expression of circulating miR-223 is related to prognosis for patients with cancer. The expression of circulating miR-223 might be a biomarker for prognosis surveillance of some cancer types, especially chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Shenzhen Technology Research and Development Funds (JCYJ20150403095530583 and 201604130086), and the Science & Technology Project of Shenzhen Longgang District (201406063001026 and 20160607153104624).

Author contributions

XLC conceived the study; YFZ, JBL, YC, and YL conducted the review and screened records for eligibility; WJH, WYZ, DLW, and RRM extracted data and conducted statistical analysis under the supervision of XLC and TQW. JBL prepared the initial report, which was read and edited by XLC. All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and critically revising the paper, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Shen J, Hung MC. Signaling-mediated regulation of MicroRNA processing. *Cancer Res*. 2015;75(5):783–791.
- Kim VN, Han J, Siomi MC. Biogenesis of small RNAs in animals. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2009;10(2):126–139.
- Ventura A, Jacks T. MicroRNAs and cancer: short RNAs go a long way. *Cell*. 2009;136(4):586–591.
- Lee JY, Ryu DS, Kim WJ, Kim SJ. Aberrantly expressed microRNAs in the context of bladder tumorigenesis. *Investig Clin Urol*. 2016; 57 (Suppl 1):S52–S59.
- Gulyaeva LF, Kushlinskiy NE. Regulatory mechanisms of microRNA expression. *J Transl Med*. 2016;14:143.
- Pileczki V, Cojocneanu-Petric R, Maralani M, Neagoe IB, Sandulescu R. MicroRNAs as regulators of apoptosis mechanisms in cancer. *Chujul Med*. 2016;89(1):50–55.
- Kwan JY, Psarianos P, Bruce JP, Yip KW, Liu FF. The complexity of microRNAs in human cancer. *J Radiat Res*. 2016;57 (Suppl 1): i106–i111.
- Pinweha P, Rattanapornsompong K, Charoensawan V, Jitrapakdee S. MicroRNAs and oncogenic transcriptional regulatory networks controlling metabolic reprogramming in cancers. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J*. 2016;14:223–233.
- Li G, Shen Q, Li C, Li D, Chen J, He M. Identification of circulating MicroRNAs as novel potential biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma detection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2015;17(9):684–693.
- Earle JS, Luthra R, Romans A, et al. Association of microRNA expression with microsatellite instability status in colorectal adenocarcinoma. *J Mol Diagn*. 2010;12(4):433–440.
- Xu J, Yao Q, Hou Y, et al. MiR-223/Ect2/p21 signaling regulates osteosarcoma cell cycle progression and proliferation. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2013;67(5):381–386.
- Shrestha S, Hsu SD, Huang WY, et al. A systematic review of microRNA expression profiling studies in human gastric cancer. *Cancer Med*. 2014;3(4):878–888.
- Zhou K, Yi S, Yu Z, et al. MicroRNA-223 expression is uniformly down-regulated in B cell lymphoproliferative disorders and is associated with poor survival in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2012;53(6):1155–1161.
- Haneklaus M, Gerlic M, O'Neill LA, Masters SL. miR-223: infection, inflammation and cancer. *J Intern Med*. 2013;274(3):215–226.
- Heegaard NH, Schetter AJ, Welsh JA, Yoneda M, Bowman ED, Harris CC. Circulating micro-RNA expression profiles in early stage nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2012;130(6): 1378–1386.
- Joerger M, Baty F, Früh M, et al. Circulating microRNA profiling in patients with advanced non-squamous NSCLC receiving bevacizumab/erlotinib followed by platinum-based chemotherapy at progression (SAKK 19/05). *Lung Cancer*. 2014;85(2):306–313.
- Wu C, Li M, Hu C, Duan H. Clinical significance of serum miR-223, miR-25 and miR-375 in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Biol Rep*. 2014;41(3):1257–1266.
- Wu C, Wang C, Guan X, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic implications of a serum miRNA panel in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(3):e92292.
- Komatsu S, Ichikawa D, Miyamae M, et al. Malignant potential in pancreatic neoplasm; new insights provided by circulating miR-223 in plasma. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2015;15(6):773–785.
- Stamatopoulos B, Meuleman N, Haibe-Kains B, et al. microRNA-29c and microRNA-223 down-regulation has in vivo significance in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and improves disease risk stratification. *Blood*. 2009;113(21):5237–5245.
- Dong J, Liu Y, Liao W, Liu R, Shi P, Wang L. miRNA-223 is a potential diagnostic and prognostic marker for osteosarcoma. *J Bone Oncol*. 2016;5(2):74–79.
- Wu C, Cao Y, He Z, et al. Serum levels of miR-19b and miR-146a as prognostic biomarkers for non-small cell lung cancer. *Tohoku J Exp Med*. 2014;232(2):85–95.
- Qu Y. *The clinical significance of serum microRNA, long non-coding RNA and CRBN protein in multiple myeloma* [master's thesis]. Shanghai: The Second Military Medical University; 2014.
- Sanfiorenzo C, Ilie MI, Belaid A, et al. Two panels of plasma microRNAs as non-invasive biomarkers for prediction of recurrence in resectable NSCLC. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(1):e54596.

