Transdermal hormone therapy in postmenopausal women: A review of metabolic effects and drug delivery technologies

Nathan W Kopper
Jennifer Gudeman
Daniel J Thompson
KV Pharmaceutical, St. Louis, MO, USA

Abstract: Vasomotor symptoms (VMS) associated with menopause can cause significant discomfort and decrease the quality of life for women in the peri-menopausal and post-menopausal stages of life. Hormone therapy (HT) is the mainstay of treatment for menopausal symptoms and is currently the only therapy proven effective for VMS. Numerous HT options are available to treat VMS, including estrogen-only and estrogen-progestogen combination products to meet the needs of both hysterectomized and nonhysterectomized women. In addition to selecting an appropriate estrogen or estrogen-progestogen combination, consideration should be given to the route of administration to best suit the needs of the patient. Delivery systems for hormone therapy include oral tablets, transdermal patches, transdermal topical (nonpatch) products, and intravaginal preparations. Oral is currently the most commonly utilized route of administration in the United States. However, evidence suggests that oral delivery may lead to some undesirable physiologic effects caused by significant gut and hepatic metabolism. Transdermal drug delivery may mitigate some of these effects by avoiding gut and hepatic first-pass metabolism. Advantages of transdermal delivery include the ability to administer unmetabolized estradiol directly to the bloodstream, administration of lower doses compared to oral products, and minimal stimulation of hepatic protein production. Several estradiol transdermal delivery technologies are available, including various types of patches, topical gels, and a transdermal spray.

Keywords: estradiol, hormone therapy, menopause, transdermal drug delivery, vasomotor symptoms

Introduction
Menopause is a biological process whereby ovarian function and production of estradiol decrease, ultimately leading to depletion of ovarian follicles and permanent cessation of menses (Blake 2006). The menopausal transition can occur naturally over a period of several years or spontaneously as a result of surgical removal of the ovaries, chemotherapy, or radiation therapy. Spontaneous natural menopause is identified retrospectively after 12 months of amenorrhea, and reflects a near-complete cessation of ovarian hormone secretion (Soules et al 2001).

As estradiol levels decline during the peri-menopausal and post-menopausal periods, many women experience vasomotor symptoms, breast tenderness, insomnia, migraines, and/or premenstrual dysphoria (Deecher 2005). Vasomotor symptoms (VMS) are the most commonly experienced effects of the menopausal transition and include both hot flashes and night sweats. Long-term estrogen depletion can increase the risk of cardiovascular disease and osteoporosis as well as cause vaginal and vulvar atrophy.

Prevalence
Vasomotor symptoms affect an estimated 60% to 80% of post-menopausal women, but may be experienced by up to 90% of women during the peri-menopausal stage.
Kopper et al (Rapkin 2007). VMS generally subside within two to five years after menopause; however, up to 30% of women report VMS into their sixties and almost 10% report VMS into their seventies. Moderate to severe intensity VMS are reported by 40% to 60% of women reporting VMS, and up to 20% report nearly intolerable symptoms (Bachmann 2005).

Treatment options
For the treatment of mild VMS, lifestyle changes, either alone or in conjunction with nonprescription remedies, are generally recognized as first-line treatment options (NAMS 2007). Lifestyle modifications include maintaining air temperature as cool as possible to help keep the core body temperature cool and behavioral changes such as exercising regularly, maintaining a healthy body weight, avoiding hot drinks and foods that may trigger hot flashes, and using a variety of relaxation techniques.

Systemic hormone therapy (HT) is the current standard of care for treatment of moderate-to-severe VMS (NAMS 2007) and is the only treatment option that has consistently demonstrated significant reductions in VMS severity and frequency (Fugate and Church 2004; Bachmann 2005; Deecher 2005; Nachtigall et al 2006). In addition, prescription HT is the only treatment currently approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for VMS relief (Bachmann 2005). In the US, hormone therapy is available in oral tablets, transdermal patches, a newly approved transdermal spray, transdermal topical gels and intravaginal preparations (Ansbacher 2001). Systemic therapy with oral and transdermal therapy may be used to treat VMS, vaginal atrophy, and prevention of osteoporosis, although approved indications vary among products. Vaginal dosage forms are generally utilized for local treatment of vulvar and vaginal atrophy; however, one vaginal ring is indicated to treat VMS (Speroff and the United States VR Investigator Group 2003). Estrogenic compounds approved for HT in the US include estradiol, conjugated equine estrogens (CEE), conjugated estrogens (CE), ethinyl estradiol (EE), and estropipate (Minkin 2004).

