The role of novel biomarkers in childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome: a narrative review of published evidence
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Abstract: Two histological subtypes of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome are commonly recognized in children, namely minimal change nephropathy and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. Children with minimal change nephropathy (the majority of whom are steroid-sensitive) and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (the majority of whom are steroid-resistant) require early identification in order to ensure appropriate therapeutic intervention and better outcome. Although renal biopsy and histology remain the ideal diagnostic steps to identify these histological subtypes, reports indicate that serum and urinary biomarkers are now being utilized in the investigation of childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. This paper aims to review the diagnostic and prognostic utility of novel biomarkers in childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome and to highlight their role in differentiating steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome (SRNS) from steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (SSNS). Using the terms “idiopathic nephrotic syndrome,” “children,” and “biomarkers” the PubMed database was searched for relevant studies related to the topic. Biomarkers such as adiponectin, neopterin, β2-microglobulin, and N-acetyl-β-D glucosaminidase were reported as diagnostic markers. In addition to neopterin and N-acetyl-β-D glucosaminidase, urine vitamin D-binding protein and α1-β-glycoprotein were shown to differentiate SRNS from SSNS while N-acetyl-β-D glucosaminidase and β2-microglobulin could predict steroid responsiveness and renal outcome in SRNS. Although progress has been made in demonstrating the diagnostic and prognostic utility of these biomarkers, their limited availability in most laboratories has precluded a complete paradigm shift from the conventional renal biopsy. Nevertheless, further longitudinal studies are required to establish their usefulness as noninvasive predictors of disease response to immunosuppressive therapy.
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Introduction
Nephrotic syndrome is the most common presentation of glomerular disease in children.1 The syndrome is characterized by the tetrad of massive proteinuria (more than 1 g/m²/24 h), hypoalbuminemia, generalized edema, and hyperlipidemia. It can be congenital or acquired; the acquired causes can either be idiopathic (primary) or secondary. Two histological subtypes of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome are commonly recognized in children, namely, minimal change nephropathy (MCN) and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS).1

An earlier report by the International Study of Kidney Disease in Children suggests that a preponderance of preadolescent children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome presents with MCN on renal biopsy.2 More than 90% of children with this histological subtype achieve remission with oral corticosteroids; hence, MCN is also identified as...
Steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome (SSNS). However, frequent relapses and steroid dependence are disease outcomes in about 20%-60% of affected children. Conversely, FSGS is characterized by steroid resistance in a majority of patients, steroid sensitivity in only about 20% of cases, as well as a high risk of developing end-stage renal disease.

Various hypotheses regarding the pathogenesis of the syndrome have evolved over time, with the current paradigm revolving around a podocytopathy. The podocyte clearly plays a critical role in maintaining the glomerular filtration barrier and structural integrity; thus, podocyte injury and loss contribute to proteinuria and progressive sclerosis. For instance, one report indicates that the podocyte expresses receptors for interleukin-4 and interleukin-13, which, if activated by these cytokines, might disrupt glomerular permeability resulting in proteinuria. Podocyte injury can occur in several immunologic and nonimmunologic diseases of the kidney. Idiopathic MCN and FSGS (acquired podocytopathies) are considered as immunologic diseases. Notably, immunosuppressive agents such as corticosteroids and calcineurin inhibitors are known to protect the podocyte against injury and loss by stabilizing its actin cytoskeleton, and calcineurin inhibitors are known to protect the podocyte.

The diagnostic, prognostic, and discriminatory roles of biomarkers

This paper aims to review the diagnostic and prognostic utility of novel biomarkers in childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome and to highlight their role in the differentiation of SRNS from SSNS.

Literature search

Using these terms “idiopathic nephrotic syndrome,” “children,” and “biomarkers” the PubMed database was searched for relevant studies related to the topic. Studies included for review were conducted in children and published not more than 20 years ago. Information was also gathered from a few other reports irrespective of the year of publication.

