The impact of comorbid psychiatric disorders on methadone maintenance treatment in opioid use disorder: a prospective cohort study

Objective: There is a significant interindividual variability in treatment outcomes in methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) for opioid use disorder (OUD). This prospective cohort study examines the impact of comorbid psychiatric disorders on continued illicit opioid use in patients receiving MMT for OUD.

Methods: Data were collected from 935 patients receiving MMT in outpatient clinics between June 2011 and June 2015. Using linear regression analysis, we evaluated the impact of having a comorbid psychiatric disorder on continued illicit opioid use during MMT, adjusting for important confounders. The main outcome measure was percentage of opioid-positive urine screens for 6 months. We conducted a subgroup analysis to determine the influence of specific comorbid psychiatric disorders, including substance use disorders, on continued illicit opioid use.

Results: Approximately 80% of participants had at least one comorbid psychiatric disorder in addition to OUD, and 42% of participants had a comorbid substance use disorder. There was no significant association between having a psychiatric comorbidity and continuing opioid use ($P=0.248$). Results from subgroup analysis, however, suggest that comorbid tranquilizer use disorders are associated with increased rates of continuing opioid use ($\beta=20.781$, $P<0.001$) and cocaine use disorders are associated with increased rates of continuing opioid use ($\beta=6.344$, $P=0.031$).

Conclusion: Results from our study may serve to guide future MMT guidelines. Specifically, we find that cocaine or tranquilizer use disorder, comorbid with OUD, places patients at high risk for poor MMT outcomes. Treatment centers may choose to gear more intensive therapy toward such populations.
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Introduction

Opioid use disorder (OUD) is the largest contributor to disability-adjusted life years caused by drug use worldwide.\(^1\) Efforts to maximize treatment efficacy and reduce opioid abuse are timely as the prevalence of OUD is on the rise,\(^2,3\) with its global burden increasing by 74% between 1990 and 2010.\(^4\)

Methadone maintenance treatment (MMT) is a widely implemented and effective treatment for OUD,\(^5\) yet there is significant interindividual variability in treatment effectiveness and outcomes.\(^6-8\) To improve treatment, research groups are actively investigating the factors that influence MMT outcomes.\(^8-15\) Well-documented predictors of treatment outcome include length of time in treatment,\(^11-13\) methadone dose,\(^14\) and injecting behavior.\(^15\) The impact of comorbid psychiatric disorders on MMT outcome, however, remains unclear.
Comorbid psychiatric disorders place individuals using recreational or prescription opioids at an increased risk for developing OUD. Similarly, patients with OUD have a higher lifetime prevalence of psychiatric disorders, including other substance use disorders (SUDs), compared to that in the general population. As psychiatric comorbidity is associated with increased risk for opioid dependence, one may infer that it similarly impacts MMT outcomes. However, current literature investigating the relationship between psychiatric comorbidity and MMT outcomes is inconclusive, with some studies reporting lack of association, while others document adverse impact or protective effects of psychiatric comorbidity.

In this prospective cohort study, we investigated the influence of comorbid psychiatric disorders on MMT outcome during a 6-month duration in patients with OUD. Our objective was to determine the impact of a patient’s psychiatric profile (ie, the presence of comorbid psychiatric disorders and use of psychotropic medications) on response to MMT treatment.

Specifically, we examined the following:
1. The prevalence of comorbid psychiatric disorders in a cohort of patients with OUD receiving MMT.
2. The impact of comorbid psychiatric disorders on continued opioid use during MMT as assessed by urine drug screen.
3. The association between specific comorbid psychiatric and SUDs and continued opioid use during MMT.

Methods
We used observational data from the Genetics of Opioid Addiction (GENOA) program in this study. We collected data from patients with OUD receiving MMT at 13 community-based outpatient methadone clinics across Southern Ontario, Canada, between June 2011 and June 2015. Ethics approval was obtained from the Hamilton Integrated Research Ethics Board (project ID 11-056), and written informed consent was obtained from each study participant. Further details related to GENOA study methods have been previously reported. This study is reported in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines.

Participants
Using the following inclusion criteria, we screened and recruited study participants: males and females aged 18 years or older, diagnosed with OUD as per the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria, and receiving MMT for their OUD. Participants must have also been able to provide written informed consent.

