Use of PET/CT to detect local and regional laryngeal cancer recurrence after surgery
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Background: Laryngeal cancer is the second most common cancer of the head and neck after cancer of the oral cavity. The primary causes of death in cases of laryngeal cancer are the recurrence of locoregional disease and distant metastasis. Anatomic and tissue alterations resulting from surgery and/or radiotherapy of primary laryngeal tumors can make it difficult to determine a locoregional recurrence or residual disease by physical examination or computed tomography (CT)/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The majority of studies have shown a high accuracy in the detection of local and regional recurrence of head and neck cancer after different treatment modalities, using fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET)/CT.

Aim: To determine the diagnostic accuracy of PET/CT in patients with suspicion of locoregional recurrence from laryngeal carcinoma after surgery with or without adjuvant radiotherapy.

Materials and methods: This was a retrospective study. Forty-five patients who previously underwent surgical treatment with or without adjuvant radiotherapy for primary laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma and who underwent examination using FDG-PET/CT imaging after clinical and instrumental (CT/MRI) suspicion of locoregional recurrence (T or N) were recruited.

Results: Overall specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy of PET/CT were found to be 88%, 100%, and 93.3%, respectively. With respect to the suspected cases of recurrence in the primary site, sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of PET/CT were found to be 100%, 87.5%, and 91.6%, respectively. In patients with suspected metastatic neck disease, PET/CT revealed a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 100%, 90%, and 95.4%, respectively.

Conclusion: According to the results of this study, PET/CT imaging in laryngeal tumors is a useful tool in case of suspected locoregional recurrence where conventional imaging (CT and MRI) is unable to resolve the diagnostic doubt.
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Introduction

Laryngeal cancer is the second most common cancer of the head and neck, after cancer of the oral cavity; it is the sixth most common cancer worldwide and constitutes 28% of the cancers of the aerodigestive tract. The majority of these tumors are squamous cell carcinoma.¹

In the last 30 years, progress in the treatment of head and neck cancer has improved the quality of life of these patients through the use of more innovative surgical techniques and multimodal treatments aimed at organ preservation.²³ However, the survival of patients, especially with advanced disease, has not improved. The primary causes...
of death are represented by the recurrence of locoregional disease and distant metastasis.4

Anatomic and tissue alterations resulting from surgery with or without radiotherapy in laryngeal tumors can make it difficult to determine locoregional recurrence or residual disease with physical examination or computed tomography (CT)/magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).5,6 Moreover, after primary therapy, it is important to accurately identify early recurrence, as salvage treatment is less successful once the disease reaches the advanced stages.7,8

Positron emission tomography (PET) has recently demonstrated high reliability in pretreatment staging, radiotherapy planning, and evaluation of the response to chemo/radiotherapy treatment of head and neck tumors.9,10 PET/CT imaging is based on tumor glucose metabolism and serves as a marker to detect metabolic activity of the tumor. Combining PET with CT provides useful functional and anatomical information.11

The majority of the studies have shown a high accuracy in the detection of local and regional recurrence of head and neck cancer after different treatment modalities using fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET/CT.8,12,13 However, to our knowledge, studies that consider specific locations and specific treatments are limited.

Different modalities of treatment for different sites may yield different clinical problems and difficulties in detecting recurrent disease. In laryngeal tumors, studies until now were chiefly concerned with the diagnostic accuracy of PET/CT and its use in staging pretreatment; however, there are a limited number of studies with respect to the use of PET/CT in the evaluation of the response after treatment, in particular, chemo/radiotherapy or radiotherapy.14,15 The small number of studies about PET use in the diagnosis of recurrent laryngeal tumors can be attributed to the fact that PET is not included in the guidelines regarding imaging examinations to be performed during follow-up. Indeed, there is no widely accepted protocol for the use of PET/CT in the surveillance of head and neck cancer.16

Therefore, in this study, we aimed to determine the diagnostic accuracy of PET/CT in patients with suspicion of locoregional recurrence from laryngeal carcinoma after surgery.

Materials and methods

Patients

This is a retrospective study conducted on patients who underwent surgical treatment with or without adjuvant radiotherapy for laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma and who underwent PET/CT imaging from January 2010 to January 2012. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of “Magna Graecia” University of Catanzaro and Cannizzaro Hospital of Catania, Italy. Patient’s informed consent was not required by both IRBs, but all patients provided written informed consent for their medical information to be used anonymously for research and educational purposes, and for their images to be published.

Patients who underwent FDG-PET/CT imaging during their follow-up visit due to clinical and instrumental (CT/MRI) suspicion of locoregional recurrence (T or N) and those with at least 6 months of follow-up after PET/CT imaging were included in this study.

