Total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae (Gusuibu) for treating osteoporotic fractures: implication in clinical practice
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Abstract: This systematic review was performed to determine the clinical efficacy and safety of total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae (TFRD) for osteoporotic fractures and to provide clear evidence for clinical practice. Eight databases were searched to identify relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs) until December 2016. Six RCTs involving 846 patients were included. The primary outcomes included fracture recurrence and death. Meta-analysis showed that both the combination therapy and TFRD alone were better than conventional treatments in improving bone mineral density (BMD) value (weighted mean difference [WMD] = 3.68, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.01 to 0.04, \(P=0.0002\)), (WMD = 0.14; 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.16; \(P=0.00001\)), respectively, and enhancing therapeutic effect (OR = 0.25; 95% CI: 0.12 to 0.51; \(P=0.0002\)). Thirty-three patients experienced adverse drug reactions (ADRs), none of the ADRs were severe and all were resolved after symptomatic treatments. Gastrointestinal symptoms were the most common ADRs in the usage of TFRD. Overall, the effect of TFRD on osteoporotic fractures was supported by improving BMD and therapeutic effect. Due to the methodological drawbacks of the included studies, the conclusions should be treated with caution for future research. Registration number: CRD42017052797.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis is a worldwide public health problem with high prevalence.\(^1\) It is characterized by low bone mineral density (BMD) and changes in bone microarchitecture which reduce bone strength and increase fracture risk.\(^2,3\) Osteoporotic fractures, also known as fragility fractures,\(^4\) is one of the most serious consequences and endpoint clinical outcome of osteoporosis.\(^5\) Several factors which can be divided into loading, structural, and material properties components may act in combination to increase osteoporotic fractures risk. The incidence and mechanics of falls,\(^6-9\) changes in trabecular architecture and connectivity,\(^10-12\) and deposition or mineralization or the accumulation of unrepaired micro-damage\(^13\) are the main pathogenesis. Over the next 30 years, osteoporotic fractures will account for more than half of all fractures.\(^14\) The projected cost of osteoporotic hip fractures alone may reach US $131 billion worldwide by 2050.\(^15\) In addition, the risk of osteoporotic fractures in women is higher than that of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and ovarian cancer, while in men it is higher than prostate cancer.\(^16,17\) Therefore, enhancing treatment for osteoporotic fractures to promote healing and prevent recurrence is an important public health issue.\(^16,17\)
Currently, surgery and anti-osteoporotic drugs are the main treatments for osteoporotic fractures in accordance with Chinese and American clinician’s guidelines.\textsuperscript{18,19} The established and forthcoming drugs for the treatment of osteoporotic fractures mainly aim to promote bone formation or inhibit bone resorption. The main mechanisms include: interfering with the HMG-CoA reductase system,\textsuperscript{20} stimulating the calcium sensor receptor, increasing osteoprotegerin (OPG activity),\textsuperscript{21} blocking sclerostin to interfere with the Wnt signaling pathway,\textsuperscript{22} inhibiting the RANKL-RANK interaction,\textsuperscript{23} and inducing the degradation of bone matrix by osteoclasts.\textsuperscript{24} Nowadays, seeking alternative treatments has become more and more important as a result of the necessity for lifelong treatment and the potential negative side effects of drugs, such as upper gastrointestinal symptoms, atypical fractures of the femur,\textsuperscript{24} and risk of venous thrombosis.

Compound capsules as a herbal product have become a popular complementary and alternative treatment in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), widely used for prevention and treatment of osteoporosis and related bone diseases.\textsuperscript{25} A systematic review has provided a detailed summary of Chinese herbal medicines and compound capsules for osteoporosis,\textsuperscript{26} including Xianlinggubao capsule and Gushukang granule. In addition, parts of plant-derived substances have been proven to have anti-osteoporotic effects in laboratory experiments and clinical trials.\textsuperscript{27,28} However, the relationship between each compound and the specific active ingredients in compound capsules is still difficult to demonstrate clearly, thus, single component herbal products have received more and more attention in recent years.

