

Human taeniasis: current insights into prevention and management strategies in endemic countries

Anna L Okello¹
Lian Francesca Thomas²

¹Division of Infection and Pathway Medicine, Edinburgh Medical School, Biomedical Sciences College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Scotland;

²Independent Consultant, Lusaka, Zambia

Abstract: Human taeniasis is a zoonotic condition resulting from infection with the adult stages of *Taenia saginata* (“beef tapeworm”), *Taenia solium* (“pork tapeworm”) or *Taenia asiatica* (“Asian tapeworm”). Although these parasites have a worldwide distribution, the overwhelming burden is felt by communities in low- and middle-income countries. This is particularly true for *T. solium*, whereby infection of the central nervous system with the larval stage of the parasite (neurocysticercosis) is a major cause of acquired epilepsy in low-resource settings. With a focus on endemic countries, this review provides an insight into the prevention and management of human taeniasis, concluding with some recent case studies describing their implementation. Discussion of the opportunities and challenges regarding current fecal and serological diagnostic assays for detecting *Taenia* spp. highlights the importance of accurate and accessible diagnostic options for the field situation. The lack of long-term impact on the parasites’ lifecycle from human anthelmintic treatment, coupled with the propensity for adverse reactions, highlights the importance of a “two-pronged” approach that considers the relevant animal hosts, particularly in the case of *T. solium*. Aside from the therapeutic options, this review reiterates the importance of adequate assessment and consideration of the associated behavioral and policy aspects around sanitation, hygiene and meat inspection that have been shown to support parasite control, and potential elimination, in endemic regions.

Keywords: *Taenia solium*, *Taenia saginata*, cysticercosis, zoonotic disease, neglected tropical diseases

Introduction

Human taeniasis is a parasitic infection caused by tapeworms of the family Taeniidae (subclass Eucestoda, order Cyclophyllidea). Despite the disease having a worldwide distribution, the highest burden is borne by communities in the developing world. There are three human-infective members of the family Taeniidae; 1) *Taenia saginata*, the “beef tapeworm”, 2) *Taenia solium*, the “pork tapeworm”, and 3) *Taenia asiatica*, the “Asian tapeworm”. Humans are the definitive host for these three species, harboring the adult tapeworm in the small intestine. Cattle are the vertebrate intermediate host for *T. saginata*, while the larval stage develops in pigs for *T. asiatica* and *T. solium*. Human cysticercosis develops when humans consume *T. solium* eggs from the surrounding environment and become infected with the *T. solium* larval stage, thus acting as an aberrant intermediate host.

Human taeniasis is generally asymptomatic,¹ although abdominal discomfort and weight loss have been reported,^{2,3} and carriers may suffer some distress from observing proglottids in their feces, especially those of *T. saginata* that are motile.¹ Rarely

Correspondence: Anna L Okello
School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Edinburgh, 49 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4SB, UK
Email anna.okello@ed.ac.uk

reported sequelae to intestinal taeniasis include gall bladder perforation,⁴ appendicitis⁵ and bowel obstruction.^{6,7} The key human health burden imposed by *Taenia* spp. results from infection with the larval stage of the pork tapeworm, *T. solium*. Ingestion of viable *T. solium* eggs leads to an aberrant encystment of the larval stage in various areas of the human body, with cysts in the muscular, subcutaneous, ocular and central nervous systems being the common manifestations. Of these, neurocysticercosis (NCC), the presence of a cyst or cysts within the central nervous system – commonly the brain – has the highest associated morbidity.

The 2010 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) survey estimated that human cysticercosis caused by *T. solium* was responsible for 503,000 (95% confidence interval [95% CI] 379,000–663,000) disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) lost annually.⁸ This is likely to be an underestimation of the true burden however, given ~30% of epilepsy cases in endemic areas may be attributable to NCC.^{9–11} Extrapolating the DALYs attributable to epilepsy from the 2010 GDB survey suggests that the DALYs attributable to *T. solium* should in fact be in the region of 2.7 million (95% CI 2.16–3.61 million).¹² Annually, *T. solium* is also thought to be responsible for ~28,000 deaths worldwide (95% CI 21,000–37,000).¹² The prevention and management of human taeniasis is key to the control of human cysticercosis, which in turn will result in a reduction of the worldwide epilepsy burden. This review concentrates on the management and prevention of human taeniasis within endemic country settings.

Global distribution of human taeniasis

Valid epidemiological data are scarce for all *Taenia* species, with many studies failing to differentiate between species. Despite these knowledge gaps, it appears that zoonotic tapeworms of the family Taeniidae have a worldwide distribution, with the exception of *T. asiatica* that appears to be restricted to Asian countries.¹³ *T. solium* has been effectively controlled in most of Europe, North America, Australia and New Zealand; however, autochthonous transmission – although rare – has been reported from the Iberian Peninsula and areas of Eastern Europe and North America.^{14–16} The highest prevalence of *T. solium* is, however, found in the developing world, with the majority of Africa, Asia and Latin America being endemic for the parasite.^{17,18} *T. saginata* has a more ubiquitous distribution, with reports from Europe,^{14,19} New Zealand,²⁰ Australia²¹ and throughout the developing world.³ Prevalence of human taeniasis is incredibly variable across endemic areas, with a recent meta-analysis reporting

prevalence ranges between 0% (95% CI 0–1.62) and 13.9% (95% CI 12.39–15.47) in Africa, 0.24% (95% CI 0.03–0.87) and 17.25% (95% CI 14.55–20.23) in Latin America and 0% (95% CI 0–1.74) and 3.02% (95% CI 1.90–4.53) in Asia.¹⁷ These estimates have been made using a variety of diagnostic methods, including direct fecal examination, coprology, formal ether concentration, Kato–Katz and copro-antigen (copro-Ag) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), all of which have different levels of specificity and sensitivity in taeniasis detection, as described later.

