Ti-GO-Ag nanocomposite: the effect of content level on the antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity
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Abstract: Surface modification of titanium (Ti) implants are extensively studied in order to obtain prominent biocompatibility and antimicrobial activity, especially preventing implant-associated infection. In this study, Ti substrates surface were modified by graphene oxide (GO) thin film and silver (Ag) nanoparticles via electroplating and ultraviolet reduction methods so as to achieve this purpose. Microstructures, distribution, quantities and spectral peaks of GO and Ag loading on the Ti sheets surface were characterized. GO-Ag-Ti multiphase nanocomposite exhibited excellent antimicrobial ability and anti-adherence performance. Subsequently, morphology, membrane integrity, apoptosis and relative genes expression of bacteria incubated on the Ti samples surface were monitored to reveal the bactericidal mechanism. Additionally, the cytotoxicity of Ti substrates incorporating GO thin film and Ag nanoparticles were investigated. GO-Ag-Ti composite configuration that have outstanding antibacterial properties will provide the foundation to study bone integration in vitro and in vivo in the future.

Keywords: GO-Ag-Ti multiphase nanocomposite, microstructure and quantities, antibacterial activity, bactericidal mechanism, cytotoxicity

Introduction
Titanium (Ti) and its alloys still account for a substantial percentage of clinically used functional biomaterials in the field of biomedicine to manufacture orthopedic implants, bone screws, orthopedic joints, bone plates and dental implants1 due to their biocompatibility, good fracture toughness, relatively low elastic modulus, high strength-to-weight ratio and excellent corrosion resistance.1–3 Nevertheless, many reasons of implant failure are still associated with peri-implantitis4 and appear to be resolved. On the implant surfaces, the adsorption of the subsequent accumulation of bacteria and biomolecular pellicles are the main stimuli for inducing peri-implantitis processes.4 Though the biocompatibility of Ti and its alloys has been recognized,5 it remains difficult to carry out all the requirements, such as osseointegration, biocompatibility, mechanical properties and antibacterial ability. To achieve excellent implant biomaterials, the Ti and its alloys often need modifications, such as sandblasting processing,6 acid treatment,7 alkali treatment,8 laser etching,9 microarc oxidation10 and anodic oxidation11 and so on.

Fortunately, more and more ideas and solutions are being put into practice due to the development of novel bioactive materials and nanobiotechnology. In the research area, one of the new possibilities is based on the graphene and its derivatives, which might be used in biomedical field in biosensors, biomaterials for tissue engineering, bioimaging and photothermal therapy agents, drug and gene delivery systems, and antibacterial killing factors, etc.12–15 Certainly, the graphene and its derivatives are
promising materials for other applications due to their cell anti-adhesive and bactericidal properties, such as textiles, additives to drugs and food, orthopedic and dental biomaterials etc. Interestingly, graphene oxide (GO) is applied widely not only due to its character as an inexpensive precursor of graphene, but also due to its unique electrical, thermal, mechanical, optical, chemical and antibacterial properties. GO is a two-dimensional carbon nanomaterial consisting of a layer of single atom thick sp²-bonded carbon atoms, which are closely packed into a hexagonal crystal structure that contains carboxyl, epoxide and hydroxyl functional groups at the edges. The features of GO could enhance its interactions with proteins through covalent, hydrogen bonding and electrostatic nature. GO could promote the differentiation of stem cells, cell growth, expansion and proliferation. Additionally, it is often used as carrier to minimize the surface energy in the preparation process. It has been reported that GO-silver (Ag) shows excellent antibacterial properties against many bacterial species.

