Comprehensive analysis of differential co-expression patterns reveal transcriptional dysregulation mechanism and identify novel prognostic lncRNAs in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract: Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is one of the most common malignancies worldwide and occurs at a relatively high frequency in People’s Republic of China. However, the molecular mechanism underlying ESCC is still unclear. In this study, the mRNA and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) expression profiles of ESCC were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus database, and then differential co-expression analysis was used to reveal the altered co-expression relationship of gene pairs in ESCC tumors. A total of 3,709 mRNAs and 923 lncRNAs were differentially co-expressed between normal and tumor tissues, and we found that most of the gene pairs lost associations in the tumor tissues. The differential regulatory networking approach deciphered that transcriptional dysregulation was ubiquitous in ESCC, and most of the differentially regulated links were modulated by 37 TFs. Our study also found that two novel lncRNAs (ADAMTS9-AS1 and AP000696.2) might be essential in the development of ectoderm and epithelial cells, which could significantly stratify ESCC patients into high-risk and low-risk groups, and were much better than traditional clinical tumor markers. Further inspection of two risk groups showed that the changes in TF-target regulation in the high-risk patients were significantly higher than those in the low-risk patients. In addition, four signal transduction-related DCmRNAs (ERBB3, ENSA, KCNK7, MFSDF), which were differentially co-expressed with the two lncRNAs, might also have the predictive capacity. Our findings will enhance the understanding of ESCC transcriptional dysregulation from a view of cross-link of lncRNA and mRNA, and the two-lncRNA combination may serve as a novel prognostic biomarker for clinical applications of ESCC.
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Introduction

Esophageal cancer (EC) is one of the most lethal types of digestive tract malignancy in the world. Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is the predominant subtype of EC, with the highest incidence in People’s Republic of China. Although the diagnosis and treatment have been advanced during recent years, ESCC still ranks among the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death. Because it is hard to detect at the early stage, and the tumor recurrence and metastasis after surgery are also very intractable, the long-term outcome of this malignancy is dismal, with an overall 5-year survival rate less than 10%. A poor understanding of carcinogenic mechanism and a lack of biomarkers with desired sensitivity and specificity pose a major challenge.
in diagnosing ESCC. Therefore, comprehensive surveying of the molecular mechanism will facilitate parsing of the esophageal tumorigenesis progress and detection of efficient prognostic biomarkers.

Protein coding genes are usually regarded as tumor markers in ESCC pathology,8 but only ~1.2% of the human genome encodes for protein-coding genes, and the large majority is transcribed into non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs).9–11 Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), which are longer than 200 nucleotides without protein coding capability, can regulate the expression of genes in various biological processes.12,13 Aberrant lncRNA expression participates in carcinogenesis by disrupting the major biological processes,14 and could also serve as a potential diagnostic or prognostic biomarker for diverse human malignancies.15–17 For example, HOTAIR is a well-known lncRNA, whose expression levels powerfully predict metastases and survival in different cancer types,13,14 including ESCC.19,20 However, the understanding of these lncRNAs is insufficient, and the functional implications of most lncRNAs remain to be explored.

 Genome-wide gene expression profile has become an instrumental resource and been used pervasively in cancer research. Many of prognostic and diagnostic mRNAs and lncRNAs have been identified by the traditional differential expression approach. However, this method focuses on the differentially expressed individual genes and cannot present the changes in gene interconnection in response to different conditions.21 Fortunately, differential co-expression analysis is not only emerging to complement the shortage, but also can hint the altered regulatory relationships and cancer-specific dysregulations.22–24 Construction of differential co-expression models, which integrate mRNAs and lncRNAs, could uncover the underlying functional roles of lncRNAs in tumorigenesis progress. Therefore, it is reliable to study the molecular biological mechanism of carcinogenesis and identify cancer-related prognostic lncRNAs by using differential co-expression analysis.

