Efficacy of CR4056, a first-in-class imidazoline-2 analgesic drug, in comparison with naproxen in two rat models of osteoarthritis
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Purpose: CR4056, (2-phenyl-6-(1H-imidazol-1yl) quinazoline), an imidazoline-2 (I2) receptor ligand, is a promising analgesic drug that has been reported to be effective in several animal models of pain. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of CR4056 in two well-established rat models of osteoarthritis (OA), mimicking the painful and structural components of human OA.

Methods: Knee OA was induced either by single intra-articular injection of monoiodoacetate (MIA) or by medial meniscal tear (MMT) in the right knee of male rats. In the MIA model, allodynia and hyperalgesia were measured as paw withdrawal threshold to mechanical stimulation. In the MMT model, pain behavior was analyzed as weight-bearing asymmetry (i.e. difference in hind paw weight distribution, HPWD) between the injured and the contralateral limbs.

Results: Acute oral administration of CR4056, 14 days after MIA injection, significantly and dose-dependently reduced allodynia and hyperalgesia 90 minutes after treatment, whereas acute naproxen administration significantly reduced allodynia but not hyperalgesia. After 7 days of repeated treatment, both CR4056 and naproxen showed significant anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic effects in the MIA model. Rats undergoing MMT surgery developed a significant and progressive asymmetry in HPWD compared with sham-operated animals. Repeated treatment with CR4056 significantly reduced the progression of the pain behavior, whereas naproxen had no effects.

Conclusion: The data presented here show that the I2 ligand CR4056 could be a new effective treatment for OA pain. The compound is currently under Phase II clinical evaluation for this indication.

Keywords: osteoarthritis, pain, CR4056, imidazoline-2 receptors, MIA, MMT

Introduction

The main clinical symptoms of osteoarthritis (OA) are joint pain, impaired physical function, and stiffness. OA pain represents the most disabling symptom for patients and it is the most prevalent form of chronic musculoskeletal pain. In particular, OA pain is defined by peripheral and central sensitization and is driven by both nociceptive and neuropathic mechanisms. It has long been established that there is poor correlation between the clinical symptoms of OA and joint structure changes, radiologically assessed. Although important advances have been made in understanding the pathophysiological process of OA, there are currently no approved pharmacological treatments indicated to modify the disease progression. At present, OA pharmacological treatments, along with physical treatments such as physiotherapy and rehabilitation, still focus on improving the disease symptoms and particularly joint pain. However,
the efficacy of “first-line” analgesics such as acetaminophen is limited. Moreover, the most common and effective pharmacological therapies, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and stronger analgesics such as opioids, are frequently associated with significant adverse effects. Therefore, more effective approaches to pain management in this condition are needed.5

Interestingly, chronic musculoskeletal pain is associated with a dysregulation of the descending inhibitory pathways that modulate pain sensations.6 Selective serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors such as duloxetine are effective in OA pain, but their use is limited by adverse effects.7 Imidazoline-2 (I2) receptors are a potentially more interesting target to affect pain-descending inhibitory pathways in that I2 receptor ligands have been associated with an allosteric inhibition of monoamine oxidase (MAO),8,9 thus increasing the synaptic levels of noradrenaline and serotonin in a more targeted fashion which is different from traditional direct MAO inhibition or reuptake inhibition. Therefore, compounds able to interact with I2 receptors and thus with MAO activity in an innovative fashion could represent a promising new class of drugs for the treatment of chronic and in particular musculoskeletal pain.10,11 Indeed I2 receptors, also referred to as I2 binding sites,12 are widely distributed in mammalian cells of the central and peripheral nervous system,13 and their ligands have been suggested as putative analgesics, especially in tonic inflammatory and neuropathic pain models.14

CR4056 (2-phenyl-6-(1H-imidazol-1yl) quinazoline; Rottapharm Biotech, Monza, Italy) is a novel I2 receptor ligand that binds to I2 sites with a sub-micromolar affinity, and via this interaction it inhibits human recombinant as well as rat MAO-A activity through an allosteric mechanism.15