Diagnosis of human taeniasis

Traditional diagnosis of adult *Taenia* carriers relies upon direct microscopy of expelled eggs in feces, with or without prior concentration, such as in formal ether. Despite the relative ease with which this diagnostic method can be undertaken in resource-limited settings, a major disadvantage of this is the sensitivity of microscopy, due to the intermittent nature of egg shedding; published sensitivity estimations range from ~3.9%²² to 52.5%.²³ Furthermore, while the specificity of microscopy is high at the species level, speciation requires observation of expelled proglottids, given *Taenia* spp. eggs appear identical under a light microscope.^{24,25} In order to improve the detection of taeniasis cases, a range of immunodiagnostic assays on fecal or sera samples have been developed, resulting in a great improvement in the sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic approaches.²⁶

Copro-Ag diagnostics, based upon the detection of parasite-specific secretory antigens, was first reported in the 1960s; however, it did not gain widespread scientific attention until the 1980s.²⁶ Copro-Ag detection relies on the presence of specific secretory antigens produced independently from reproductive material and, therefore unlike microscopy, does not depend on the active shedding of eggs or proglottids for infection detection. Copro-Ag ELISA has now been successfully demonstrated in a variety of situations to detect *Taenia* spp. carriers. A field trial in Mexico achieved a sensitivity/specificity (Se/Sp) of 98.0%/99.2% with copro-Ag ELISA, in comparison to a 38.0% sensitivity achieved with microscopy.²² One limitation of the copro-Ag ELISAs currently available is that they are not species-specific; that is, they cannot differentiate between *T. solium* and *T. saginata*.²⁷ Furthermore, cross-reactions have been reported with a variety of other gastrointestinal parasites including *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura*, *Hymenolepis nana* and parasitic protozoa.²³ To obtain species-specific diagnosis, work has been done on DNA-based diagnostics. A rapid nested polymerase chain

reaction (PCR) assay, using primers based on the published gene sequence of the *T. solium* oncospherical protein Tso31, achieved 100% specificity and 97%–100% sensitivity, including under field conditions.²⁸

Given the inherent problems associated with diagnostic assays on fecal material, particularly regarding biohazards and cultural acceptability, there is undoubtedly a place for the serological diagnosis of adult *Taenia* spp. carriers. This has been achieved with an immunoblot assay for the detection of antibodies toward *T. solium* excretory secretory (TSES) antigens. The assay achieved Se/Sp of 95%/100% when used to analyze sera of known infection status, including sera from *T. saginata* carriers and echinococcosis infections.²⁵ The use of native proteins, however, was a limitation on the utility of this test in the field, and recombinant proteins have now been expressed in a baculovirus system for use in diagnostic assays.²⁹ These protein antigens (rES33 and rES38) are currently being used in an enzyme-linked immunoelectrotransfer blot (EITB) format in a recent Peruvian cysticercosis elimination program, both having shown high sensitivity (97%/98%) and specificity (100%/91%, respectively) in field trials.³⁰

Treatment of human taeniasis

Infections with the adult stage of *Taenia* spp. are responsive to the common anthelmintic drugs niclosamide (2 g/person as a single dose), praziquantel (5–10 mg/kg as a single dose),^{31,32} tribendimidine (200 mg per <15 years or 400 mg per adult single oral dose)³³ and albendazole (3 × 400 mg/person for three consecutive days).³⁴ Triple-dose albendazole has been demonstrated to cure 100% of *Taenia* spp. cases,³⁴ whereas niclosamide and praziquantel have demonstrated efficacy of 85% and 95%, respectively.³⁵ Praziquantel and niclosamide have become the anthelmintic treatment of choice for taeniasis, with praziquantel appearing to be the most cost-effective treatment at \$0.05–0.1/person,³⁶ compared to niclosamide at ~\$5/person.³⁷ Reported minor side effects of praziquantel are abdominal pain, dizziness and diarrhea,³⁸ though there are also concerns that due to the ability of praziquantel to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB), there may be neurological consequences due to activation of undiagnosed latent NCC.³⁹ Despite these concerns, no side effects were reported in a Tanzanian study in which school children were treated with 40 mg/kg praziquantel in an area jointly endemic for cysticercosis and schistosomiasis.⁴⁰ Neurological side effects are a potential danger in albendazole treatment that also crosses the BBB;⁴¹ niclosamide, conversely, has little systemic absorption and therefore has no effect on NCC.⁴²

Control strategies for human taeniasis

Preventative chemotherapy (PC) in humans

Treatment of taeniasis as a strategy to control the parasite burden in a target population is known as PC and can be implemented in three ways. Mass drug administration (MDA) occurs when the whole population of a predefined geographical area is treated at regular intervals, irrespective of clinical status. In contrast, targeted chemotherapy treats only specific risk groups at regular intervals, while selective chemotherapy screens patients and subsequently treats according to clinical status.⁴³

Several studies have been undertaken to investigate the use of MDA as a control strategy for *T. solium*, either alone or in combination with other strategies^{44–51} and are outlined in Table 1. Over the short-term – defined as within 2 years – a reduction in taeniasis prevalence has been demonstrated in most studies, although the effect on human and porcine cysticercosis (where measured) has been more variable.⁵² Modeling data suggest that one-off MDA programs alone are unlikely to lead to sustained control of *T. solium*, with rapid reduction in prevalence being followed quickly by a rebound to previous levels.⁵³ When MDA has been used in combination with other strategies such as porcine vaccination and/or oxfendazole treatment, however, a sustained reduction in human taeniasis and porcine cysticercosis prevalence has been demonstrated, as will be discussed later in this review.^{51,53–55}

Selective chemotherapy has been recommended as an integral part of *T. solium* control,^{42,56–58} especially in areas with high (>70%) primary health care coverage,⁵⁹ with modeling data suggesting that this alone could lead to a sustained reduction in prevalence.⁵³ As yet, however, there are only two field trials involving selective chemotherapy, both of which occur as part of a combined strategy with targeted MDA in school children. In Honduras, the study demonstrated a significant reduction in NCC as an etiology of epilepsy over an 8-year period.⁶⁰ A shorter study in Tanzania reported >77% reduction in prevalence of taeniasis in the 22 months after either a single or a double round of MDA.⁴⁰

Vaccination and anthelmintic treatment of the porcine or bovine host

Vaccines have been developed against the larval infection of *T. solium* in the porcine host, with two of these (SP3VAC and TSOL18) demonstrating high efficacy in protecting pigs from

Table 1 Summary of available studies investigating human PC as a control strategy for human taeniasis/cysticercosis