Participants receiving opioid substitution therapy other than methadone were excluded from the study, as were those unable to communicate in English. All treatment centers included in our study are managed centrally and follow the same management protocols.

Data collection and instruments
Participants provided information on sociodemographic factors, medical history, current medications, daily methadone dose, and length of time in treatment through face-to-face interviews. Participants also completed the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) version 6.0, administered by trained interviewers. The MINI has been validated against both the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM diagnoses (SCID) and the Composite International Diagnostic Interview for ICD-10 (CIDI) in English.

We administered all modules of the MINI 6.0, including mood disorder (major depression or bipolar affective disorder), anxiety disorder (generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, and panic disorder with and without agoraphobia), psychotic disorder (schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, brief psychotic disorder, delusional disorder, substance-induced psychosis, and psychosis not otherwise specified [NOS]), antisocial personality disorder, eating disorder (anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa), alcohol use disorder, and SUD.

MINI diagnoses of anxiety disorders and eating disorders reflect only current symptomatology in the past month, whereas diagnoses of mood, psychotic, and antisocial personality disorders reflect past year and lifetime symptomatology. Diagnoses of alcohol and substance abuse reflect 12-month history of symptomatology. Substances assessed for abuse in the MINI include stimulants, cocaine, narcotics, hallucinogens, phencyclidine, inhalants, cannabis, tranquilizers, and “other” (ie, steroids, nonprescription sleep or diet pills, and cough medicine).

Urine toxicology analysis
In MMT clinical sites, methadone is provided to patients under supervision, and urine drug screens for illicit opioids are conducted at weekly or biweekly frequency. We collected information on illicit opioid use patterns through urine toxicology screening, using the iMDx™ Prep assay. This assay detects opioids present in the urine at concentrations above 300 ng/mL and distinguishes between methadone, prescribed synthetic opioids, and naturally occurring opioids.
For the purpose of this study, an “opioid-positive” urine drug screen is defined by the presence of a nonmethadone opioid in a patient’s urine sample, with the exception of those receiving prescription opioids for reasons other than OUD (eg, chronic pain), confirmed by their documented medical chart.

**Primary analysis and outcome measures**

Our objective is to determine the association between presence of psychiatric comorbidity and MMT outcome. The primary outcome in this study is continued illicit opioid abuse during a 6-month period of MMT, as detected by opioid-positive urine samples. Results are measured as the percentage of opioid-positive urine drug screens per total number of urine screens available over a 6-month duration.

Although we acknowledge that MMT success may be assessed through several treatment outcomes, including retention in treatment, risk taking behaviors, and social stability, the primary indication of MMT is to ameliorate withdrawal symptoms, reduce cravings for opioids, and ultimately promote abstinence from illicit opioids.\(^\text{41, 42}\) Urine toxicology is the gold standard for detecting illicit opioid use during MMT, and many studies have used the absence of illicit opioids, as measured through urine drug screens, as a treatment outcome indicator for MMT.\(^\text{41, 43}\)

We compare demographic and clinical information of patients with psychiatric comorbidity, as diagnosed by MINI, to those with no comorbid psychiatric diagnoses. For the purposes of this study, we define “psychiatric comorbidity” as the presence of any MINI diagnosis in addition to OUD.

We constructed a multiple linear regression model, in which percentage of opioid-positive urine drug screens was the continuous-dependent variable. Covariates included psychiatric comorbidity (a bivariate variable, noting presence, or absence of any MINI diagnosis), age, sex, methadone dose, duration in MMT, and psychotropic medications. Results are reported in \(\beta\) coefficient and standard error. Positive \(\beta\) values indicate a higher percentage of opioid-positive urine drug screens and thus higher illicit opioid use.

To further assess the relationship between psychiatric comorbidity and MMT outcome, we conducted a sensitivity analysis in which we used the multiple linear regression model described above but excluded participants who did not have a MINI-diagnosed psychiatric comorbidity yet were treated with psychotropic medications (n=51) to avoid missing cases of psychiatric comorbidity.