The disease stage in all patients was clinically assessed according to the seventh edition of the TNM classification established by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC).17

All patients, after the clinical evaluation performed at about 1 month after the surgery, were regularly followed for every 3 months by clinical examination, fiber endoscopy, and ultrasonography, and CT every 6 months. A true-positive finding was confirmed when the PET/CT image was reputed as a malignant lesion and the patient was found to have a local recurrence or a neck metastatic disease based on biopsy or surgical and histopathological results.

False-positive findings occurred when the PET/CT image was positive as malignant disease, but the patient was found to have negative histopathology.

A true-negative finding occurred when PET/CT was reputed as negative for malignant disease and the patient showed negative histopathology or stable clinical outcome over a minimum follow-up period of 6 months. A false-negative finding occurred when the PET/CT image was negative for malignant disease and the patient had persistent and progressive signs of disease over a minimum follow-up period of 6 months.

The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of PET/CT imaging method for assessing recurrence were evaluated. Statistical analysis was performed using MedCalc software.

PET/CT procedure

PET/CT measurements without contrast were performed on a time-of-flight Discovery 690 scanner (General Electric Medical Systems). Patients who fasted for 6 h before PET/CT studies were evaluated, and only patients with a serum glucose level less than 200 mg/dL were intravenously injected with 18F-FDG (37 MBq/10 kg body weight), in accordance with the guidelines of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM).18 The weight of each patient
was measured on the same scale on the day of the PET/CT imaging. For each patient, the preinjection and residual activities were assayed in a dose calibrator to determine the actual administered activity. Static PET/CT acquisition started 50–60 min after radiotracer injection according to the above-cited recommendations. Patients were positioned with their arms raised and were allowed to breathe normally during PET/CT examinations. All studies were performed from the top of the skull to the middle of the thigh, which included a scout scan at 10 mA, a CT scan (120 kVp, 50–120 mA), and a 3D whole-body PET scan (2 min/bed position). PET images were reconstructed using the ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) algorithm optimized for time-of-flight and with corrections for random, scatter, and attenuation. For each bed position, the CT volume consisted of 512×512 voxels of 1.36×1.36×3.75 mm³ size, whereas the PET image volume consisted of 256×256 voxels of 2.73×2.73×3.27 mm³ size.

PET, CT, and fused PET/CT images were reviewed in axial, coronal, and sagittal planes. To view the image, PET and CT datasets were transferred to an independent computer workstation by Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) transfer. Semiquantitative and volumetric analyses of the primary tumors were performed by 2 physicians with expertise in nuclear medicine using the volume viewer software on a GE Advantage Workstation 4.6 (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA).

All examinations were reviewed by 2 blinded specialists in head and neck nuclear medicine (MI and ST) with 10 years of experience in reading whole-body images of patients with head and neck cancer. Specific standardized uptake value thresholds were not applied in this study because of the lack of correlation between standard uptake value (SUV) cutoff and diagnostic accuracy of PET/CT.¹⁹

PET/CT images were reported as positive or concerning malignant neoplasm, using a visual and semiquantitative analysis, if the findings met the following criteria: 1) soft tissue or lymph nodes with subjectively increased FDG avidity that could not be attributed to normal or altered physiologic uptake; 2) nodes were also considered malignant if their longest axial diameter was >15 mm for levels I and II or >10 mm for levels III–V, if they appeared spherical (rather than flat or bean-like) in shape or showed rim enhancement with central necrosis or cystic degeneration, and if they were abnormally grouped.²⁰ Of these 24 patients, PET/CT examination was 9±6.2 months (range: 6–27 months). In 24 out of 45 cases (53.3%), the survey was performed for a suspected recurrence of T, whereas in 21 out of 45 cases (46.6%), the survey was performed for suspicion of N.

In 24 patients with suspected recurrence of T, there were 12 cases of SCL, 2 cases of SGL, 9 cases of TL, and 1 case of THP.

In 10 of these 24 patients, PET/CT examination was positive, and the cases were submitted for biopsy. Histological examination of the biotical material revealed the presence of squamous cell carcinoma in 8 cases and granulation tissue in 2 cases (1 TL and 1 SCL). The remaining 14 patients who were negative at PET/CT where negative to biopsy and did not currently show further signs of recurrence at the primary site. In Figure 1, we report a case of T recurrence after a SGL that involved the right true and false vocal cord.

In 21 patients with suspected metastatic neck disease, 15 were previously submitted for bilateral (8 cases) or unilateral (7 cases) neck dissection and 5 of them had undergone...
of progression of neck disease. All patients had a histological diagnosis within 10 days of the PET/CT imaging execution.