25. Johnnidis JB, Harris MH, Wheeler RT, et al. Regulation of progenitor cell proliferation and granulocyte function by microRNA-223. *Nature*. 2008;451(7182):1125–1129.
26. Zhou H, Xiao J, Wu N, et al. MicroRNA-223 Regulates the differentiation and function of intestinal dendritic cells and macrophages by targeting C/EBPbeta. *Cell Rep*. 2015;13(6):1149–1160.
27. Pulikkan JA, Dengler V, Peramangalam PS, et al. Cell-cycle regulator E2F1 and microRNA-223 comprise an autoregulatory negative feedback loop in acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood*. 2010;115(9):1768–1778.
28. Wu L, Li H, Jia CY, et al. MicroRNA-223 regulates FOXO1 expression and cell proliferation. *FEBS Lett*. 2012;586(7):1038–1043.
29. Fazi F, Rosa A, Fatica A, et al. A minicircuitry comprised of microRNA-223 and transcription factors NFI-A and C/EBPalpha regulates human granulopoiesis. *Cell*. 2005;123(5):819–831.
30. Yang W, Lan X, Li D, Li T, Lu S. MiR-223 targeting MAFB suppresses proliferation and migration of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells. *BMC Cancer*. 2015;15:461.
31. Li X, Zhang Y, Zhang H, et al. miRNA-223 promotes gastric cancer invasion and metastasis by targeting tumor suppressor EPB41L3. *Mol Cancer Res*. 2011;9(7):824–833.
32. Li ZW, Yang YM, Du LT, et al. Overexpression of miR-223 correlates with tumor metastasis and poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer. *Med Oncol*. 2014;31(11):256.
33. Kurashige J, Watanabe M, Iwatsuki M, et al. Overexpression of microRNA-223 regulates the ubiquitin ligase FBXW7 in oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer*. 2012;106(1):182–188.
34. Cinpolat O, Unal ZN, Ismi O, Gorur A, Unal M. Comparison of microRNA profiles between benign and malignant salivary gland tumors in tissue, blood and saliva samples: a prospective, case-control study. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. Epub 2016 Apr 27.
35. Tachibana H, Sho R, Takeda Y, et al. Circulating miR-223 in oral cancer: its potential as a novel diagnostic biomarker and therapeutic target. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(7):e0159693.
36. Li BS, Zhao YL, Guo G, et al. Plasma microRNAs, miR-223, miR-21 and miR-218, as novel potential biomarkers for gastric cancer detection. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7):e41629.
37. Tang Y, Wang Y, Chen Q, Qiu N, Zhao Y, You X. MiR-223 inhibited cell metastasis of human cervical cancer by modulating epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol*. 2015;8(9):11224–11229.
38. Nakao T, Iwata T, Hotchi M, et al. Prediction of response to preoperative chemoradiotherapy and establishment of individualized therapy in advanced rectal cancer. *Oncol Rep*. 2015;34(4):1961–1967.
39. Fiorino S, Bacchi-Reggiani ML, Visani M, et al. MicroRNAs as possible biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis of hepatitis B- and C-related-hepatocellular-carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2016;22(15):3907–3936.
40. Zhou X, Ji G, Chen H, Jin W, Yin C, Zhang G. Clinical role of circulating miR-223 as a novel biomarker in early diagnosis of cancer patients. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2015;8(9):16890–16898.
41. Kim SY, Jeon TY, Choi CI, et al. Validation of circulating miRNA biomarkers for predicting lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer. *J Mol Diagn*. 2013;15(5):661–669.

Supplementary material

Figure S1 Forest plot of the pooled HRs for OS with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma excluded under the random-effects model.

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; IV, inverse variance; CI, confidence interval; SE, standard error.

References

- Dong J, Liu Y, Liao W, Liu R, Shi P, Wang L. miRNA-223 is a potential diagnostic and prognostic marker for osteosarcoma. *J Bone Oncol*. 2016;5(2):74–79.
- Heegaard NH, Schetter AJ, Welsh JA, Yoneda M, Bowman ED, Harris CC. Circulating micro-RNA expression profiles in early stage nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2012;130(6):1378–1386.
- Qu Y. *The clinical significance of serum microRNA, long non-coding RNA and CRBN protein in multiple myeloma* [master's thesis]. Shanghai: The Second Military Medical University; 2014.
- Stamatopoulos B, Meuleman N, Haibe-Kains B, et al. microRNA-29c and microRNA-223 down-regulation has in vivo significance in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and improves disease risk stratification. *Blood*. 2009;113(21):5237–5245.
- Wu C, Li M, Hu C, Duan H. Clinical significance of serum miR-223, miR-25 and miR-375 in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Mol Biol Rep*. 2014;41(3):1257–1266.
- Zhou K, Yi S, Yu Z, et al. MicroRNA-223 expression is uniformly down-regulated in B cell lymphoproliferative disorders and is associated with poor survival in patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2012;53(6):1155–1161.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal>

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Dovepress