Dose comparison of ultrasonic transdermal insulin delivery to subcutaneous insulin injection
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Abstract: Prior studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of noninvasive transdermal insulin delivery using a cymbal transducer array. In this study the physiologic response to ultrasound mediated transdermal insulin delivery is compared to that of subcutaneously administered insulin. Anesthetized rats (350–550 g) were divided into four groups of four animals; one group representing ultrasound mediated insulin delivery and three representing subcutaneously administered insulin (0.15, 0.20, and 0.25 U/kg). The cymbal array was operated for 60 minutes at 20 kHz with 100 mW/cm² spatial-peak temporal-peak intensity and a 20% duty cycle. The blood glucose level was determined at the beginning of the experiment and, following insulin administration, every 15 minutes for 90 minutes for both the ultrasound and injection groups. The change in blood glucose from baseline was compared between groups. When administered by subcutaneous injection at insulin doses of 0.15 and 0.20 U/kg, there was little change in the blood glucose levels over the 90 minute experiment. Following subcutaneous administration of insulin at a dose of 0.25 U/kg, blood glucose decreased by 190 ± 96 mg/dl (mean ± SD) at 90 minutes. The change in blood glucose following ultrasound mediated insulin delivery was −262 ± 40 mg/dl at 90 minutes. As expected, the magnitude of change in blood glucose between the three injection groups was dependent on the dose of insulin administered. The change in blood glucose in the ultrasound group was greater than that observed in the injection groups suggesting that a higher effective dose of insulin was delivered.
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Introduction
Transdermal drug delivery has been studied as an alternative method for noninvasive drug administration. However, the use of this method has been limited because the superficial layer of the skin, the stratum corneum, is not sufficiently permeable to allow effective transfer of medication into the bloodstream. To enhance transport of drugs through the skin, several approaches, including chemical enhancers (Johnson et al 1996), iontophoresis (Wang et al 2005), microneedles (Nanda et al 2006), electroporation (Prausnitz et al 1993, 2004) and ultrasound (Pitt et al 2004; Mitragotri and Kost 2004; Smith 2007) have been studied as an alternative to needles. Currently, the transdermal transport of insulin is of interest due to the current 21 million Americans who have this disease (CEDR 1999; The Whitaker Foundation 2004; Hussain et al 2007). Diabetes is one of the most costly ailments and its management often requires painful, repetitive insulin injections as often as four times each day.

Of the experiments using ultrasound, many were focused on the feasibility of the approach (Tachibana and Tachibana 1991; Boucaud et al 2002; Lee et al 2004a). Some researchers have studied the effects of frequencies and intensities on the effectiveness of ultrasonic delivery in an effort to understand the mechanisms by which ultrasound enhances transdermal delivery (Machet and Boucaud 2002; Merino et al 2003; Schlicher et al 2006). While ultrasound is effective, the relationship between the...
intensities and potential bioeffects has yet to be determined (Wu et al 1998; Doukas and Kollias 2004). Additionally there is limited understanding as how the intensities relates to the amount of insulin transported via ultrasound (Luis et al 2007) or to direct injections. To date, many ultrasound drug delivery experiments on enhanced transdermal drug delivery are performed using sonicators, ultrasonic baths, or commercially made (“off-the-shelf”) transducers (Tachibana and Tachibana 1991; Santoianni et al 2004; Pitt et al 2004; Smith 2007). The large sizes of these devices and the difficulty of transporting them have been significant disadvantages associated with their practical use for noninvasive drug delivery. Commercial sonicators are large, heavy, tabletop devices specially designed for lysis of cells or catalyzing reactions. In order to become a practical portable ultrasound device, there is the need for a smaller transducer which can work in the same frequency range (Pitt et al 2004). Additionally it is important to balance ultrasound safety (dosimetry) versus efficacy since large intensities can also cause damage (Wu et al 1998; Lee et al 2005).