In all the 45 patients, PET/CT revealed a specificity, sensitivity, and accuracy of 88%, 100%, and 93.3%, respectively.

Analyzing the cases of suspected recurrence in primary site separately, PET/CT revealed a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 100%, 87.5%, and 91.6%, respectively.

In patients with suspected metastatic neck disease, PET/CT revealed a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 100%, 90%, and 95.4%, respectively (Table 2). However, we must consider that, in these cases, the suspected metastatic recurrence in 15 of the 21 cases involved a side or a neck not previously treated.

### Discussion

In literature, there are studies regarding the use of PET/CT imaging in laryngeal tumors, which primarily concern its utility in pretreatment staging for the determination of laterocervical lymph nodes to optimize the indication of neck dissection.22 There are also a limited number of studies about its use for the evaluation of radiotherapy response or disease recurrence after radiotherapy or chemoradiation treatment.23

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the reliability of PET/CT in the diagnosis of suspected local recurrence or lymph node in patients with laryngeal cancer previously treated with open surgery. In these patients, the anatomical and structural disturbance is greater than those who underwent conservative endoscopic surgery or radiotherapy or chemo/radiotherapy. The presence of fibrosis and edema and the asymmetry of the soft tissues and residual anatomic structures make it difficult to diagnose the recurrence. In our study, PET/CT revealed a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 100%, 88%, and 93.3%, respectively.

Oe et al, in a study of 28 patients who underwent different treatment modalities for laryngeal cancer, reported a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in the diagnosis of locoregional recurrence of 93.75%, 91.67%, and 92.86%, respectively.24 Robin et al, in a study of patients with laryngeal cancer undergoing different treatment modalities

---

**Table 1** Demographic and clinicopathological patient data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total patients N = 45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median ± SD</td>
<td>64 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>42–79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>T1b</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supraglottic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glottic</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transglottic</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N classification</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T treatment</th>
<th>SCL</th>
<th>SGL</th>
<th>TL</th>
<th>THP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N treatment</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>SCL monolateral</th>
<th>SCL bilateral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiotherapy</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; SCL, supraccricoid laryngectomy; SGL, supraglottic laryngectomy; TL, total laryngectomy; THP, tracheoioidopexy.

---

adjuvant radiotherapy. In 12 of these 21 patients, PET/CT revealed signs of disease in the neck and were submitted for neck dissection (10 cases) and lymphoadenectomy (2 cases).

Histological examination of the surgical material in 11 of the 12 cases confirmed the results of PET/CT, which was lymph node metastasis of squamous cell carcinoma, and 1 case was negative. Nine patients with negative PET/CT were followed for more than 6 months and showed no signs of progression of neck disease.
in which PET/CT was performed 6 months apart, reported a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 96%, 87%, and 89%, respectively.25 Recently, Citil et al reported PET/CT sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy equal to 100% in a study of 20 patients with laryngeal cancer, wherein 10 of these patients had not yet been subjected to any treatment.26

These studies confirm the high reliability of PET/CT imaging for the diagnosis of locoregional recurrence in laryngeal carcinomas. However, these studies capture some differences that represent the dishomogeneity of the sample of patients examined in these studies. If we consider the results obtained in our study separately, depending on the site of suspected recurrence, we find that, in the assessment of local recurrence (T), sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 100%, 87.5%, and 91.5%, respectively. However, in patients with suspected metastatic disease (N), PET/CT sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 100%, 90%, and 95.4%, respectively. It is noteworthy that we have not performed the inter-observer and intra-observer variability, and this is a limitation of this study.

Previous studies regarding the use of PET/CT for laryngeal cancer staging or detection of recurrence of metastatic disease reported very different results in terms of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy.26–28 The increased reliability of PET/CT in the evaluation of nodal disease of the neck with respect to local recurrence can be attributed to the fact that, in 71.4% of cases, the site of lymph node metastases in the neck has not previously been subjected to dissection.

These data also confirm that in patients undergoing open surgery, diagnosis is highly constrained by tissue typing and anatomical abnormalities following treatment.

The introduction of PET/CT imaging in the guidelines for the follow-up of patients with laryngeal cancer is still under debate, because it is not yet proven that its use in asymptomatic patients gives an advantage in terms of survival.29

However, PET/CT outlines its increasingly important role in patients who show signs of relapse during follow-up.

**Conclusion**

According to our results, PET/CT imaging in patients with laryngeal tumors was found to be a useful tool in cases of suspected locoregional recurrence where conventional imaging (CT and MRI) was unable to resolve the diagnostic doubt.
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