Rhizoma Drynariae is one of the plants from Davallia Sm., which are widely distributed in Africa, Southeast Asia, and Australia. Total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae (TFRD), is a herbal product extracted from the dried root of Rhizoma Drynariae (Gu-sui-bu),\textsuperscript{29} which has been developed into a postmarketing Chinese medicine called Qianggu capsule (drug approval number: Z20030007, Qi-Huang Pharmaceutical CO. LTD, Beijing, China).\textsuperscript{30} The types of chemical constituents isolated from Rhizoma Drynariae mainly include flavonoids, triterpenes, phenolic acids and their glycosides. Among them, the study on the active components of Rhizoma Drynariae mainly focused on total flavonoids.\textsuperscript{31} It also has been proven that the active monomer composition of total flavonoids include naringin, naringenin, and neoeriocitrin. Their composition causes underlying anti-osteoporosis activity by regulating targets of signaling pathways, such as OPG/RANKL/RANK, CTSK cysteine protease, Wnt/β-catenin, and BMP pathways in bone metabolism, inhibiting the bone resorption or stimulating bone formation, and finally achieves the goal of prevention and treatment of osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{32} Furthermore, naringin, as one of the major components of flavonoids, has been demonstrated to promote osteogenic differentiation of BMSCs by up-regulating Foxc2 expression, and has a higher binding affinity to ER-α than ER-β in yeast two-hybrid experiments.\textsuperscript{33–35}

Though the number of relevant clinical trials regarding the efficacy and safety of TFRD are soaring, we did not retrieve related systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) reporting on TFRD for osteoporotic fractures. This systematic review aims to evaluate the efficacy and safety of TFRD among patients with osteoporotic fractures in order to provide evidence for clinical practice and scientific research.

### Methods

The protocol of this systematic review has been registered on PROSPERO (registration number: CRD42017052797), available from https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42017052797.

### Eligibility criteria

This systematic review was carried out and reported in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA).\textsuperscript{36} Also, all included trials were appraised by using Consolidated Standards for Reporting Trials for Traditional Chinese Medicine (CONSORT for TCM).\textsuperscript{37}

### Types of studies

All prospective RCTs without the restriction of language were included. Animal experiments, quasi-experimental studies, and other studies without complete data or duplicate publications were excluded.

### Types of participants

Clinical diagnosis should refer to various types of fractures directly or indirectly caused by osteoporotic criteria, for instance, 1) a clear history of violent damage or a clear history of low energy damage is non-existent; 2) evidence of fracture imaging; and 3) other causes for fractures have been excluded (for example, bone tumors). The definitions from national projected teaching materials and widely accepted criteria were also used.

### Types of interventions

Focusing on all types of interventions, only those containing TFRD were included for analysis so that the specific effect
of TFRD could be estimated, such as: TFRD compared with no treatment, placebo, and conventional treatments (CTs) or TFRD plus CTs compared with CTs alone. CTs mainly included recommended interventions from guidelines nationally and worldwide, such as: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) Clinical Practice Guideline (2011), guideline for diagnosis and treatment of osteoporotic fractures (2017), Chinese guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of osteoporotic fractures (2015), Chinese guideline for diagnosis and treatment of primary osteoporosis (2011), etc.

Types of comparisons
In the control group, only conventional therapies recommended by the guidelines or those internationally recognized were included. Drugs which have been compared with placebo in clinical trials or supported by evidence-based medicine were also included. Other complementary and alternative treatments (Chinese medicine, acupuncture, massage, yoga, tai chi chuan, qi-gong, baduanjin, wuqinx, etc.) were excluded.

Types of outcomes
The primary outcomes included fracture recurrence and death directly or indirectly caused by osteoporotic fractures. The secondary outcomes included radiography or laboratory test indexes, such as BMD value, serum calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), BGP, and adverse events or adverse drug reactions. The therapeutic effect had to refer to “Standard of TCM diagnosis and treatment for diseases” and “Guidelines of Chinese new drugs for clinical research”, which describes “recovery” as: “most of the fracture sites returned to normal without obvious discomfort, fractures healing”; “improvement” as: “fractures healing, pain disappeared and appearance of fracture sites improved”; “invalid” as: “pain and deformity still exist, accompanied by dysfunction”.

Information sources and search strategy
A comprehensive search was carried out and included: PubMed (1950 to December 2016), EMBASE (1974 to December 2016), the Cochrane Library (1996 to December 2016), ClinicalTrials.gov (from inception to December 2016), China Knowledge Resource Integrated Database (1979 to December 2016), Chinese Science and Technique Journals Database (1989 to December 2016), Wan Fang Database (1990 to December 2016), and the Chinese Biomedical Database (1990 to December 2016).