Metal nanoparticles have generated wide interest and focus, and are used in diverse field of research, most importantly, in biological and medical areas, such as titanium dioxide, zinc oxide, magnesium, gold, copper and Ag. Ag, a nonspecific biocidal agent, is of particular interest due to its significant antimicrobial activity against a broad spectrum of fungus, viruses and bacteria, even antibiotic-resistant strains. Ag nanoparticles (AgNPs) have unique physical and chemical properties. For example, AgNPs are more reactive than bulk metallic materials due to the larger active surface area. Because of this, its antibacterial effects are recognized and studied abundantly. AgNPs not only inhibit the growth of Gram-positive bacteria and Gram-negative bacteria, but also have cytotoxicity at different concentrations. Hence, at the appropriate concentration, AgNPs play an important role and help to overcome drug resistance in biomedical applications. Several studies have shown that AgNPs induce cell necrosis and apoptosis because AgNPs get ingested and enter the cytoplasm. A series of inflammatory reactions occur in the cell, and the expression of tumor necrosis factor-α increases markedly. Of course, AgNPs have also been demonstrated to cause cell apoptosis in vitro, including in neuronal cells, liver cells and macrophage cells. Microorganisms are much less likely to develop resistance to metal nanoparticles, which are promising candidates for multidrug-resistant bacteria, compared with antibiotics. Metal nanoparticles are widely used for electrical, environmental, structural, medical, and everyday purposes.

In the work, we synthesized GO-Ag on the Ti surface by electroplating process and ultraviolet (UV) reduction method. Why was GO-Ag chosen to be as antimicrobial agents? Because GO-modified Ti surface did not show antibacterial properties, and instead promoted the growth and adhesion of bacteria. Gram-positive bacteria, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus mutans, and Gram-negative bacteria, Porphyromonas gingivalis were chosen as models to study the antibacterial activities of the GO-Ag-Ti toward different bacteria species. Antimicrobial properties under different conditions were investigated at different bactericide dosages. The antimicrobial mechanism of GO-Ag-Ti was also demonstrated by structural and morphological observation, bacterial apoptosis, bactericidal process and bactericidal species analysis, gene expression assays, and cytotoxicity, etc.

Materials and methods

Specimen preparation

Commercial pure Ti plates (Northwest Institute for Nonferrous Metal Research, Xi’an, China, 1×10×10 mm²) were polished with 400–2,000-grit sic sandpaper (Zhuhai Dali Export Co., Ltd, China), then subjected to ultrasonic cleaning with acetone, deionized water, and ethanol sequentially for 10 min each. Afterward, specimen (anode) were electroplated in an aqueous electrolyte solution containing 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL of GO at room temperature for 10 min with graphite electrode (cathode) and a direct current regulated power supply at 20 V. Polished titanium (P) specimen served as the control group. Additionally, before the samples preparation, the specimen were required to be etched in acid solution (deionized water: HNO₃=4:1); the pH of GO aqueous solution was also adjusted. The Ti modified with GO was soaked in 1 mol/L of AgNO₃ solution for 30 min. Subsequently, it was rinsed with deionized water and dried, and then irradiated with UV light for 30 min. Before the experiment, all the samples were required to be sterilized by UV light for 1 h.

Surface characterization

The surface topography and relevant element distribution of the samples were inspected by stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, S-4800, Hitachi High Technologies, Tokyo, Japan). Raman spectroscopy of the specimens were recorded using a Horiba Jobin Yvon at 633 nm laser power 17 mW ×100 objective lens and an acquisition range from 1,000 to 3,000 cm⁻¹. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (Kratos Analytical Ltd, Manchester, UK) analyses of the chemical composition of the samples were carried out with monochromatic Al Ka line at a power of 100 W (10 mA,
The hydrophilic properties of the specimens were obtained with the DSA1 system (KRÜSS GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) by analyzing deionized water drop shape. Elastic modulus and binding force of samples were evaluated by nanoindentation (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and nano-scratch (Agilent Technologies, USA), respectively.

**Bacterial strain and culture conditions**

*S. mutans* (UA159) was cultivated in brain–heart infusion (BHI, Oxoid, Basingstoke, England) broth medium overnight at 37°C in an anaerobic atmosphere (80% N₂, 10% H₂, 10% CO₂).* P. gingivalis* (ATCC33277) was cultured in the BHI broth medium containing 10% sheep blood for 24 h at 37°C in an anaerobic atmosphere containing two AnaeroPack (Mitsubishi, Japan). The bacterial suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 10⁶ CFU/mL by turbidity meter (SGZ-6AXJ) for further usage.