**Secondary analyses**

We conducted a subgroup analysis to determine the association between specific comorbid psychiatric and SUDs and continued illicit opioid use. We used a multiple linear regression model in which percentage of opioid-positive urine drug screens was the dependent variable. Covariates included age, sex, methadone dose, and duration in MMT, as well as the following aforementioned psychiatric disorder groups: mood disorders, anxiety disorders, psychotic disorders, antisocial personality disorder, and alcohol use disorder. Due to low prevalence of eating disorders (n=7), we excluded eating disorders from our regression analyses. We also included comorbid SUD variables, grouped by the substance used: stimulants, cocaine, hallucinogens, phencyclidines, cannabis, tranquilizers, and other.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA version 12.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). We present patient demographic data by psychiatric comorbidity status, assessed using independent sample \(t\)-tests and Chi-square tests. Mean values and standard deviations (SDs) are reported for continuous variables, and percentages for categorical variables. Multivariable linear regression analyses were conducted as described above. We assessed for multicollinearity using variance inflation factor testing. The level of significance for hypothesis testing was set at \(\alpha=0.05\).

After excluding 279 participants for not having MINI or urine drug screen data, we had 652 participants included in the analysis (Figure 1, participants flow diagram). Our sample size of 652 participants was adequately powered to perform these analyses allowing for more than 10 participants per covariate.\(^\text{41}\)

**Figure 1** GENOA study eligibility and screening of participants.

**Note:** Excluded due to treatment with buprenorphine for substitute opioid therapy.

**Abbreviation:** GENOA, Genetics of Opioid Addiction.
Results
Characteristics of participants with and without psychiatric comorbidity

Our sample consisted of 78.53% of participants with a comorbid psychiatric disorder (n=512), of which 48.44% were females, with a mean age of 37.96 years (SD: 10.70; Table 1).

Participants with psychiatric comorbidity were younger (P<0.001), more commonly males (P=0.012), and more likely to be unemployed (P=0.005). Participants with psychiatric comorbidity were also more commonly using a psychotropic medication (P=0.01). The mean proportion of opioid-positive urine drug screens obtained from participants with psychiatric comorbidity was 18.93% (SD: 27.42), compared to 17% (SD: 27.18) in those without a psychiatric comorbidity, which was not significantly different (P=0.46; Table 1). In the psychiatric comorbidity group, 32.42% of participants had zero opioid-positive urine drug screens, as compared to 37.86% of participants without psychiatric comorbidity (P=0.228; Table 1).

Prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity and use of psychotropic medication

Anxiety disorders were the most common comorbid psychiatric disorder identified, with 42.64% of participants meeting MINI diagnostic criteria for generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, or panic disorder (Table 2).

We identified mood disorders in 41.41% of participants, and comorbid mood and anxiety disorders in 20.12% of participants (Table 2).

A comorbid SUD, in addition to OUD, was identified in 41.56% of participants. The most commonly abused substance was cannabis (27.91%) followed by cocaine (19.94%) and tranquilizers (4.29%; Table 2).

Just under half (46.01%) of the participants were prescribed psychotropic medications in addition to methadone (Table 1; n=300), with 24.54% reporting the use of two or more psychotropic medications (data not shown). Antidepressants were the most commonly used psychotropic medication (27.45%), followed by benzodiazepines (23.93%), antipsychotics (12.73%), stimulants (4.14%), nonbenzodiazepine sedatives (2.91%), and mood stabilizers (1.84%; data not shown). Participants with psychiatric comorbidity were more commonly taking antidepressants and antipsychotics (data not shown). There was no significant difference between the two groups in their use of benzodiazepines, nonbenzodiazepine sedatives, stimulants, or mood stabilizers (data not shown).