Alternative treatment options
Nonprescription remedies such as dietary isoflavones, black cohosh, and vitamin E have been suggested as possible alternatives to HT (Fugate and Church 2004). When studied in randomized, placebo-controlled studies, these remedies have not demonstrated a clinically relevant reduction in VMS (Newton et al 2006). However, a recent study found significant reductions in severity and frequency of hot flashes with a soy isoflavone extract compared to placebo in post-menopausal women experiencing five or more hot flashes per day at baseline after 10 months of treatment (Nahas et al 2007). The soy supplement employed in this trial was highly purified and was tested to ensure that the composition and potency were standardized among all participants. The authors speculate that the lack of benefit noted in other trials of soy products may have been affected by the purity, composition, and lack of standardized manufacturing processes of soy isoflavone extracts.

Off-label use of nonhormonal prescription alternatives including selective serotonin receptor inhibitors (SSRIs), gabapentin, clonidine, or venlafaxine may also be considered when lifestyle changes in conjunction with nonprescription therapies do not provide adequate relief or when contraindications to HT are present (Fugate and Church 2004; Bachmann 2005; Deecher 2005; Nachtigall et al 2006).

Combination HT
Unopposed estrogen therapy (ET) in nonhysterectomized post-menopausal women has been associated with an increased risk of endometrial hyperplasia, which may be a precursor to the development of endometrial cancer. The duration of unopposed ET is linked to the risk of endometrial cancer. Administration of unopposed ET for 1–2 years increases the risk approximately 2.4-fold (NAMS 2003) whereas administration for 10 years increases the risk 8- to 10-fold (Grady et al 1995). Even after discontinuation of ET, the risk of endometrial cancer appears to remain elevated for at least 5 years (NAMS 2003). Adding a continuous or cyclic progestogen to the estrogen regimen provides endometrial protection, significantly reducing the risk of endometrial hyperplasia and cancer (NAMS 2007; Doherty et al 2007). Progestogens are not required or recommended for hysterectomized women (NAMS 2007).

Randomized controlled trials of HT
The Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study II (HERS II) was an open-label continuation of the HERS study, designed to evaluate the cardiovascular effects of 0.625 mg CE plus 2.5 mg medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) compared to placebo in postmenopausal women with preexisting cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Grady et al 2002). While no differences in cardiovascular events were noted between the two treatment groups over the 4.1 years of follow-up, post-hoc analysis of the data found a trend toward more events in the first year of treatment, but fewer in years 3 through 5 (Hulley et al 1998). HERS-II was designed to determine if
this reduction in cardiovascular events would be sustained over a longer duration of therapy (Grady et al 2002). The planned follow-up in HERS II was an additional 4 years; however, the trial was stopped after 2.7 years (thus representing a total of 6.8 years of enrollment), due to a lack of protective benefit for HT. No differences were noted between HT and placebo relative to cardiovascular events or death due to CVD, but women receiving HT had a significantly higher rate of nonfatal ventricular arrhythmia compared to those receiving placebo (p = 0.04). In addition, there was no trend toward reduced risk of cardiovascular events with longer duration of HT (p = 0.18).

Women’s Health Initiative (WHI)
The release of the first WHI report in July 2002 occurred within two weeks of publication of HERS II. Like HERS/HERS II, WHI was designed to determine whether HT had a cardioprotective effect; unlike HERS/HERS II, which enrolled women with pre-existing cardiovascular disease, WHI enrolled healthy women (Rossouw et al 2002). Two different HT regimens were studied: 0.625 mg CEE + 2.5 mg medroxyprogesterone (MPA) per day in nonhysterectomized women; and 0.625 mg CEE in hysterectomized women (both compared to matching placebo).