**Antibacterial assay**

**Antibacterial and adherent rate**

The antibacterial ability and adhesion rate were evaluated using *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* cultivated in the BHI medium. Each sample was placed in 1 mL of bacterial suspension at a concentration of 10⁶ CFU/mL for 24 h at 37°C. After culture completion, the samples were gently rinsed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) so as to remove non-adherent bacteria, and oscillated in 1 mL of PBS for 1 min. Bacterial suspensions of 100 μL were re-cultured on BHI agar plates. The adhesion and antibacterial rate were tested by following formula. Adhesion rate (%) = CFU of Experimental Groups/CFU of Control ×100%; antibacterial rate (%) = (CFU of Control – CFU of Experimental Groups)/CFU of Control ×100%.

**Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) observation**

The specimens that were sterilized for 1 h with UV were placed in the wells of a 24-well plate, and incubated with 1 mL bacterial suspension at 37°C for 24 h. Then the Ti substrates were gently rinsed three times with PBS, fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde at 4°C overnight, and dehydrated with different concentrations of alcohol for 10 min. The samples that were dried and sprayed with gold were observed with FE-SEM.

**Fluorescence staining**

Bacterial culture method was same as above. The substrates were gently rinsed with PBS three times, stained for 15 min in the dark at room temperature with LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability kits (L13152), and observed with laser scanning confocal microscopy (Fluoview FV1000, Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The fluorescence intensity was evaluated by ImageJ software.

**Flow cytometry texting**

Bacterial culture method was same as above. After the bacteria that were on the substrates were eluted into 1 mL of sterile normal saline, LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability kits was added into the solution. The dyeing method was the same as the fluorescence staining. The bacterial apoptosis rate was monitored by flow cytometry (FACS Aria II, BD Bioscience, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

**Real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay**

Bacterial culture method was the same as above. The substrates were gently rinsed with PBS three times, and the bacteria were eluted into 1 mL of sterile normal saline. The total RNA of the cultured bacteria was extracted by centrifuging, digesting, splitting, deproteinization and rinsing (RNAprep pure cell/bacteria kit, TIANGEN, Beijing, China), etc. The pure RNA was determined by UV spectrophotometer (Bibby Scientific Co., Ltd, England), and reverse-transcribed into cDNA using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara). RT-PCR was implemented (Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System, Foster City, CA, USA) by analyzing deionized water drop shape. Elastic modulus and binding force of samples were evaluated by nanoindentation (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and nano-scratch (Agilent Technologies, USA), respectively.

**Cytotoxicity**

The samples were placed in the wells of a 24-well plate, and incubated with the density of 2.5×10⁴ cells/cm² primary rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells for 24 h. After the specimens were gently rinsed with PBS three times and were transferred to a new 24-well plate, to which were added 40 μL cell kit-8 (count CCK-8) and 360 μL serum-free α-MEM medium, they were cocultured for 3 h at 37°C. Subsequently, the supernatant was tested using a spectrophotometer (Biotek, VT, USA) at 450 nm. The cell viability was assessed via three parallel experiments.

**Statistical analysis**

A statistical analysis of variance and Fisher’s protected least-significant difference test were applied to estimate within-group differences and inter-group differences. Statistical analyses were carried out with SPSS 17.0 software at a statistical significant level of *P*-value < 0.05.
Results

Surface characterization

The control, 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL were designated as C, G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively. The Ti sheets that were modified by the different concentration of GO via electroplating method showed different colors (Figure 1). Silvery white, yellowish, golden, light brown, and purplish brown corresponded to C, G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively (Figure 1A). Before and after the reaction, the color of the aqueous solution of GO did not change in the naked eye view (Figure 1B).

The morphologies of the Ti substrates are illustrated in Figure 2. There were obvious scratches that were almost parallel and sharp on the Ti surface (Figure 2A1 and A2). In the treatment groups, the specimens surface was relatively flat and obtuse (Figure 2B1, C1, D1 and E1), and appeared as a thin film (Figure 2B2, C2, D2 and E2). The higher the concentration of GO, the more the gauffer was on the Ti surface. In other words, there were more GO on the samples surface. Granular substances were observed on the Ti sheets (Figure 2B3, C3, D3 and E3) via the UV reduction method. At different concentrations of GO, the amount of granular materials were also different (Figure 2B4, C4, D4 and E4).

