Clinical and serological characteristics of Ecuadorian patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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Background: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease, wherein late diagnosis and treatment leads to deformities and disability.

Objective: The aim of the study was to assess and describe the clinical and immunological characteristics, activity status of the disease, and functional capacity in a cohort of Ecuadorian patients with RA.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional, descriptive study conducted on a population of patients with prediagnosed RA from public and private Ecuadorian rheumatology clinics. This study investigated 400 patients with a mean age of 50 years, 353 (82.25%) of which were female.

Results: The study showed that 44.3%, 83.5%, 60.3%, 41.8%, 37.5%, and 11.5% had an acute onset of the disease, symmetrical polyarthritis, morning stiffness exceeding 1 h, dry eyes, dry mouth, and rheumatoid nodules, respectively. A total of 89.7% presented with positive rheumatoid factor, and 96.5% were anti-citrullinated protein antibody positive.

Conclusion: This is the largest Ecuadorian cohort of patients with RA; clinical features are similar to those of other Latin American populations.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune, progressive, incapacitating, and multifactorial inflammatory disease. It most typically presents with bilateral and symmetrical polyarthritis, which causes joint pain and deformity, resulting in disability. Its extra-articular manifestations are heterogeneous and cover a wide range of affected organs; these manifestations are associated with a less favorable prognosis.1

Its chronic course is a cause of increased morbidity/mortality, resulting in the deterioration in the patient's quality of life.

Some factors, such as presence of rheumatoid factor, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibodies, high disease activity, delay in consulting a specialist doctor, and therefore late diagnosis, have been considered representative of an unfavorable prognosis.2

Diagnosis is clinical, based on the RA classification criteria established by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) in 1987, which were updated in 2010.3

Detailed assessment of patients with suspected RA is essential to confirm its diagnosis, monitor the patient, and provide a prognosis for the course of the disease. This includes the number of painful and swollen joints, assessment of the disease activity and pain using visual scales, assessment of functional capacity through approved...
questionnaires, assessment of acute phase reactants such as C-reactive protein (CRP), assessment of globular sedimentation rate, and analysis of structural damage through X-ray images of the hands.4

The progress of the disease can cause deformity and disability in patients with RA, which has become a public health problem principally in Latin America, where RA is becoming more and more frequent.5

The aim of the study is to assess and describe the clinical and immunological characteristics, activity status of the disease, and functional capacity in a cohort of Ecuadorian patients with RA.

Patients and methods
A cross-sectional, descriptive study was conducted on a population of Ecuadorian patients with a preexisting diagnosis of RA in accordance with the ACR 1987 classification criteria from public and private rheumatology clinics in the cities of Quito, Guayaquil, Manta, and Portoviejo.6

The patients signed an informed consent form, which reflects the approval of the clinical study according to article 361 of the Political Constitution of the Republic of Ecuador, article 7 of the Organic Health Law, and article 15-16 of the Code of Medical Ethics of Ecuador. The study was approved by the Ethics and Teaching Committee of the Centro de Reumatología y Rehabilitación (CERER) with a registration number: No.001/2015; Folio 01: Book of Acts No.1. Patient anonymity of data was respected throughout the entire investigative process, and it was explained to the participants that the data collected would be used solely for the study.

A database was created to collect patient information, including the following variables: demographic data, clinical manifestations, comorbidities, habits, and treatment. To stratify disease activity, the Disease Activity Score-28 with C-reactive protein (DAS-28-CRP) was used, the number of painful joints among 28 joints was assessed, and visual analog scale (VAS) of pain was completed by the patient. The DAS-28-CRP was calculated by using the DAS score program version 1.1.7 Depending on the values obtained, the patient was classified as in remission (score lower than 2.6), with low activity (2.6–3.2), with moderate activity (3.2–5.1), and with high disease activity (higher than 5.1).

The Spanish version of the Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index (HAQ-DI), approved in 1993, was used to assess the patient’s functional capacity and establish their degree of disability. This questionnaire has eight categories and a score between 0 and 3. Based on the degree of difficulty a patient presents in each activity, a score of more than 1.25 is considered as disability, and a score of 3 as severe disability.7 The questionnaire was completed by the patients in their native language (Spanish).

The data was analyzed in the SPSS V.22 statistical program (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA), which was used to calculate measures of central tendency (mean) for quantitative variables and descriptive statistical measures, such as absolute and relative frequencies, for qualitative variables; also, the odds ratio (confidence interval: 95%) with Pearson correlation and Fisher correction in the study group was calculated. Values with two-tailed p<0.001 were considered statistically significant.

Results
We investigated 400 patients, of whom 353 and 47 (11.75%) were females and males, respectively. The mean age was 50 years (18–90 years).