To meet the demand for a practical portable device, a small-sized, low-profile, and lightweight cymbal transducer has been used for the transdermal delivery of insulin. This flexextensional transducer has a thickness of less than 2 mm, weighs less than 3 grams and resonates between 1 and 100 kHz (Newnham et al 1991; Maione et al 2002). The cymbal transducer array has previously demonstrated enhancement in transport of insulin for in vitro human skin (Smith et al 2003a), in vivo rats (Smith et al 2003b), rabbits (Lee et al 2004b; Snyder et al 2006), and large pigs (Park et al 2007). One of the many questions with this approach is the relationship between the levels of glucose decrease from ultrasound versus a direct subcutaneous injection of insulin. Therefore the purpose of this research is to examine the blood glucose response from direct injections of insulin against the ultrasound intensity from a cymbal array.

Materials and methods

Ultrasound transducer array

Details regarding the design and construction of the cymbal transducer and the multi-element array have been described elsewhere (Newnham et al 1991, 1994; Maione et al 2002). Briefly, the cymbal transducer is a novel flexextensional transducer capable of producing very low frequencies (Figure 1a). A cymbal transducer has a compact, lightweight structure with an adjustable resonance frequency. In the cymbal transducer design, the caps on the lead zirconate – titanate (PZT) ceramic contained a shallow cavity beneath the inner surface. The fundamental mode of vibration is the flexing of the end caps caused by the radial motion of the ceramic. Therefore, the overall displacement of the device is a combination of the axial motion of the disk plus the radial motion amplified by the end caps. Amplification factors can be as high as 40 times that of the ceramic by itself (Meyer et al 2001). Specifically, the piezoelectric disc was made from PZT-4 (Piezokinetics, Inc., Bellefonte, PA), had a diameter of 12.7 mm, and was 1 mm thick. Caps were made of 0.25-mm thick titanium while the thin glue layer between the caps and the ceramic disk was made of Eccobond® (Emerson and Cuming, Billerica, MA) epoxy. For the array, four transducers were connected in parallel and encased in URALITE® polymer (FH 3550, H.B. Fuller, St. Paul, MN) to produce a transducer array arrangement.

The array was driven by a radio frequency (RF) signal generated by a pulse/function generator (Model 393, Wavetek Inc., San Diego, CA) and amplified by an RF amplifier (Model 40A12, Amplifier Research, Souderton, PA). The electrical impedance of the array was matched to the output impedance of the amplifier by an external inductor-capacitor tuning network. Pulse period, duty cycle, and exposure time of the RF signal from the frequency generator was monitored using an oscilloscope (Tektronix 2213A, Beaverton, OR). For the ultrasound exposure experiments, the array was operated at 20 kHz with a pulse duration of 200 ms and a pulse repetition period of 1 second (ie, 20% duty cycle). Pulsed ultrasound was used to avoid damaging either the array or the animal’s skin by excessive heat generation.

Ultrasound exposimetry

The intensity was determined according to exposimetry guidelines established by the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (IEEE 1990; AIUM 1998). For the acoustic field at a plane 1 mm from the transducer face, the ultrasonic intensities from the array were measured with a calibrated miniature (4 mm diameter) omnidirectional reference hydrophone (Model TC4013, S/N: 5199093, RESON, Inc., Goleta, CA). The cymbal array was submerged in a water tank (51 × 54 × 122 cm3) which was made almost anechoic by placing 1.27 cm thick rubber sound absorbing material around its wall. A custom made degasser, built in-house, reduced the dissolved oxygen content of the distilled water to 1–2 ppm to reduce cavitation effects. Pulse period, duty cycle and exposure time of the signal from the frequency generator and hydrophone was acquired using an Agilent 54622A 100 MHz digitizing oscilloscope (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA).
For the cymbal array made up of four cymbal transducers, the cymbal elements were connected in parallel, encased in URALITE® polymer and arranged in a two-by-two elemental pattern. The dimensions of the array were $37 \times 37 \times 7 \text{ mm}^3$ and it weighed less than 20 g. (B) Photograph of a transdermal insulin delivery experiment with a rat placed in a dorsal decubitus position with the array attached. A 1 mm thick water tight standoff was arranged between the abdominal area and the array. The reservoir within the standoff was filled with insulin through a small hole in the back of the array.
Precise, computer-controlled positioning of the hydrophone was performed by a Velmex Positioning System (Velmex Inc., East Bloomfield, NY). Pressure waves detected by the hydrophone were recorded by a digitizing oscilloscope. A computer-controlled exposimetry positioning system was used for automated scanning. The scanning step size for each device was 1 mm and the scanning area was 40 × 40 mm². Spatial peak-temporal peak (I$_{sppt}$) intensity were determined over a plane 1 mm from the array face using the hydrophone based on three scannings of the array for a mean and standard deviation of the intensity results. The intensity of cymbal transducer array was I$_{sppt}$ = 102.2 ± 2.3 mW/cm².