Figure 3A illustrates the typical Raman spectra of 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL specimens obtained at an excitation wavelength of 633 nm. Two distinct peaks were shown for each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Product length (bp)</th>
<th>Serial number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16s rRNA f</td>
<td>5'-CTACGGGAGGCCAGTCAG-3'</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>X58303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16s rRNA r</td>
<td>5'-CAACAGAGCTTACGATCCGAAA-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtfB f</td>
<td>5'-AGCATGCAAGCCAATCTACAAAT-3'</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>D88651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtfB r</td>
<td>5'-ACGAACTTTGCCGTATTTGCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtfC f</td>
<td>5'-CTCAACACCGCCACTCT-3'</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>D88652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtfC r</td>
<td>5'-GTTTAACTCAAAATTAGCTTAGCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtfD f</td>
<td>5'-CAAGGCCAAAAGCTGAATACA-3'</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>D88653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gtfD r</td>
<td>5'-GAATGCCGCTAAGTCAACAG-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fima f</td>
<td>5'-CTGACGAAGACGCGACGTATATGCA-3'</td>
<td>1,290</td>
<td>456495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fima r</td>
<td>5'-GTTTTTAGCGTTTGACGGGTCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1  Appearance changes of reaction solution and samples.
Notes: (A) Stereo microscope morphologies of C, G20, G50, G80, and G100. (B) Before and after comparison of reaction solution of G20, G50, G80, and G100. The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL are designated as G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively.
substrate at (~1,345.70 cm⁻¹, 1,594.73 cm⁻¹), (~1,338.87 cm⁻¹, 1,607.42 cm⁻¹), (~1,337.89 cm⁻¹, 1,600.59 cm⁻¹), and (~1,347.66 cm⁻¹, 1,601.56 cm⁻¹) corresponding to the D and G bands, respectively. The D/G peaks area values also enhanced. There were statistical differences between the four groups, except the G20 and G50. Additionally, at different concentrations, the D peak half width height value of G80 and G peak half width height value of G100 were the highest. Of course, D peak half width height value of G20 and G peak half width height value of G50 were the lowest. But there were no statistical differences between the four groups. Figure 3C indicates the distribution of GO on the Ti sheets surface using Raman spectra mapping, which represented the D peaks, G peaks, and D/G peaks.

The surface compositions of the control and experimental groups were monitored by XPS. Figure 4 shows the analysis spectra of the control, G20, G50, G80, and G100. The XPS peaks showed that the four experimental groups contained not only Ag and Ti elements, but also some C and O elements, while the control group included mainly Ti, C and O elements. Besides the above described elements, Ti and Ag elements clearly were shown on the Ti substrates surface (Figure 4A–E). In addition, the Ag atomic and mass ratios (Figure 4F) on the Ti sheets surface were 0, 0.87, 2.14, 4.53, 6.33, and 0, 6.63, 15.31,

---

**Figure 2** Microstructural characterization of GO/GO-Ag coatings.

**Notes:** (A1/A2, B1/B2, C1/C2, D1/D2, E1/E2) SEM images of GO coatings of C, G20, G50, G80, G100. (B3/B4, C3/C4, D3/D4, E3/E4) SEM images of GO-Ag coatings of G20, G50, G80, G100. The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/ml are designated as G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively.

**Abbreviations:** Ag, silver; GO, graphene oxide; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; C, control.
28.42, 36.02 that were corresponded to the control group, G20, G50, G80, G100, respectively. And there are a significant statistical difference between the groups ($P<0.001$).

**Antibacterial and adherent rate**

Antibacterial and relative adhesion rates against *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* on the samples surface for 24 h were evaluated, and the results are shown in Figure 5. The relative adhesion rate (Figure 5A) of the control group was the highest, which was close to 100% (the control group was used as reference); and the four experimental groups were 10.05%, 11.00%, 5.25%, 4.55%, which corresponded to the G20, G50, G80, G100, respectively. There are significant statistical differences between the control group and the four experimental
groups ($P<0.001$). The best antibacterial ability (Figure 5B) was shown by G100 (95.45%), which was followed by G80 (94.75%), G50 (89.00%), G20 (89.95%) groups (the control group was used as reference). There were no statistical differences between the four experimental groups.

