Anatomical study of middle cluneal nerve entrapment
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Object: Entrapment of the middle cluneal nerve (MCN) under the long posterior sacroiliac ligament (LPSL) is a possible, and underdiagnosed, cause of low-back and/or leg symptoms. To date, detailed anatomical studies of MCN entrapment are few. The purpose of this study was to ascertain, using cadavers, the relationship between the MCN and LPSL and to investigate MCN entrapment.

Methods: A total of 30 hemipelves from 20 cadaveric donors (15 female, 5 male) designated for education or research, were studied by gross anatomical dissection. The age range of the donors at death was 71–101 years with a mean of 88 years. Branches of the MCN were identified under or over the gluteus maximus fascia caudal to the posterior superior iliac spine (PSIS) and traced laterally as far as their finest ramification. Special attention was paid to the relationship between the MCN and LPSL. The distance from the branch of the MCN to the PSIS and to the midline and the diameter of the MCN were measured.

Results: A total of 64 MCN branches were identified in the 30 hemipelves. Of 64 branches, 10 (16%) penetrated the LPSL. The average cephalocaudal distance from the PSIS to where the MCN penetrated the LPSL was 28.5±11.2 mm (9.1–53.7 mm). The distance from the midline was 36.0±6.4 mm (23.5–45.2 mm). The diameter of the MCN branch traversing the LPSL averaged 1.6±0.5 mm (0.5–3.1 mm). Four of the 10 branches penetrating the LPSL had obvious constriction under the ligament.

Conclusion: This is the first anatomical study illustrating MCN entrapment. It is likely that MCN entrapment is not a rare clinical entity.
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Introduction

Sporadic reports of a relationship between the cluneal nerve and low back pain (LBP) were published in the 1950s. In 1957, Strong and Davila attempted deafferentation of the superior cluneal nerve (SCN) and/or middle cluneal nerve (MCN) in 30 LBP patients.¹ Five of these 30 patients had referred pain in a leg in the S1 or S2 area; deafferentation of the MCN yielded favorable outcomes. Strong and Davila stated the MCNs were thin and difficult to identify during surgery, but did not describe the relationship between the MCN and long posterior sacroiliac ligament (LPSL).

Following anatomical reports by Maigne et al² and by Lu et al³ that described entrapment of the most medial branch of the SCN where the nerve passes through the fascia over the iliac crest,⁴ successful surgical techniques were developed to open the fascial orifice for relief of this entrapment neuropathy.⁵⁻⁹ Trescot¹⁰ and Kuniya et al¹¹...
stated that cluneal neuralgia is not a rare clinical entity and may be underdiagnosed and should be considered as a differential diagnosis for chronic LBP or leg pain.

No reports of MCN entrapment have been available until a recent case report that described severe LBP completely alleviated by release of the MCN. In this case, the MCN was entrapped where this nerve passed under the LPSL.

The MCN comprises sensory branches of the dorsal rami of S1–S3 foramina. It travels below the posterior superior iliac spine (PSIS) in an approximately horizontal course to supply the skin overlying the posteromedial area of the buttoc. Controversy exists regarding a relationship between the MCN and LPSL. Tubbs et al reported that the MCN would be less likely to become entrapped because the MCN travels superficially to the LPSL. However, Horwitz, Grob et al, and McGrath and Zhang reported that the primary and secondary loops of the posterior sacral nerve plexus passed through or beneath the LPSL. These authors suggested that entrapment of the MCN under the ligament is a cause for LBP and peripartum pelvic pain. However, no studies have reported MCN entrapment under the LPSL. In view of the paucity of literature on this subject, we performed an anatomical study of the MCN around the LPSL with the objective of providing an accurate anatomical basis for clinical conditions involving entrapment of the nerve.

**Methods**

This anatomical study was conducted in the Department of Anatomy of Tokyo Medical University. A total of 40 usable hemipelves were obtained from 20 formalin-preserved Japanese cadavers (5 male and 15 female). The average age at death was 88 years and the age range was 71–101 years. All the cadavers were routinely fixed in formalin solution. Bilateral branches of the MCN were macroscopically explored. None of the cadavers showed evidence of previous surgical procedures or traumatic lesions to the pelvis. Cadavers were placed in the prone position. Branches of the MCN were identified under or over the gluteus maximus fascia on the caudal side of the PSIS and traced laterally as far as the finest visible ramification. Special attention was paid to the relationship between the MCN and LPSL. Because lateral branches of the dorsal L5–S4 rami anastomose to form loops dorsal to the sacrum, with each branch containing nerve fibers from adjacent dorsal rami, it was impossible to trace them individually. Therefore, the major dorsal sacral rami were dissected and traced mediadly to the dorsal sacral foramina to identify the level of origin. MCN branches were counted where they traversed over and under the LPSL. Distances from the branch of the MCN to the PSIS and midline and the diameter of the MCN were measured using a digimatic caliper (Mitsutoyo Corp., Kawasaki, Japan; Figure 1).

This anatomical study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of our institution (Tokyo Medical University No. 2843). Cadaveric donors designated for education or research were studied.

**Results**

A complete exploration of the MCN failed in the initial 10 hemipelves, therefore, data from the remaining 30 hemipelvess were analyzed for this study. A total of 64 MCN branches were identified in these 30 hemipelves (Table 1). MCN branches were composed of S1–S4 dorsal rami. The distances from these anatomical landmarks are shown in Table 2 relative to origin. The distances from the PSIS to dorsal rami traversing over or under the LPSL were ~20 mm for S1, 23 mm for S2, 34 mm for S3, and 41 mm for S4 (Table 2).