Impact of psychiatric comorbidity on MMT outcome

Our primary analysis did not show a significant association between presence of a psychiatric comorbidity and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics, N=652</th>
<th>Psychiatric comorbidity, n=512</th>
<th>No psychiatric comorbidity, n=140</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current age (years), mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>37.96±10.70 (18–65)</td>
<td>42.49±1.67 (21–64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (% of subgroup)</td>
<td>M: 264 (51.56)</td>
<td>M: 89 (63.57)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F: 248 (48.44)</td>
<td>F: 51 (36.43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest completed education, n (% of subgroup)</td>
<td>None: 1 (0.20)</td>
<td>None: 0</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary: 95 (18.63)</td>
<td>Elementary: 16 (11.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary: 271 (53.14)</td>
<td>Secondary: 85 (61.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade school: 21 (4.12)</td>
<td>Trade school: 7 (5.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College/university: 121 (23.73)</td>
<td>College/university: 30 (21.74)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters/PhD: 1 (0.20)</td>
<td>Masters/PhD: 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment, n (% of subgroup)</td>
<td>350 (68.36)</td>
<td>78 (55.71)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using psychotropic medication, n (% of subgroup)</td>
<td>249 (48.63)</td>
<td>51 (36.43)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone dose (mg/d), mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>77.12±45.84 (2–400)</td>
<td>78.67±48.39 (6–280)</td>
<td>0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration on MMT (months), mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>50.18±50.87 (0.1–276)</td>
<td>52.70±46.22 (0.3–216)</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of urine drug screens completed, mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>34.09±1.98 (7–76)</td>
<td>30.75±1.45 (2–74)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent opioid-positive urine drug screens, mean ± SD (range)</td>
<td>18.93±27.42 (0–100)</td>
<td>17.00±27.18 (0–100)</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstinence from illicit opioid use, n (% of subgroup)</td>
<td>166 (32.42)</td>
<td>53 (37.86)</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Psychiatric comorbidity in addition to OUD, as diagnosed by MINI, including major depression, bipolar affective disorder, anxiety disorder, psychotic disorder, eating disorder, antisocial personality disorder, substance use disorder and alcohol use disorder. Psychotropic medication taken in addition to methadone, including antidepressants, benzodiazepines, nonbenzodiazepine sedatives, antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, and stimulants. Number of urine drug screens completed over 6-month period, including 3 months prior to study entry and 3 months poststudy entry. Percentage of urine drug screens detecting illicit opioid use, taken over 6-month period, including 3 months prior to study entry and 3 months poststudy entry. Number of participants with zero opioid-positive urine drug screens completed over 6-month period, including 3 months prior to study entry and 3 months poststudy entry.

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male; MINI, Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MMT, methadone maintenance treatment; OUD, opioid use disorder; SD, standard deviation.
Table 2 Psychiatric comorbidity identified in participants receiving methadone treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Number (% total study sample, N=652)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder*</td>
<td>270 (41.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depression</td>
<td>184 (28.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar affective disorder type I</td>
<td>73 (11.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar affective disorder type II</td>
<td>13 (1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder*</td>
<td>278 (42.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>128 (19.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia</td>
<td>94 (14.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>71 (10.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive compulsive disorder</td>
<td>70 (10.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder with agoraphobia</td>
<td>98 (15.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder without agoraphobia</td>
<td>30 (4.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-occurring mood and anxiety disorders</td>
<td>150 (23.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotic disorder*</td>
<td>20 (3.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>8 (1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoaffective disorder</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief psychotic disorder</td>
<td>1 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delusional disorder</td>
<td>6 (0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance-induced psychosis</td>
<td>4 (0.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis NOS</td>
<td>3 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating disorder*</td>
<td>7 (1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>1 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulimia nervosa</td>
<td>6 (1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial personality disorder</td>
<td>146 (22.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance use disorder*</td>
<td>271 (41.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulants</td>
<td>44 (6.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>130 (19.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogens</td>
<td>26 (3.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phencyclidines</td>
<td>6 (0.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalants</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis</td>
<td>182 (27.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquilizers</td>
<td>28 (4.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use disorder*</td>
<td>83 (12.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Psychiatric comorbidity as diagnosed by MINI. †Percentages do not sum to 100% as some individuals are diagnosed with multiple comorbid disorders. ‡Mood disorder includes major depressive episode, major depressive disorder, and bipolar affective disorder types I and II. † Anxiety disorder includes generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder, and panic disorder with and without agoraphobia. Psychotic disorder includes schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, brief psychotic disorder, delusional disorder, substance-induced psychosis, and psychosis NOS. Eating disorder includes anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. Substance use disorder includes substance abuse and substance dependence associated with use of the following substances: stimulants, cocaine, hallucinogens, phencyclidines, inhalants, cannabis, tranquilizers, and other. ‡Alcohol use disorder includes alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence.

Abbreviations: MINI, Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview; NOS, not otherwise specified.