**Morphology of bacteria**

The morphology of bacterial cells that was observed by FE-SEM was a benefit to explore the antibacterial mechanism and evaluate the antibacterial ability after being exposed to Ti substrates surface. Figure 6 shows the results of bacterial cells illuminating the degree and property of cells damage. The native *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* exhibited a typically chain-like and globular shape, respectively. The whole cells were membrane integrity (Figure 6A1–A4). After being exposed to G20, G50, G80, G100 groups, the bacteria cells bodies of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* were badly damaged, such as shrinking (Figure 6B2, C2, D2 and E2), perforating (Figure 6C4 and E4), breaking (Figure 6D4), and bursting (Figure 6B4). And the number of bacteria on the experimental groups surface was significantly less than that on the control group surface.

**Membrane integrity of *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis***

CLSM was monitored to observe the antibacterial effects of GO-Ag on *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* (Figure 7). After fluorescence labeling, bacteria with compromised membranes were dyed red, and viable cells with integral membranes were stained...
Figure 4 Characterization of Ag by XPS at different concentrations (A–D). Characterization of pure Ti by XPS. (E) Ag contents of C, G20, G50, G80, G100 (F). There are significant differences between the intra group and inter group ("##", "@@"), respectively (p < 0.001). The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/ml are designated as G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively.

Abbreviations: Ag, silver; Conc, concentration; GO, graphene oxide; Ti, titanium; XPS, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.
Figure 5 Relative adhesion rate of C, G20, G50, G80, G100 (A). Antibacterial rate of C, G20, G50, G80, G100 (B). The control group is significantly different with the four groups. In other words, “#”, “@”, “##”, “@@”, are significantly different with “###”, “@@@”, respectively. G20 (“#”) is significantly different with G50, G80 and G100 (“&&”), respectively. (P<0.001). The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL are designated as G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively.

Abbreviations: Pg, Porphyromonas gingivalis; Sm, Streptococcus mutans.

Figure 6 SEM micrographs of bacteria on samples surface after incubation for 24 h.

Notes: (A1/A2, B1/B2, C1/C2, D1/D2, E1/E2) SEM morphologies of Sm. (A3/A4, B3/B4, C3/C4, D3/D4, E3/E4) SEM images of Pg. The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL are designated as G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively.

Abbreviations: Pg, Porphyromonas gingivalis; Sem, scanning electron microscopy; Sm, Streptococcus mutans.
green. *S. mutans* and *P. gingivalis* biofilms exhibited densely green areas on the control group surface, and the Ti substrates were not dyed (Figure 7F A, a). As shown in Figure 7F B–D, extensive red fluorescence on the specimens surface was examined. There was almost no adhesion of bacteria on the surface of sample G100 (Figure 7F E). However, the Ti sheets were obviously stained red and green. Additionally, after the samples of G20, G50, G80, G100 were placed in *P. gingivalis*
culture medium for 24 h, but there was almost no bacteria on the surface of samples, and fluorescence was observed (Figure 7F B–E). Green fluorescence intensity (FIg), red fluorescence intensity (FIr) and FIr/FIg ratio are shown in Figure 7G. Figure 7G is the fluorescence intensity of S. mutans that adhered to the Ti substrates surface. The highest FIg and FIr were the control group and G50, respectively. The lowest FIr/FIg ratio was the control group (Figure 7G left) and there are statistical differences between the 5 groups. The results of P. gingivalis were similar to the S. mutans (Figure 7G right). The only difference was that the FIg and FIr were close in the control group.

Bacterial apoptosis

Figure 8 shows the results of flow cytometry analysis of S. mutans and P. gingivalis viability after treatment with pure Ti, 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL of GO-Ag-Ti using LIVE/DEAD BacLight kit, which was composed of two stains, SYTO9 and PI. Figure 8A shows that there were two core areas that were marked by the purple arrows. After the bacteria cells were cultured with GO-Ag, there was only one fluorescent core area, which was labeled by orange dashed box and purple arrows (Figure 8B–E). The results of P. gingivalis were same as those of S. mutans. But the difference was that the fluorescent core area of four experimental groups moved to the bottom left corner (Figure 8A–E).