Here, we used a differentially co-expressed method by integrating information of mRNAs and lncRNAs from 119 ESCC tissues and matched adjacent normal tissues to identify a set of differentially co-expressed genes (DCGs; mRNAs and lncRNAs) and links. To systemically explain the mechanism of transcriptome alteration in ESCC, we developed a differential regulatory network by harnessing these differentially co-expressed mRNAs (DCmRNAs). Furthermore, based on the differentially co-expressed lncRNAs (DClncRNAs), we found two novel lncRNAs, ADAMTS9-AS1 and AP000696.2, that could predict the survival of patients with ESCC and might be essential in the development of the ectoderm and epithelial cells. Our study demonstrates that the transcriptional dysregulation is the critical cause of ESCC tumorigenesis, and the two-lncRNA signature may be regarded as a novel prognostic molecular marker, which is better than traditional biomarkers. Furthermore, it will be helpful to further experimental studies on lncRNAs in ESCC.

Materials and methods

Expression profile dataset

The normalized gene expression datasets of ESCC (GSE5362423 and GSE5362225) were downloaded from National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database. The training dataset (GSE53624) included 119 ESCC and matched adjacent normal tissue samples. The independent testing dataset (GSE53622) contained 60 ESCC and matched adjacent normal tissue samples. All of patients in GSE53624 and GSE53622 were followed up for 5 years at least. The median follow-up time of patients in GSE53624 and GSE53622 was 32.2 and 39.5 months, respectively. The clinical characteristics of the two dataset populations are presented in Supplementary materials, Table S1. The expression profile of ESCC was performed using Agilent-038314 CBC Homo sapiens lncRNA+mRNA array V2.0 platform. (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Each array contained probes interrogating 32,000 human mRNAs and 39,000 human lncRNAs. The probes with the same sequence were merged, resulting in 35,025 unique probes. GENCODE database was taken as reference to annotate mRNAs and lncRNAs. Then, we employed the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) program to map the unique probes to the reference; 17,245 mRNAs and 5,760 lncRNAs with at least one unique probe were retrieved.

Differential co-expression analysis

Differential co-expression analysis for the expression profile of the training dataset was conducted in R environment (V3.2.3) using differentially co-expressed genes and links (DCGL) package (V2.0).23–24 First, the genes were filtered by the method of gene variance with default options, which resulted in a total of 12,426 genes preserved. Next, differential co-expression profile and differential co-expression enrichment (DCE) methods were adopted to identify DCGs, while differentially co-expressed links (DCLs) were identified by the modified limit fold change model incorporated in the DCe method. In summary, 4,632 DCGs and about 6,384,526 DCLs were obtained, with each DCL containing at least one DCG. The DCGs consisted of 3,709 DCmRNAs and 923 DClncRNAs. In order to remove the low correlation DCLs,
the correlation coefficients of gene pairs less than 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 in both normal and tumor conditions were used as cutoffs. As similar results could be observed under the three criteria (see “Results” section), we selected 0.3 to be the final cutoff for removing low correlation DCLs, and the remaining DCLs were used for further analysis.

**Functional analysis of ESCC-associated DCGs**

The gene ontology (GO) and pathway enrichment analysis were performed using DAVID software to investigate the functional roles of DCmRNAs in the development of ESCC (Benjamin adjust P-value <0.01). In addition, priori knowledge was incorporated to verify the association of DCGs with the disease. Gene enrichment analysis was also performed for the DCmRNAs based on 572 cancer genes from Cancer Gene Census, 1,601 human drug targets from DrugBank, as well as 363 ESCC-related genes from seven high-quality literatures (Fisher test, P-value <0.05). Meanwhile, gene enrichment analysis was performed for the DClnRNAs based on 253 cancer-related IncRNAs from Lnc2Cancer (Fisher test, P-value <0.05).

**DCmRNAs: construction of regulatory network**

The regulatory networks were constructed to reveal the molecular mechanism of transcriptional alteration in ESCC. The transcription factor (TF)-target relationships predicted in our previous study were treated as the reference network of transcriptional regulation. The gene set of 3,709 DCmRNAs was used for the construction of ESCC-related TF-target networks in the normal and tumor conditions by using linear regression model. The important differentially regulated genes (DRGs) were identified by calculating the differential regulation (DR) value of genes between the two regulatory networks. The DR value could measure whether a DRG was highly relevant to the tumorigenesis. According to the changes of the regulation efficacy between a TF and its target, the differentially regulated links (DRLs) were identified, which were equal or greater than the average value across all TF-target pairs.