The diagnostic, prognostic, and discriminatory roles of biomarkers

With respect to biomarkers of renal injury, an ideal example should have the following characteristics: its secretion after cell injury and its organ specificity, its level being proportionate to the extent of cell injury, its early production after a reversible organ injury, its quick reduction in level after injury to ensure its use as a treatment-monitoring tool, and its ability to be promptly and reliably estimated. In fact, proteomic biomarkers constitute a potential armamentarium for enhancing the management of patients with renal diseases by enabling a more accurate and earlier detection. Several novel biomarkers have recently been documented as diagnostic and prognostic tools in diseases such as pediatric acute kidney injury, and urinary tract infection.

Similarly, the utility of biomarkers in childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome has been reported; their application constitutes a noninvasive approach in diagnostic nephrology, as they can be used as diagnostic and prognostic tools in idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, as well as discriminatory tools in distinguishing SRNS from SSNS (Table 1).

For example, Bakr et al have reported the diagnostic utility of serum neopterin levels in children with idiopathic or primary nephrotic syndrome. In their study, the researchers investigated two groups of children with the disease: 38 patients with active idiopathic nephrotic syndrome (comprising 28 SSNS patients and 10 SRSN patients) (group I) and 17 patients with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome who were in remission (group II), as well as 20 healthy controls. Serum neopterin levels were estimated in the cohorts, and all patients in these cohorts had normal creatinine clearance. Interestingly, the serum neopterin levels were significantly elevated among the group I patients with the active disease in comparison to group II patients in remission, and even the controls. Notably, in patients in remission and the controls,
similar neopterin levels were observed. While a significant positive correlation between the levels of the biomarker and the degree of proteinuria was observed in group I patients, no significant differences in its levels were noted between SSNS and SRNS patients. The findings of these authors highlighted the diagnostic utility of neopterin for active idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, but underscored its poor discriminatory ability for SSNS and SRNS.

Other investigators have reported the role of adipokines in the investigation of idiopathic nephrotic syndrome; these adipokines – adiponectin (ADPN), complement 3 (C3), and acylation stimulating protein (ASP) – whose plasma levels are altered in childhood obesity in the absence of lipid changes may specifically predispose to enhanced fat storage (mediated by ASP) and decreased fat oxidation (mediated by ADPN).

Thus, Bakkalğolu et al evaluated the effect of nephrotic state on serum ADPN levels in pediatric patients with SRNS by comparing the levels in relapse and remission as well as in controls, and they noted that elevated serum ADPN levels were found in SRNS patients in relapse compared to those in remission. For instance, strong positive correlations were observed between serum ADPN levels and lipid parameters/proteinuria. Conversely, negative correlations were documented between ADPN levels and serum protein/albumin levels. This observation possibly reflects a compensatory response to the nephrotic state which is characterized by massive proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, and hyperlipidemia.

Other researchers in China sought to examine the relationship between serum ASP level/serum C3 level and blood lipids in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome by estimating the fasting serum ASP, C3, albumin, and blood lipids of the patients with proteinuria, those in remission, and their healthy counterparts who served as controls. Unlike serum C3 levels, the serum ASP levels in the proteinuria group were observed to be significantly higher than those in the remission and the control groups. Furthermore, the serum levels of total cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein, and apolipoprotein B were elevated in the proteinuria group when compared with the remission and the control groups. Serum ASP levels were positively correlated with serum C3 and triglyceride levels, but serum C3 levels were not correlated with serum triglyceride levels: underscoring the fact that the elevated serum ASP level may be linked with a complement-related mechanism against hyperlipidemia in children with the disease.

In a recent cross-sectional study, Bennett et al reported that uVDBP could distinguish SRNS from SSNS with a high discriminatory power; the researchers tested the hypothesis that the biomarker represents a discriminatory tool for both forms of nephrotic syndrome, given its increased urinary excretion in idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Remarkably, they observed that levels of uVDBP were significantly higher in patients with SRNS than in patients with SSNS and normal controls: a finding that was unchanged even after correction for urine creatinine. There was a significant negative correlation between uVDBP and estimated glomerular filtration rate, although uVDBP remained markedly increased in SRNS patients with normal estimated glomerular filtration rate. Despite the positive correlation between microalbuminuria and uVDBP, the latter showed a higher discriminatory ability for differentiating SRNS from SSNS than the former.