Notably, orally administered CR4056 almost invariably reversed the hyperalgesia observed in several animal models of pain including chronic (complete Freund’s adjuvant) or neuropenic (capsaicin) inflammation,15 postoperative pain,16 neuropathies (diabetes, chemotherapy),15,17 and non-inflammatory musculoskeletal pain mimicking the symptoms of human fibromyalgia.18 Preclinical data on CR4056 in animal models of OA pain were not available before this study. The in vivo pharmacology underlying the analgesic activity of CR4056 was examined in models of pain induced by capsaicin15 or surgery,16 where the I2 antagonist idazoxan – but not α1, α2, or I1 receptor antagonists – suppressed the effect of a fully active dose of CR4056. This finding is important, because it strongly suggests that interaction with I2 sites is the primary requirement for the analgesic activity of CR4056 to take place. CR4056 has no affinity for opioid receptors, but it evidenced a relevant synergy with morphine receptors in both the pain models we used to study the in vivo pharmacology of this compound.13,16 In the present study, we focused on CR4056 ability to treat OA pain in relevant animal models of the disease. This is the set of experiments that allowed moving the compound into Phase II clinical trials for knee OA pain. We selected both the monosodium iodoacetate (MIA) model and the medial meniscal tear (MMT) model in rats as two commonly used OA animal models able to mimic the painful and structural components of the human pathology.

Using these two models of OA-like pain behavior, we investigated the characteristics of oral CR4056 either as an acutely acting analgesic, after single administration, or as a long-acting symptom modifier, after sub-acute daily administrations (7 or 14 days in MIA and MMT, respectively). The analgesic properties of CR4056 were compared with those of naproxen, an NSAID analgesic commonly prescribed for human OA pain.

Materials and methods

Animals, drugs, and treatments

Male Wistar rats (Harlan, S. Pietro al Natisone, Italy) weighing 150–175 g and male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Calco, Italy) weighing 300–325 g were housed with ad libitum access to food and water, in a temperature-controlled room with a 12 hours light/dark cycle, at least 1 week before the beginning of the experimental setup. In order to set up the experimental conditions, we performed a series of preliminary experiments in which a total of 96 animals were used (see Figures S1 and S2, Tables S1 and S2 for details and results). However, in the experiments described here, a total of 66 animals were used. All the experimental procedures described were in compliance with international laws and policies (Directive 2010/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes; Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, U.S. National Research Council, 1996) and were approved by the Italian Ministry of Health. All studies involving animals are reported in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting experiments involving animals.18,19

CR4056 was suspended in 0.5% hydroxyl-propyl-carboxymethyl cellulose (HPMC) and administered orally in 5 mL/kg administration volume. Naproxen sodium salt (Sigma Aldrich, Milan, Italy) was dissolved in distilled water and administered orally in 5 mL/kg administration volume.

As regards the MIA model, CR4056 (2 and 6 mg/kg) and naproxen (10 mg/kg) were administered from day 14 to
day 21, once daily. Similarly, MMT rats were treated orally with CR4056 (6 mg/kg) and naproxen (10 mg/kg) from day 28 to day 42, once daily. Sham and control animals were orally administered with HPMC (vehicle) following the same schedule.

**MIA model of OA pain**

Unilateral knee OA was induced by a single intra-articular injection of MIA (Sigma Aldrich) in the infrapatellar area of the right knee of male Sprague Dawley rats. A total of 30 animals were used (six rats per group). The experimental procedure was performed according to the method first proposed by Kalbhen,20 and later revised by Sagar et al.21 The dose of MIA was selected based on a combination of preliminary results and literature data. In particular, we noticed that 1 mg MIA was the minimum dose required to detect secondary allodynia and primary hyperalgesia associated with joint damage. Therefore, this dose was selected for the present study. On day 1, rats were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane in pure oxygen. The right leg skin was sterilized with 75% ethyl alcohol and the knee was located by palpation. A 26-gauge needle was inserted vertically to penetrate the skin, and turned distally for insertion into the articular cavity, until a distinct loss of resistance was detected; 1 mg of MIA in 50 µL saline was then delivered into the articular cavity. Control rats (sham) received 50 µL of saline solution. All animals were sacrificed 21 days after the intra-articular injection of either MIA or saline solution.