**DClnRNAs: the identification of novel prognostic IncRNAs**

The 923 DClnRNAs were taken as the initial gene set for screening prognostic biomarkers. To choose the cancer-related candidates, only the IncRNAs differentially co-expressed with cancer genes, drug targets or ESCC genes were considered. To shrink the DClnRNAs, we considered the differential expression between the normal and tumor tissues (Paired Student’s t-test, Benjamin-Hochberg adjust P-value <0.05), and the top 10 IncRNAs with the highest fold changes were selected as the prognostic candidates.

To obtain an optimal combination from the top 10 IncRNAs, 5-fold cross-validation was used. There are 1,023 combinations (2^{10}−1) for the 10 IncRNAs. For each combination, prognostic accuracy was calculated by the K-means algorithm based on their expression profile to stratify ESCC samples into high-risk and low-risk groups. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was performed for the two groups, and statistical significance was assessed using the log-rank test by using the R survival package. The optimum IncRNA combination was determined by the most significant average P-value in the 5-fold cross-validation.

To explore the function of the identified prognostic IncRNAs, functional enrichment analysis was performed for mRNAs, which were differentially co-expressed with them. By doing this, 675 DCmRNAs were used to predict their prognostic capacities. The hazard ratio (HR) of genes were evaluated by using the SurvComp package in R, and a univariate Cox regression model was implemented to analyze the relationship between the gene expression and survival time. The genes with P-value <0.05 were selected for the survival analysis, as we did for IncRNAs.

**Results**

**Identified DCGs and DCLs**

A total of 17,245 mRNAs and 5,760 IncRNAs across 119 ESCC and matched adjacent healthy tissue samples were retrieved from the training dataset. After being filtered by variance, 9,078 mRNAs and 3,348 IncRNAs were preserved for differential co-expression analysis by the DCGL package (see “Materials and methods” section). As a result, DCLs containing 4,632 DCGs were yielded for further analysis, including 3,709 DCmRNAs and 923 DClnRNAs.

To investigate the functions of the ESCC DCGs, functional enrichment analysis was carried out for the DCmRNAs, and significant GO terms are listed in Supplementary materials, Figure S1. These DCmRNAs might take part in ESCC cell growth (such as development, differentiation and proliferation of cells), in agreement with the fact that cancer is a disease involving dysregulation of multiple fundamental cell processes such as development, proliferation, differentiation, migration and apoptosis. The DCmRNAs were also enriched in two Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways of extracellular matrix (ECM)-receptor interaction and arachidonic acid metabolism, which were reported to contribute to esophageal squamous cell carcinogenesis. Gene enrichment analysis proved that
the DCGs were also significantly enriched in drug targets (P-value = 9.85E-6), ESCC genes (P-value = 1.15E-14) and cancer related lncRNAs (P-value = 3.04E-5), except cancer genes (P-value = 0.34). We purposed that there would be some novel and important cancer-related genes in the DCGs.

After removing the DCLs with the absolute correlation coefficient less than 0.3 in both normal and tumor conditions, the rest of DCLs included 939,936 mRNA-lncRNA associations; 2,192,442 mRNA-mRNA associations and 112,005 lncRNA-lncRNA associations. We divided the DCLs into three types, “loss-of-association”, “gain-of-association” and “reverse-of-association”. If the absolute correlation coefficient of a DCL was equal or greater than 0.3 in the normal, but smaller than 0.3 in the ESCC, it would be grouped into the “loss-of-association” type. On the contrary, it belonged to the “gain-of-association” type. The “reverse-of-association” was the case wherein the absolute correlation coefficient was larger than 0.3 in both normal and tumor conditions, but the direction of association was reversed during tumorigenesis (Figure 1A). Our results showed that almost 80% DCLs lost associations, 20% gained new associations and only few of DCLs reversed associations (Figure 1B). We also tested other cutoffs of 0.2 and 0.4, and similar results were obtained (Supplementary materials, Figure S2). When DCLs were classified into three types, mRNA-lncRNA, mRNA-mRNA and lncRNA-lncRNA, this result still held, suggesting a widespread alteration of gene relationships in the transcriptome of ESCC.