A total of 93.75% (375), 3.5% (14), 1.5% (6), and 1.25% (5) of the patients were mixed race, white, and indigenous Afro-Ecuadorian, respectively.

Depending on the health system the patients came from, 32% (129), 23% (92), and 45% (179) received private, state, and mixed care (hospital and private care), respectively.

The study showed that 55.75% (223), 15.5% (62), 12% (48), 8.5% (34), 8.25% (33) were married, single, widowed, divorced, and cohabiting, respectively (Table 1).

The mean age of onset of the disease was 41 years (10–89 years), and the mean time taken before the first appointment with the specialist was 29 months (0–332 months), with a mean monitoring time of 52 months (0–504 months).

Depending on the place of the origin sector, 11.75% (47) and 88.25% (353) were from the city and country areas, respectively.

With regard to occupational activities, 53.5% (214), 40% (160), 6% (24), and 0.5% (2) were performing domestic chores, working in different fields, unemployed, and students, respectively.

The study also showed that 9.5% (38), 7.5% (30), 0.5% (2) were active smokers, alcoholic beverage drinkers, and taking illegal/illicit drugs, respectively.

Depending on the characteristics of the disease, 44.3% (177) started with an acute onset, 55.5% (222) started gradually, 83.5% (334) presented symmetrical polyarthritis, 11.8% (47) asymmetrical polyarthritis, 5.3% (21) oligoarthritis, and 0.3% (1) monoarthritis.

Depending on the symptomatology, 60.3% (241), 48.5% (194), 41.8% (167), 37.5% (150), 22.8% (91), 35.5% (142), 11.5% (46), 3.3% (13), and 30.8% (123) reported morning
stiffness lasting more than 1 h, fatigue, dry eyes, dry mouth, fever, myalgias, rheumatoid nodules, Raynaud’s phenomenon, and weight loss, respectively.

The study group’s comorbidities were hypertension, gastric disease, diabetes, hypothyroidism, allergies, and neoplasia, accounting for: 23.8% (95), 28.5% (114), 23.8% (95), 15.3% (61), 10.5% (2), and 1.3% (5), respectively (Figure 1).

Disease activity score, calculated with the DAS-28-CRP, had a mean value of 3.37 (0.67–7.59) (Figure 2).

Based on these results, 35.5% (142) were in remission, 16.8% (67) had low disease activity, 32.8% (131) had moderate activity, and 15% (60) had high activity. The mean number of painful and swollen joints was approximately 5 (0–28) and 4 (0–28), respectively.

The mean VAS reported by the patients was 3.9 (0–10), whereas the VAS measured by the doctor was 3.4 (0–10).

The mean HAQ-DI disability questionnaire score was 0.8 (0–3), and 66% (264) of the study population had no disability, whereas 34% (136) experienced some degree of disability, with 27% (108) and 7% (28) being functional and severe, respectively (Figure 3).

Laboratory results showed that 8.3% (33), 6% (24), 2.3% (9), 5.3% (21), 89.7% (270/301), and 96.5% (193/200) of the study population had leukocytosis, thrombocytosis, thrombocytopenia, anemia, positive rheumatoid factor, and positive anti-CCP, respectively.

Depending on the treatment, 94.75% (379), 18% (72), 78.3% (313), 4.3% (17), 7.8% (31), 73.5% (294), and 66.5% (266) were administered disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARD), antimalarials, methotrexate, sulfasalazine, leflunomide, corticoids, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, respectively.

Of the total population, 12.5% (50) were administered biologic drugs: 4.7% (19) etanercept, 2.75% (11)

Table 1 General characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic details</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (range), years</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>88.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>93.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afro-Ecuadorian</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>55.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>88.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not working</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemakers</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>53.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial diagnosis and monitoring of the patient, months (range)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset of the disease</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time before appointment with the specialist</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0–332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring time</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0–504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Comorbidities of patients with RA.

Abbreviation: RA, rheumatoid arthritis.
tocilizumab, 2% (8) rituximab, 1.75% (7) adalimumab, and 1.3% (5) Infliximab.

Discussion

RA is a chronic systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease of unknown etiology that most frequently affects females in the reproductive age. It is characterized by polyarticular inflammation of the small and large joints, with systemic involvement.1

The symptomatology and serology of this disease vary between different countries. In Ecuador, no epidemiological studies or data described the clinical and serological characteristics of Ecuadorian patients with RA.

The results obtained from this sample of Ecuadorian patients with RA show data similar to those obtained from the Latin American patient cohort, with early RA in the GLADAR (Grupo Latino Americano de Estudio de Artritis Reumatoidea [Latin American Rheumatoid Arthritis Study Group]) study in 2006,7 wherein 51 Ecuadorian patients also participated among patients from other countries.

