Ultra-wide-field fluorescein angiography in diabetic retinopathy: a narrative review
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Abstract: Fluorescein angiography (FA) is a useful examination in patients suffering from diabetic retinopathy (DR). Traditional angiograms explore 30°–50° of the retina at once; however, visualization of peripheral retina is fundamental in order to assess nonperfused areas, vascular leakage, microvascular abnormalities, and neovascularizations. In order to expand the field of view, wide-field and ultra-wide-field imaging has been developed allowing to image up to 200° of retinal surface in one single shot. The aim of this narrative review was to provide an overview of the role of the most recent technique of ultra-wide-field fluorescein angiography in DR.
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Introduction

Almost 55 years after its introduction, fluorescein angiography (FA) is still a helpful test in most retinal diseases, including diabetic retinopathy (DR).1 Traditional angiograms explore 30°–50° of the retina at once; however, visualization of peripheral retina is fundamental in order to assess nonperfused areas, vascular leakage, microvascular abnormalities, and neovascularizations (NVs).2 Hence, the evaluation of the peripheral retina is crucial for screening, diagnosis, monitoring, treatment, and prognosis of DR.3

In order to extend the field of view and, thus, to obtain wide-field (>30° and <200°) and ultra-wide-field (≥200°) fundus photography and ultra-wide-field fluorescein angiography (UWFA), 3 different strategies have been applied in the past years, namely 1) photomontage of traditional angiograms (eg, 7 standard field [7SF] and 2 field protocols exploring 75° and 45°, respectively);4 2) additional lens applied to a standard fundus camera or confocal selective laser ophthalmoscope (cSLO) extending the field of view (eg, Staurenghi lens [150°]7 and Spectralis ultra-wide-field lens [105°]6); 3) dedicate instrumentation (eg, Pomerantzeff camera [148°],2 Panopten [100°],4 and Retcam [130°]9). Unfortunately, all these instruments did not break into clinical practice due to several drawbacks, including need of mydriasis, technical skill by photographer, patient cooperation, limited resolution, need of clear media, and contact lens.

Commercialized for the first time in the year 2000, Optos ultra-wide-field camera (Optos, PLC, Scotland) is a cSLO device with a panoramic ellipsoid mirror, which allows the imaging of 82% of the retina (200°) in a single image with no need of mydriasis or contact lens. Compared to conventional digital acquisition systems, Optos showed a 2-fold increase of field of view.10 Furthermore, UWFA through Optos system visualizes a significantly wider total retinal surface compared to Heidelberg Spectralis ultra-wide-field lens.8
Almost 15 years from its introduction, Optos UWFA proved to be a useful tool in several retinal diseases, including DR. The aim of this narrative review was to provide an overview of the role of the most recent technique of UWFA in DR.

Methods
A PubMed engine search was carried out using the term “diabetic retinopathy” paired with “ultra wide field fluorescein angiography,” “ultra-wide field fluorescein angiography,” “ultra wide-field fluorescein angiography,” “ultrawide field fluorescein angiography,” “ultra widefield fluorescein angiography,” and “ultrawide angiography.” All studies published in English up to July 2016 irrespective of their publication status were reviewed, and relevant publications were included in this review.

UWFA in DR
In 2008, Friberg et al. were the first to report the feasibility of UWFA in 30 eyes of 30 patients affected by DR. Compared to standard systems, they observed that UWFA allowed to image a greater area of both retinal surface (8.7±1.6 vs 3.4±0.76 disc diameter [DD], \(P<0.001\)) and retinal ischemia (16.9±15 vs 3.4±4.26 sectors, \(P<0.05\)), albeit with a reduction in image quality.

In a retrospective case series including 218 eyes of 118 patients, Wessel et al. compared UWFA to a simulated 7SF. UWFA disclosed 3.2 times more total retinal surface, 3.9 times more nonperfusion, 1.9 times more NV, and 3.8 times more laser panretinal photocoagulation (PRP)-treated area. Notably, UWFA revealed retinal pathology in 10% of the eye judged normal with 7SF. The study by Wessel et al. implies that UWFA may change the degree of DR by revealing more retinal pathology and it may even lead to the diagnosis of DR in patients judged normal using standard angiograms.