The subgroup analysis revealed the influence of the specific psychiatric and substance use comorbidities on MMT treatment outcome (Table 4). Having a comorbid tranquilizer use disorder (β=20.781; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 10.006, 31.555; P<0.001; Table 4) or cocaine use disorder (β=6.344; 95% CI: 0.592, 12.095; P=0.031; Table 4) was associated with continued illicit opioid use, with positive β-values indicating higher percentage of opioid-positive urine drug screens (Table 4). No other comorbid psychiatric disorders were significantly associated with the presence of opioid-positive urine drug screens (Table 4).

Table 3 Influence of psychiatric comorbidity on percentage of opioid-positive urine drug screens (N=652)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>Estimated β</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current age (years)</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>−0.040, 0.385</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>−2.542</td>
<td>−6.803, 1.719</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone dose (mg/d)*</td>
<td>−0.074</td>
<td>−0.120, −0.028</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration on MMT (months)</td>
<td>−0.035</td>
<td>−0.081, 0.011</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric comorbidity</td>
<td>3.069</td>
<td>−2.145, 8.282</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using psychotropic medication</td>
<td>−2.084</td>
<td>−6.398, 2.229</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *R²=0.026, adjusted R²=0.017. †P<0.05.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; MMT, methadone maintenance treatment.

Discussion

Implications for treatment

In this large prospective study, we found a high proportion of patients with OUD to have other psychiatric disorders and SUDs, most commonly anxiety and mood disorders. The most commonly used substances in this population were cannabis and cocaine. Approximately two-thirds of patients in this study continued to use illicit opioids while receiving MMT.

MMT is not without risks, and the possibility of overdose and death is of key concern to clinicians. In particular, continued use of illicit opioids during methadone treatment carries significant risk of overdose. Thus, urine screens...
for illicit opioids are an important measure of methadone treatment safety and efficacy.

We found that participants with comorbid tranquilizer and cocaine use disorders were more likely to show continued illicit opioid use while in MMT. The association with tranquilizer use disorder was particularly significant. Poly-substance use has been identified as a major contributing factor to decreased abstinence and retention in treatment,\textsuperscript{12} as well as methadone-related deaths, particularly early in the course of MMT.\textsuperscript{47,48} Cocaine, amphetamines, benzodiazepines, and alcohol are especially implicated in poly-substance use leading to death.\textsuperscript{49}

In the MINI, “tranquilizers” are considered to include benzodiazepines, benzodiazepine-derivatives, barbiturates, and gamma-hydroxybutyrate.\textsuperscript{37} Benzodiazepines, in particular, are medications commonly prescribed for anxiety or insomnia that have well-known abuse liability\textsuperscript{50} and require careful consideration as to the risks associated with their use in MMT.\textsuperscript{51} Numerous studies have documented adverse effects of ongoing benzodiazepine use during MMT, negatively impacting abstinence,\textsuperscript{11} retention in treatment,\textsuperscript{12} and risk of relapse to opioid use.\textsuperscript{52–54}

An investigation by Chen et al\textsuperscript{55} revealed that half of the patients using benzodiazepines during MMT began using them, or increased use, upon commencing treatment for OUD. We found that approximately 25% of our study participants were taking routinely prescribed benzodiazepines— and there was no significant difference in rate of use between participants with and without MINI-diagnosed psychiatric comorbidity. Further, our study is in agreement with a study by White et al,\textsuperscript{56} which revealed that only nonprescription benzodiazepines were associated with negative consequences on MMT outcomes. We found that when participants reported tranquilizers as drugs of abuse, this was associated with continued illicit opioid use, whereas prescribed benzodiazepine use was not associated with the same (data not shown).

It has been shown that misuse of sedative medications, including benzodiazepines, is not improved by treatment in MMT.\textsuperscript{53} Understanding the reason for benzodiazepine misuse in MMT will be important for improving treatment outcomes. At the same time, treating anxiety or insomnia in patients who are dependent on opioids requires careful clinical consideration and recognizing which patients will benefit from benzodiazepine treatment for their psychiatric comorbidity is integral.\textsuperscript{57}

In keeping with previous evidence,\textsuperscript{12,58,59} our study showed that cocaine use is also associated with continued opioid use while on MMT. Conversely, decreased cocaine use has been associated with cessation of illicit opioid use.\textsuperscript{60} Cocaine use, however, does not appear to be significantly altered by treatment in MMT,\textsuperscript{53,61} and though occasional cocaine use may be reduced, there is little impact on regular use.\textsuperscript{62}