**MMT model of OA**

Unilateral knee OA was induced by transection of both the medial collateral ligament and the medial meniscus of the right knee of male Wistar rats. A total of 36 animals were used (nine rats per group). The experimental procedure was performed according to the method first proposed by Kalbhen,20 and later revised by Sagar et al.21 The dose of MIA was selected based on a combination of preliminary results and literature data. In particular, we noticed that 1 mg MIA was the minimum dose required to detect secondary allodynia and primary hyperalgesia associated with joint damage. Therefore, this dose was selected for the present study. On day 1, rats were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane in pure oxygen. The right leg skin was sterilized with 75% ethyl alcohol and the knee was located by palpation. A 26-gauge needle was inserted vertically to penetrate the skin, and turned distally for insertion into the articular cavity, until a distinct loss of resistance was detected; 1 mg of MIA in 50 µL saline was then delivered into the articular cavity. Control rats (sham) received 50 µL of saline solution. All animals were sacrificed 6 weeks after MMT surgery, an experimental time period sufficient to create severe joint lesions that simulate late-stage OA as demonstrated by several previous studies.22,23

**Behavioral assessments**

Behavioral assessments were selected according to previous reports related to pain evaluation in animal models of OA.24,25 Scientists performing behavioral experiments were always blind to treatments. Two behavioral tests were used to determine the alterations in pain perception after MIA injection. Namely, we compared the effect of CR4056 versus naproxen by evaluating both the allodynic and the hyperalgesic responses to mechanical stimuli by the von Frey and the pressure application measurement (PAM) tests, respectively (see the following texts). The behavioral assessments were performed on day 1 before MIA injection and on days 7, 14, and 21 after MIA injection. On days 14 and 21, behavioral tests were performed 90 minutes after the drug administration because in-house preliminary experiments selected this time as the optimal time to observe reversal of pain behavior after the oral drug administration. As regards MMT surgery, joint discomfort perception was evaluated as an indirect index of spontaneous pain by assessing changes in hind paw weight-bearing distribution. The behavioral measure was performed 1 day before MMT surgery; on days 14, 28, 35, and 42 after the induction of OA; and before and 90 minutes after the drug administration.

**Von Frey test**

Mechanical allodynia was assessed using a Dynamic Plantar Aesthesiometer (Ugo Basile S.r.l., Gemonio, VA, Italy), which generated a linearly increasing mechanical force.26 On each day of testing, rats were placed in a Plexiglas chamber (28×40×35 cm) equipped with a wire mesh floor, 20 cm above the bench, for an acclimatization period of 1 hour, followed by testing. After the habituation period, a servo-controlled mechanical stimulus, represented by a pointed metallic filament of 0.5 mm diameter, was positioned under the plantar surface of the hind paw, exerting a progressively increasing punctuate pressure, reaching up to 50 g within 20 seconds. A clear spontaneous hind paw withdrawal response caused by the punctuate pressure was recorded automatically. The results defined the minimum pressure (in grams) required to elicit a robust and immediate withdrawal reflex of the paw. Stimuli were applied alternatively on each hind paw every 2 minutes on three repeated measures to obtain a mean value representing the mechanical allodynic threshold.
PAM test
Mechanical hyperalgesia was assessed using the PAM device (Ugo Basile S.r.l.), a force transducer (range: 0–1500 g and 8 mm diameter) mounted on a unit fitted to the operator’s thumb and connected to a recording base unit. Animals were lightly, but securely, held and the operator placed the thumb unit on one side of the animal knee joint and the forefinger on the other. A progressive quantified squeeze force was applied across the joint at a rate of approximately 300 grams per second (5 seconds as maximum test duration). The force that elicits the animal response, normally knee withdrawal or any behavioral signs of discomfort or distress, such as freezing of whisker movement or wriggling, was recorded. In particular, the peak gram force applied immediately prior to knee withdrawal, designated as limb withdrawal threshold, represents the mechanical hyperalgesic threshold.