Since capillary nonperfusion upregulates pro-angiogenic and pro-inflammatory factors (eg, vascular endothelial growth factor [VEGF], insulin growth factor, angiopoietin 1 and 2, fibroblast growth factor 2, tumor necrosis factor \(\alpha\), interleukin 1 [IL-1], IL-8, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1) thought a hypoxia-induced mechanism, it has been postulated that peripheral ischemia can lead to NV and diabetic macular edema (DME). In a retrospective case series by Oliver and Schwartz involving 218 eyes of 118 patients, peripheral nonperfusion was significantly linked to both anterior and posterior NV, conversely no significant association with DME was found. In the same study, the authors described a novel angiographic features of DR, termed peripheral vessel leakage (PVL), that is the late leakage from retinal vessels seen as hyperfluorescence extending beyond vessel wall occurring in the setting of active retinopathy. PVL has been linked to peripheral nonperfusion and NV, but not to DME. However, the association between DME and peripheral ischemia was supported by other studies where capillary nonperfusion was assessed through a quantitative approach, generating a percentage of ischemia over the total retina area called ischemic index (ISI).

To estimate ISI, pixels contained in nonperfused areas are calculated and divided by the number of pixels of the whole retina surface. Since Optos relies on a parabolic mirror and converts a 3 dimensional image to a 2 dimensional image, it induces peripheral distortion, and this has an impact on ISI calculation; in order to minimize this phenomenon and calculate the precise area of nonperfusion, Tan et al. proposed a corrected ISI expressed in \(\text{mm}^2\) based on stereographic projection software, which strongly correlated with the uncorrected index.

Wessel et al. retrospectively reviewed 122 eyes of 70 naïve patients and found a positive correlation between ISI and DME. Interestingly, peripheral ischemia turned out to be an independent risk factor for DME development. In a retrospective case series involving 148 eyes of 76 patients, Patel et al. found recalcitrant DME to be worse in patients with higher DR severity and ISI. Correlation among capillary nonperfusion, PVL, macular leakage, and epiretinal NVs is shown in Figure 1.

Sim et al. retrospectively investigated the relationship between peripheral and diabetic macular ischemia (DMI) quantified by means of ISI and foveal avascular zone area, respectively. They found a positive correlation between these 2 variables, indicating how both the conditions share a common pathogenesis, that is, capillary nonperfusion.

In a retrospective case–control study, Kim et al. observed that peripheral nonperfusion, together with NV and PVL, had higher incidence in eyes with recurrent post-vitrectomy diabetic vitreous hemorrhage (PVDVH) compared to non-PVDPVH ones. Once again, such difference was not appreciated with 7SF. Moreover, peripheral ischemia turned out to be associated with DR severity and predominantly peripheral lesions, defined as >50% of a specific DR lesions outside the 7SF.

Although UWFA has been extensively studied as a diagnostic tool, it may also have a role in DR treatment. Since PRP has been associated with several side effects (ie, visual
acuity reduction, visual field constriction, DME onset/worsening, choroidal detachment, angle-closure glaucoma, and decrease in color vision), targeted retinal photocoagulation (TRP) of ischemic areas has been proposed. Reddy et al\(^{19}\) reported 2 cases of TRP with NV regression and no PRP-related side effects, and this observation was further corroborated by a prospective study by Muqit et al.\(^{20}\) In a pilot randomized study comparing TRP, minimally traumatic (MT) PRP and standard intensity (SI) PRP, Muqit et al\(^{21}\) demonstrated that TRP was as effective as SI-PRP in inducing NV regression, but with higher reduction in CMT. Table 1 summarizes most relevant studies in the field.

### Future directions

UWFA is a fascinating tool extremely useful in the diagnosis, staging, management, and therapy of DR. The ability of UWFA to show more retinal pathology even in eyes judged normal with 7SF is stimulating. Since most of the present knowledge comes from clinical trials based on 7SF protocol, those results need to be reconsidered in view of UWFA revolution, as simple transposition of prior information could be misleading. At the state of the art, most of the studies involving UWFA in DR lacks high quality features, including being prospective, randomized, with large sample, and long-term follow-up. The Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network (DRCRnet) protocol AA is currently investigating whether evaluation of retinal far periphery on UWFA improves the ability to assess DR and predicts rates of DR worsening over time compared to 7SF.

The possibility to precisely quantify the peripheral ischemia (ie, ISI) is extremely appealing especially for research purposes. ISI has already been correlated with PVL, DME, DMI, and DR severity; however, little is known about the variation of ISI after intravitreal (IV) injections. Mir et al\(^{22}\) reported a reduction of retinal non-perfusion in patients with retinal vein occlusion undergoing ranibizumab IV injections. However, these observations are based on qualitative assessment; moreover, so far, such evaluation has not been performed in eyes with DR. The impact of anti-VEGF agents and steroids on peripheral ischemia in DR has to be still determined. In a pilot study\(^{23}\) this group of authors showed how dexamethasone