Year, country, reference	Human PC	Ancillary strategy	Reduction in prevalence/incidence		Coverage achieved	Follow-up period
			Taeniasis	Human cysticercosis		
1978–1983, China ^{16k}	Yearly MDA with agimorphol	N/A	90.8% reduction in incidence	96.8% reduction in incidence	Not reported	6 years
1978–1988, China ^{35k}	Bi-annual targeted treatment of <i>Taenia</i> spp. carriers praziquantel	Confinement of pigs and health education	98.7% reduction in prevalence	Not reported	96.5% reduction in porcine prevalence	10 years
1986–1987, Ecuador ¹²⁴	One round of praziquantel 5 mg/kg	N/A	100% reduction in prevalence	Not reported	77.2% reduction in porcine prevalence	1 year
Mexico ^{50b*}	MDA praziquantel 5 mg/kg	Health education	Not reported	Not reported	66% increase in porcine prevalence (from 6.6% to 11%)	2 years
1988–1989, Mexico ⁴⁷	One round of MDA praziquantel 10 mg/kg	N/A	None found (non-significant)	74.1% reduction in prevalence in 30–39 years age group	None found (non-significant)	1 year
1991–1996, Mexico ¹²⁵	One round of MDA praziquantel 5 mg/kg	N/A	56% reduction in prevalence	75% reduction in prevalence	55% reduction in prevalence ^{***}	42 months
1994–1996, Guatemala ⁴⁸	One round of MDA niclosamide (2 g >6 years, 1 g <6 years)	N/A	71.4% reduction in prevalence	Not reported	87.3% reduction in porcine prevalence	10 months
Years not reported, Mexico ¹²⁶	One round of MDA praziquantel 5 mg/kg	Health education	68% reduction in prevalence	Not reported	50–100% reduction in porcine prevalence	3 years
1996, Peru ⁵¹	One round of MDA praziquantel 5 mg/kg	N/A	68% reduction in prevalence	Not reported	No change	20 months
1997–2005, Honduras ⁶⁰	MDA of school children albendazole (three daily doses 400 mg) followed by single dose q6 months	Selective treatment of <i>Taenia</i> spp. carriers with niclosamide 2 g	89.3% reduction in prevalence	63.2% reduction in NCC as the etiology of epilepsy	Protective intervention compared to control village (OR 0.51, $p < 0.001$)	8 years
2004–2009, Peru ¹²³	Three rounds of MDA niclosamide 2 g	Six rounds of porcine MDA oxfendazole 30 mg/kg plus 3x TSOL18 vaccination	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	1 year post-intervention
2012–2013, Tanzania ⁶⁰	One round of MDA school children praziquantel 40 mg/kg	Selective chemotherapy of <i>Taenia</i> spp. carriers	80% reduction in prevalence 10 months after MDA; 77% reduction (from baseline) after 22 months	Not reported	Porcine cysticercosis eliminated in 105 villages	22 months
2013–2014, Lao PDR ⁵⁴	Two rounds of MDA school children praziquantel 40 mg/kg	Three rounds of porcine vaccination (TSOL18) and MDA oxfendazole 30 mg/kg	78.7% reduction in prevalence	Not reported	Not reported	27 months

Notes: Adapted from *Landscape Analysis: Control of Taenia solium*, Thomas LF, Copyright (2015).⁵² *Review, **abstract only available, ***significant reduction at 6 months, no significant change between 6 and 42 months.

Abbreviations: PC, preventative chemotherapy; MDA, mass drug administration; N/A, not available; OR, odds ratio; NCC, neurocysticercosis.

both experimental and natural challenges.^{61–71} One limitation of current vaccine options is that neither destroys existing cysts; in order to impact porcine cysticercosis infections acquired prior to the first round of vaccination, it is therefore suggested to combine porcine vaccination with oxfendazole treatment at a cysticidal dose of 30 mg/kg. This combination of TSOL18 vaccination and a high-dose oral oxfendazole administration demonstrated complete protection from infection when used in a field trial in Cameroon.⁵⁵ TSOL18 vaccine has recently been commercialized (Cysvax^o) with support from GALVmed, Indian Immunologicals Limited and the University of Melbourne, and production at commercial levels has been initiated. Approval for its use in India is now underway and approval across Africa is expected by 2020.⁵²

Vaccination of cattle against *T. saginata* has been attempted with some success, with the TSA9/TSA18 vaccine demonstrating high efficacy in protecting cattle from infection.^{72–75} Commercialization of this vaccine is, however, not currently being pursued, as the available evidence does not indicate it to be commercially viable.^{71,76}

Treatment of the larval stage of *T. solium* can be achieved through the use of anthelmintic treatment, with oxfendazole (30 mg/kg) demonstrating the best efficacy.^{77–81} Oxfendazole has no reported side effects,⁷⁷ has now been approved in many countries and is now being formulated specifically as Panthic 10% for this indication in pigs.⁵² Bovine cysticercosis also responds to anthelmintic treatment with praziquantel,^{82–84} and protection against re-infection appears to last at least 12 weeks.⁸⁵ Despite the efficacy of this anthelmintic treatment in cattle, praziquantel has not yet been formulated for cattle and is therefore not commercially available as a control measure for *T. saginata*.

Meat hygiene

Meat hygiene, achieved through stringent inspection and correct processing or cooking, is fundamental to the prevention of human infection with *Taenia* spp. from both pork and beef. Regulations exist in many countries guiding the inspection of meat prior to sale, including visual inspection for cysticerci.⁸⁶ In the European Union (EU), regulation 854/2004 lays out the requirements for ante- and postmortem inspection of animals for human consumption, including cattle and pigs. In pigs, no incisions into the musculature are required for this testing, with a visual-only inspection allowed if the food chain information indicates that the pigs were raised in controlled housing conditions, reflecting the low risk of porcine cysticercosis within this region.⁸⁷ Currently, the legislation for cattle requires both visual inspection of carcass

surfaces (external and internal, including the diaphragm) and incision and examination of various cysticerci predilection sites including the mandible, masseters and heart, as well as palpation of the tongue.⁸⁷ Changes in legislation are currently in progress that would allow for the visual-only inspection of cattle carcasses, a move which the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) panel on biological hazards (BIOHAZ) concluded would likely only further decrease the already low sensitivity of meat inspection for the detection of bovine cysticercosis,⁸⁸ with the likely consequence of reporting an increased prevalence of *T. saginata* across the EU.