Incapacitance test
Changes in hind paw weight distribution (HPWD) between the right (ipsilateral control) and left (contralateral control) limbs were utilized as an index of joint discomfort in the osteoarthritic knee. HPWD was assessed using an incapacitance tester (Linton Instrumentation, Norfolk, UK). The apparatus consists of two force transducers capable of measuring the body weight that the animal places on each hind knee. Animals were placed in an angled plexiglass chamber positioned so that each hind paw rested on a separate force plate; the force exerted by each hind knee (in grams) was calculated over a 5-second period. For each animal two 5-second readings were taken. The change in HPWD was calculated by determining the difference in the amount of weight (g) between the left (healthy–contralateral) and right (operated–ipsilateral) paw. The efficacy of pharmacological treatments was evaluated as percent difference between Δ weight measured at the beginning of treatments (i.e. day 28 after MMT surgery) and at the end of 2-weeks of treatment (i.e. day 42 after MMT surgery).

Statistical analysis
Data analysis was performed on the absolute mechanical threshold values (MIA model; crude values) or on the percent difference in weight bearing between the contralateral and ipsilateral knees (MMT model; normalized values in order to control for unwanted sources of variation). Data were analyzed by two-way mixed model ANOVA, with $P<0.05$ accepted as significant (SigmaStat 3.1; Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Multiple inter-group differences were assessed by the Holm-Sidak method. Data are presented as mean ± standard error. Statistical significance was calculated by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

Results
MIA model of OA pain
Intra-articular injection of 1 mg/50 µL MIA induced significant mechanical allodynia (von Frey test) of the ipsilateral paw compared with saline controls or with the contralateral paw (Figure 1). Rats injected with MIA maintained this significant decrease in withdrawal threshold in the ipsilateral paw up to 21 days after MIA injection. No variations in withdrawal threshold of the contralateral paw were observed in both saline- and MIA-treated rats, throughout the course of the study. Similar results were obtained with the assessment of mechanical hyperalgesia at the PAM test (Figure 2). MIA injection caused a long-lasting decrease of withdrawal threshold in the ipsilateral limb compared with saline controls or with the contralateral limb. Knee withdrawal thresholds detected in the contralateral knee showed no variations in both sham and control rats injected with MIA, throughout the study.

CR4056 (2 and 6 mg/kg) and naproxen (10 mg/kg) were administered orally starting on day 14 after MIA injection. Paw and knee withdrawal thresholds were evaluated 90 minutes posttreatment in both experimental settings. The assessment of mechanical alldynia showed an increase in the ipsilateral paw withdrawal threshold after acute oral treatment (day 14) with both 6 mg/kg CR4056 and 10 mg/kg naproxen compared with vehicle (Figure 1). CR4056 (6 mg/kg) induced also a significant reversal of MIA-induced hyperalgesia (day 14) (Figure 2), whereas naproxen and the lower dose of CR4056 showed a trend of efficacy not reaching statistical significance. The pharmacological treatments did not influence the withdrawal threshold of the contralateral paw or knee (data not shown).

The efficacy of 6 mg/kg CR4056 was further confirmed on day 21 after the animals received daily treatments from day 14 to day 21, both on alldynia and hyperalgesia (Figures 1 and 2). On day 21 the statistical significance was reached also by the lower dose of CR4056 on hyperalgesia (Figure 2). Naproxen was effective on both parameters too.

MMT model of OA
Transection of the medial collateral ligament and medial meniscus of the knee induced a significant and time-dependent development of weight-bearing asymmetry compared with sham-operated animals (Figure 3A). Indeed, MMT
surgery increased joint discomfort as defined by a significant and progressive increase in the difference in HPWD between the ipsilateral and contralateral knees throughout the course of the study.

CR4056 (6 mg/kg) and naproxen (10 mg/kg) were administered orally starting on day 28 after MMT surgery. HPWD was measured before and 90 minutes posttreatment. The acute administration of CR4056 and naproxen (day 28) did not change the left–right hind paw weight-bearing asymmetry compared with vehicle-treated rats (Figure 3A).

CR4056 and naproxen were further administered orally as sub-acute treatments from day 28 to day 42 after MMT surgery, once daily. The assessment of HPWD 7 days after the beginning of treatments (day 35) showed a significant reduction in the right–left weight-bearing asymmetry in rats treated with 6 mg/kg CR4056 (Figure 3A). Conversely, MMT rats treated for 7 days (day 35) with 10 mg/kg naproxen were not different from rats treated with vehicle. After 14 days of treatment (day 42) HPWD results confirmed those obtained 7 days before, that is CR4056 treatment significantly blocked the pain progression whereas naproxen showed only a non-significant tendency toward the reduction of the weight-bearing asymmetry. Notably, the asymmetry of animals treated with CR4056 on day 42 after surgery was significantly lower than that of the same animals before starting the treatment (day 28 after surgery) (Figure 3B), thus suggesting that CR4056 is not only able to counteract the progression of the symptom seen between days 28 and 42 after surgery but also to reduce it.