The following search terms were used in separate or combined ways: ‘osteoporosis’; ‘osteoporotic fractures’; ‘fragile fractures’; ‘Drynaria fortunei’; ‘gusuibu’; ‘Qianggu capsule’; ‘flavonoid’ . There were no restrictions on language, the type of publication, and participants’ characteristics. Note Express 2.0 software was used in the literature management.

Study selection
Titles, abstracts, and keywords of retrieved records were scanned to determine whether they should be assessed further. Full content of related articles was retrieved for further assessment if the information met the inclusion criteria. Full content of articles was retrieved for identification if there was any doubt about these criteria from the information given in the title and abstract. Any disagreements were settled by a third party (XW and YMX).

Data collection process
Data concerning details of participants, interventions, comparison, and outcomes were extracted independently by two reviewers. The data extraction form included the following items: 1) general information: title, authors, and year of publication; 2) population: sample size, age, diagnostic criteria; 3) intervention: description of interventions and comparators (dose, duration of treatment, and frequency); and 4) outcomes: outcomes specified previously, any other outcomes assessed, other events, length of follow-up, quality of reporting of outcomes.

Risk of bias in an individual study
The methodological quality of trials was assessed independently using criteria from the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions, Version 5.1.0. Seven domains were considered such as: sequence generation (selection bias), allocation concealment (selection bias), blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), selective outcome reporting (reporting bias), and other bias. Three levels of “low risk”, “high risk”, or “unclear risk” were used as quality appraisal categories. Any disagreements were resolved by mutual consensus.

Data analysis
Revman 5.3 software, provided by the Cochrane Collaboration, was used for data analyses. The model employed to pool the data depended on the existence and extent of heterogeneity. If the $I^2$ statistics were less than 50%, the heterogeneity could be accepted, and the fixed-effect model was chosen. While random-effects model was chosen if the $I^2$ statistics exceeded 50%. The random-effect model was also
used when subgroup analysis was adopted and heterogeneity among studies was obvious. For dichotomous outcomes the pooled relative risk with 95% confidence interval (CI) was used as the effect measure. For continuous outcomes, weighted mean difference (WMD) or standard mean difference was used as the effect measure. If the number of included studies was less than two or heterogeneity was apparent, meta-analysis was neither appropriate nor possible. Under those circumstances, the result of our systematic review was narratively reported. If included studies had multiple arms, we identified the relevant intervention and control groups and combined the pertinent intervention groups into a single group, and the relevant control groups into a single group, before synthesizing the data.

In order to cope with heterogeneity and perform secondary analysis, subgroup analysis was necessary. These focused on one aspect: the types of interventions. In order to explore the influence of heterogeneity on methodology, statistics, and clinical practice, sensitivity analyses may have been performed. If the results of the clinical trials varied widely and heterogeneity tests showed significant differences, we removed the one trial which differed significantly from other trials and then pooled the rest of the studies in order to compare the results before and after.

Results
Study selection
In total, 64 records were identified. After duplicates among different databases were removed, the full text of 24 remaining records were downloaded for careful assessment. All were published in Chinese. Finally, 15 articles were excluded upon further scrutiny for not being RCTs (n=9); not conducting intervention measures appropriately (n=3); being a non-clinical trial (n=1); having incorrect participants (n=1); and using incorrect randomization methods (n=2). There were six trials included in the review. The detailed process of search and identification was shown in Figure 1.

Study characteristics
All trials included were of a parallel design with a positive control group and conducted in China. Trials’ duration ranged from 3 months to 8 months. Sample size ranged from 59 to 386, with a total of 846 participants included in this review. All trials compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule) vs CTs or TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs. The treatment regimen of Qianggu capsule in all trials was 0.75 g/day. The patients in one trial received treatment for 8 months; patients in four trials received treatment for 3 months, and patients in two trials received treatment for 6 months.41–46 All trials reported BMD values. Three trials42,44,46 reported the Ca, P, ALP, BGP or therapeutic effect.

Four trials showed adverse drug events/reactions.41,43,45,46 Details of six included trials41–46 were listed in Table 1.

Methodological quality
All included studies mentioned randomization.41–46 However, only one study46 described allocation sequence being generated from a random number table. None of the included studies mentioned allocation concealment and blinding. None of the study protocols was available for any of the included studies. We believed all included studies to be free of selective reporting because the same outcomes were outlined in the methods and reported in the results. In all studies, the characteristics of participants in different treatment groups were similar at baseline (age, sex, etc.), so we considered all included trials to be free of other potential sources of bias (Figures 2 and 3).