The estrogen-progestin WHI study was stopped early due to increased risk of breast cancer, which had been identified at baseline as a primary adverse outcome to be monitored (HR 1.26, 95% CI 1.00–1.59). Media coverage depicting this 26% increased risk of breast cancer with estrogen-progestin therapy caused confusion among many patients as well as their healthcare providers, and in many cases made the risk of breast cancer with this regimen appear much greater than the data revealed. For this reason, many experts recommend discussing the risk of breast cancer in terms of absolute risk as compared to relative risk. For example, there were 38 cases of breast cancer among estrogen-progestin users per 10,000 patient years (with 30 cases of breast cancer among placebo users per 10,000 patient years).

Unlike previous observational studies (Henderson et al 1988; Humphrey et al 2002; Stampfer et al 1991; Grodstein et al 1996), the WHI found no cardiovascular protective benefit of the estrogen-progestin combination; in fact, the risk of CVD was slightly increased in women receiving combination estrogen-progestin therapy (EPT) (Rossouw et al 2002). Strokes and venous thromboembolisms (VTEs) were also increased among the combination HT users, which was offset by a reduction in colorectal cancer cases and fractures among combination HT users. No difference was seen in overall mortality between hormone therapy and placebo users.

Published two years later in 2004 was the estrogen-only arm of the WHI. Among estrogen users, there was a significantly higher risk of stroke compared to placebo; again, this was offset by a lower rate of hip fractures compared to placebo users. No significant differences were noted in other clinical outcomes, although there was a trend toward reduced cases of breast cancer among the estrogen users relative to placebo.

The type of women enrolled in WHI has generated criticism as being less than ideal to study primarily due to their age (average of 63.3 years at enrollment) and discouragement of enrolling women experiencing hot flashes at baseline (due to apprehension that women in the placebo group would drop out). To determine whether age at which hormone therapy is commenced may impact the cardiovascular effects, a combined analysis of the WHI trials was recently completed (Rossouw et al 2007). Researchers performed subgroup analysis on women who were 1) less than 10 years; 2) 10–19 years; and 3) 20 or more years past the age of menopause at initiation of HT. For women less than 10 years post-menopausal at study entry, the hazard ratio (HR) of CVD was 0.76 (95% CI, 0.5–1.16). The HR for women 10–19 years post-menopausal at study entry was 1.1 (95% CI, 0.84–1.45) whereas it was 1.28 (95% CI, 1.03–1.58) for women 20 or more years post-menopausal. Trend analysis revealed a decreased risk of CVD if HT was initiated within 10 years of menopause (p = 0.02). However, this trend did not reach the predefined level of statistical significance, which was 0.01. While p-values of less than 0.05 are often accepted as the benchmark for statistical significance, the authors selected the lower level for this analysis to account for the post hoc nature of this analysis. Nonetheless, these findings, coupled with the realization that the average age at which women were enrolled increased the likelihood of subclinical cardiovascular disease, has generated significant interest and increased the level of comfort with initiating hormone therapy in younger, symptomatic women.

The WHI was the largest controlled clinical trial to date evaluating HT in post-menopausal women; however, this study only evaluated one HT regimen for hysterectomized women (CEE 0.625 mg orally) and one for nonhysterectomized women (CEE 0.625 mg + MPA 2.5 mg). Thus, some researchers caution that the results found in these studies may not apply to different doses of the same products, other estrogen or progestin compounds, or to products administered by the transdermal or vaginal route (Rossouw et al 2002; Anderson et al 2004).
Nonetheless, the US FDA requires a black box warning on all post-menopausal HT products regarding the potential risks identified by the WHI (Stephenson 2003). In addition, as a result of HERS, HERS II, and the WHI, HT is no longer recommended solely for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in post-menopausal women (ACOG 2004; Nelson et al 2005; NAMS 2007).

Post-WHI recommendations to utilize the lowest dose for the lowest duration possible have generated interest and the introduction of new low-dose and ultra-low-dose HT products (Stephenson 2003; ACOG 2004; NAMS 2007). Increasing attention is also being paid to the route of administration. Oral products, particularly CE alone or in combination with a progestin (such as those studied in WHI), experienced a large decline in volume following the publication of WHI. (Hersh et al 2004). In recent years, emerging evidence is accumulating regarding the differences between the transdermal and oral route of administration for pharmacokinetic parameters, lipid effects, impact on clotting proteins, and various other processes owing to the avoidance of first-pass metabolism.