### Comparison of regulatory network

We hypothesized that the dysregulation of TFs may be one of the important causes for transcriptome alteration. To prove the hypothesis, we used the 3,709 DCmRNAs to build normal and ESCC regulatory networks (see “Materials and methods” section). The regulated links could represent the causal influences in the network. By comparing the difference of the two regulatory networks, 4,442 and 3,492 regulated links were observed in the normal and ESCC conditions. The changes of the regulation efficacy were employed to screen the TF-target pairs, and 2,208 DRLs contributed by 37 TFs were identified. We found that seven of top 10 DRMAs that had the largest DR values (Supplementary materials, Table S2) were TFs, and these TFs regulated nearly half of DRLs. Among them, TCF3, TP53, MYB and JUN were cancer-related genes in the database of Cancer Gene Census.27 Next, DRLs were divided into five types according to the changes of the regulation efficacy in the tumor compared with the normal cells, “loss-of-regulation” (42.66%), “gain-of-regulation” (24%), “reverse-of-regulation” (20.11%), “weaken-of-regulation” (9.15%) and “strengthen-of-regulation” (4.08%) (Figure 2A). This result was consistent with our differential co-expression analysis that most DCLs belonged to the loss-of-association type.

Then, the GO term functional enrichment analysis was separately performed for TF-target pairs in the five types of DRLs. We found that the five types were all significantly enriched in positive regulation of biosynthetic and metabolic processes and transcription (Supplementary materials, Table S3), and some biological functions were specific to each type (Figure 2B). Interestingly, 20.11% of reverse-of-regulation DRLs had the most significant GO terms, and they were enriched in both positive and negative regulation of biosynthetic and metabolic process and transcription, suggesting their capacity of bi-directional regulation. The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed that the reverse-of-regulation DRLs significantly enriched in Wnt signaling pathway, which was proved to be associated with the ESCC progression and metastasis.33,45–47 Our results demonstrated that the alterations
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**Figure 1** The presentation of the DCLs. The expression profile was used for differential co-expression analysis to identify DCLs. (A) The cartoon sketch presented the association types between genes, and the DCLs were grouped into three different types. (B) The percentages of three types of DCLs. The loss-of-association DCLs was the predominant type.

**Abbreviations:** DCLs, differentially co-expressed links; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; lncRNA, long non-coding RNA.
of gene-to-gene relationships in the ESCC may be mediated by the dysregulation of TFs to some extent.

**Identified novel lncRNAs associated with the overall survival of ESCC patients**

lncRNAs carry out the regulatory functions based on their complex structures which are convenient for binding proteins, RNA, DNA, and closely associate with the progression of disease that have been widely regarded as biomarkers. In order to investigate whether the DClncRNAs could become prognostic indicators for the survival of ESCC patients, differential co-expression method identified 923 DClncRNAs, which served as the initial prognostic candidates. In order to narrow down the DClncRNAs, a total of 820 cancer-related lncRNAs were found through differential co-expression with cancer genes, drug targets and ESCC genes, simultaneously (Figure 3).

**Figure 2** Comprehensive analysis of the distribution and function of DRLs. The normal and tumor regulatory networks were constructed for the DcmRNAs. With the comparison of the two regulatory networks, 2,208 DRLs were identified. (A) The proportions of the five different types of DRLs. (B) Functional enrichment analysis for each type of DRLs (Benjamin adjust P-value <0.01).

**Abbreviations:** DcmRNAs, differentially co-expressed mRNAs; DRLs, differentially regulated links; GO, gene ontology.
Figure 3 An integrative pipeline for transcriptome-wide identification of prognostic lncRNAs. (A) Differential co-expression analysis identified 923 DCincRNAs by using the mRNA and lncRNA expression profile. Part of differential co-expression network is shown in the plot. The green triangles are the DCincRNAs, the purple triangles are IncRNAs and the purple ellipses are mRNAs. (B) A total of 820 cancer-related IncRNAs were found through differential co-expression with cancer genes, drug targets and ESCC genes. (C) Differential expression analysis was performed to narrow down the candidate IncRNAs. The fold changes of top 10 IncRNAs are presented in the plot. (D) The 5-fold cross-validation was used to identify the optimal combination for predicting the survival of ESCC patients. K-means algorithm and Kaplan–Meier survival analysis were used to calculate the prognostic accuracies of 1,023 combinations. The highest prognostic accuracies of 1–10 IncRNA combinations and the prognostic accuracies of 45 2-IncRNA combinations are shown in the plot.