The effects of therapy
According to the different treatments, the studies were divided into two subgroups: TFRD (Qianggu capsule) vs CTs and TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs. Among the two subgroups, the primary and secondary outcomes were assessed separately.

TFRD vs CTs
Primary outcome measures: re-fractures and death None.

Secondary outcome measures: BMD value, serum Ca, P, ALP, therapeutic effect
Three trials compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule) with CTs based on BMD value. Meta-analysis (n=256 vs 254) indicated that there was significant difference among these treatments in enhancing BMD value (WMD = 3.68; 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.04; P = 0.0002) after 3 months (Figure 4).

TFRD plus CTs vs CTs
Primary outcome measures: re-fractures and death None.

Secondary outcome measures: BMD value, therapeutic effect, serum Ca, P, ALP
BMD value
Three trials compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs based on BMD. However, considering the heterogeneity
among different interventions, we only synthesized two studies. Meta-analysis (n=138 vs 135) indicated that there was a significant difference between the combination therapy and conventional therapy in enhancing BMD (WMD =0.14; 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.16; \( P<0.00001 \)) lasting more than 6 months (Figure 5). Another study compared TFRD with surgery and indicated that there was a significant difference between the two groups in enhancing therapeutic effect.

**Serum Ca**
Two trials compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule) combined with CTs vs CTs based on Ca. However, meta-analysis showed that \( I^2=94\% \) and \( P<0.00001 \), which indicated that it is not suitable for pool analysis. One trial indicated that there was no significant difference between the combination therapy and CTs groups in enhancing Ca (WMD =0.03; 95% CI: \(-0.04 \) to \(0.10\); \( P=0.39 \)). The other trial showed

---

**Figure 1** PRISMA flow diagram.
**Abbreviations:** RCTs, randomized controlled trials; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis.
that there was a clinically meaningful improvement with significant difference between the combination therapy and CTs groups in enhancing Ca (WMD = -0.23; 95% CI: -0.34 to -0.12; P<0.0001).

Phosphorus (P)
Two trials compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs based on P. Meta-analysis (n=138 vs 135) indicated that there was no difference between the combination therapy and conventional therapy groups in enhancing P (WMD = 0.07; 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.16; P=0.10) lasting more than 6 months (Figure 7).

ALP
There was only one trial which compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs based on ALP. However, meta-analysis showed that I²=99% and P<0.00001, which indicated that it is not suitable for pool analysis, which has a clinically meaningful improvement but with no statistically significant difference (WMD = -6.04; 95% CI: -13.86 to 1.78; P=0.13).

BGP
Two trials compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs based on BGP. Meta-analysis (n=138 vs 135) indicated that there was no significant difference between the combination therapy and CTs groups in enhancing BGP (WMD = 0.63; 95% CI: -1.12 to 2.38; P=0.48) lasting more than 6 months (Figure 8).

Adverse events
Four studies, referred to a total of 33 patients, to adverse events. The adverse events reported in the studies were mainly in the treatment group. Among them, four studies referred to gastrointestinal symptoms (including nausea, abdominal distension, constipation, etc.). One study reported that the control group experienced five cases of adverse events, with the most common being chest tightness, shortness of breath, pallor, and low blood pressure. Fortunately, all patients recovered after using dexamethasone and other treatments.

Funnel plot analysis
Funnel plot analysis could not be conducted due to the small number of included studies (less than ten) for the same outcome in the meta-analysis.

Discussion
It is common that doctors in mainland China use all kinds of TCM to treat patients with osteoporosis and osteoporotic
fractures. A Cochrane review has assessed the beneficial and harmful effects of Chinese herbal medicine treatment on osteoporosis. However, there is very little evidence in the literature in terms of herbal substance and herbal preparations. We completed a systematic review of osteoporotic fractures based on a traditional Chinese patent medicines (TCPMs) called Qianggu capsule, the main component of which is TFRD. This study is the first systematic review to assess the evidence of single component TCPMs for patients with osteoporotic fractures. Study duration varied from 3 months to 8 months for TFRD, and the dosage and frequency of TFRD was one pill at least three times a day.

Summary of evidence
Six RCTs of TFRD (Qianggu capsule) for patients with osteoporotic fractures were included in this systematic review.