Discussion

In the present study, the I2 receptor ligand CR4056 potently and dose-dependently relieved pain in two experimental models of knee OA, after both acute and especially chronic administrations and with a pattern that tended to be superior to the NSAID naproxen.

Efficacy evaluation of an analgesic treatment for a chronic condition such as OA deserves caution due to objective translational challenges when considering animal models in which OA has been induced acutely. This is the reason why we chose two well-known and quite different animal models of OA in the same species: the chemically induced MIA model and surgically induced meniscal transection (MMT) in rats. The two models have been extensively characterized
Figure 2 MIA model of OA pain: effect of CR4056 and naproxen on mechanical hyperalgesia. Rats were injected with 1 mg/50 μl of MIA or saline (sham group) in the right knee. Mechanical withdrawal threshold (in grams) was evaluated in the ipsilateral knee using a Pressure Application Measurement (PAM) device, prior and 7, 14, and 21 days after MIA injection. CR4056 (2 and 6 mg/kg) and naproxen (10 mg/kg) were administered orally, once a day under the schedule indicated by the arrows positioned in the graph below the axis of time (days). Data, collected 90 minutes after the drug administration, represent the mean ± SEM of six animals per group.

Notes: \( *P<0.001, \; \dagger P<0.001, \; \ddagger P<0.001, \; \P P=0.001 \) versus MIA group treated with vehicle; two-way mixed model ANOVA, Holm-Sidak test.

Abbreviations: MIA, monoiodoacetate; OA, osteoarthritis; ANOVA, one-way analysis of variance; SEM, standard error of the mean.

Figure 3 MMT model of OA: effect of CR4056 and naproxen on the behavioral assessment of hind paw weight distribution (HPWD). (A) The difference in HPWD (\( \Delta \)) between contralateral and ipsilateral knees (in grams) was evaluated 1 day before and 14, 28, 35, and 42 days after MMT surgery using an incapacitance tester. CR4056 (6 mg/kg) and naproxen (10 mg/kg) were administered orally, once a day under the schedule indicated by the arrows positioned in the graph below the axis of time (days). Data, collected 90 minutes after the drug administration, represent the mean ± SEM of nine animals per group.

Notes: \( *P<0.001, \; \dagger P<0.001, \; \ddagger P<0.001, \; \P P=0.001 \) versus MMT control rats treated with vehicle; two-way mixed model ANOVA, Holm-Sidak test.

Abbreviations: MMT, medial meniscal tear; OA, osteoarthritis; ANOVA, one-way analysis of variance; SEM, standard error of the mean; ns, not significant.
and compared, showing that weight-bearing asymmetry increased over time in the surgical model whereas this did not occur in the MIA model. Conversely, withdrawal thresholds were reduced in MIA rats but not in MMT rats. The authors discussed these results suggesting that the relative contribution of peripheral and central pain mechanisms may differ significantly between these two models. Other groups reported both inflammation of the knee and central sensitization when the dose of MIA is increased, as well as significant differences when evaluating the allodynic response in MMT rats compared to sham-operated controls. Notably, the HPWD paradigm in MIA appears so variable that the same authors report its insensitivity or its sensitivity in two different papers. In fact, our preliminary experiments, while confirming the relative insensitivity of MMT evaluation by von Frey (Figure S1 and Table S1) that renders this paradigm unsuitable for testing analgesic compounds, gave a significant, albeit if very variable HPWD response in the MIA paradigm, and a significant effect of CR4056 also in this preliminary experiment (Figure S2 and Table S2). Therefore, to evaluate the efficacy of a novel analgesic in OA models, we chose to limit behavioral assessments to those more widely accepted by groups working on OA pain, that is mechanical paw withdrawal threshold in MIA and HPWD analysis in MMT rats, respectively. This apparent limitation of the study had the advantage of decreasing the total number of behavioral trials carried out in the course of the study, thus contributing to reduce the stress of the animals and, consequently, to improve their ability to adequately respond to the stimulus.