Abbreviations: DCincRNAs, differentially co-expressed IncRNAs; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; IncRNA, long non-coding RNA.
The top 10 lncRNAs in terms of their fold change were selected for the cross-validation analysis. A two-lncRNA combination, ADAMTS9-AS1 (ENSG00000241158.5) and AP000696.2 (ENSG00000231324.1), was identified as the optimal combination for predicting the survival of ESCC patients (Figure 3).

The expression of the two lncRNAs categorized 119 ESCC patients into high-risk and low-risk groups (log rank test, $P$-value = 1.28E-2) (Figure 3A). Among them, 42 patients were identified as belonging to the “high-risk” group, in which less than 24% living people were observed and the median of overall survival time was 22.92 months. By contrast, 77 patients were grouped as belonging to the “low-risk” group, in which 47% living people were observed and the median of overall survival time was 48.77 months. Next, we verified the prediction power of the two lncRNAs in an independent dataset of 60 patients. The patients were classified into the high-risk (19) and low-risk groups (41) with significantly different survival time (log rank test, $P$-value = 2.56E-2) (Figure 4B). With a similar result, there were 26% living patients in the high-risk group and the median survival time was 16 months, and 54% living patients in the low-risk group and the median survival time was 48 months. CYFRA21-1 and CEA, two traditional tumor markers, have been used for diagnosis of ESCC. High CYFRA21-1 level in patients is associated with poor prognosis. CEA is significantly associated with overall 5-year survival in ESCC. Then, we compared our lncRNA biomarkers with the traditional tumor markers CYFRA21-1 and CEA. The result showed that our lncRNA markers had a higher power to predict the survival of human ESCC patients (Figure 5).

mRNAs differentially co-expressed with the two-lncRNA biomarker

ADAMTS9-AS1 and AP000696.2 were two novel lncRNAs without any functional annotations, except another ADAMTS9 antisense transcript. ADAMTS9-AS2 could regulate the expression level of tumor suppressor ADAMTS9, and its overexpression resulted in significant inhibition of glioma cell migration. Furthermore, the starBase showed that ADAMTS9-AS1 interacted with two RNA-binding protein genes, DCGR8 and FUS. lncRNAs exert regulatory function in cancer biology mainly through their relationships with RNA-binding proteins. H19, HOTAIR, MALAT1 and HOTTIP are essential in many biological events for cell proliferation and differentiation, apoptosis and tumorigenesis via their impact on RNA-binding protein in HCC. Therefore, we proposed that ADAMTS9-AS1 might be functional in the development of cancer. To investigate the potential function of ADAMTS9-AS1 and AP000696.2 in ESCC, GO enrichment was performed to analyze the mRNAs that were differentially co-expressed with them. We got 1,139 mRNAs that were differentially co-expressed with ADAMTS9-AS1 or AP000696.2, in which 1,056 mRNAs lost associations and 86 mRNAs gained associations with the two lncRNAs. First,
we performed the GO enrichment analysis for these mRNAs. The result indicated that they were significantly enriched in the biological process of ectoderm development and epidermis development (adjust P-value <0.01). The epidermis, which is formed by ectoderm, is the outermost layer of the skin, and protects the body from environmental insults. The development of the ectoderm and epidermis are two crucial functions in the progression of ESCC and hence the two novel lncRNAs might be very important in the development of the ESCC.

Second, we constructed the regulatory network for the high-risk and low-risk groups, which were classified by our two identified novel prognostic lncRNAs, respectively, and then compared with the normal regulatory network one by one. The results revealed that the changes of TF-target pairs between the normal and the low-risk group were smaller than those between the normal and the high-risk group (Wilcoxon test, P-value =2.15E-2) (Supplementary materials, Figure S3). This large number of dysregulation might contribute to the poor prognosis of the high-risk group.

Third, we wondered that if the mRNAs differentially co-expressed with the two prognostic lncRNAs also had the capacity of predicting the survival outcome of ESCC patients.
To test this, we used the HR and survival analysis to screen the DCmRNAs from the 1,139 mRNAs (see “Materials and methods” section). Finally we identified four mRNAs, ERBB3, ENSA, KCNK7 and MFSD5, that could significantly divide the patients into high-risk and low-risk groups both in the training and testing datasets (Supplementary materials, Figure S4 and Supplementary materials, Table S4). These four mRNAs were all signal transduction-related genes.