**Animal experiments**

All procedures described in this report involving live animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the Pennsylvania State University. A total of 16 experiments using eight Sprague-Dawley rats (350–550 g) were performed in four experimental groups with four rats in each group: one ultrasonic transdermal delivery and three subcutaneous injection groups. Rats were anesthetized with a combination of ketamine hydrochloride (60 mg/kg intramuscularly, Ketaject®, Phoenix, St. Joseph, MO) and xylazine hydrochloride (10 mg/kg intramuscularly, Xyla-Ject®, Phoenix, St. Joseph, MO). In addition to its role in general anesthesia, xylazine was used to induce a temporary, but sustained (up to 12 hrs), hyperglycemia in rats (Pavlovic et al 1996; Kawai et al 1999).

For the ultrasonic transdermal delivery, the abdominal area of the rat was shaved using an electric shaver and a depilatory agent was applied to the skin to eliminate any remaining hair. After shaving, a 1-mm thick, water-tight standoff was attached (Figure 1b) between the skin and the array. With the rat in the dorsal decubitus position, a reservoir within the standoff was filled with insulin (Humulin® R, rDNA U-100, Eli Lilly and Co., Indianapolis, IN) through a small hole in the array. Care was taken to remove all bubbles from the solution in the reservoir to prevent disruption of ultrasound transmission. The elapsed time from the initial injection of the anesthetic until the start of ultrasound exposure was no longer than 25 minutes. For the ultrasound exposure, the cymbal array was operated at 20 kHz with an I$_{sppt}$ = 100 mW/cm² for 60 minutes. After the 60 minutes ultrasound exposure, the array was removed and the skin examined for visible lesions.

The dose of insulin selected for the injection groups was based on published insulin doses used to control diabetes mellitus in other species and on pilot experiments (not reported). Humulin® R Insulin (100 U/ml) was diluted with a 0.9% saline solution (Phoenix Pharmaceutical, Inc., St. Joseph, MO) to 0.5 U/ml and delivered subcutaneously (under the skin of the ventral abdomen) at 0.15, 0.20, or 0.25 U/kg.

Blood was collected from the tail vein of each rat to obtain a baseline glucose level and, following insulin administration, additional samples were collected every 15 minutes for 90 minutes. For the ultrasonic transdermal delivery, the base line glucose level was measured at the beginning of the ultrasound exposure. The blood glucose level (mg/dl) for each sample was determined using the ACCU-CHEK™ blood glucose monitoring system (Roche Diagnostics Co., Indianapolis, IN). Each sample was tested at least twice to confirm the accuracy of the reading.

The data was corrected by subtracting the baseline glucose for each animal from each data point such that only changes in blood glucose were compared. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel® (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) and the data of blood glucose versus time were pooled for each group and analyzed as the mean and standard deviation. A t-test was used to analyze the statistical significance of the differences among the means of groups. The p-value was used to determine if the between-group differences are significantly greater than chance.

**Results**

Results of the ultrasound delivery compared with injection doses for the four groups are graphed as the decrease in the blood glucose level during the 90 minute experiment (Figure 2). Data were graphed and reported as the mean ± standard deviation (x ± SD) of each group. Due to the anesthesia, the average initial glucose level at the beginning of the experiment was 340 ± 69 mg/dl for the 16 experiments. Rats not anesthetized with xylazine would have a blood glucose closer to a normal level of ~100 mg/dl (Harkness and Wagner 1995; Hillyer and Quesenberry 1997).