Of 30 hemipelves, 8 (specimen nos. 1, 7, 9, 11, 21, 24, 26, and 28) had one (Figure 2) and 1 (specimen no. 5) had two MCN branches traversing under the LPSL. The 10 penetrating MCN branches were lateral branches of the dorsal sacral rami, most commonly from S2 and more rarely from S1, S3, or S4 foramina. The average cephalocaudal distance from the PSIS of LPSL penetration was 23.2 mm (12.5–42.1 mm). The average distance from the midline was

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)
The MCN branch was quite thin, with an average width of 1.6 mm (1.0–2.2 mm) measured at the point where it traversed the LPSL. Four of the 10 MCN branches passing under the LPSL had marked narrowing from constriction under the LPSL (Figures 3 and 4).

Some of the penetrating MCN branches extended a thin nerve fiber that disappeared into the sacroiliac joint (SIJ). Anastomosis between the MCN and SCN was sometimes found in subcutaneous tissues of the buttock. We also found some communicating branches from the MCN to the superior gluteal nerve (SGN).

Discussion

The LPSL is a significant posterior SIJ ligamentous structure that resists shearing of the SIJ.17,18 SIJ pain has been a controversial and ill-defined subject. SIJ disorders have an imprecise etiology and are thought to cause 15%–30% of LBP and are often associated with buttock to lower extremity symptoms.19 There are no medical history, physical examination, or radiological findings consistently capable of identifying SIJ pain.20 The current gold standard for diagnosis of SIJ pain is fluoroscopically guided SIJ blocks.19 Radiofrequency ablation or blocking of the lateral branches of the dorsal sacral rami that supply the SIJ is a treatment option gaining considerable attention.21,22

Several researchers consider the LPSL to be a major pain generator of SIJ pain.16,18,23–25 Fortin and Falco20 stated that SIJ patients could localize their pain with one finger and the area pointed to was within 1 cm inferomedial to

Table 1 Spinal levels of sacral nerve roots originating MCN branches

| Specimen no. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| S1           | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| S2           | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| S3           | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| S4           | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ | □ |

Notes: ○, Cephalad branch; △, Middle branch; □, Caudal branch. Under bar (_) represents branch passing under LPSL. Black marks represent a branch with macroscopic indentation by the LPSL.

Abbreviations: MCN, middle cluneal nerve; LPSL, long posterior sacroiliac ligament.

Table 2 Measurements of MCN branches relative to origin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerve roots</th>
<th>Distance from midline (mean±SD) (mm)</th>
<th>Distance from PSIS (mean±SD) (mm)</th>
<th>Diameter of MCN (mean±SD) (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1 (n=15)</td>
<td>33.1±7.2</td>
<td>20.7±5.0</td>
<td>1.7±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2 (n=27)</td>
<td>37.0±6.1</td>
<td>23.3±7.9</td>
<td>1.7±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3 (n=17)</td>
<td>37.9±4.9</td>
<td>33.9±9.3</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4 (n=12)</td>
<td>34.6±6.7</td>
<td>41.0±10.4</td>
<td>1.3±0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MCN, middle cluneal nerve; PSIS, posterior superior iliac spine; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 2 Photograph showing MCN branches traversing over and under the LPSL on the left side in a cadaveric specimen obtained from an 88-year-old woman (specimen no. 21).

Notes: The cephalad branch (b1) originates from S1 foramen and traverses over the LPSL. The caudal branch (b2) originates from S2 foramen and enters into the LPSL (curved arrow). S1 and S2 foramina are indicated by two pink needles.

Abbreviations: MCN, middle cluneal nerve; PSIS, posterior superior iliac spine; LPSL, long posterior sacroiliac ligament.

34.5 mm (26.9–43.6 mm). The MCN branch was quite thin, with an average width of 1.6 mm (1.0–2.2 mm) measured at the point where it traversed the LPSL. Four of the 10 MCN branches passing under the LPSL had marked narrowing from constriction under the LPSL (Figures 3 and 4).

Some of the penetrating MCN branches extended a thin nerve fiber that disappeared into the sacroiliac joint (SIJ). Anastomosis between the MCN and SCN was sometimes found in subcutaneous tissues of the buttock. We also found some communicating branches from the MCN to the superior gluteal nerve (SGN).
the PSIS. Murakami et al\(^{24}\) observed positive effects from a periarticular SIJ block in 18 of 25 patients who located the primary site of their pain to within 2 cm of the PSIS. Murakami et al\(^{23}\) compared the effect of blocking injections into the intraarticular space and around the LPSL in patients fulfilling definite criteria for SIJ pain. Blocking injections around the LPSL were effective in all 25 patients, whereas intraarticular blocking injections were effective in only 9 out of 25 patients (36%). In addition, all 16 patients without pain relief after an intraarticular blocking injection reported almost complete pain relief after a blocking injection around the LPSL. In a recent anatomical report by Cox and Fortin,\(^{21}\) which attempted to clarify innervation of the SIJ by the lateral branches, the authors stated that the most lateral portion of the lateral branch of S1 was traced after it passed the lateral branches, the authors stated that the most lateral portion of the PSIS.

Figure 4 A. narrowed portion of the MCN obtained from an 81-year-old woman (specimen no. 1). Approximately 10 mm of the MCN is narrowed, reflecting the width of the LPSL.

Abbreviations: MCN, middle cluneal nerve; LPSL, long posterior sacroiliac ligament.

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

15. Horwitz TM. The anatomy of (A) the lumbosacral nerve plexus – its relation to variations of vertebral segmentation, and (B) the posterior sacral nerve plexus. *Anat Rec.* 1939;74(1):91–107.