Relative gene expression

To investigate the effects of metabolites of S. mutans and P. gingivalis incubated on the pure Ti and GO-Ag-Ti surface for 24 h for the expression of the gtfB, gtfC, gtfD, and FimA genes, the RT-PCR was employed to analyze the mRNA levels of those genes. Figure 9A indicates the relative gene expression of gtfB, which decreased with the increase in the concentration of GO. And there were significant statistical differences (P<0.05), except for G80 and G100 (P>0.05). Figure 9B–D shows the results of gtfC, gtfD and FimA, which were similar to the results of gtfB. Additionally, there were no statistical differences, including between G20 and G50 (P>0.05, Figure 9B), G80 and G100 (P>0.05, Figure 9B), G20 and G50 (P>0.05, Figure 9C), G50 and G80 (P>0.05, Figure 9C), G50 and G100 (P>0.05, Figure 9C), G80 and G100 (P>0.05, Figure 9C), G50 and G80 (P>0.05, Figure 9D), G50 and G100 (P>0.05, Figure 9D), G80 and G100 (P>0.05, Figure 9D), respectively.

Cell viability

As shown in Figure 10, the cell viability of pure Ti, G20, G50, G80 and G100 was examined using CCK-8. The result of the control group was the highest (92.63±7.49). The cell viability of the four experimental groups were inversely proportional to the contents of GO and Ag (82.33±1.62, 80.80±6.80, 57.30±7.98, 41.17±1.70). And there was statistical difference between the groups, including between C and G50 (P<0.05), C and G80 (P<0.001), C and G100 (P<0.001), G20 and G80 (P<0.001), G20 and G100 (P<0.001), G50 and G80 (P<0.05), G50 and G100 (P<0.001), G80 and G100 (P<0.05).

Discussion

The main reason for the failure of the dental implant is the bone loss caused by the inflammation around the implants.4

**Figure 8** Flow cytometric detection of bacteria. 
Notes: A/a is the dot plot of bacteria incubated on the pure Ti surface. B/b, C/c, D/d, E/e represent the results of G20, G50, G80, G100, respectively. Capital letters represent SM, and lowercase letters represents Pg. The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL are designated as G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively. The arrows represent the orange core area.

**Abbreviations:** Comp, composite; Pg, Porphyromonas gingivalis; SM, Streptococcus mutans; Ti, titanium.
It is generally known that bacteria are the main pathogenic factors of the peri-implantitis. Therefore, how to improve the biocompatibility and antimicrobial activity of the dental implant to reduce or eliminate the inflammatory response has become the key to enhance the success rate of implants. The purpose of the modification is to make the material have dual properties, such as biocompatibility, and antibacterial property. In the study, GO-Ag coatings are produced on the Ti surface via an economical and simple method, which is electroplating and UV reduction. Although it is reported that the antimicrobial effect of GO is prominent, it could promote the growth of bacteria, which is consistent with the results of Ruiz et al and Hui et al. Ruiz et al showed that GO does not have antimicrobial ability. It can be used as the framework of bacteria adhesion, and promote the growth, proliferation and formation of bacterial biofilm. Hui et al indicated that the bacterial survival rate is 97.95% when the Escherichia coli incubate in 200 μg/mL.

Figure 9 RT-PCR was employed to evaluate the effects of gene expression.

Notes: (A–C) The gtfB, gtfC, gtfD genes expression of SM were reduced significantly in comparison with those in the control group. (D) The image of FimA gene expression was shown. Its expression was reduced. The image of FimA gene expression is shown (D). The control group (#) was significantly different with the four groups (##). G20 (@) was statistical difference with g50, g80, g100 (&&), respectively. G50 (&) was statistical difference with g80, g100 (&&), respectively. The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/ml are designated as g20, g50, g80, and g100, respectively.

Abbreviations: RT-PCR, real-time polymerase chain reaction; SM, Streptococcus mutans.

Figure 10 Cell exposed to the pure, G20, G50, G80, G100 samples surface viability were monitored by CCCK-8.

