Ten years of biosimilar recombinant human growth hormone in Europe

Paul Saenger
Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Department of Pediatrics, Winthrop University Hospital, Mineola, NY, USA

Abstract: Recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) has been in clinical use for more than 30 years. With the expiration of patent exclusivity for the first wave of rhGH products and other biopharmaceuticals, the opportunity emerged for the development of biosimilar medicines. A biosimilar is defined by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) as a biological medicine that is similar to another biological medicine that has already been authorized for use. The EMA led the way (well ahead of the Food and Drug Administration in the US) in developing the biosimilar concept, and the type of science-based regulatory framework required to ensure high-quality, safe, and effective biosimilar medicines; the provisions for approval of biosimilars have been in place in Europe since 2005. Under these provisions, Omnitrope® was approved by the EMA in 2006 as the world’s first biosimilar medicine; 2016 therefore marks the 10th anniversary of its approval in Europe. A substantial data set, based on clinical development studies and 10 years of postapproval use, has now accumulated for biosimilar rhGH; this data set shows that the product is an effective treatment option for children who require rhGH treatment, and has a safety profile that is consistent with the rhGH class. The decade since the EMA approved biosimilar rhGH has seen the successful approval and clinical use of 20 biosimilar medicines, confirming the integrity of the scientific basis for the biosimilar concept, as well as the quality of regulatory decision-making.
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Introduction
Recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) has been in clinical use for more than 30 years since the approval of the first product in the mid-1980s in the US.1,2 Before the development of recombinant DNA technology, GH for replacement therapy could be obtained only by extraction and purification from cadaveric human pituitary glands (Figure 1). Supply was therefore scarce, and GH replacement therapy was reserved for only the most severe cases of growth hormone deficiency (GHD).1 The advent of a plentiful supply of rhGH was an important milestone, enabling treatment of more children (and subsequently adults) with GHD and expansion of use to other indications.

With the expiration of patent exclusivity for the first wave of rhGH products and other biopharmaceuticals, the opportunity emerged for the development of biosimilar medicines.3,4 A biosimilar is defined by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) as a biological medicine that is similar to another biological medicine that has already been authorized for use. The EMA led the way in developing the biosimilar concept, and the type of science-based regulatory framework required to ensure high-quality, safe, and effective biosimilar medicines; the provisions for approval of biosimilars have...
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The year 2016 therefore marks the 10th anniversary of the approval of biosimilar rhGH in Europe. This special themed issue provides an overview of developments during this decade. The first paper reviews the emergence of the biosimilar regulatory framework in Europe and how development of the framework was informed by the experience with biosimilar rhGH. It reminds us that the biosimilar framework is based on the “comparability concept” – a set of sound scientific principles that have been used for many years to assess already approved biopharmaceuticals following changes to the manufacturing process. The authors also highlight the continuing evolution of the regulatory environment for biosimilars, in recognition of technological advances and the advent of new targets for biosimilar development.

A substantial data set, based on clinical development studies and 10 years of postapproval use, has now accumulated for biosimilar rhGH. The paper by Borrás Pérez et al summarizes the safety experience that is now available for this medicine. There are a number of safety concerns that apply to all rhGH products, including the impact on risk of malignancies, the impact on glucose homeostasis, and the formation of antibodies to endogenous/exogenous GH. There are additional concerns that relate to a biosimilar rhGH, such as safety in indications granted on the basis of extrapolation and the impact of changing to biosimilar rhGH from other rhGH treatments. It is therefore reassuring that, to date, there have been no unexpected or unique adverse events related to biosimilar rhGH treatment. There have been no signals of increased risk of cancer or adverse glucose homeostasis with biosimilar rhGH, compared with the reference medicine and other rhGH products, and the immunogenicity of biosimilar rhGH is also similar to that of other products in the rhGH class. Extrapolation is a key part of the biosimilar concept, allowing for approval of a biosimilar for the same indications as the reference medicine without the need for clinical studies in each individual condition. Postapproval studies are therefore necessary to provide safety and efficacy data in extrapolated indications; again, these studies have not uncovered any unexpected or unique safety concerns with biosimilar rhGH.

The final paper in the series reviews the efficacy of biosimilar rhGH during its clinical development program and its subsequent effectiveness during 10 years of clinical use. Once again, the data are reassuring; the product has been proven to be effective in children with GHD and also in extrapolated indications, and concerns about the impact of changing to biosimilar rhGH from other rhGH preparations have been alleviated.

**Summary**

Data from clinical development studies and a decade of postapproval experience affirm that biosimilar rhGH is an effective treatment option for children who require rhGH treatment, and has a safety profile that is consistent with the rhGH class. The decade since the EMA approved biosimilar rhGH has seen the successful approval and clinical use of 20 biosimilar medicines, confirming the integrity of the scientific basis for the biosimilar concept, as well as the quality of regulatory decision-making.
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**Figure 1** History of GH therapy.

Abbreviations: GH, growth hormone; rhGH, recombinant human growth hormone.
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