---

**Figure 1** Ultra-wide-field fluorescein angiography (UWFA) of a 68-year-old male patient affected by proliferative diabetic retinopathy in both the eyes. Early phases of the right (A) and left (B) eyes. Late phases of the right (C) and left (D) eyes. In early angiographic phases, UWFA discloses hyperfluorescent dots in both the eyes suggestive of microaneurysms and broad peripheral and mid peripheral areas of capillary non-perfusion. In late frames, UWFA discloses peripheral perivascular and mild macular dye leakage, suggestive of blood–retina barrier disruption, and intense hyperfluorescence of retinal surface, indicative of epiretinal neovascularization in both the eyes.
sustained release implant reduces capillary nonperfusion in DR. Despite the small sample size, this study opens a new field in retinal imaging and evaluation of the effect of IV drugs on 1) severity and progression of DR and 2) peripheral retinal vessels and their correlation to macular status. Quantitative assessment of retinal ischemia could also be useful in the clinical setting, for example, to further classify patients with severe nonproliferative DR based on the risk of developing NV given a certain ISI score. Technological improvements will further refine UWFA. Through the photomontage of UWFA images acquired in different steered positions, it is now possible to evaluate almost the entire retinal vascular up to the ora serrata, as proposed by Singer et al. It seems that single image can underestimate the real peripheral ischemia, especially in case of DR. Algorithms to correct the image distortion created by UWFA are very useful in order to rectify ISI values. Although really fascinating, the role of TRP needs to be clarified in large, prospective, randomized trial with long follow-up against PRP.

**Conclusion**

UWFA is going to revolutionize DR on multiple aspects. Several interesting observations have been pointed out by recent studies; however, further high-quality trials are warranted in order to confirm the prior investigations and to translate them into the everyday clinical practice.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Number of patients (eyes)</th>
<th>Major findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Friberg et al</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Prospective nonrandomized study</td>
<td>30 (30)</td>
<td>UWFA allows the visualization of wider retinal surface and more retinal ischemia compared to conventional systems, but with reduction in image quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver and Schwartz</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
<td>143 (264)</td>
<td>Peripheral nonperfusion at UWFA is associated with NV and macular ischemia, while PVL is associated with peripheral nonperfusion and NV. DME is not associated with neither peripheral nonperfusion nor PVL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wessel et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
<td>118 (218)</td>
<td>Compared to 7SF, UWFA shows more total retinal surface, capillary nonperfusion, NV, and PRP. UWFA revealed retinal pathology in 10% of the eye judged normal with 7SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muqit et al</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Prospective randomized pilot study</td>
<td>30 (30)</td>
<td>SI-PRP, MT-PRP, and TRP are equally effective in inducing PDR regression. TRP and SI-PRP produce higher CMT reduction than PRP. No change in VA, RNFL thickness, or laser-induced complications were observed in these cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muqit et al</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Prospective nonrandomized study</td>
<td>20 (28)</td>
<td>Optos-guided TRP is a safe procedure which allows PDR regression. Additional PRP was required in 30% of the eyes at 12 weeks. TRP does not affect CMT, MD on VFs and VA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reddy et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>Optos-guided TRP allows PDR regression avoiding complications of standard PRP (ie, VF loss, DME, reduction in VA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Retrospective case–control study</td>
<td>46 (46)</td>
<td>PVL, NV, and peripheral nonperfusion assessed with UWFA are greater in PVDVH group than NPVDVH one. Such differences are not seen with 7SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wessel et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
<td>70 (122)</td>
<td>DME is correlated with capillary nonperfusion quantified at UWFA. Peripheral ischemia is an independent risk factor for DME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Retrospective cross-sectional study</td>
<td>27 (68)</td>
<td>Peripheral ischemia quantified at UWFA correlates with DME severity and PPLs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel et al</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
<td>76 (148)</td>
<td>Recalcitrant DME was found mostly in eyes with larger areas of retinal nonperfusion and greater DR severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sim et al</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
<td>47 (47)</td>
<td>Peripheral ischemia quantified at UWFA correlates with FAZ area. PVL and FAZ area correlates only in laser naïve eyes. Peripheral ischemia and PVL were not associated with VA, conversely VA was associated with CMT and FAZ area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
<td>79 (103)</td>
<td>Differences between ISI corrected for image distortion created by UWFA and uncorrected ISI increase with peripheral ischemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** UWFA, ultra-wide-field fluorescein angiography; NV, neovascularization; DME, diabetic macular edema; PVL, peripheral vessel leakage; PRP, panretinal photocoagulation; 7SF, seven standard fields; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy; SI-PRP, standard intensity-PRP; MT-PRP, minimally traumatic-PRP; TRP, targeted retinal photocoagulation; CMT, central macular thickness; MD, mean defect; VF, visual field; VA, visual acuity; RNFL, retinal nerve fiber layer; ISI, ischemic index; PVDVH, recurrent postvitreoretinal diabetic vitreous hemorrhage; NPVDVH, non-PVDVH; PPL, predominant peripheral lesion; FAZ, foveal avascular zone.
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