If porcine cysticercosis is detected at meat inspection, legislation in both high- and low- to middle-income countries generally requires that the carcass is condemned as unfit for human consumption.^{87,89,90} The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) manual for meat inspection in developing countries gives provision for cold treatment (see later) of localized cases of porcine cysticercosis; however, the lack of appropriate facilities for such treatment means that a judgment of total condemnation is generally the case.⁹¹ Cases of bovine cysticercosis are differentiated into generalized and localized, with generalized cases requiring condemnation and localized cases requiring condemnation of the affected part with the conditional release of the carcass after the requisite cold treatment according to the local legislature.^{87,89–91}

Cold treatment has been proven to kill the cysticerci of *T. solium* and *T. bovis*, with 6–10 days at temperatures <−10°C reliably killing all cysticerci^{92,93} and temperatures of −24°C killing cysticerci in just 24 hours.⁹⁴ Other meat-processing techniques have also proven successful, including irradiation⁹⁵ and 12–24 hours of salt pickling,⁹⁶ although these techniques do not appear to be feasible at a large scale in rural endemic countries.⁹⁷ The most important form of meat processing, and one that should be made clear to all people preparing and consuming beef and pork, is correct and thorough cooking to kill any viable cysticerci. Meat should be brought to a temperature of between 60 and 65°C, or until it loses its pink color, to ensure that cysts are killed.^{96,98,99}

Models have demonstrated that strategies concentrating on improving human sanitation and pig management, including meat inspection, are likely to be the most effective long-term strategies for the control of human taeniasis and cysticercosis,⁵³ with concurrent assistance in the control of other food- and water-borne diseases. In this way, the case for improved sanitation and husbandry should be encouraged as part of any long-term strategy. Exemplifying this, reviewing and strengthening the meat inspectorate in Southern and

Eastern Africa is part of the regional action plan for *T. solium* formulated by the Cysticercosis Working Group of East and Southern Africa (CWGESA)¹⁰⁰ and has been recommended in Nepal.¹⁰¹

Sanitation

Key to the propagation of the *Taenia* lifecycle is the contact between the intermediate hosts (cattle, pigs) and human fecal material containing infective eggs. Hygiene measures such as the use of well-constructed latrines, correct management of sewerage sludge and wastewater and best practices in animal husbandry all contribute to preventing the intermediate host becoming infected. As discussed in the “Meat hygiene” section, effective meat inspection and correct cooking techniques can also contribute to the prevention of human taeniasis infection. Poor hand hygiene, such as not using soap to wash hands after defecation, has been associated with greater risk of exposure to porcine cysticercosis.¹⁰² Education and awareness around personal hygiene practices are important in the control of *T. solium*,³⁷ as human cysticercosis infections can be prevented through stringent hand hygiene to prevent fecal–oral contamination with the infective eggs.

Free-ranging pigs are often more at risk from infection with *Taenia* spp. than those that are kept under confined conditions.^{103–105} An increase in the popularity of free-ranging pork in Europe has been identified as having the potential to increase the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis found in that region.¹⁵ Housed cattle and pigs can also be exposed through fodder contaminated by slurry containing *T. saginata* eggs or due to family or farm workers defecating in the housing unit.^{105–108}

The absence of access to – or usage of – a latrine in the homestead has been identified as a risk factor for porcine cysticercosis.^{108–113} Out of necessity, members of the homestead will engage in open defecation, therefore allowing free-ranging pigs to easily access potentially infective human fecal material. It has been hypothesized that the practice of open defecation may be associated with outbreaks of bovine cysticercosis in New Zealand.¹¹⁴ Improvements in sanitation have been suggested to be responsible for the reduction in NCC cases in Ecuador between 1990 and 2009,¹¹⁵ but there is no evidence yet for the successful control of *T. solium* through use of specific latrine provision.^{52,116} Focus group discussions with a community in Zambia where a program of “community-led total sanitation” (CLTS)¹¹⁷ was undertaken identified several barriers to the use of latrines, including taboos surrounding the use of latrines by men if in-laws or grown-

up children of the opposite sex use the same latrine.¹¹⁸ Another anthropological study undertaken as part of a *T. solium* intervention in Lao PDR highlighted the practical issues regarding latrine usage in agriculture-based societies where people often work for long periods away from their homesteads.¹¹⁹ Studies such as these illustrate the importance of considering cultural norms when designing and implementing interventions aimed at improving community-level hygiene and sanitation as part of broader human taeniasis control programs.

If sanitation infrastructure is available, correct sewerage management is vitally important for the control of *Taenia* spp. as illustrated by the association of cattle access to surface water, and the close proximity of wastewater effluent, with cases of bovine cysticercosis in Belgium.¹²⁰ *Taenia* spp. eggs are one of the most resilient parasites found in sewerage sludge,¹²¹ with bovine cysticercosis risk associated with the presence of sewerage effluent/sludge in drinking water or flooded pasture.^{120,121} These examples indicate that despite the stringent controls on sewerage processing under various clean water acts passed in the 1970s, difficulties in the complete inactivation of eggs remain even in high-income nations.

Multi-host interventions via a one health approach to control

As a zoonotic disease, there are great opportunities to tackle both *T. solium* and *T. saginata* through strategies that target both the human and animal hosts.¹²² Modeling of a single round of MDA in humans, combined with annual vaccination of pigs, indicates that the prevalence of both human taeniasis and porcine cysticercosis would rapidly and sustainably reduce >120 months, with 24% and 15% of replications of the model resulting in 0% prevalence in humans and pigs, respectively.⁵³ In comparison to the rapid return to baseline prevalence after human MDA alone,⁵³ the benefit of a “two-pronged” strategy targeting both human and animal hosts is demonstrated.

A Peruvian case–control study carried out between 1996 and 1997 involved a single round of MDA in humans with two rounds of porcine treatment.⁴⁹ Individuals within treatment villages received 5 mg/kg praziquantel and pigs received 30 mg/kg oxfendazole, in combination with hog cholera vaccine. The control village residents were offered pyrantel pamoate (11 mg/kg), with pigs being vaccinated against hog cholera without anthelmintic treatment. The intervention achieved 75% coverage of the human population and ~90% coverage of the porcine population. Pigs were monitored over an 18-month period using EITB strip diagnostic tests

(US Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, GA, USA). The results demonstrated that being in a treatment village after the intervention was shown to be a protective factor against porcine cysticercosis, compared to being in a control village (odds ratio [OR] 0.51, $p < 0.001$).⁵¹ More recently, The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation has sponsored a large-scale trial of strategies aimed at eliminating *T. solium* from a large area of rural Peru. The recently reported results indicated that human MDA (2 g niclosamide, three rounds per annum) administered in combination with porcine vaccination (TSOL18) and anthelmintic treatment (oxfendazole 30 mg/kg) successfully eliminated *T. solium* from the porcine intermediate host in 105 of 107 intervention villages, with elimination sustained for at least 1 year post-intervention.¹²³ Recently in southeast Asia, porcine vaccination (TSOL18) and anthelmintic treatment (oxfendazole 30 mg/kg) have been combined with a human MDA program (two rounds triple-dose albendazole 400 mg) in Lao PDR, where an initial rapid reduction in human taeniasis prevalence was sustained over the 2 years of the study.^{49,54}