Consistently with its analgesic efficacy previously evidenced in different animal models, CR4056 showed a significant and dose-dependent anti-hyperalgesic and anti-allodynic effect both following acute and repeated daily treatment from day 14 to day 21 after MIA injection. Oral CR4056 reduced also hind limb weight-bearing imbalance after repeated daily treatment from day 28 to day 42 after MMT surgery. Consistently with what happens in the human disease, both an inflammatory component and a neuropathic component of pain appear to be involved in these experimental paradigms, where joint damage is induced by transection of the medial collateral ligament and medial meniscus of the femoro-tibial joint and by intra-articular injection of MIA, respectively, with consequent pain behavior.

Naproxen was used as a positive comparator. Acute naproxen was previously found to alleviate the joint discomfort following MIA injection in the rat. Other authors suggest a specific role for NSAIDs only during the first week post-MIA injection. In our hands, 10 mg/kg naproxen evidenced a robust efficacy to reverse aldynia both after acute administration and after 7 days of repeated treatment, comparable to that of 6 mg/kg CR4056. Conversely, when testing naproxen for anti-hyperalgesic efficacy after acute administration, we found only a trend of efficacy that did not reach statistical significance, contrary to CR4056. However, after 7 days of repeated treatment, naproxen evidenced a significant anti-hyperalgesic effect, although at a lower level than CR4056. On the other hand, and again differently than CR4056, naproxen was not effective when tested in rats undergoing MMT surgery after 7 or 14 days of repeated treatment. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies in the literature using naproxen as NSAID analgesic medication in the rat MMT model of OA, despite naproxen being one of the preferred NSAIDs for long-term conditions such as OA, and very few studies even using different NSAIDs.

We hypothesize that the potent analgesic effects of CR4056 are primarily mediated by its interaction with I2 binding sites, since the effects in capsaicin- or surgery-induced pain models were suppressed by the putative I2R antagonist idazoxan, but not by α1, α2, or I1 receptor antagonists. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence that an I2 ligand could effectively counteract pain behaviors induced by OA experimental models.

Another relevant activity mediated by I2 receptors is the modulation of the opioid system, which may occur at different levels. The interaction between I2 and opioid receptors is probably involved in the prevention of tolerance and addiction to opioids by I2 receptor ligands, and may further support their efficacy e.g. in chronic pain when used as monotherapy, or combined with opioids as an adjuvant, also decreasing their side effects. However, the opiate antagonist naloxone was not able to block the analgesic effects of CR4056, nor CR4056 has any affinity toward opioid receptors. Only the hypoalgesic component (not observed in the present study) of the overall analgesic activity of CR4056 may be indirectly mediated through I2A-dependent idazoxan-insensitive release of endogenous endorphins, and such cross-talk should be further investigated.

**Conclusion**

In summary, we found that CR4056 significantly reduced the progression of pain-like behaviors in two different rat models of OA, without apparent tolerance after repeated doses. Notably, because the most relevant pain-like behavioral data on MMT rats were obtained 24 hours after the last treatment, it seems reasonable to speculate about a true modification of pain perception. Overall, while in vehicle- and in naproxentreated animals, pain continued to progress, CR4056 was able to significantly reduce it.
Thus, if the predictive value of the experimental models used herein is confirmed, CR4056 could represent a new highly effective treatment option for OA pain. The compound is currently under Phase II clinical evaluation for this indication.
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Supplementary materials

Figure S1 Determination of allodynia in the MMT model of OA via manual von Frey analysis. Allodynia was measured via von Frey filaments in SD rats in which OA has been induced by MMT. Even if there is a trend toward a higher sensitivity (i.e. lower withdrawal threshold in operated animals versus sham), this difference did not reach a statistical significance. Moreover, the narrow difference induced by OA does not allow placing a dose-response curve for an analgesic compound such as CR4056. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Abbreviations: MMT, medial meniscal tear; OA, osteoarthritis; SD, Sprague Dawley.