For direct subcutaneous injections with the dose of 0.15 U/kg and 0.20 U/kg, the blood glucose level deviated little from the baseline value. Overall the glucose level varied no greater than 32 mg/dl from the initial value over a 90 minute experimental period for both doses. Yet for a subcutaneous insulin injection of 0.25 U/kg, the blood glucose decreased by 190 ± 96 mg/dl after 90 minutes. In comparison, the ultrasound produced a blood glucose decrease of 263 ± 40 mg/dl at 90 minutes. A t-test analysis at 90 minutes indicated that all the groups were statistically different from each other at a p-level less than 0.01. Visual examination of
the skin exposed to ultrasound did not indicate any damage or significant change to the skin.

**Discussion**

For humans to regulate their blood glucose level, the required insulin injection dose is 0.5–1 U/kg/day for adults and children and 0.8–1.2 U/kg for adolescents experiencing growth spurts (Lance et al 2002; Hodgson and Robert 2006). Direct subcutaneous injection doses for animals range from 0.1–0.4 U/kg subcutaneous (SC) for dogs and 0.1–0.5 U/kg (SC) for ferrets (Plumb 2005). Ranges are given since physiological variables have a direct effect on the specific blood glucose decrease from injections. As many clinicians and diabetes patients know, the body’s glucose response to direct injections varies according to a host of variables such as body weight, fat percentage, exercise level, and composition of the most recent meal. Consistency of diet and exercise along with routine dose-glucose recording aids in the control of blood glucose. Without proper glucose control, diabetic complications may include renal failure, peripheral vascular disease, and limb amputation (Suetsugu et al 2007; Meeuwisse-Pasterkamp et al 2008).

To facilitate the ability of a diabetic patient to avoid repeated painful daily injections of insulin, a safe, lightweight, low-profile, inexpensive and potentially portable ultrasonic device is proposed. The goal was to develop an approximate relationship between dose levels from direct subcutaneous injections and noninvasive ultrasound at a \( I_{eq} \approx 100 \text{ mW/cm}^2 \) for 60 minutes. While an exact mathematical relationship was not determined, the results in Figure 2 indicate that the ultrasound dose appears to be greater than an injection dose of 0.25 U/kg for rats. Not included in the results was a single rat experiment which used a direct injection dose of 0.44 U/kg which resulted in a rapid blood glucose decrease of 290.5 ± 8 mg/dl after only 60 minutes. Given the rapid glucose decrease, the animal was removed from the experiment and this dose was determined to be too high for the rats. Yet the single point result can indicate that the ultrasound dose would be somewhere between injection dose levels of 0.25–0.44 U/kg. Nevertheless the results are the
first steps in determining a relationship between ultrasound intensity levels and insulin dose responses. Further experiments should explore the use of larger animals with a similar size and weight as humans or animal which are truly diabetic such as pancreatectomized pig.

In terms of human diabetes, a person is considered diabetic if their blood sugar level is above 126 mg/dl after eight hours of fasting. People without diabetes have fasting sugar levels that generally run between 70–110 mg/dl. A glucose of 110–126 mg/dl is classified as impaired fasting glucose. In the oral glucose tolerance test, 140–200 mg/dl is impaired glucose tolerance and greater than 200 mg/dl is considered diabetic (Rifkin and Porte 1990; Shaw et al 1999; Carnevale Schianca et al 2003). For the last situation a diabetic person would need to inject enough insulin to reduce their blood glucose by about 100 mg/dl. Both the ultrasound and direct injection of 0.25 U/kg achieve blood glucose level decreases of 190 mg/dl or greater.

Use of transdermal drug delivery techniques has practical clinical application to medications which need to be injected multiple times either daily or weekly. A recent review on ultrasound drug delivery states that “small-sized low-frequency transducers need to be developed so that patients can wear them” (Pitt et al 2004). As with diagnostic ultrasound imaging, drug delivery using therapeutic ultrasound requires a delicate balance between safety and efficacy and requires careful scientific study. For a transdermal device to replace conventional needles, the bioeffects and safety of each device needs to be carefully evaluated since it will not matter how much of any drug can be transported if the skin is damaged or the procedure is painful.
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