The intervention of the experimental group and the control group mainly included: TFRD (Qianggu capsule) vs CT and TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs. Results from the present systematic review indicated that there was no statistically significant difference between TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs and CTs based on Ca (WMD = 0.62; 95% CI: -0.28 to 0.54; \( P = 0.53 \)), P (WMD = 0.07; 95% CI: -0.01 to 0.16; \( P = 0.10 \)), ALP (WMD = -6.04; 95% CI: -13.86 to 1.78; \( P = 0.13 \)), and BGP (WMD = 0.63; 95% CI: -1.12 to 2.38; \( P = 0.48 \)). In contrast, in both subgroups using TFRD (Qianggu capsule) vs CTs and TFRD (Qianggu capsule) plus CTs vs CTs, there was a significant difference based on BMD values (WMD = 3.68; 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.04; \( P = 0.0002 \)), (WMD = 0.14; 95% CI: 0.11 to 0.16; \( P < 0.00001 \)), and therapeutic effect (OR = 0.25; 95% CI: 0.12 to 0.51; \( P = 0.0002 \)). In addition, two trials compared TFRD (Qianggu capsule)
Figure 4 TFRD vs CTs: BMD value.

Abbreviations: TFRD, total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae; CTs, conventional treatments; BMD, bone mineral density.

Figure 5 TFRD plus CTs vs CTs: BMD value.

Abbreviations: TFRD, total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae; CTs, conventional treatments; BMD, bone mineral density.

Figure 6 TFRD plus CTs vs CTs: therapeutic effect.

Abbreviations: TFRD, total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae; CTs, conventional treatments; OR, odds ratio.

Figure 7 TFRD plus CTs vs CTs: phosphorus.

Abbreviations: TFRD, total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae; CTs, conventional treatments.

Figure 8 TFRD plus CTs vs CTs: BGP.

Abbreviations: TFRD, total flavonoids from Rhizoma Drynariae; CTs, conventional treatments.
plus CTs vs CTs based on Ca. One trial had a clinically meaningful improvement but no statistically significant difference based on Ca in the experimental group (WMD = -0.03; 95% CI: -0.04 to 0.10; \( P=0.39 \)), the other trial had a significant effect of combination therapy on Ca (WMD = -0.23; 95% CI: -0.34 to -0.12; \( P<0.0001 \)).

**Limitations**

There were some potential limitations in the current systematic review: 1) Only English and Chinese databases were searched because of language barrier, without hand searching. 2) Methodological quality of these included studies was generally poor. 3) We did not interview the authors of studies by telephone for more detailed information. 4) More useful safety information for TFRD (Qianggu capsule) could not be obtained due to inadequate reporting and combination use with CTs.

**Implications for research and clinical practice**

Both methodological and reporting quality of clinical trials of treatment with TFRD (Qianggu capsule) combined with CTs for osteoporotic fractures needs to be improved. Further research should consider the following aspects: 1) Complete and transparent reporting in quality and methodology should be in accordance with well-known standards. 2) The fracture sites should definitely be illustrated in trials. 3) Clinical trial registries should be encouraged to provide the available protocol. 4) Participant withdrawal/drop-out during the trials should be clearly described and intention-to-treat analysis should be performed.

In light of the need for safe, cost-effective treatments for osteoporotic fractures, current guidelines for the tertiary prevention of osteoporosis, and treatment for osteoporotic fractures should ensure that TCPMs are delivered as part of a comprehensive program of treatment. The clinically important outcome indexes, such as PINP, \( \beta \)-CTX, and quality of life from long-term follow-up in accordance with guidelines should be valued in clinical practice. Outcome measures should be assessed by international criteria, especially in terms of the subjective feelings of patients, and should include more quantifiable outcome measures. Moreover, the dose of TFRD (Qianggu capsule) used in clinical practice should also be taken into account. A study on tolerance of Qianggu capsule in the normal human body proved that Qianggu capsule was well tolerated in the tested healthy volunteers and recommended that the maximum dose is two capsules (0.18 g each capsule) each time, three times per day.\(^*\)

The side effects of TFRD (Qianggu capsule) like constipation, cutaneous pruritus, and dry mouth have also been summarized by previous systematic reviews,\(^*\) which should also be taken into account in clinical practice.

**Conclusion**

Although there is currently insufficient evidence for treating osteoporotic fractures with TFRD (Qianggu capsule) and its safety, it may have a certain effect on improving BMD, whether used in combination therapy or alone, which can be used as one of the interventions in clinical practice. Due to the low methodological quality and poor reporting quality, future researchers of TCM should be cautious. The positive results from this review still need larger, well-designed, high-quality trials to confirm results.
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