Table S1 Statistical analysis of HPWD in the MMT model of OA

Table analyzed Two-way ANOVA with RM by columns
alpha 0.05
Source of Variation % of total variation P-value P-value summary Significant?
Interaction 0.2692 0.995 ns No
Time 0.5795 0.9713 ns No
Column factor 17.59 0.0286 * Yes
Subjects (matching) 37.74 <0.0001 **** Yes

ANOVA table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F (DFn, DFd)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>2.957</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5914</td>
<td>F (5.65)=0.08093</td>
<td>P=0.9950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>6.367</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.273</td>
<td>F (5.65)=0.1742</td>
<td>P=0.9713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column factor</td>
<td>193.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>193.2</td>
<td>F (1.13)=6.059</td>
<td>P=0.0286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects (matching)</td>
<td>414.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31.89</td>
<td>F (13.65)=4.364</td>
<td>P=0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7.308</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sidak’s multiple comparisons test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Mean diff</th>
<th>95% CI of diff</th>
<th>Significant?</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham - MMT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Baseline) day 14</td>
<td>2.987</td>
<td>−2.898 to 8.872</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Baseline) day 21</td>
<td>4.087</td>
<td>−1.798 to 9.972</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Baseline) day 26</td>
<td>4.116</td>
<td>−1.769 to 10.00</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Baseline) day 33</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>−1.795 to 9.975</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Baseline) day 41</td>
<td>3.424</td>
<td>−2.461 to 9.309</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Baseline) day 47</td>
<td>3.275</td>
<td>−2.610 to 9.160</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P<0.05; ****P<0.0001.

Abbreviations: MMT, medial meniscal tear; OA, osteoarthritis; ANOVA, one-way analysis of variance; SEM, standard error of the mean; SD, Sprague Dawley; ns, not significant; RM, repeated measures; SS, sum of squares; DF, degrees of freedom; MS, mean square; F, variance of the group means; DFn, degrees of freedom numerator; DFd, degrees of freedom denominator.
Figure S2 Determination of HPWD in the MIA model of OA in Wistar rats: effect of 20 mg/kg CR4056. HPWD has been evaluated as described. It is possible to observe
the higher variability of HPWD (i.e. basal groups) compared to the MMT model. Nevertheless, also in this paradigm, CR4056 administration (20 mg/kg; oral, QD) induced
a statistically significant reduction versus vehicle-treated animals. On the contrary, no effect is observed with naproxen (10 mg/kg; oral, QD). Data are presented as mean ±
SEM; *P<0.05.
Abbreviations: HPWD, hind paw weight distribution; MIA, moniodoacetate; OA, osteoarthritis; SEM: standard error of the mean.

Table S2 Statistical analysis of HPWD in the MIA model of OA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>% of total variation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>P-value summary</th>
<th>Significant?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>5.298</td>
<td>0.2316</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.3685</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column factor</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>0.0762</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects (matching)</td>
<td>48.74</td>
<td>0.0986</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F (DFn. DFd)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>2858</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>F (2.15)=1.615</td>
<td>P=0.2316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>760.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>760.8</td>
<td>F (1.15)=0.8598</td>
<td>P=0.3685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column factor</td>
<td>10,765</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5382</td>
<td>F (2.15)=3.071</td>
<td>P=0.0762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects (matching)</td>
<td>26,293</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1753</td>
<td>F (15.15)=1.981</td>
<td>P=0.0986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>13,273</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>884.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dunnett's multiple comparisons test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Mean diff</th>
<th>95% CI of diff</th>
<th>Significant?</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Baseline) day 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle versus naproxen (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>20.77</td>
<td>-27.90 to 69.43</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle versus CR4056 (20 mg/kg)</td>
<td>27.73</td>
<td>-20.93 to 76.40</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 17 + 90 minutes post-treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle versus naproxen (10 mg/kg)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>-43.76 to 53.56</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle versus CR4056 (20 mg/kg)</td>
<td>55.02</td>
<td>-6.35 to 103.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P<0.05.
Abbreviations: HPWD, hind paw weight distribution; MIA, moniodoacetate; OA, osteoarthritis; ANOVA, one-way analysis of variance; SEM, standard error of the mean;
MMT, medial meniscal tear; ns, not significant; diff, difference; SS, sum of squares; DF, degrees of freedom; MS, mean square; F, variance of the group means; DFn, degrees of
freedom numerator; DFd, degrees of freedom denominator.

Table S3 Statistical analysis of HPWD in the MIA model of OA