In both studies, RA most frequently affected females (88% Ecuador vs 85% GLADAR). The mean age for onset of the disease was in the fourth decade (41 years for Ecuador vs 46 years for GLADAR). The disease presented in the majority of patients as symmetrical polyarthritis (84% Ecuador vs 95% GLADAR). Fatigue presented similar values in both studies (49% Ecuador vs 43% GLADAR) for the manifestations, whereas some differences were observed for other manifestations, perhaps due to the monitoring time of these patients (52 weeks); for example, the presence of Sicca syndrome was more prevalent in the Ecuadorian population compared with that in the GLADAR study (42% Ecuador vs 14% GLADAR), as were myalgias (36% Ecuador vs 11% GLADAR) and rheumatoid nodules (12% Ecuador vs 6% GLADAR).

The frequency of rheumatoid factor in the Ecuadorian population was higher than that in the GLADAR group (90% Ecuador vs 76% GLADAR), which might be because this study only included patients with a preexisting diagnosis of RA. Finally, the results for administering disease-modifying drugs were similar in both population groups (95% Ecuador vs 92% GLADAR), with methotrexate as the most common drug used for treatment.9,10

In Argentina, two studies have been conducted investigating the prevalence of RA. Spindler et al11 studied a cohort of 695 patients with RA, wherein 86% were females, a value similar to this study (88% Ecuador vs 86% Argentina), with a mean age of 45 years at diagnosis (41 Ecuador vs 45 Argentina), with a total prevalence of 1.97 per 1,000 (95% CI, 1.8–2).

Soriano et al12 also had similar findings in their studies of RA in the Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires (Buenos Aires Italian Hospital), where they also studied the incidence rate, which was 2.4 per 10,000 people/yr; RA was higher in females (3.3 per 10,000 people/yr) than in males (1.1 per 10,000 people/yr).

Remembering that the prognosis for this disease depends first on its early diagnosis and treatment is important. Houssien et al8 showed that patients being referred to a rheumatology clinic within the first year after the onset of symptoms had improved functional capacity.5 They, and other studies, have shown the importance of administering early treatment in patients with RA and concur that a delay of 3 months in starting an appropriate treatment decreases the chances of remission from arthritis.13

In our population, the delay in obtaining an appointment with the specialist was 29 months. Van der Linden14 et al studied the relationship between the total delay from the
onset of symptoms to an appointment with the rheumatologist (including delays on the patient’s part, accessing primary health care, and providing care on the rheumatologist’s part), joint damage, and the probability of achieving remission. They found that 69% of the patients with RA were provided care after 12 weeks or more; this was linked with a hazard ratio of 1.87 of not achieving remission free of DMARD and 1.3 more chance of greater joint damage in 6 years compared with the group who were provided care within the first 12 weeks.

Guevera et al15 studied a cohort of 52 patients with a preexisting diagnosis of RA with mean time of 10.9 years affected by the disease; 82.7% of the patients were anti-CCP positive, and 82.7% were positive for rheumatoid factor.

Corominas et al16 analyzed the diagnostic and therapeutic delay in RA and its relationship with health care departments in Catalunya. He found that the mean time from the onset of symptoms to the prescription of a disease-modifying drug in patients with RA was 11 months and that those patients who visited health care departments, such as clinics specializing in primary health care and arthritis, were treated sooner with disease-modifying drugs.

Another study with similar results is the ESORDIG study conducted in Greece, which concludes that an early appointment with the rheumatologist and combined therapy with DMARDs are linked with a better prognosis for the disease.

Results similar to those in our study were obtained in Raza et al’s study. They studied 482 patients with RA from 10 centers and obtained a mean delay of 24 weeks from the onset of symptoms to an appointment with a rheumatologist. They concluded that despite some centers attempting to minimize the delays, more intervention is required to ensure early treatment.

In 2005, a Peruvian study describing the epidemiological aspects of RA in patients in a Rheumatology Department at the Hospital Nacional Guillermo Almenara Irigoyen de Salud (Guillermo Almenara Irigoyen National Hospital of Health) in Lima identified 661 patients with RA not using biologic drugs, with a mean age of 44.5; 91.68% were positive for rheumatoid factor.

Guevera et al15 studied a cohort of 52 patients with a preexisting diagnosis of RA with mean time of 10.9 years affected by the disease; 82.7% of the patients were anti-CCP positive, and 82.7% were positive for rheumatoid factor.

Corominas et al16 analyzed the diagnostic and therapeutic delay in RA and its relationship with health care departments in Catalunya. He found that the mean time from the onset of symptoms to the prescription of a disease-modifying drug in patients with RA was 11 months and that those patients who visited health care departments, such as clinics specializing in primary health care and arthritis, were treated sooner with disease-modifying drugs.
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