**Oral vs transdermal:**
**Pharmacokinetic differences**

While both oral and transdermal HT have been proven effective for relief of menopausal symptoms, several differences exist between these routes that may influence safety and patient acceptance of the regimen. Oral administration of estrogen is associated with extensive gut and first-pass liver metabolism as well as significant hepatic stimulation (Balfour and Heel 1990). To overcome these metabolism processes, oral estrogens must be administered in relatively high doses to provide blood levels adequate to reduce menopausal symptoms. Extensive metabolism of oral estrogens results in conversion of a large portion of the dose to estrone and its conjugates, which have less estrogenic activity than estradiol. Significant metabolic conversion of oral estrogens to estrone results in a higher ratio of estrone to estradiol in the blood stream, which is opposite of the physiological levels in pre-menopausal women (Gleason et al 2005). In addition, some metabolites of conjugated estrogens formed during first-pass metabolism appear to have antiestrogenic or unrecognized pharmacologic activity in the human body (Campagnoli et al 1999). Conversely, transdermal dosage forms deliver estradiol directly to the systemic circulation through the skin, bypassing gut and first-pass hepatic metabolism (Balfour and Heel 1990). Avoidance of gut and liver metabolism via transdermal administration helps maintain an estradiol to estrone ratio similar to that found in premenopausal women (Chetkowski et al 1986). However, the clinical relevance of the estradiol-to-estrone ratio is currently unknown.

Significant variations in the metabolism of oral estrogens results in wide fluctuations in estrogen blood levels throughout the day, potentially resulting in inconsistent control of VMS (Scott et al 1991; Minkin 2004). Transdermal administration provides more consistent blood levels by avoiding the peaks and troughs inherent to oral estrogens.

**Lipid effects**

Prior to menopause, endogenous estrogen plays a vital role in lipid metabolism and maintenance of healthy lipid levels. During the peri-menopausal and post-menopausal periods, estrogen deprivation contributes to increasing levels of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and triglycerides (TGs) and decreasing levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (Menon et al 2006). Exogenous estrogen administration in peri-menopausal and post-menopausal women has been shown to improve lipid profiles (Table 1). However, differences exist between the lipid effects of oral and transdermal estrogens.

Oral and transdermal estrogens have both been shown to increase HDL and decrease LDL and total cholesterol (Sacks and Walsh 1994; Hanggi et al 1997; Shulman et al 2003). However, significant hepatic stimulation with oral estrogens increases production of TGs whereas transdermal estrogens have a neutral effect on TG levels. Thus, the route of

**Table 1** Differential effects of oral and transdermal estrogens on lipids and coagulation factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oral estrogens</th>
<th>Transdermal estrogens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Neutral or ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC activity</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT III</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor VII activity</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Willebrand factor</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Neutral or ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin fragments</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>Neutral or ↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I and 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TGs, triglycerides; APC, activated protein C; CRP, C-reactive protein; AT III, Antithrombin III.
estrogen administration should be considered in women with hyperlipidemia as elevated TGs are recognized as an independent risk factor for coronary heart disease (CHD) and increased mortality (Fletcher et al 2005). Additionally, the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) recommends considering transdermal hormone therapy instead of oral therapy for women with elevated TGs or hypertension (AACE 2006).

With respect to LDL, the atherogenicity of LDL may also be influenced by the route of estrogen administration (Wakatsuki et al 2002). TG levels affect the size of LDL particles; higher TG levels contribute to the production of smaller, TG-rich LDL particles (McNamara et al 1992) that are more susceptible to oxidation (Wakatsuki et al 2002). Increased circulating levels of oxidized LDL particles are believed to be associated with atherosclerotic plaque instability and an increased risk of cardiovascular events (Ehara et al 2001). Conversely, by avoiding significant hepatic exposure, transdermal estrogens do not cause increased production of TGs. Thus, despite an overall lowering of total cholesterol and LDL with oral estrogens, increasing production of TGs may reduce some of the lipid benefits inherent to endogenous estrogens (Wakatsuki et al 2002).

The quality of cholesterol molecules can be further affected by the route of estrogen administration. Serum amyloid A (SAA) is an acute-phase protein produced by the liver that is associated with atherosclerosis and vascular inflammation (Liang et al 1996). Oral estrogens increase production of SAA, which when incorporated into HDL particles, can negatively affect the antiatherogenic, antioxidant, and antiinflammatory actions of HDL (Kisilevsky and Subrahmanyan 1992). Conversely, transdermal estrogens do not affect SAA production and thus do not appear to mitigate the positive effects of HDL (Abbas et al 2004).