Conclusion

This review highlights a number of important aspects around the prevention and management of human taeniasis, examining key opportunities and challenges of current diagnostic and therapeutic tools for control. Despite promising recent examples from endemic settings where parasite control and elimination – particularly for *T. solium* – has been maintained for extended periods of time post-intervention, this review highlights the need for further scale-out of these successful pilot control programs to better assess their long-term impact and cost-effectiveness, particularly in the Asia and African contexts. Increasing the current global evidence base is expected to help drive translation of research outputs into national policy and community-level action in order to better address the impact of taeniasis on affected communities worldwide.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Garcia HH, Gonzalez AE, Evans CAW, Gilman RH. *Taenia solium* cysticercosis. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9383):547–556.
- Tembo A, Craig P. *Taenia saginata* taeniasis: copro-antigen time-course in a voluntary self-infection. *J Helminthol*. 2015;89(05):612–619.
- Flisser A, Craig PS, Ito A. Cysticercosis and taeniasis: *Taenia solium*, *Taenia saginata* and *Taenia asiatica*. *Oxford Textbook of Zoonoses: Biology, Clinical Practice, and Public Health Control*. Palmer SR, Soulsby L, Torgerson P, Brown DWG, editors. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011:625–642.
- Hakeem SY, Rashid A, Khuroo S, Bali RS. *Taenia saginata*: a rare cause of gall bladder perforation. *Case Rep Surg*. 2012;572484.
- Kulkarni AS, Joshi AR, Shere SK, Bindu RS. Appendicular taeniasis presenting as acute appendicitis a report of two cases with review of literature. *Int J Health Sci Res*. 2014;4(4):194–197.
- Atef M, Emna T. A rare cause of intestinal obstruction. *J Clin Case Rep*. 2015;5(594):2.
- Li P, Xu L, Xiang J, et al. Taeniasis related frequent intestinal obstruction: case report and mini-review. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol Res*. 2015;4(1):1455–1458.
- Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2197–2223.
- Ndimubanzi PC, Carabin H, Budke CM, et al. A systematic review of the frequency of neurocysticercosis with a focus on people with epilepsy. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2010;4(11):e870.
- Rajshekhkar V, Raghava MV, Prabhakaran V, Oommen A, Muliylil J. Active epilepsy as an index of burden of neurocysticercosis in Vellore district, India. *Neurology*. 2006;67(12):2135–2139.
- Bruno E, Bartoloni A, Zammarchi L, et al. Epilepsy and neurocysticercosis in Latin America: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2013;7(10):e2480.
- Torgerson PR, Devleesschauwer B, Praet N, et al. World Health Organization estimates of the global and regional disease burden of 11 foodborne parasitic diseases, 2010: a data synthesis. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(12):e1001920.
- Ale A, Victor B, Praet N, et al. Epidemiology and genetic diversity of *Taenia asiatica*: a systematic review. *Parasit Vectors*. 2014;7(1):1–11.
- Devleesschauwer B, Allepuz A, Dermauw V, et al. *Taenia solium* in Europe: still endemic? *Acta Trop*. 2017;165:96–99.
- Zammarchi L, Strohmeyer M, Bartalesi F, et al. Epidemiology and management of cysticercosis and *Taenia solium* taeniasis in Europe, systematic review 1990–2011. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69537.
- Sorvillo F, Wilkins P, Shafir S, Eberhard M. Public health implications of cysticercosis acquired in the United States. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2011;17(1):1.
- Coral-Almeida M, Gabriël S, Abatih EN, Praet N, Benitez W, Dorny P. *Taenia solium* human cysticercosis: a systematic review of sero-epidemiological data from endemic zones around the world. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015;9(7):e0003919.
- Braae UC, Saarnak CF, Mukaratirwa S, Devleesschauwer B, Magnussen P, Johansen MV. *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis and the co-distribution with schistosomiasis in Africa. *Parasit Vectors*. 2015;8(1):323.
- Dorny P, Praet N. *Taenia saginata* in Europe. *Vet Parasitol*. 2007;149(1):22–24.
- Ltd IoESaR [database on the Internet]. *Public Health Surveillance: Information for New Zealand Public Health Action*. 2016. Available from: <https://surv.esr.cri.nz/cgi-bin/htsearch>. Accessed December 13, 2016.
- Howell J, Brown G. Gastrointestinal: beef tapeworm (*Taenia saginata*). *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2008;23(11):1769–1769.
- Allan JC, Velasquez-Tohom M, Torres-Alvarez R, Yurrita P, Garcia-Naval J. Field trial of the coproantigen-based diagnosis of *Taenia solium* taeniasis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1996;54(4):352–356.
- Praet N, Verweij JJ, Mwape KE, et al. Bayesian modelling to estimate the test characteristics of coprology, coproantigen ELISA and a novel real-time PCR for the diagnosis of taeniasis. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2013;18(5):608–614.
- Allan JC, Craig PS. Coproantigens in taeniasis and echinococcosis. *Parasitol Int*. 2006;55(suppl 1):S75–S80.
- Wilkins PP, Allan JC, Verastegui M, et al. Development of a serologic assay to detect *Taenia solium* taeniasis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1999;60(2):199.
- Allan JC, Wilkins PP, Tsang VCW, Craig PS. Immunodiagnostic tools for taeniasis. *Acta Trop*. 2003;87(1):87–93.