Mishra et al evaluated the differential excretion of urinary N-acetyl-β-D glucosaminidase (NAG) among three subgroups of patients with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, namely, those who presented with first episode (FENS patients), those with relapses (RNS patients), and those with steroid resistance (SRNS patients), as the enzyme is

### Table 1: Studies reporting the role of novel biomarkers in childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study method</th>
<th>Novel biomarkers (body fluid)</th>
<th>Reported role of biomarkers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakkalğolu et al, 2005</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, case-control</td>
<td>Adiponectin (serum)</td>
<td>Diagnosticc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Bakr et al, 2006</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, case-control</td>
<td>Neopterin (serum)</td>
<td>Diagnosticc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett et al, 2016</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, case-control</td>
<td>Vitamin D binding protein (urine)</td>
<td>Discriminatoryc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mishra et al, 2012</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, case-control</td>
<td>NAG (urine)</td>
<td>Discriminatoryc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piyaphanee et al, 2011</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, case-control</td>
<td>A1BG (13.8 kDa fragment) (urine)</td>
<td>Prognosticc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fede et al, 1999</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Cross-sectional, case-control</td>
<td>β2M NAG (urine)</td>
<td>Prognosticc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Çalışkan et al, 1996</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>β2M NAG (urine)</td>
<td>Diagnosticc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** aElevated serum levels in SRNS relapse. bElevated serum levels in primary active nephrotic syndrome. cDifferentiates SRNS from SSNS. dPredicts steroid-responsiveness. ePredicts tubular injury and dysfunction in SRNS. fElevated levels in SRNS and SSNS.

**Abbreviations:** β2M, β2-microglobulin; A1BG, α1-β glycoprotein; NAG, N-acetyl-β-D glucosaminidase; SRNS, steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome; SSNS, steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome.
a sensitive biomarker of renal parenchymal disease. The enrolled patients were investigated alongside age-and sex-matched normal children who served as controls. The major findings of their study were the significant elevation in urinary NAG/creatinine levels among SRNS patients as compared to FENS and RNS patients, similar biomarker levels among the FENS and RNS patients, significant correlations between experimental and predicted values of urinary NAG/creatinine in SSNS and SRNS, and observation of higher values in SRNS than in SSNS patients, since it has moderate predictive value for steroid sensitivity or responsiveness. Thus, this urine biomarker obviously has both prognostic and discriminatory roles in childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome.

In a study in South Korea, another biomarker (urobiomarker for podocytopathy) was investigated by Lee et al17 in order to determine its role in predicting steroid sensitivity or renal pathological state in patients with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Although the biomarker was detectable in 62.5% of the patients they studied, there was no significant difference in the degree of proteinuria between patients with or without the biomarker and no significant difference in the number of the patients with the detectable biomarker according to steroid sensitivity or renal pathological state – findings which failed to verify its role as a reliable biomarker in childhood idiopathic nephrotic syndrome.17

In a cross-sectional pilot study, another group of researchers also evaluated a novel biomarker that could potentially differentiate SRNS from SSNS;18 urine and clinical data were gathered from pediatric patients with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, as well as from healthy controls. Interestingly, this biomarker – the 13.8 kDa fragment of α 1-β glycoprotein – was detected in urine in about 37.5% of the patients with SRNS who also had lower GFR, but was not detected in their counterparts with SSNS and the controls. In this study, the biomarker clearly showed a high discriminatory ability for steroid resistance in nephrotic syndrome, albeit present in only a subset of patients.