**C-reactive protein**
C-reactive protein (CRP) is an acute-phase protein that has been recognized as a marker for vascular inflammation and atherosclerosis (Pasceri et al 2000). High CRP levels are considered an important predictor of the risk for first myocardial infarction and stroke in otherwise healthy people, independent of other CVD risk factors (Ridker et al 2000; Pradhan et al 2002). Due to the utility of CRP in predicting future cardiovascular disease, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) recommend CRP testing and lipid screening in healthy people with an intermediate risk of CVD (Smith et al 2004).

Oral estrogens, via hepatic stimulation, increase production of CRP by the liver (Van Baal et al 1999; Skouby et al 2002). In addition, increases in CRP induced by oral estrogens may be accompanied by a decrease in insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), an antiinflammatory protein that helps to regulate the inflammatory effects of CRP (Weissberger et al 1991). Conversely, transdermal estrogen does not affect CRP production and may even decrease levels to some degree (Sattar et al 1999; Decensi et al 2002; Strandberg et al 2003; Lacut et al 2003; Vongpatanasin et al 2003). As such, transdermal estrogens may be preferred over oral estrogens in women with cardiovascular disease (CVD) or women at high risk for developing CVD due to the neutral CRP effects of transdermal delivery (Strandberg et al 2003).

**Activated protein C (APC)**
Activated protein C (APC) is a potent thrombin inhibitor that has been recognized as a marker for cardiovascular disease, with reduced activity of APC contributing to an increased risk of cardiovascular events (deVisser et al 1999; Rodeghiero and Tosetto 1999). Oral estrogens appear to decrease the thrombin-inhibiting activity of APC and may contribute to development of APC resistance (Oger 2003). Conversely, transdermal HT administration is associated with minimal effects on APC activity and has not been linked to development of APC resistance (Oger et al 2003; Post et al 2003).

**Venous thromboembolism (VTE)**
Exogenous estrogen administration has been shown to affect the levels and activity of certain blood coagulation factors. Antithrombin III (AT III) is a potent coagulation inhibitor that may increase the risk of thrombosis if not present in adequate levels (Bonduki et al 1998). Oral estrogens decrease AT III levels whereas transdermal estrogens appear to have no effect on antithrombin III levels. Numerous other studies have found that compared to oral estrogens, transdermal estrogens have minimal negative impact on other coagulation factors, including fibrinogen, factor VII, von Willebrand factor, and prothrombin fragments 1 + 2 (Scarabin et al 1997; Oger et al 2003; Schmidt et al 2006; Menon et al 2006).

An increased risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) with oral hormone therapy has been shown in both observational and randomized trials (Scarabin et al 2003; Gomes and Deitcher 2004; Canonico et al 2007, 2008). While the VTE risk associated with transdermal estrogens has not been as extensively studied, available evidence suggests that this route may have less propensity toward VTE compared to...
oral administration. This is supported by differences in the production and activity of several clotting factors between these two routes of administration as well as results from a case-control study (Canonico et al. 2007).

Recently, VTE risk between oral and transdermal HT was compared in the Estrogen and Thromboembolism Risk (ESTHER) study, which enrolled 881 post-menopausal women (Canonico et al. 2007). This case-control study found that the odds ratio of VTE in women using oral HT was 4.2 compared to 0.9 for women receiving transdermal HT. Adjustments for confounding factors such as obesity, family history of VTE, history of varicose veins, education, age at onset of menopause, hysterectomy, and cigarette smoking did not significantly affect these results.

In a previous evaluation of the ESTHER study data, Straczek and colleagues (2005) evaluated the VTE risk of oral and transdermal HT in women with a Factor V Leiden or other prothrombotic mutations. While oral HT increased the risk of VTE 25-fold in women with a prothrombotic mutation, transdermal HT had no impact on VTE risk above and beyond the risk already associated with the mutation (Straczek et al. 2005) (Figure 1). Randomized controlled trials are needed to confirm the long-term safety of transdermal estrogen, particularly in women with prothrombotic mutations or other risk factors for VTE.