Discussion

In this study, differential co-expression analysis of ESCC expression profiles was applied to analyze the mechanism of transcriptome alteration and identify the novel prognostic lncRNAs. We firstly proposed three different types of DCLs among the DCGs, “loss-of-association”, “gain-of-association” and “reverse-of-association”, and found that most DCLs belonged to the loss-of-association type. Considering the importance of DCmRNAs in ESCC, we constructed the normal and ESCC regulatory networks for the DCmRNAs to explore the alteration of gene co-expression caused by dysfunctional regulation of TFs. By comparison of two networks, we found that 37 TFs contributed to the all DRLs, in which the predominant type was the “loss-of-regulation”.

Nearly half of the DRLs were concerned by seven TFs in the top 10 DRGs, in which TCF3 and TP53 were very meaningful and worthy to notice as they contributed to more than a quarter of loss-of-regulation DRLs. TCF3 was the TF that had the highest DR value and the most DRLs in all DCmRNAs, and most of the DRLs regulated by TCF3 were loss-of-regulation type. It has been reported that TCF3 is a transcriptional repressor and plays the key role in cell fate, and its overexpression could block epithelial differentiation.\(^{56,57}\) TP53 was ranked the third one among the DRGs. In all, 76.92% of TP53-DRLs belonged to the loss-of-regulation, and none of the other TFs showed such obvious preference. The multifunctional TF TP53 was involved in the carcinogenesis of various malignancies, and is frequently mutated in >50% of human cancers.\(^{58-60}\) A lot of TP53 mutants can lead to the loss of its DNA-binding activity and affect its role as a TF.\(^{58-61}\)

lncRNAs can be dysregulated in tumor progression\(^{62,63}\) and involved in tumorigenesis, invasion and metastasis.\(^{12,14}\) However, their potential as diagnostic and prognostic markers is less explored. In our study, we found and validated that a two-lncRNA combination (ADAMTS9-AS1 or AP000696.2) was the most optimal predictor of survival in ESCC, which significantly classified 42 and 77 patients into high-risk and low-risk groups with totally different survival times. The variance of TF-target regulation between the high- and low-risk groups indicated that transcriptional regulation might be altered with the deterioration of cancer. In addition, we found that our two-lncRNA combination had stronger predictive power than the known clinical markers, CYFRA21-1 and CEA, suggesting that it might be a potential clinical indicator. Functional enrichment analysis of the mRNAs differentially co-expressed with them suggested that they might be associated with the biological process of the development of the ectoderm and epithelial cells. Moreover, the four mRNAs (ERBB3,\(^{64,65}\) ENSA,\(^{46}\) KCNK7,\(^{66}\) MFSD5\(^{68,69}\)), involved in signal transductions and differentially co-expressed with ADAMTS9-AS1 or AP000696.2, could be regarded as predictors of survival outcomes in ESCC. This result provided another evidence for the rationality of the two novel lncRNAs. The original study of GSE53624 revealed a prognosis-related three-lncRNA signature, which classified the patients into two groups with significantly different overall survival. However, the machine learning method they used could not fully explain the underlying biological regulation mechanism, whereas our differential co-expression analysis method not only identified a more economical biomarker (a two-lncRNA combination) with similar prognostic capacity, but also provided an opportunity to investigate the possible functional role of the identified lncRNAs through the DCmRNAs.

Limitations

In summary, our study comprehensively analyzed the transcriptomes of ESCC. By using the differential co-expression method, we investigated the mechanism of abnormal regulation of mRNAs and lncRNAs, and identified a novel combination of two lncRNAs for predicting the survival of ESCC patients. There are limitations in our study. First, the functions of the two lncRNAs in tumorigenesis were still unknown, even though the potential biological processes had been inferred. Second, although the predictive ability of the two-lncRNAs signature was verified in the independent dataset, the sample size was limited. And it still needs experimental studies like RT-PCR, clinical trials and functional verification in the future. But computational investigation of functional lncRNAs is helpful to guide further experimental studies on lncRNAs. Our results may provide important resources for the future ESCC researches.
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