27. Allan JC, Avila G, Noval JG, Flisser A, Craig PS. Immunodiagnosis of taeniasis by coproantigen detection. *Parasitology*. 1990;101(03):473–477.
28. Mayta H, Gilman RH, Prendergast E, et al. Nested PCR for specific diagnosis of *Taenia solium* taeniasis. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2008;46(1):286–289.
29. Levine MZ, Calderón SJ, Wilkins PP, et al. Characterization, cloning, and expression of two diagnostic antigens for *Taenia solium* tapeworm infection. *J Parasitol*. 2004;90(3):631–638.
30. Levine MZ, Lewis MM, Rodriquez S, et al. Development of an enzyme-linked immunoelectrotransfer blot (EITB) assay using two baculovirus expressed recombinant antigens for diagnosis of *Taenia solium* taeniasis. *J Parasitol*. 2007;93(2):409–417.
31. Pearson RD, Guerrant RL. Praziquantel: a major advance in anthelmintic therapy. *Ann Intern Med*. 1983;99(2):195–198.
32. Pearson RD, Hewlett EL. Niclosamide therapy for tapeworm infections. *Ann Intern Med*. 1985;102(4):550–551.
33. Steinmann P, Zhou X-N, Du Z-W, et al. Tribendimidine and albendazole for treating soil-transmitted helminths, *strongyloides stercoralis* and *Taenia* spp.: open-label randomized trial. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2008;2(10):e322.
34. Steinmann P, Utzinger J, Du Z-W, et al. Efficacy of single-dose and triple-dose albendazole and mebendazole against soil-transmitted helminths and *Taenia* spp.: a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(9):e25003.
35. Pawlowski Z, Allan J, Sarti E. Control of *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis: from research towards implementation. *Int J Parasitol*. 2005;35(11–12):1221–1232.
36. Engels D, Urbani C, Belotto A, Meslin F, Savioli L. The control of human (neuro)cysticercosis: which way forward? *Acta Trop*. 2003;87(1):177–182.
37. Alexander A, John KR, Jayaraman T, et al. Economic implications of three strategies for the control of taeniasis. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2011;16(11):1410–1416.
38. Raso G, N'Goran EK, Toty A, et al. Efficacy and side effects of praziquantel against *Schistosoma mansoni* in a community of western Côte d'Ivoire. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2004;98(1):18–27.
39. Flisser A, Sarti E, Lightowers M, Schantz P. Neurocysticercosis: regional status, epidemiology, impact and control measures in the Americas. *Acta Trop*. 2003;87(1):43–51.
40. Braae UC, Magnussen P, Ndawi B, Harrison W, Lekule F, Johansen MV. Effect of repeated mass drug administration with praziquantel and track and treat of taeniosis cases on the prevalence of taeniosis in *Taenia solium* endemic rural communities of Tanzania. *Acta Trop*. 2017;165:246–251.
41. Sotelo J, Jung H. Pharmacokinetic optimisation of the treatment of neurocysticercosis. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 1998;34(6):503–515.
42. Pawlowski ZS. Role of chemotherapy of taeniasis in prevention of neurocysticercosis. *Parasitol Int*. 2006;55:S105–S109.
43. Gabrielli AF, Montresor A, Chitsulo L, Engels D, Savioli L. Preventive chemotherapy in human helminthiasis: theoretical and operational aspects. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2011;105(12):683–693.
44. Del Brutto O, Wadia N, Dumas M, Cruz M, Tsang CW, Schantz PM. Proposal of diagnostic criteria for human cysticercosis and neurocysticercosis. *J Neurol Sci*. 1996;142:1–6.
45. Sarti E, Schantz PM, Avila G, Ambrosio J, Medina-Santillán R, Flisser A. Mass treatment against human taeniasis for the control of cysticercosis: a population-based intervention study. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2000;94(1):85–89.
46. Wu W, Qian X, Huang Y, Hong Q. A review of the control of clonorchiasis sinensis and *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis in China. *Parasitol Res*. 2012;111(5):1879–1884.
47. Diaz CSP, Candil RA, Suate PV, et al. Epidemiologic study and control of *Taenia solium* infections with praziquantel in a rural village of Mexico. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1991;45(4):522–531.
48. Allan JC, Velasquez-Tohom M, Fletes C, et al. Mass chemotherapy for intestinal *Taenia solium* infection: effect on prevalence in humans and pigs. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1997;91(5):595–598.
49. Ash A, Okello A, Khamlome B, Inthavong P, Allen J, Thompson RA. Controlling *Taenia solium* and soil transmitted helminths in a northern Lao PDR village: impact of a triple dose albendazole regime. *Acta Trop*. 2015. Epub 2015 May 19.
50. Keilbach NM, de Aluja AS, Sarti-Gutierrez E. A programme to control taeniasis-cysticercosis (*T. solium*): experiences in a Mexican village. *Acta Leiden*. 1989;57(2):181–189.
51. Garcia HH, Gonzalez AE, Gilman RH, et al. Combined human and porcine mass chemotherapy for the control of *T. solium*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2006;74(5):850–855.
52. Thomas LF. *Landscape Analysis: Control of Taenia solium*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2015.
53. Kyvsgaard NC, Johansen MV, Carabin H. Simulating transmission and control of *Taenia solium* infections using a Reed-Frost stochastic model. *Int J Parasitol*. 2007;37(5):547–558.
54. Okello AL, Thomas L, Inthavong P, et al. Assessing the impact of a joint human-porcine intervention package for *Taenia solium* control: results of a pilot study from northern Lao PDR. *Acta Trop*. 2016;159:185–191.
55. Assana E, Kyngdon CT, Gauci CG, et al. Elimination of *Taenia solium* transmission to pigs in a field trial of the TSOL18 vaccine in Cameroon. *Int J Parasitol*. 2010;40(5):515–519.
56. Pawlowski ZS. Control of neurocysticercosis by routine medical and veterinary services. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2008;102(3):228–232.
57. Montresor A, Palmer K. Taeniasis/cysticercosis trend worldwide and rationale for control. *Parasitol Int*. 2006;55(suppl 1):S301–S303.
58. Penrith ML. Cysticercosis Working Group in Eastern and Southern Africa – 6th General Assembly. *J S Afr Vet Assoc*. 2009;80(4):206–207.
59. Sarti E, Rajshekhar V. Measures for the prevention and control of *Taenia solium* taeniasis and cysticercosis. *Acta Trop*. 2003;87(1):137–143.
60. Medina MT, Aguilar-Estrada RL, Alvarez A, et al. Reduction in rate of epilepsy from neurocysticercosis by community interventions: the Salamá, Honduras study. *Epilepsia*. 2011;52(6):1177–1185.
61. Gonzalez AE, Gauci CG, Barber D, et al. Vaccination of pigs to control human neurocysticercosis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2005;72(6):837.
62. Huerta M, De Aluja A, Fragoso G, et al. Synthetic peptide vaccine against *Taenia solium* pig cysticercosis: successful vaccination in a controlled field trial in rural Mexico. *Vaccine*. 2001;20(1):262–266.
63. Lightowers MW. Eradication of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis: a role for vaccination of pigs. *Int J Parasitol*. 1999;29(6):811–817.
64. Lightowers MW. Eradication of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis: a role for vaccination of pigs. *Int J Parasitol*. 2010;40(10):1183–1192.
65. Plancarte A, Flisser A, Gauci CG, Lightowers MW. Vaccination against *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in pigs using native and recombinant oncosphere antigens. *Int J Parasitol*. 1999;29(4):643–647.
66. Jayashi CM, Kyngdon CT, Gauci CG, Gonzalez AE, Lightowers MW. Successful immunization of naturally reared pigs against porcine cysticercosis with a recombinant oncosphere antigen vaccine. *Vet Parasitol*. 2012;188(3–4):261–267.
67. Sciuotto E, Morales J, Martínez JJ, et al. Further evaluation of the synthetic peptide vaccine S3Pvac against *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in pigs in an endemic town of Mexico. *Parasitology*. 2007;134(pt 1):129–133.
68. Sciuotto E, Rosas G, Hernández M, et al. Improvement of the synthetic tri-peptide vaccine (S3Pvac) against porcine *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in search of a more effective, inexpensive and manageable vaccine. *Vaccine*. 2007;25(8):1368–1378.
69. Morales J, de Aluja AS, Martínez JJ, et al. Recombinant S3Pvac-phage anticysticercosis vaccine: simultaneous protection against cysticercosis and hydatid disease in rural pigs. *Vet Parasitol*. 2011;176(1):53–58.
70. Morales J, Martínez JJ, Manoutcharian K, et al. Inexpensive anti-cysticercosis vaccine: S3Pvac expressed in heat inactivated M13 filamentous phage proves effective against naturally acquired *Taenia solium* porcine cysticercosis. *Vaccine*. 2008;26(23):2899–2905.