Notes: These data were expressed as mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. The control group (†) was significantly different with G50, G80, G100 (††), respectively. G20 (@) was statistically different with G80, G100 (&&), respectively. G50 (&) was statistically different with G80, G100 (&&), respectively. G80 ($) was statistically different with G100 ($$) (P<0.05). The 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL are designated as G20, G50, G80, and G100, respectively.

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.
of GO and LB culture medium for 3 h. This is so because GO can adsorb the protein of LB culture medium, bovine serum albumin and tryptophan by non-covalent. Therefore, silver as a classical antibacterial agent is introduced into the experimental design.

GO that is an oxide of graphene is brownish yellow. The color of its aqueous solution varies with the concentration of GO (Figure 1A). The Ti substrates surface show different color by electroplating, which is also due to the amount of GO (Figure 1B). And the results of Raman spectroscopy have demonstrated (Figure 3B). Although Ag also has a certain color, it cannot be distinguished by the naked eye. The results of FE-SEM indicate that the amount of Ag nanoparticles is different on the GO-Ti surface (Figure 2B4, C4, D4 and E4). Because GO is negatively charged and 20, 50, 80 and 100 μg/mL of GO have different positive charge, so they can absorb different amounts of Ag nanoparticles, which has been proven by XPS (Figure 4F). In addition, the distribution of Ag nanoparticles is more uniform on the GO-Ti surface. In the GO structure, there are many carboxyl, hydroxyl, and carbonyl, which are negatively charged. They may be combined with positively charged Ag ions in the aqueous solution. It is reported that Ag ions are reduced by HCOONH4 in site when Ag ions combine with carboxyl, hydroxyl, and carboxyl. In our study, Ag ions are reduced by UV-light. Many Ti surface antibacterial coatings are widely studied in the dental and orthopedic fields, including antibiotics, nonantibiotic organic antimicrobial agents, inorganic antimicrobial agents, biofunctionalization with antibacterial bioactive polymers, nitrogen monoxide, etc. An antimicrobial coating, which is doped with a proper amount of Ag nanoparticles has a good development and application prospect. In this study, Ag nanoparticles were deposited on the GO-Ti surface by UV reduction method (Figures 2 and 4). The antibacterial and relative adhesion rates against S. mutans and P. gingivalis are more obvious (Figure 5), especially for S. mutans, which is an anaerobic bacteria and easily affected by environmental and operational factors. Of course, the results could also provide evidence for the antibacterial ability of GO-Ag-Ti, which is similar to the results of Song. His findings suggest that antibacterial behavior of GO-Ag against both bacterial strains was dose and contact time dependent, and the antibacterial activity was more effective against E. coli than S. aureus. Additionally, Mei et al. revealed that Ag is a superior antimicrobial agent, promotes fibroblasts and epithelial cells to proliferate and differentiate in vitro, and causes less inflammation in vivo. Also, He et al. indicates that the antimicrobial effect of GO nanosheets is prominent against P. gingivalis. There are five explanations, including damaging DNA of bacteria, interrupting cell signal transduction, oxidative damage of reactive oxygen species, intracellular contents leaked out and dehydrogenase inactivation. The antibacterial effect could be obtained from the morphology and quantity of bacteria that adhere on the GO-Ag-Ti surface (Figures 6 and 7F). First, Ag nanoparticles or Ag+ are easily and slowly released in solution. Second, positively charged Ag+ are attracted by the lipids, which are negatively charged on bacteria cell membranes due to electrostatic attraction. Third, because Ag+ interact with phospholipid bilayer resulting in altering cell membrane permeability. As is known to all, the selective permeability of bacteria cell membrane is the foundation of substances transport and exchange. So, extracellular products leak in and intracellular contents leak out. In other words, the morphology and number of bacteria cells are greatly affected by GO-Ag. For example, Figure 6 (B, C, D, E) shows that bacterial structure is destroyed. Figure 7F (B, C, D, E) reveals that the number of bacteria cells is less than those on the control group surface. On the other hand, when Ag+ enters the cells, it comes in contact with intracellular enzyme, such as enzymes of the respiratory chain, and destroys the mitochondrial electron transport chain. Also, catalase and superoxide dismutase are formed. They are stronger oxidant, such as hydrogen peroxide and superoxide anion radical, etc, which are the main substances of oxidative stress reaction (reactive oxygen species [ROS]) in cells. When ROS occurs in the cell membrane, the phenomenon of denaturation, fracture, crosslinking, and death will come up (Figures 11). In a word, the results not only affect the bacteria on the GO-Ag-Ti substrates surface, but also affect the planktonic bacteria in the culture medium. The results of this study are consistent with those of other scholars. Extracellular glucans of S. mutans are mostly synthetized by three GTFs (gtfB, gtfC, gtfD), which will influence bacteria adhesion, biofilm formation, occurrence and development of dental caries. Therefore, glucosyltransferase plays an important role in dental caries. The water insoluble glucans are catalyzed mainly by gtfB and gtfC genes, loci of which are adjacent. The gtfD encodes, which are separate from the two genes mentioned previously, synthetise water soluble glucans. So changes in the gtfB, gtfC, gtfD genes expression will influence glucan synthesis. In the experiment, the expression of GTF genes are all subject to decrease (Figure 9), especially gtfB and gtfC. Koo revealed that gtfB, gtfC and gtfD expression in S. mutans are influenced by apigenin. The gtfB and gtfC genes expression of SM are reduced significantly in comparison with those in the control group. The results are the same as in Koo’s study.
In contrast, the level of \textit{gtfD} expression increases. This may be because the \textit{gtfD} gene expression is affected by GO, Ag or pH. In Koo\textsuperscript{62} study, the bacteria grew in the planktonic state, and in our study, they are incubated on the Ti substrate surface. Additionally, the structure and function of fimbriae are unique, and synthetized by the \textit{FimA} gene of \textit{P. gingivalis}. However, it is one of the important bacteria causing the peri-implantitis.\textsuperscript{4} The expression of \textit{FimA} gene is significantly down-regulated (Figure 9D), which also explains why there are almost no bacteria on the Ti sheet's surface (Figure 7F).\textsuperscript{49}