Cannabis use disorder was the most prevalent substance use comorbidity we identified, though it had no association with continued illicit opioid use. Previous literature has been inconclusive regarding its impact on MMT outcome, with both beneficial\textsuperscript{63} and detrimental associations demonstrated.\textsuperscript{64} As such, the association between cannabis use and MMT outcomes is an important area of ongoing study.\textsuperscript{65}

Knowing factors associated with reduced abstinence from illicit opioids while on MMT is an opportunity for clinicians to improve outcomes and minimize serious adverse events such as drug–drug interactions, overdose, and mortality. Yet, knowledge of these risks, without appropriate intervention, does not suffice, as in many cases of methadone-related deaths, clinicians are aware of the patient’s history of poly-substance abuse or dependence.\textsuperscript{48} The issue of comorbid substances is not uniquely addressed in MMT, and no specialized treatment plans for these patients are defined and implemented.\textsuperscript{66} Our study adds to the knowledge of comorbid substance abuse during MMT and calls for vigilance in monitoring patients’ drug use other than opioids.

Further, the presence of other comorbid psychiatric disorders must be acknowledged in MMT, and treatment should be tailored to maximize the likelihood of positive treatment outcomes. Approximately 80% of our participants in MMT met MINI criteria for one or more psychiatric disorders. Whether these patients had been previously recognized to have psychiatric comorbidity is unknown, and it is possible that without structured screening some patients may not receive appropriate diagnosis, treatment, and support. The high prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity in OUD suggests that a thorough review of past psychiatric history and current symptomatology is warranted for each patient entering MMT.

We found that many participants with no psychiatric comorbidity were regularly taking a psychotropic medication. For those patients with no identifiable psychiatric comorbidity, care must be taken to prevent polypharmacy and overmedication. On the other hand, it may be that these participants had a psychiatric disorder not captured by the MINI time frame for some disorders, or, alternatively, that the medications were managing their symptoms such that they were not captured on screening. Although these participants did not score to meet diagnostic criteria in the MINI, because they were prescribed psychotropic medications, they...
may have a psychiatric comorbidity. To account for this, we conducted a sensitivity analysis in which we excluded those participants from our main analysis and found no change in results: psychiatric comorbidity was not significantly associated with increased illicit opioid use while in MMT.

Despite finding no association between psychiatric comorbidity and abstinence from illicit opioid use, the impact on other MMT outcomes or quality of life measures was not evaluated. Psychiatric comorbidity in MMT patients has previously been associated with severely diminished quality of life. Improving appropriate diagnosis and treatment of comorbid psychiatric illness should meaningfully impact outcomes both in MMT and in other measures of well-being. Management of psychiatric comorbidity through on-site and integrated psychiatric care has been shown to improve psychiatric outcomes but may not impact abstinence or substance abuse outcomes.

Strengths and limitations
To our knowledge, this is the largest study to date to detail the prevalence of comorbid psychiatric disorders and their influence on MMT outcome in patients receiving MMT for OUD.

Our study is strengthened by real-time diagnosis of psychiatric comorbidity, thus averting the need to use less reliable historical data in past medical records. However, a significant limitation associated with the MINI is the inability to distinguish current and lifetime comorbidity for each psychiatric disorder, as certain diagnoses reflect only 30-day symptomatology, whereas others are based on the presence of 12-month or lifetime symptoms. Therefore, it was not possible to distinguish current versus lifetime prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity in this study. We also lack data on the longitudinal progression of psychiatric symptomatology for each patient.

All data were collected from clinics in Southern Ontario, Canada. How well these results would generalize to other areas of the world must also be questioned. Finally, the average length of time in treatment for those with psychiatric comorbidity was 50.18 months, or greater than 4 years, thus the majority of patients had been receiving lengthy treatment. We have no data on patients who dropped out of MMT, however, which may result in a healthy adherer or compliance bias in our results. Future research should explore the association between psychiatric comorbidity and outcomes in patients newly entering MMT for OUD, as the findings may differ from our population that had already received lengthy treatment.

Conclusion
Psychiatric comorbidity, on the whole, was not significantly associated with MMT outcome, as evidenced by abstinence from illicit opioid use. However, comorbid cocaine and tranquilizer use disorders were significantly associated with increased use of illicit opioids. This study highlights a target population for whom more intensive treatment may be required to achieve optimal results in MMT for OUD.
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