71. Silva CV, Costa-Cruz JM. A glance at *Taenia saginata* infection, diagnosis, vaccine, biological control and treatment. *Infect Disord Drug Targets*. 2010;10(5):313–321.
72. Lightowlers MW, Rolfe R, Gauci CG. *Taenia saginata*: vaccination against cysticercosis in cattle with recombinant oncosphere antigens. *Exp Parasitol*. 1996;84(3):330–338.
73. Lightowlers M, Flisser A, Gauci C, Heath D, Jensen O, Rolfe R. Vaccination against cysticercosis and hydatid disease. *Parasitol Today*. 2000;16(5):191–196.
74. Harrison L, Garate T, Bryce D, et al. Ag-ELISA and PCR for monitoring the vaccination of cattle against *Taenia saginata* cysticercosis using an oncospherical adhesion protein (HP6) with surface and secreted localization. *Trop Anim Health Prod*. 2005;37(2):103–120.
75. Rickard M, Arundel J, Adolph A. A preliminary field trial to evaluate the use of immunisation for the control of naturally acquired *Taenia saginata* infection in cattle. *Res Vet Sci*. 1981;30(1):104–108.
76. Lightowlers M. Cestode vaccines: origins, current status and future prospects. *Parasitology*. 2006;133(S2):S27–S42.
77. Gonzalez AE, Falcon N, Gavidia C, et al. Time-response curve of oxfendazole in the treatment of swine cysticercosis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1998;59(5):832.
78. Gonzalez AE, Gavidia C, Falcon N, et al. Protection of pigs with cysticercosis from further infections after treatment with oxfendazole. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2001;65(1):15–18.
79. Gonzalez AE, Falcon N, Gavidia C, et al. Treatment of porcine cysticercosis with oxfendazole: a dose-response trial. *Vet Rec*. 1997;141(16):420–422.
80. Gonzales AE, Garcia HH, Gilman RH, et al. Effective, single-dose treatment of porcine cysticercosis with oxfendazole. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 1996;54(4):391–394.
81. Sikasunge CS, Johansen MV, Willingham Iii AL, Leifsson PS, Phiri IK. *Taenia solium* porcine cysticercosis: viability of cysticerci and persistency of antibodies and cysticercal antigens after treatment with oxfendazole. *Vet Parasitol*. 2008;158(1–2):57–66.
82. Thomas H, Gönner R. [The efficacy of praziquantel against experimental cysticercosis and hydatidosis (author's transl)]. *Z Parasitenkd*. 1978;55(2):165–179.
83. Harrison L, Gallie G, Sewell M. Absorption of cysticerci in cattle after treatment of *Taenia saginata* cysticercosis with praziquantel. *Res Vet Sci*. 1984;37(3):378–380.
84. Pawlowski Z, Kozakeiwicz B, Wroblewski H. The efficacy of mebendazole and praziquantel against *Taenia saginata* cysticercosis in cattle. *Vet Sci Commun*. 1978;2(1):137–139.
85. Gallie G, Sewell M. Duration of immunity and absorption of cysticerci in calves after treatment of *Taenia saginata* cysticercosis with praziquantel. *Res Vet Sci*. 1983;34(2):127–130.
86. WHO, FAO, OIE. *WHO/FAO/OIE Guidelines for the Surveillance, Prevention and Control of Taeniosis/Cysticercosis*. Paris: OIE (World Organisation for Animal Health); 2005.
87. European Commission. *Commission Regulation (EU) No 854/2004: Laying Down Specific Rules for the Organisation of Official Controls on Products of Animal Origin Intended for Human Consumption*. Brussels: Union TEPatCotE; 2004.
88. European Food Safety Authority. Scientific opinion on the public health hazards to be covered by inspection of meat (bovine animals). *EFSA J*. 2013;11(6):3266.
89. Scotia PoN. Meat Inspection Regulations made under subsection 32(1) of the Meat Inspection Act. In: Scotia PoN, editor. O.I.C. 90-180 N.D. Reg. 46/901996.
90. Government of Kenya. *Meat Control Act*. Government of Kenya; 2012.
91. Herenda D, Chambers P, Ettriqui A, Seneviratna P, da Silva TJP. *FAO Manual on Meat Inspection for Developing Countries*. Vol. 119. 2 ed. Rome: FAO; 2000.
92. Hilwig RW, Cramer JD, Forsyth KS. Freezing times and temperatures required to kill cysticerci of *Taenia saginata* in beef. *Vet Parasitol*. 1978;4(3):215–219.
93. Ransom BH. The destruction of the vitality of *Cysticercus bovis* by freezing. *J Parasitol*. 1914;1(1):5–9.
94. Sotelo J, Rosas N, Palencia G. Freezing of infested pork muscle kills cysticerci. *JAMA*. 1986;256(7):893–894.
95. FAO, IAEA. *Elimination of Harmful Organisms from Food and Feed by Irradiation*. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency; 1967.
96. Rodríguez-Canul R, Argáez-Rodríguez F, Pacheco de la Gala D, et al. *Taenia solium* metacestode viability in infected pork after preparation with salt pickling or cooking methods common in Yucatan, Mexico. *J Food Prot*. 2002;65(4):666–669.
97. García HH, González AE, Del Brutto OH, et al. Strategies for the elimination of taeniasis/cysticercosis. *J Neurol Sci*. 2007;262(1):153–157.
98. Allen RW. The thermal death point of cysticerci of *Taenia saginata*. *J Parasitol*. 1947;33(4):331–338.
99. Djurković-Djaković O, Bobić B, Nikolić A, Klun I, Dupouy-Camet J. Pork as a source of human parasitic infection. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2013;19(7):586–594.
100. Boa M, Mukaratirwa S, Willingham AL, Johansen MV. Regional action plan for combating *Taenia solium* cysticercosis/taeniosis in Eastern and Southern Africa. *Acta Trop*. 2003;87(1):183–186.
101. Joshi DD, Maharjan M, Johansen MV, Willingham AL, Sharma M. Improving meat inspection and control in resource-poor communities: the Nepal example. *Acta Trop*. 2003;87(1):119–127.
102. Vora S, Motghare D, Ferreira A, Kulkarni M, Vaz F. Prevalence of human cysticercosis and taeniasis in rural Goa, India. *J Commun Dis*. 2008;40(2):147–150.
103. Pondja A, Neves L, Mlangwa J, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of porcine cysticercosis in Angonia District, Mozambique. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2010;4(2):e594.
104. Sikasunge CS, Phiri IK, Phiri AM, Dorny P, Siziya S, Willingham Iii AL. Risk factors associated with porcine cysticercosis in selected districts of Eastern and Southern provinces of Zambia. *Vet Parasitol*. 2007;143(1):59–66.
105. Sarti E, Schantz PM, Plancarte A, et al. Epidemiological investigation of *Taenia solium* taeniasis and cysticercosis in a rural village of Michoacan State, Mexico. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1992;88(1):49–52.
106. Ilsoe B, Kyvsgaard NC, Nansen P, Henriksen SA. Bovine cysticercosis in Denmark. *Acta Vet Scand*. 1990;31:159–168.
107. Dorny P, Phiri I, Gabriël S, Speybroeck N, Vercruyse J. A sero-epidemiological study of bovine cysticercosis in Zambia. *Vet Parasitol*. 2002;104(3):211–215.
108. Shey-Njila O, Zoli P, Awah-Ndukum J, et al. Porcine cysticercosis in village pigs of North-West Cameroon. *J Helminthol*. 2003;77:351–354.
109. Ngowi HA, Kassuku AA, Maeda GEM, Boa ME, Carabin H, Willingham AL. Risk factors for the prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in Mbulu District, Tanzania. *Vet Parasitol*. 2004;120(4):275–283.
110. Kagira JM, Maingi N, Kanyari PWN, Githigia SM, Ng'ang'a JC, Gachohi JM. Seroprevalence of *Cysticercus cellulosae* and associated risk factors in free-range pigs in Kenya. *J Helminthol*. 2010;84(04):398–403.
111. Eshitera EE, Githigia SM, Kitala P, et al. Prevalence of porcine cysticercosis and associated risk factors in Homa Bay District, Kenya. *BMC Vet Res*. 2012;8(1):234.
112. Mutua FK, Randolph TF, Arimi SM, et al. Palpable lingual cysts, a possible indicator of porcine cysticercosis, in Teso District, Western Kenya. *J Swine Health Prod*. 2007;15(4):206.
113. Sánchez AL, Medina MT, Ljungström I. Prevalence of taeniasis and cysticercosis in a population of urban residence in Honduras. *Acta Trop*. 1998;69(2):141–149.
114. McFadden A, Heath D, Morley C, Dorny P. Investigation of an outbreak of *Taenia saginata* cysts (*Cysticercus bovis*) in dairy cattle from two farms. *Vet Parasitol*. 2011;176(2):177–184.
115. Del Brutto OH, García HH. *Taenia solium* cysticercosis: new challenges for an old scourge. *Pathog Glob Health*. 2012;106(5):253–253.