Sex hormone-binding globulin

Sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) is partially responsible for controlling the amount of free testosterone in the bloodstream, thereby aiding in the regulation of the androgenic effects of testosterone (Minkin 2004). In excess, SHBG reduces free testosterone levels and thus may decrease libido. Liver metabolism and continued hepatic exposure to estrogen and its metabolites following oral estrogen administration increases production of SHBG (Campagnoli et al. 1993). Conversely, transdermal estrogen has little effect on SHBG production or circulating free testosterone. Therefore, women with low testosterone or those experiencing sexual dysfunction may benefit from transdermal estrogen rather than oral estrogen (Campagnoli et al. 1993; Vehkavaara et al. 2000; Samisoe 2001).

Compliance

Maintaining compliance with HT regimens is an ongoing challenge (Hope and Rees 1995; Kayser et al. 2001). One survey of a managed care database found that nearly 75% of women discontinued HT within 2 years of initiation, no matter the product or dosage form used (Kayser et al. 2001). The primary reasons for discontinuation are side effects, including menstrual bleeding, breast tenderness, weight gain, and a fear of cancer (Samisoe 2004). Vaginal bleeding and spotting appear to be lessened by using low-dose or ultra-low-dose products (Mattsson et al. 1999; Sturdee et al. 2008). By avoiding gut metabolism, gastrointestinal side effects such as nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain are also less common with transdermal products (Cano 1994; Hirvonen 1997; Archer 2003; Simon 2006). In addition, by allowing use of lower hormone doses, transdermal HT is generally associated with fewer systemic adverse effects, such as vaginal bleeding and breast tenderness (Hirvonen 1997).

Transdermal delivery technology

The clinical benefits of transdermal estradiol delivery are applicable to all transdermal HT products. Several transdermal delivery technologies are utilized for HT products to treat VMS, including patches, gels, an intravaginal ring (Simon and Ravnikar 2007) and a transdermal spray. Transdermal delivery bypasses first-pass hepatic metabolism, thereby allowing administration of lower doses and provides more stable blood levels than oral HT. While equivalent doses of estradiol likely provide equivalent efficacy no matter the delivery system, differences in the application requirements and product formulations may influence patient acceptance of and compliance with these delivery systems.

The first technology used for transdermal delivery of HT was the reservoir patch, which is constructed with a drug reservoir, a backing layer, and an alcohol-containing membrane that controls the rate of drug release into the blood stream (Nachtigall 1995; Musel and Warshaw 2006). Reservoir patches were designed to provide more stable...
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EstroGel Study Group 2003; Simon et al. 2007). In addition, matrix patches are generally associated with fewer skin reactions, primarily due to the lack of alcohol and the ability to allow better air circulation to the skin underneath (Simon 2004). The most recent advance in matrix patches was the incorporation of dot matrix technology, which combines the drug and adhesive in a single layer. Dot matrix patches are smaller and thinner than other patches and provide the most consistent drug delivery among transdermal patches with the lowest rates of local irritation among transdermal patches (Reginster et al. 2000).

Transdermal patches were designed to improve compliance over oral therapy by providing once- or twice-weekly dosing with a relatively easy to use dosage form. However, some patches, particularly reservoir patches, are associated with relatively high rates of application site reactions including erythema, pruritis, and edema (Samsoe 2004). Additionally, up to 10% of patches may fall off prematurely, potentially leading to a disruption in the dosing cycle (Erianne and Winter 1997). Patches may also become dirty during the course of wear and leave behind a sticky adhesive residue. Moreover, some women may object to their visual appearance. The release rate of some patches may also be affected by temperature; thus, they should not be applied in a location where they would be exposed to direct sunlight (Estraderm® PI 2005).

The next advance was the development of estradiol topical gels, which were designed to overcome the local irritation caused by transdermal patches (Archer and EstroGel Study Group 2003; Simon et al. 2007). In addition to improved tolerability, dose titration is possible with some gel products (Divigel® PI 2007; Simon et al. 2007). These products utilize an alcohol base to solvate the skin and allow the estradiol to diffuse through the skin layers. To ensure adequate absorption, gels often require application to the entire arm and necessitate the patient to directly contact the active drug substance, potentially increasing the risk of transfer to another person. In addition, gels must be allowed to dry for several minutes before dressing to prevent transfer of estradiol or the gel itself to clothing. Contact of others with the application site should be avoided for at least 1 hour (Divigel® PI 2007) to 2 hours (Elestrin® PI 2007) to avoid transfer of the active drug. To prevent affecting the absorption of estradiol, the gel application site should be kept dry as long as possible after application; therefore, washing or bathing should occur before application of a gel product.