To corroborate the report of Mishra et al,16 Fede et al19 assessed urinary excretion of NAG with β2-microglobulin (β2M) in children with nephrotic syndrome as increased urinary excretion of both biomarkers is considered an indicator of tubulo-interstitial disease. They studied three subgroups of children: those with SSNS, those with SRNS, and age- and sex-matched healthy controls. In summary, the authors found that at onset, excretion of NAG was significantly higher in children with SSNS than in controls, whereas in SRNS at onset, excretion of NAG and β2M was significantly higher than in SSNS and remained the same at the end of steroid therapy; the findings suggest that urinary excretion of NAG and β2M may be a reliable indicator of the tubular dysfunction that is usually seen in SRNS patients.19 Generally, enzymuria reflects tubular injury, while low-molecular-weight proteinuria points to tubular dysfunction. In the study by Cališkan et al,20 increased excretion of urinary NAG and β2M were clearly demonstrated in pediatric patients with SSNS and SRNS. There was a positive correlation between proteinuria and urinary NAG and β2M excretion in these patients indicating that heavy glomerular proteinuria may lead to a marked urinary NAG excretion and a moderate urinary β2M elevation independent of primary renal pathology. Other investigators who compared the accuracy of urinary β2M and NAG in predicting renal insufficiency and remission rates in adult patients with idiopathic membranous nephropathy, however, reported that β2M was more superior than NAG as a prognostic tool.47 Even though both biomarkers were found to be predictors of renal outcome in idiopathic membranous nephropathy, β2M was noted to be more accurate in this prognostic role.

Furthermore, the prognostic utility of β2M in patients with this form of nephropathy has also been reported by Reichert et al.48 In a longitudinal study, the authors estimated urinary β2M in 30 adult patients with the nephropathy, a nephrotic syndrome, and normal renal function. Remarkably, β2M excretion correlated with deterioration of renal function: suggesting that its measurement may not only help in identifying patients at high risk for renal insufficiency but may also serve as a guide for early immunosuppressive therapy.48 Their findings are in agreement with the report of Branten et al49 who noted that urinary immunoglobulin G and β2M are reliable predictors of renal insufficiency in idiopathic membranous nephropathy. Their prospective study, which was similarly conducted on adult patients, revealed that urinary β2M was the strongest independent predictor of adverse renal outcome. However, combining the excretions of both macromolecules significantly improved the specificity for predicting renal insufficiency in these patients with primary nephropathy.

Interestingly, the prognostic utility of NAG was further elucidated by Bazzi et al50 in another study of adult 136 patients with primary glomerulonephritis; the authors observed that urinary NAG excretion values were significantly correlated with 24-hour proteinuria, IgG excretion, and fractional excretion (FE) of α(1) microglobulin (α(1) m). The finding underscores the fact that urinary NAG excretion appears to be a dependable marker of proteinuria-
related tubule toxicity in the early stage of the histological subtypes of primary glomerulonephritis, namely, idiopathic membranous nephropathy, FSGS, and MCN. The estimation of this biomarker could also serve as a useful parameter for prompt identification of patients who would later develop chronic renal failure or go into clinical remission or achieve response to immunosuppressive treatment.

Given the inability of any clinical or histological feature to predict responsiveness to therapy, another group of researchers assessed whether FE of IgG (FE IgG) and α (1)m correlated with histological lesions; whether these markers could predict outcome; and whether they could serve as therapeutic guides. In the study of 50 patients with FSGS, the authors demonstrated that FE IgG showed the best predictive value for remission, progression, and response to therapy, and hence may be utilized to guide therapy. Specifically, it was noted that in these patients, FE IgG was at the limit of statistically significant relationship with segmental sclerosis, while FE α (1)m was associated with tubulo-interstitial injury.

**Conclusion**

Biomarkers are useful tools for diagnosis and prognostication in both childhood and adult idiopathic nephrotic syndrome, as well as for differentiating SRNS from SSNS. Currently, clinical and histological features are still dependable guides for predicting disease responsiveness to steroid therapy. However, biomarkers can now be utilized to predict renal outcome in the disease, as well as to promptly identify those patients who would respond to immunosuppressive therapy. Although progress has been made in demonstrating the prognostic and discriminatory roles of these biomarkers, their limited availability in most laboratories has precluded a complete paradigm shift from the conventional renal biopsy. Nevertheless, further longitudinal studies are still required to establish their usefulness as noninvasive predictors of disease response to immunosuppressive therapy.
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