116. Bulaya C, Mwape KE, Michelo C, et al. Preliminary evaluation of Community-Led Total Sanitation for the control of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in Katete District of Zambia. *Vet Parasitol.* 2015;207(3): 241–248.
117. Chambers R. Going to scale with Community-Led Total Sanitation: reflections on experience, issues and ways forward. *IDS Pract Pap.* 2009;2009(1):01–50.
118. Thys S, Mwape KE, Lefèvre P, et al. Why latrines are not used: communities' perceptions and practices regarding latrines in a *Taenia solium* endemic rural area in Eastern Zambia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2015;9(3):e0003570.
119. Bardosh K, Inthavong P, Xayaheuang S, Okello AL. Controlling parasites, understanding practices: the biosocial complexity of a one health intervention for neglected zoonotic helminths in northern Lao PDR. *Soc Sci Med.* 2014;120:215–223.
120. Boone I, Thys E, Marcotty T, De Borchgrave J, Ducheyne E, Dorny P. Distribution and risk factors of bovine cysticercosis in Belgian dairy and mixed herds. *Prev Vet Med.* 2007;82(1):1–11.
121. Cabaret J, Geerts S, Madeline M, Ballandonne C, Barbier D. The use of urban sewage sludge on pastures: the cysticercosis threat. *Vet Res.* 2002;33(5):575–597.
122. WHO. *The Control of Neglected Zoonotic Diseases: From Advocacy to Action: Report of the Fourth International Meeting Held at WHO Headquarters 19–20 November 2014.* Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2015.
123. Garcia HH, Gonzalez AE, Tsang VC, et al. Elimination of *Taenia solium* transmission in Northern Peru. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374(24):2335–2344.
124. Cruz M, Davis A, Dixon H, Pawlowski ZS, Proano J. Operational studies on the control of *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis in Ecuador. *Bull World Health Organ.* 1989;67(4):401.
125. Sarti E, Schantz P, Avila G, Ambrosio J, Medina-Santillan R, Flisser A. Mass treatment against human taeniasis for the control of cysticercosis: a population-based intervention study. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2000;94(1):85–89.
126. Sarti E, Schantz PM, Flisser A. Evaluation of two intervention strategies for the prevention and control of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in rural areas of Mexico. *Parasitol Int.* 1998;47:77.

Risk Management and Healthcare Policy

Publish your work in this journal

Risk Management and Healthcare Policy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on all aspects of public health, policy, and preventative measures to promote good health and improve morbidity and mortality in the population. The journal welcomes submitted papers covering original research, basic science, clinical and epidemiological

studies, reviews and evaluations, guidelines, expert opinion and commentary, case reports and extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/risk-management-and-healthcare-policy-journal>

Dovepress