The latest development in percutaneous drug delivery is an estradiol transdermal spray which recently received FDA approval for treatment of moderate-to-severe VMS (Evamist™, Ther-Rx Corporation, St. Louis, MO, USA). The transdermal spray technology incorporates estradiol, octisalate, and alcohol into a unique and patented drug delivery system. Upon localized application to the inner forearm, alcohol solvates the stratum corneum and allows the estradiol and octisalate to penetrate the top layer of skin. The alcohol then quickly evaporates, leaving a depot of octisalate and estradiol. Octisalate facilitates the slow descent of estradiol through the epidermis into the dermis, where it is absorbed into the systemic circulation through the capillary beds. Similar to topical gels, this technology provides 24-hour sustained delivery of estradiol while maintaining low blood levels with once-daily dosing (Figure 2). Additionally, application site reactions with estradiol transdermal spray were reported in 1.3% of patients in a clinical study (Buster et al. 2008).

Estradiol transdermal spray provides the advantages of nonoral estradiol delivery while addressing some of the potential drawbacks to topical gels. The spray dries completely and invisibly in a median of 67 seconds and leaves no residue on the skin, allowing women to dress within

![Figure 2. Mean (±SD) serum estradiol concentrations on day 14 following topical application for 14 days of one, two, or three sprays of Evamist (unadjusted for baseline).](image)
2 minutes of application (Buster et al 2008). In addition, washing of the application site is permissible 30 minutes after dosing. The applicator device also eliminates the need for direct contact with the active drug substance, minimizing the risk of transfer to clothing or other people compared to topical gels. To further reduce the risk of transfer to other people, 30 minutes should elapse before allowing contact with the application area.

Estradiol transdermal spray is packaged in a metered-dose pump encased in a plastic housing with a conical bell opening. When pressed against the inner forearm, the applicator device controls the distance, angle, and area of application, thereby reducing the risk of inappropriate application or variability in application technique. Each metered-dose pump is designed to deliver 56 sprays of precisely 90 mcL, which contains 1.53 mg of estradiol. As with many transdermal estrogen therapies, administration of higher doses of estradiol to the surface of the skin does not proportionally increase the amount of estradiol absorbed into the systemic circulation. Thus, the amount of estradiol delivered to the systemic circulation is approximately 0.021-, 0.029-, and 0.04-mg per day for the 1-, 2-, and 3-spray doses, respectively (Buster et al 2008). Significant reductions in frequency and severity of moderate-to-severe hot flashes have been demonstrated at all three dosage levels compared to placebo.

The indicated starting dose is 1 spray per day with the option of titrating upward depending upon individual patient response. The ability to titrate the dose allows women to utilize the lowest effective dose, consistent with current recommendations by FDA, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and The North American Menopause Society (NAMS). In addition, as estrogen-related side effects appear to generally be dose-related, administration of lower doses may thus reduce the risk of adverse effects such as breakthrough bleeding and breast tenderness (Lobo 2004).

Conclusion
Of the various HT options, transdermal delivery is emerging as an increasingly attractive option given the potential for an improved safety profile. While further study is needed, ongoing studies such as the Kronos Early Estrogen Prevention Study (KEEPS) should provide valuable insight regarding the relative safety of transdermal HT compared to oral (Harman et al 2005). Several estradiol transdermal delivery technologies are available for the treatment of moderate-to-severe VMS in postmenopausal women. Due to their lack of first-pass hepatic metabolism, transdermal products achieve clinical benefits while minimizing patient exposure to estrogens, which is consistent with the most recent clinical guidelines. The latest development in percutaneous drug delivery, estradiol transdermal spray, offers both the female patient and the clinician a unique option among the hormone therapy armamentarium for the individualized treatment of VMS due to menopause.

Disclosure
The authors are employed by KV Pharmaceutical, the parent company of Ther-Rx Corporation, which markets Evamist (estradiol transdermal spray).
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