The cytotoxicity of carbon nanomaterials has been extensively studied and reported.\textsuperscript{63} Of course, GO and graphene family materials are also without exception. Toxicity of these materials is mainly related to the exposition time, concentration and presence of other factors, such as biocompatible molecules.\textsuperscript{64} In general, Ag nanomaterials have certain cytotoxicity as well as GO at high concentrations.\textsuperscript{65} In this study, the cell viability of G80 and G100 is lower than the control, G20 and G50 (Figure 10). The contents of GO and Ag on the Ti substrates surface of G80 and G100 are more than those in the control, G20 and G50 (Figures 2, 3B and 4F). In other words, more negative charges that can attract more Ag ions exist on the Ti sheet surface. Additionally, cytotoxicity is mainly caused by the Ag ions. In our previous study, after the four concentrations of GO (20, 50, 80 and 100 \(\mu\)g/mL) modified the Ti substrates surface, when they co-cultured with bacteria, they could promote different degrees of bacteria cell adhesion and growth. This is why we use Ag ions and GO to modify the Ti substrates surface. Although the concentration of 20, 50, 80 and 100 \(\mu\)m/mL of GO may induce cytotoxicity,\textsuperscript{66} GO is not fully loaded onto Ti substrates surface, which has been explained by the results of Figure 1A.

**Conclusion**

In summary, GO thin film and Ag nanoparticles are loaded onto Ti substrates surface via electroplating and UV reduction methods. The amount of GO thin film and Ag nanoparticles are characterized by different laboratory apparatus. The antimicrobial activity and relative adhesion rate of GO-Ag-Ti are very prominent against \textit{S. mutans} and \textit{P. gingivalis}. The bactericidal mechanism of GO-Ag-Ti are revealed by microstructures, quantities, membrane integrity, apoptosis, and relative gene expression of \textit{S. mutans} and \textit{P. gingivalis}. Also, the cytotoxicity of samples are shown. Thus, GO-Ag-Ti multiphase nanocomposite would be a promising biomedical material due to prevention of implant-associated infection.
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